

*Pacific
Journal of
Mathematics*

***p*-NUCLEARITY OF REDUCED GROUP
L^p-OPERATOR ALGEBRAS**

ZHEN WANG

p -NUCLEARITY OF REDUCED GROUP L^p -OPERATOR ALGEBRAS

ZHEN WANG

Let $p \in (1, \infty)$. G. An, J.-J. Lee, and Z.-J. Ruan introduced p -nuclearity for L^p -operator algebras. They proved that the reduced group L^p -operator algebra $F_\lambda^p(G)$, where G is a discrete group, is p -nuclear and the p -pseudomeasure algebra $PM_p(G)$ is p -semidiscrete if G is amenable. In this paper, we show that the following are equivalent: (i) G is amenable; (ii) the reduced group L^p -operator algebra $F_\lambda^p(G)$ is p -nuclear; (iii) the p -pseudomeasure algebra $PM_p(G)$ is p -semidiscrete. This solves an open problem raised by N. C. Phillips concerning the p -nuclearity for reduced group L^p -operator algebras.

1. Introduction

For $p \in [1, \infty)$, we say that a Banach algebra A is an L^p -operator algebra if it is isometrically isomorphic to a norm-closed subalgebra of the algebra $\mathcal{B}(E)$ of all bounded linear operators on some L^p -space E . Clearly, L^p -operator algebras are a natural generalization of operator algebras on Hilbert spaces (and in particular C^* -algebras) by replacing Hilbert spaces with L^p -spaces.

The study of L^p -operator algebras traces back to C. Herz's influential work on harmonic analysis of group algebras in the 1970's [22; 23; 24]. For a locally compact group G , Herz introduced the Banach algebra $PF_p(G)$, defined as the operator norm closure of the image of the left regular representation $\lambda_p: L^1(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(L^p(G))$. The Banach algebra $PF_p(G)$ is called p -pseudofunctions of G by C. Herz. This algebra is also called the reduced group L^p -operator algebra of G and it is denoted by $F_\lambda^p(G)$ in [18]. If $p = 2$, then $F_\lambda^2(G)$ is the reduced group C^* -algebra of G , which is usually denoted by $C_\lambda^*(G)$. We adopt the notation $F_\lambda^p(G)$ throughout the following of this paper.

Associated with $F_\lambda^p(G)$ there are two other natural algebras, the p -pseudomeasure algebra $PM_p(G)$ and the algebra of p -convolvers $CV_p(G)$. The p -pseudomeasure algebra $PM_p(G)$ is the weak* closure of $F_\lambda^p(G)$ in $\mathcal{B}(L^p(G))$. Let

$$\rho_p: G \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(l^p(G))$$

MSC2020: primary 47L10, 47L25; secondary 43A07, 43A15.

Keywords: reduced group L^p -operator algebra, p -pseudomeasure algebra, nuclearity, amenability.

be the right regular representation. The algebra of p -convolvers $CV_p(G)$ is the commutant of $\rho_p(G)$. If $p = 2$, then $PM_2(G)$ and $CV_2(G)$ are the group von Neumann algebra, which is denoted by $L(G)$. The reader is referred to [11; 12] for more research concerning them, especially on the problem of determining whether $PM_p(G) = CV_p(G)$.

Recently, interest in L^p -operator algebras has been renewed due to the work of N. C. Phillips. In the last ten years, Phillips introduced and studied L^p -operator algebras [31; 32; 33; 34; 35; 36; 37]. These studies encourage many authors to participate in the research of L^p -operator algebras. This includes the work on group L^p -operator algebras [18]; groupoid L^p -operator algebras [16]; L^p -operator crossed products [19; 42; 43] and the L^p -Toeplitz algebra [41]. Although most previous investigations have been very largely focused on various examples, some recent works were undertaken in a more abstract and systematic way [3; 6; 17]. Surprisingly, when $p \in [1, \infty) \setminus \{2\}$, the research on L^p -operator algebra has many wonderful results for rigidity problems [6; 7; 20]. The reader is referred to [15] for more historical comments and recent developments in L^p -operator algebras.

Nuclearity is an important property for C^* -algebras. This property was introduced by Takesaki [40]. A C^* -algebra A is called *nuclear* if for any C^* -algebra B there is a unique norm on the algebraic tensor product $A \otimes B$. By the remarkable work of Lance [28], Choi and Effros [5] and Kirchberg [26], the nuclearity is equivalent to *completely positive approximation property*, that is, there exist nets of contractive completely positive maps $\varphi_\alpha : A \rightarrow M_{n(\alpha)}$ and $\psi_\alpha : M_{n(\alpha)} \rightarrow A$ such that

$$\|\psi_\alpha \circ \varphi_\alpha(a) - a\| \rightarrow 0$$

for all $a \in A$. Also it is well known that the nuclearity is equivalent to the amenability for C^* -algebras [8; 21], which was originally introduced by B. E. Johnson [25] for Banach algebras.

The semidiscreteness of von Neumann algebras is close related to nuclearity of C^* -algebras. A von Neumann algebra M is *semidiscrete* if there exist nets of weak* continuous contractive completely positive maps $\varphi_\alpha : M \rightarrow M_{n(\alpha)}$ and $\psi_\alpha : M_{n(\alpha)} \rightarrow M$ such that

$$\langle \psi_\alpha \circ \varphi_\alpha(a) - a, f \rangle \rightarrow 0$$

for all $a \in M$ and $f \in M_*$, where M_* is the predual of M . The following theorem is a classical result concerning the nuclear reduced group C^* -algebras and semidiscrete group von Neumann algebras.

Theorem 1.1 [4, Theorem 2.6.8]. *Let G be a discrete group. The following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) G is amenable.

- (ii) *The reduced group C^* -algebra $C_\lambda^*(G)$ is nuclear.*
- (iii) *The group von Neumann algebra $L(G)$ is semidiscrete.*

In [1, Proposition 5.1(a)], G. An, J.-J. Lee and Z.-J. Ruan studied p -nuclearity of reduced group L^p -operator algebra $F_\lambda^p(G)$ and p -semidiscreteness of p -pseudo-measure algebra $PM_p(G)$. They proved the following proposition.

Proposition 1.2 [1, Proposition 5.1]. *Let $p > 1$ and let G be a discrete amenable group.*

- (i) *The reduced group L^p -operator algebra $F_\lambda^p(G)$ is p -nuclear.*
- (ii) *The p -pseudomeasure algebra $PM_p(G)$ is p -semidiscrete.*

Since $F_\lambda^1(G)$ is always 1-nuclear for all discrete group G (see [1, Theorem 6.4]), we only consider the following problem for $p \in (1, \infty)$.

Problem 1.3 [35, Problem 10.4]. *Let $p \in (1, \infty)$. If G is a discrete group and $F_\lambda^p(G)$ is p -nuclear, does it follow that G is amenable?*

In this paper, we solve N. C. Phillips' problem by proving the following theorem.

Theorem 1.4. *Let $p \in (1, \infty)$ and let G be a discrete group. The following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) *G is amenable.*
- (ii) *$F_\lambda^p(G)$ is p -nuclear.*
- (iii) *$PM_p(G)$ is p -semidiscrete.*
- (iv) *There exists an isomorphism $\Phi : F_\lambda^p(G) \overset{\vee}{\otimes} F_\lambda^p(G) \rightarrow F_\lambda^p(G) \overset{\wedge}{\otimes} F_\lambda^p(G)$, where $\overset{\vee}{\otimes}$ and $\overset{\wedge}{\otimes}$ are the p -operator space injective and projective tensor products, respectively.*
- (v) *The canonical linear map $h : F_\lambda^p(G) \otimes F_\lambda^p(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(l^p(G))$ given by*

$$h(\lambda_p(s) \otimes \lambda_p(t)) = \lambda_p(s)\rho_p(t)$$

is continuous with respect to the p -operator space injective tensor norm, where \otimes is the algebraic tensor product.

- (vi) *For any $f \in C_c(G)$, we have $\|\lambda_p(f)\| \geq |\sum_{t \in G} f(t)|$.*
- (vii) *For any finite subset $E \subset G$, we have $|E| = \|\sum_{t \in E} \lambda_p(t)\|$.*

Remark 1.5. Condition (vi) implies that the trivial representation 1_G extends to a representation of $F_\lambda^p(G)$. This is also equivalent to the amenability of G (see [13, Theorem 5.2]).

In the reduced group C^* -algebra case, one way to prove (ii) \Rightarrow (i) of [Theorem 1.1](#) is based on the Arveson's extension theorem (see [[4](#), Theorem 2.6.8]). In the proof of (iii) \Rightarrow (i) of [Theorem 1.1](#), Arveson's extension theorem is also applied to construct unital completely positive map from $\mathcal{B}(l^2(G))$ to $L(G)$ that restricts to the identity on $L(G)$ (i.e., semidiscreteness implies injectivity). Arveson's extension theorem states that every contractive completely positive map $\varphi : B \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(H)$ can be extended to a contractive completely positive map $\bar{\varphi} : A \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(H)$, where A is a C^* -algebra, B is an operator subsystem of A , and H is a complex Hilbert space. However, J.-J. Lee gave an example that the Arveson–Wittstock–Hahn–Banach theorem does not hold for p -operator space [[30](#)], that is, there are p -operator spaces $V \subset W$, an SQ_p -space E , and a p -completely contractive map $\varphi : V \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(E)$ such that φ does not extend to a p -completely contractive map on W . The lack of a valid Arveson–Wittstock–Hahn–Banach theorem for p -operator spaces forces us to adopt alternative approaches. Our proof of [Theorem 1.4](#) is inspired by the method of C. Anantharaman-Delaroche (see [[2](#), Proposition 3.5]). Her proof is based on the functorial property [[2](#), Proposition 2.6] of spatial and maximal tensor products and weak containment of unitary representations [[2](#), Proposition 3.5]. Our proof relies on

- the functorial properties of p -operator spaces projective and injective tensor products (see [Lemma 2.4](#), [2.5](#) and the proof of (ii) \Rightarrow (iv), (iii) \Rightarrow (v) in [Theorem 1.4](#));
- the uniform convexity of $l^p(G)$ that is motivated by G. Pisier (see [[38](#), Theorem 3.30] and the proof of (vii) \Rightarrow (i)).

The paper is organized as follows. In [Section 2](#), we make some preparations for the proof of [Theorem 1.4](#). In [Section 3](#), we give a proof of [Theorem 1.4](#).

2. Preliminaries

In this section, we recall some notation, definitions and lemmas for the proof of [Theorem 1.4](#).

2.1. Reduced group L^p -operator algebras and p -pseudomeasure algebras. Let $p \in (1, \infty)$. For a discrete group G , we let $\lambda_p : G \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(l^p(G))$ denote the *left regular representation*, that is $\lambda_p(s)(\delta_t) = \delta_{st}$ for all $s, t \in G$, where $\{\delta_t\}_{t \in G}$ is the canonical basis of $l^p(G)$.

Definition 2.1. The reduced group L^p -operator algebra of G , denoted $F_\lambda^p(G)$, is the completion of $C_c(G)$ with respect to the norm $\|\lambda_p(f)\|$.

There are many equivalent definitions for amenable groups. We will use the following definition in the proof of the [Theorem 1.4](#).

Definition 2.2 [9, Definition 11.2.3]. Let $p \in [1, \infty)$ and let G be a discrete group. The group G is amenable if there exists a net $f_\alpha \in l^p(G)$ such that $f_\alpha \geq 0$, $\|f_\alpha\|_p = 1$ and $\|\lambda_p(s)f_\alpha - f_\alpha\|_p \rightarrow 0$ for all $s \in G$.

For $p \in (1, \infty)$, and we denote by p' its conjugate exponent, which satisfies $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p'} = 1$. Let $\mathcal{N}(l^p(G)) = l^{p'}(G) \widehat{\otimes} l^p(G)$ denote the space of nuclear operators on $l^p(G)$, where $\widehat{\otimes}$ is the projective tensor product. Then $\mathcal{B}(l^p(G))$ is the dual space of $\mathcal{N}(l^p(G))$ by way of dual pairing $\langle T, \xi \otimes \eta \rangle = \langle \xi, T\eta \rangle$ where $\xi \in l^{p'}(G)$ and $\eta \in l^p(G)$. We say that a net (T_α) in $\mathcal{B}(l^p(G))$ converges weak* to an operator T in $\mathcal{B}(l^p(G))$ if $\langle T_\alpha, f \rangle \rightarrow \langle T, f \rangle$ for all $f \in l^{p'}(G) \widehat{\otimes} l^p(G)$.

Definition 2.3. The p -pseudomeasure algebra of G , denoted $PM_p(G)$, is the weak* closure of $F_\lambda^p(G)$ in $\mathcal{B}(l^p(G))$.

When $p = 2$, we have $PM_2(G) = L(G)$, where $L(G)$ is the group von Neumann algebra of G .

The p -pseudomeasure algebra has a predual $A_p(G)$, that is, $PM_p(G) = A_p(G)'$ [1]. The algebra $A_p(G)$ is called the Figà-Talamanca–Herz algebra and will be introduced next. Let $\Lambda_p : l^{p'}(G) \widehat{\otimes} l^p(G) \rightarrow C_0(G)$ be given by

$$\Lambda_p(\xi \otimes \eta)(s) = \langle \xi, \lambda_p(s)\eta \rangle$$

for all $s \in G, \eta \in l^p(G), \xi \in l^{p'}(G)$. Since $C_c(G)$ is dense in $l^p(G)$ and $l^{p'}(G)$, it follows that Λ_p maps into $C_0(G)$. Then $A_p(G)$ is defined to be the *coimage* of Λ_p , i.e., the space of $f \in C_0(G)$ for which there are $(\xi_n) \subset l^{p'}(G)$ and $(\eta_n) \subset l^p(G)$ such that

$$f(s) = \sum_{n=1}^\infty \xi_n * \check{\eta}_n(s) = \sum_{n=1}^\infty \langle \xi_n, \lambda_p(s)\eta_n \rangle$$

with norm

$$\|f\|_{A_p(G)} = \inf \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^\infty \|\xi_n\| \|\eta_n\| : f = \sum_{n=1}^\infty \xi_n * \check{\eta}_n \right\} < \infty,$$

where $\check{\eta}_n(s) = \eta_n(s^{-1})$ and $\xi_n * \check{\eta}_n(s) = \sum_{t \in G} \xi_n(t)\check{\eta}_n(t^{-1}s)$. It follows from [22] that $A_p(G)$ is a commutative Banach algebra with pointwise multiplication.

It follows from the definition that $A_p(G)$ can be identified with the quotient of nuclear space $\mathcal{N}(l^p(G))$. In fact, we have $A_p(G) = \mathcal{N}(l^p(G))/PM_p(G)_\perp$, where $PM_p(G)_\perp = \ker \Lambda_p$ and $PM_p(G)_\perp$ is called the pre-annihilator of $PM_p(G)$ in $\mathcal{N}(l^p(G))$. Therefore we have the isometric isomorphism $PM_p(G) = A_p(G)'$.

2.2. p -operator spaces. The notion of p -operator spaces is closely related to that of L^p -operator algebras. Let $p \in (1, \infty)$. For each positive integer n , let $l_n^p = L^p(\{1, 2, \dots, n\}, \nu)$, where ν is the counting measure on $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. We denote $M_n^p = \mathcal{B}(l_n^p)$. Let m be a positive integer, and we denote $M_{n,m}^p = \mathcal{B}(l_m^p, l_n^p)$. A p -operator space is defined to be a Banach space together with a matrix norm,

i.e., a norm $\|\cdot\|_n$ on each matrix space $M_n(V)$, which satisfies the following two conditions:

- (i) $\mathcal{D}_\infty : \|x \oplus y\|_{n+m} = \max\{\|x\|_n, \|y\|_m\}$ for $x \in M_n(V)$ and $y \in M_m(V)$.
- (ii) $\mathcal{M}_p : \|\alpha x \beta\|_n \leq \|\alpha\| \|x\|_n \|\beta\|$ for $x \in M_n(V)$ and $\alpha, \beta \in M_n^p$.

Let V and W be p -operator spaces. We say that a linear map $\varphi : V \rightarrow W$ is p -completely bounded if

$$\|\varphi\|_{pcb} = \sup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}} \{\|\varphi_n\|\} < \infty,$$

where $\varphi_n : [x_{ij}] \in M_n(V) \rightarrow [\varphi(x_{ij})] \in M_n(W)$ is the induced map from $M_n(V)$ to $M_n(W)$. We say that φ is a p -complete contraction (respectively, a p -complete isometry) if $\|\varphi\|_{pcb} \leq 1$ (respectively, φ_n is an isometry for each $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$).

Let E be an L^p -space and let n be a positive integer. Then

$$E^n = l^p(\{1, 2, \dots, n\}, E)$$

with the norm $\|[x_i]\| = (\sum_{i=1}^n \|x_i\|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}}$ is again an L^p -space. We can obtain a norm $\|\cdot\|_n$ on the matrix space $M_n(\mathcal{B}(E))$ by the canonical identification $M_n(\mathcal{B}(E)) \cong \mathcal{B}(E^n)$. Then it follows from [27] that $\mathcal{B}(E)$ is a p -operator space. On the other hand, Le Merdy proves that every p -operator space is p -completely isometrically isomorphic to a norm-closed subspace of $\mathcal{B}(E)$ for some $E \in SQ_p$ (see [29, Theorem 4.1]), where SQ_p is the collection of subspaces of quotients of L^p -spaces. The reader is referred to [1; 10; 30] for more research on p -operator spaces.

The p -operator space projective tensor norm $\|\cdot\|_{\wedge_p, n}$ on $M_n(V \otimes W)$ is defined by

$$\|u\|_{\wedge_p, n} = \inf\{\|\alpha\| \|v\| \|w\| \|\beta\| : u = \alpha(v \otimes w)\beta$$

$$\text{for } \alpha \in M_{n,kl}^p, v \in M_k(V), w \in M_l(W) \text{ and } \beta \in M_{kl,n}^p\}.$$

We let $V \overset{\wedge}{\otimes} W$ denote the completion of $V \otimes W$ with respect to this matrix norm, and call $V \overset{\wedge}{\otimes} W$ the p -operator space projective tensor product of V and W .

The following lemma is a functorial property of the p -operator space projective tensor product.

Lemma 2.4 [1, p. 938]. *Let V_1, V_2, W_1 and W_2 be p -operator spaces. If*

$$u_i : V_i \rightarrow W_i, \quad i = 1, 2,$$

are p -complete contractions, then the corresponding mapping

$$u_1 \otimes u_2 : V_1 \otimes V_2 \rightarrow W_1 \otimes W_2$$

extends to a p -complete contraction

$$u_1 \overset{\wedge}{\otimes} u_2 : V_1 \overset{\wedge}{\otimes} V_2 \rightarrow W_1 \overset{\wedge}{\otimes} W_2.$$

We let $\mathcal{CB}_p(V, W)$ denote the space of p -completely bounded maps from V to W . It follows from Le Merdy's characterization theorem that $\mathcal{CB}_p(V, W)$ is a p -operator space with the matrix norm given by

$$M_n(\mathcal{CB}_p(V, W)) = \mathcal{CB}_p(V, M_n(W)).$$

In particular, the dual space $V' = \mathcal{CB}_p(V, \mathbb{C})$ has a natural p -operator space structure given by

$$M_n(V') = \mathcal{CB}_p(V, M_n^p).$$

Let V and W be p -operator spaces. There exists an injective embedding

$$\theta : x \otimes y \in V \otimes W \hookrightarrow \theta(x \otimes y) \in \mathcal{CB}_p(V', W)$$

given by $\theta(x \otimes y)(f) = f(x)y$ for $f \in V'$. The completion $V \overset{\vee}{\otimes} W$ of $V \otimes W$ in $\mathcal{CB}_p(V', W)$ is a p -operator subspace of $\mathcal{CB}_p(V', W)$. We call $V \overset{\vee}{\otimes} W$ the p -operator space injective tensor product of V and W . Let $M_m(V')_1$ and $M_k(W')_1$ denote the closed unit ball of $M_m(V')$ and $M_k(W')$, respectively. It follows from [1] that for each $u \in M_n(V \otimes W)$, the p -operator space injective tensor norm $\|u\|_{\vee_p, n}$ can be expressed by

$$\|u\|_{\vee_p, n} = \sup\{\|(\varphi \otimes \psi)_n(u)\| : \varphi \in M_m(V')_1, \psi \in M_k(W')_1, m, k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}\}.$$

The following lemma is a functorial property of the p -operator space injective tensor product.

Lemma 2.5 [1, p. 942]. *Let V_1, V_2, W_1 and W_2 be p -operator spaces. If*

$$u_i : V_i \rightarrow W_i, \quad i = 1, 2,$$

are p -complete contractions, then the corresponding mapping

$$u_1 \otimes u_2 : V_1 \otimes V_2 \rightarrow W_1 \otimes W_2$$

extends to a p -complete contraction

$$u_1 \overset{\vee}{\otimes} u_2 : V_1 \overset{\vee}{\otimes} V_2 \rightarrow W_1 \overset{\vee}{\otimes} W_2.$$

2.3. Spatial L^p -operator tensor products and p -completely bounded maps of L^p -operator algebras. Let $p \geq 1$. Let (X, μ) and (Y, ν) be two measure spaces, there is an L^p -tensor product $L^p(X, \mu) \otimes_p L^p(Y, \nu)$ which can be canonical identified with $L^p(X \times Y, \mu \times \nu)$ via $\xi \otimes \eta(x, y) = \xi(x)\eta(y)$ for all $\xi \in L^p(X, \mu)$ and $\eta \in L^p(Y, \nu)$. If $a \in \mathcal{B}(L^p(X, \mu))$ and $b \in \mathcal{B}(L^p(Y, \nu))$, then there is a corresponding tensor product operator $a \otimes b \in \mathcal{B}(L^p(X \times Y, \mu \times \nu))$. Let $A \subset \mathcal{B}(L^p(X, \mu))$ and $B \subset \mathcal{B}(L^p(Y, \nu))$ be two norm-closed subalgebras. Define an algebra

$$A \otimes_p B \subset \mathcal{B}(L^p(X \times Y, \mu \times \nu))$$

to be the closed linear span of all $a \in A$ and $b \in B$. Then $A \otimes_p B$ is an L^p -operator algebra, and it is called the spatial L^p -operator tensor product of A and B .

Remark 2.6. Let $A \subset \mathcal{B}(L^p(X, \mu))$ and $B \subset \mathcal{B}(L^p(Y, \nu))$ be L^p -operator algebras. Then it follows from [1, Theorem 3.3] that $A \overset{p}{\otimes} B$ is p -completely isometric to $A \otimes_p B$.

Given a norm-closed subalgebra A of $\mathcal{B}(L^p(X, \mu))$, the spatial tensor product $M_n^p \otimes_p A$ is the L^p -matrix algebra. Clearly, each element of $M_n^p \otimes_p A$ is of form $[a_{i,j}]_{1 \leq i,j \leq n}$ with $a_{i,j} \in A$, which is also written as $\sum_{i,j=1}^n e_{i,j} \otimes a_{i,j}$, where $\{e_{i,j}\}_{1 \leq i,j \leq n}$ are the canonical matrix units of M_n^p .

Definition 2.7. Let A be a closed subalgebra of $\mathcal{B}(L^p(X, \mu))$, B be a closed subalgebra of $\mathcal{B}(L^p(Y, \nu))$ and φ be a linear map $\varphi : A \rightarrow B$. We denote by φ_n the map from $M_n^p \otimes_p A$ to $M_n^p \otimes_p B$ defined by

$$\varphi_n \left(\sum_{i,j=1}^n e_{i,j} \otimes a_{i,j} \right) = \sum_{i,j=1}^n e_{i,j} \otimes \varphi(a_{i,j})$$

for $\sum_{i,j=1}^n e_{i,j} \otimes a_{i,j} \in M_n^p \otimes_p A$. We denote

$$\|\varphi\|_{pcb} = \sup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}} \|\varphi_n\|.$$

We say that φ is p -completely bounded if $\|\varphi\|_{pcb} \leq C$ for some positive constant C , say that φ is p -completely contractive if $\|\varphi\|_{pcb} \leq 1$, and say that φ is p -completely isometric if φ_n is isometric for all positive integer n .

2.4. p -nuclearity and p -semidiscreteness. We now define p -nuclearity.

Definition 2.8 [1, Proposition 5.1(a)]. Let (X, \mathcal{B}, μ) be a measure space, and let $A \subset \mathcal{B}(L^p(X, \mu))$ be a norm-closed subalgebra. We say that A is p -nuclear if there exist nets of p -completely contractive maps $\varphi_\alpha : A \rightarrow M_{n(\alpha)}^p$ and $\psi_\alpha : M_{n(\alpha)}^p \rightarrow A$ such that

$$\|\psi_\alpha \circ \varphi_\alpha(a) - a\| \rightarrow 0$$

for all $a \in A$.

Definition 2.9. The p -pseudomeasure algebra $PM_p(G)$ is p -semidiscrete if there exist nets of weak* continuous p -completely contractive maps $\varphi_\alpha : PM_p(G) \rightarrow M_{n(\alpha)}^p$ and $\psi_\alpha : M_{n(\alpha)}^p \rightarrow PM_p(G)$ such that

$$\langle \psi_\alpha \circ \varphi_\alpha(a) - a, f \rangle \rightarrow 0$$

for all $a \in PM_p(G)$ and $f \in A_p(G)$.

When $p = 2$ and A is a C^* -algebra, R. R. Smith proved that p -nuclearity is equivalent to nuclearity (see [39, Theorem 1.1]).

Remark 2.10. The p -nuclearity is not equivalent to the amenability of L^p -operator algebras. The reader is referred to [43, Remark 1.4(iii)] for some examples.

Example 2.11 [1; 43]. Let $p \in [1, \infty)$. The following are examples of p -nuclear L^p -operator algebras:

- (i) $C(X)$, where X is a compact metric space;
- (ii) M_n^p and $\overline{\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} M_n^p}$;
- (iii) the reduced group L^p -operator algebra $F_{\lambda}^p(G)$, where G is a discrete amenable group;
- (iv) the L^p -Cuntz algebra \mathcal{O}_d^p ;
- (v) the rotation L^p -operator algebras $F^p(\mathbb{Z}, F^p(\mathbb{Z}), \beta_{\theta})$ and $F^p(\mathbb{Z}, S^1, \alpha_{\theta})$.

3. Proof of Theorem 1.4

We show (i) \Rightarrow (ii) \Rightarrow (iv) \Rightarrow (v) \Rightarrow (vi) \Rightarrow (vii) \Rightarrow (i), then (i) \Rightarrow (iii) \Rightarrow (v); this will prove Theorem 1.4.

(i) \Rightarrow (ii): This follows from [1, Proposition 5.1].

(ii) \Rightarrow (iv): Let $\varphi_{\alpha} : F_{\lambda}^p(G) \rightarrow M_{n(\alpha)}^p$ and $\psi_{\alpha} : M_{n(\alpha)}^p \rightarrow F_{\lambda}^p(G)$ be the nets of p -completely contractive maps such that

$$\|\psi_{\alpha} \circ \varphi_{\alpha}(a) - a\| \rightarrow 0$$

for all $a \in F_{\lambda}^p(G)$. Since $M_{n(\alpha)}^p$ has p -OAP, it follows from [1, Theorem 3.12] that there exists an isomorphism Ψ from $M_{n(\alpha)}^p \overset{\vee}{\otimes} F_{\lambda}^p(G)$ to $M_{n(\alpha)}^p \overset{\wedge}{\otimes} F_{\lambda}^p(G)$. By Lemmas 2.4 and 2.5, we have

$$\begin{CD} F_{\lambda}^p(G) \overset{\vee}{\otimes} F_{\lambda}^p(G) @>{\varphi_{\alpha} \overset{\vee}{\otimes} \text{Id}_{F_{\lambda}^p(G)}}>> M_{n(\alpha)}^p \overset{\vee}{\otimes} F_{\lambda}^p(G) \\ @. @VV\Psi V \\ F_{\lambda}^p(G) \overset{\wedge}{\otimes} F_{\lambda}^p(G) @<<{\psi_{\alpha} \overset{\wedge}{\otimes} \text{Id}_{F_{\lambda}^p(G)}}<< M_{n(\alpha)}^p \overset{\wedge}{\otimes} F_{\lambda}^p(G) \end{CD}$$

Define $\Phi_{\alpha} = (\psi_{\alpha} \overset{\wedge}{\otimes} \text{Id}_{F_{\lambda}^p(G)}) \circ \Psi \circ (\varphi_{\alpha} \overset{\vee}{\otimes} \text{Id}_{F_{\lambda}^p(G)})$. Then Φ_{α} is a bounded linear map from $F_{\lambda}^p(G) \overset{\vee}{\otimes} F_{\lambda}^p(G)$ to $F_{\lambda}^p(G) \overset{\wedge}{\otimes} F_{\lambda}^p(G)$. We denote by $\text{Id}_{F_{\lambda}^p(G)} \otimes \text{Id}_{F_{\lambda}^p(G)} : F_{\lambda}^p(G) \otimes F_{\lambda}^p(G) \rightarrow F_{\lambda}^p(G) \otimes F_{\lambda}^p(G)$ the algebraic tensor product map. Since $\|\Phi_{\alpha}(x) - \text{Id}_{F_{\lambda}^p(G)} \otimes \text{Id}_{F_{\lambda}^p(G)}(x)\| \rightarrow 0$ for all $x \in F_{\lambda}^p(G) \otimes F_{\lambda}^p(G)$, it follows that $\text{Id}_{F_{\lambda}^p(G)} \otimes \text{Id}_{F_{\lambda}^p(G)}$ is a bounded linear map from the p -operator space injective tensor norm on $F_{\lambda}^p(G) \otimes F_{\lambda}^p(G)$ to the p -operator space projective tensor norm on $F_{\lambda}^p(G) \otimes F_{\lambda}^p(G)$. Hence it extends to a bounded linear map $\Phi : F_{\lambda}^p(G) \overset{\vee}{\otimes} F_{\lambda}^p(G) \rightarrow F_{\lambda}^p(G) \overset{\wedge}{\otimes} F_{\lambda}^p(G)$. Since $\|\cdot\|_{\wedge, p}$ is the largest p -operator space norm [10, Proposition 4.8], it follows that Φ is an isomorphism. This proves (iv).

(iv) \Rightarrow (v): Recall that $\rho_p : G \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(l^p(G))$ is the right regular representation. We denote by $\lambda_p \cdot \rho_p$ the biregular representation $(s, t) \rightarrow \lambda_p(s)\rho_p(t)$ of $G \times G$ on $l^p(G)$. Since $\|\cdot\|_{\wedge_p}$ is the largest p -operator space norm [10, Proposition 4.8], it follows that the canonical linear map $h : F_\lambda^p(G) \otimes F_\lambda^p(G) \rightarrow B(l^p(G))$ defined by

$$h(\lambda_p(s) \otimes \lambda_p(t)) = \lambda_p(s)\rho_p(t)$$

has a continuous extension on $F_\lambda^p(G) \hat{\otimes} F_\lambda^p(G)$ and it is denoted by $(\lambda_p \cdot \rho_p)_\lambda$. By (iv), there exists a bounded linear map from $F_\lambda^p(G) \hat{\otimes} F_\lambda^p(G)$ to $B(l^p(G))$, which is denoted by $(\widetilde{\lambda_p \cdot \rho_p})_\lambda$. This proves (v).

(v) \Rightarrow (vi): We recall that σ_p is the conjugacy representation $s \mapsto \lambda_p(s)\rho_p(s)$ of G on $l^p(G)$. By (iv), the following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F^p(G) & \xrightarrow{\sigma_p} & B(l^p(G)) \\ \downarrow \lambda_p & & \uparrow (\widetilde{\lambda_p \cdot \rho_p})_\lambda \\ F_\lambda^p(G) & \xrightarrow{\iota} & F_\lambda^p(G) \hat{\otimes} F_\lambda^p(G). \end{array}$$

Here $\iota(s) = \lambda_p(s) \otimes \lambda_p(s)$ for each $s \in G$. Let $\theta = (\widetilde{\lambda_p \cdot \rho_p})_\lambda \circ \iota$. Then $\sigma_p = \theta \circ \lambda_p$.

Claim 1: $\|\theta\| \leq 1$. To see this, recall that $\rho_p(s)\delta_t = \delta_{ts^{-1}}$ for all $s \in G$. Then

$$\|\lambda_p(f)\| = \|\rho_p(f)\|$$

for all $f \in C_c(G)$. In fact, let $V : l^p(G) \rightarrow l^p(G)$ be the invertible isometry given by $V\delta_t = \delta_{t^{-1}}$. Then one can check that $V\lambda_p(f)V^{-1}\delta_t = \rho_p(f)\delta_t$. This shows that $\|\lambda_p(f)\| = \|\rho_p(f)\|$.

For any $f \in C_c(G)$ with $\|\lambda_p(f)\| \leq 1$ and $\xi \in l^p(G)$, we have

$$\|\theta(f)\xi\| = \|\lambda_p(f)\rho_p(f)\xi\| \leq \|\lambda_p(f)\| \cdot \|\rho_p(f)\| \cdot \|\xi\| = \|\lambda_p(f)\|^2 \cdot \|\xi\|.$$

Hence $\|\theta(f)\| \leq \|\lambda_p(f)\|^2 \leq 1$, and therefore $\|\theta\| \leq 1$. This proves Claim 1.

Now we will prove (vi). Since $\sigma_p = \theta \circ \lambda_p$ and $\|\theta\| \leq 1$, it follows that

$$\|\sigma_p(f)\| = \|\theta \circ \lambda_p(f)\| \leq \|\lambda_p(f)\|.$$

It is easy to check that $\sigma_p(s)\delta_e = \delta_e$. Hence

$$\|\lambda_p(f)\| \geq \|\sigma_p(f)\| \geq \|\sigma_p(f)\delta_e\| = \left| \sum_{t \in G} f(t) \right|.$$

This proves (vi).

(vi) \Rightarrow (vii): For any finite subset $E \subset G$, by (vi), we have $\|\sum_{t \in E} \lambda_p(t)\| \geq |E|$. Obviously, $\|\sum_{t \in E} \lambda_p(t)\| \leq |E|$. This proves (vii).

(vii) \Rightarrow (i): For any finite subset $E \subset G$, we can assume that $e \in E$, where e is the unit of G . By (v), we have $\|\sum_{t \in E} \lambda_p(t)/|E|\| = 1$. Then there exists a sequence

(ξ_i) in $l^p(G)$ such that $\xi_i \geq 0$, $\|\xi_i\|_p = 1$ and $\|\sum_{t \in E} \lambda_p(t)\xi_i/|E|\| \rightarrow 1$. Since $l^p(G)$ is a uniformly convex Banach space for $p \in (1, \infty)$, it follows from [14] that $l^p(G)$ is a full k -convex Banach space for all positive integer $k \geq 2$. Then

$$\|\lambda_p(s)\xi_i - \lambda_p(t)\xi_i\|_p \rightarrow 0$$

for all $s, t \in E$. Since $e \in E$, it follows that

$$\|\lambda_p(s)\xi_i - \xi_i\|_p \rightarrow 0$$

for all $s \in E$. Then there exists a net (η_α) in $l^p(G)$ such that $\eta_\alpha \geq 0$, $\|\eta_\alpha\|_p = 1$ and

$$\|\lambda_p(s)\eta_\alpha - \eta_\alpha\|_p \rightarrow 0$$

for all $s \in G$. By Definition 2.2, we have that G is amenable.

(i) \Rightarrow (iii): It follows from [1, Proposition 5.1].

(iii) \Rightarrow (v): Let $\varphi_\alpha : PM_p(G) \rightarrow M_{n(\alpha)}^p$ and $\psi_\alpha : M_{n(\alpha)}^p \rightarrow PM_p(G)$ be the nets of weak* continuous p -completely contractive maps such that

$$\langle \psi_\alpha \circ \varphi_\alpha(a) - a, f \rangle \rightarrow 0$$

for all $a \in PM_p(G)$ and $f \in A_p(G)$.

Since $M_{n(\alpha)}^p$ has p -OAP, it follows from [1, Theorem 3.12] that there exists an isomorphism Ψ from $M_{n(\alpha)}^p \overset{\vee}{\otimes} PM_p(G)$ to $M_{n(\alpha)}^p \overset{\wedge}{\otimes} PM_p(G)$. By Lemmas 2.4 and 2.5, we have

$$\begin{array}{ccc} PM_p(G) \overset{\vee}{\otimes} PM_p(G) & \xrightarrow{\varphi_\alpha \overset{\vee}{\otimes} \text{Id}_{PM_p(G)}} & M_{n(\alpha)}^p \overset{\vee}{\otimes} PM_p(G) \\ & & \downarrow \Psi \\ PM_p(G) \overset{\wedge}{\otimes} PM_p(G) & \xleftarrow{\psi_\alpha \overset{\wedge}{\otimes} \text{Id}_{PM_p(G)}} & M_{n(\alpha)}^p \overset{\wedge}{\otimes} PM_p(G) \end{array}$$

Since $\|\cdot\|_{\wedge_p}$ is the largest p -operator space norm [10, Proposition 4.8], it follows that the canonical linear map

$$h : PM_p(G) \otimes PM_p(G) \rightarrow B(l^p(G))$$

defined by

$$h(\lambda_p(s) \otimes \lambda_p(t)) = \lambda_p(s)\rho_p(t)$$

has a continuous extension on $PM_p(G) \overset{\wedge}{\otimes} PM_p(G)$ and it is denoted by $(\lambda_p \cdot \rho_p)_\lambda$.

Then we can define a net of bounded linear maps

$$\Phi_\alpha : PM_p(G) \overset{\vee}{\otimes} PM_p(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(l^p(G))$$

by $\Phi_\alpha = ((\lambda_p \cdot \rho_p)_\lambda) \circ (\psi_\alpha \overset{\wedge}{\otimes} \text{Id}_{PM_p(G)}) \circ \Psi \circ (\varphi_\alpha \overset{\vee}{\otimes} \text{Id}_{PM_p(G)})$. Since $\mathcal{B}(l^p(G)) = \mathcal{N}(l^p(G))'$, it follows from [4, Theorem 1.3.7] that there exists a point-weak* cluster

point Φ of the net (Φ_α) . Then we get a bounded linear map

$$\Phi : PM_p(G) \overset{\vee}{\otimes} PM_p(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(l^p(G)).$$

Claim 2: *The bounded linear map Φ extends the map $h : PM_p(G) \otimes PM_p(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(l^p(G))$ given by $h(\lambda_p(s) \otimes \lambda_p(t)) = \lambda_p(s)\rho_p(t)$.*

Indeed, since $PM_p(G)$ is p -semidiscrete, we have

$$\langle \psi_\alpha \circ \varphi_\alpha(\lambda_p(s)) - \lambda_p(s), f \rangle = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \langle \xi_n, (\psi_\alpha \circ \varphi_\alpha(\lambda_p(s)) - \lambda_p(s))(\eta_n) \rangle \rightarrow 0,$$

for all $f = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \xi_n * \check{\eta}_n \in A_p(G)$ and $s, t \in G$. Then, for any

$$g = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n \otimes y_n \in l^{p'}(G) \widehat{\otimes} l^p(G),$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle \Phi_\alpha(\lambda_p(s) \otimes \lambda_p(t)) - \lambda_p(s)\rho_p(t), g \rangle \\ &= \langle (\lambda_p \cdot \rho_p)_\lambda(\psi_\alpha \circ \varphi_\alpha(\lambda_p(s)) \otimes \lambda_p(t)) - \lambda_p(s)\rho_p(t), g \rangle \\ &= \langle \psi_\alpha \circ \varphi_\alpha(\lambda_p(s))\rho_p(t) - \lambda_p(s)\rho_p(t), g \rangle \\ &= \langle (\psi_\alpha \circ \varphi_\alpha(\lambda_p(s)) - \lambda_p(s))\rho_p(t), g \rangle \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \langle x_n, (\psi_\alpha \circ \varphi_\alpha(\lambda_p(s)) - \lambda_p(s))\rho_p(t)y_n \rangle \\ &\rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} &|\langle \Phi(\lambda_p(s) \otimes \lambda_p(t)) - \lambda_p(s)\rho_p(t), g \rangle| \\ &\leq |\langle \Phi(\lambda_p(s) \otimes \lambda_p(t)) - \Phi_\alpha(\lambda_p(s) \otimes \lambda_p(t)), g \rangle| \\ &\quad + |\langle \Phi_\alpha(\lambda_p(s) \otimes \lambda_p(t)) - \lambda_p(s)\rho_p(t), g \rangle| \\ &\rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\Phi(\lambda_p(s) \otimes \lambda_p(t)) = h(\lambda_p(s) \otimes \lambda_p(t))$, proving Claim 2. Then (v) follows easily. □

Acknowledgements

The author is supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (grant number 12201240) and Research Start-up Funding Program of Hangzhou Normal University (grant number 4235C50224204070).

References

[1] G. An, J.-J. Lee, and Z.-J. Ruan, “On p -approximation properties for p -operator spaces”, *J. Funct. Anal.* **259**:4 (2010), 933–974. [MR](#)

- [2] C. Anantharaman-Delaroche, “On tensor products of group C^* -algebras and related topics”, pp. 1–35 in *Limits of graphs in group theory and computer science*, EPFL Press, Lausanne, 2009. [MR](#)
- [3] D. P. Blecher and N. C. Phillips, “ L^p -operator algebras with approximate identities, I”, *Pacific J. Math.* **303**:2 (2019), 401–457. [MR](#)
- [4] N. P. Brown and N. Ozawa, *C^* -algebras and finite-dimensional approximations*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics **88**, Amer. Math. Soc., 2008. [MR](#)
- [5] M. D. Choi and E. G. Effros, “Nuclear C^* -algebras and the approximation property”, *Amer. J. Math.* **100**:1 (1978), 61–79. [MR](#)
- [6] Y. Choi, E. Gardella, and H. Thiel, “Rigidity results for L^p -operator algebras and applications”, *Adv. Math.* **452** (2024), art. id. 109747, 47 pp. [MR](#)
- [7] Y. C. Chung and K. Li, “Rigidity of ℓ^p Roe-type algebras”, *Bull. Lond. Math. Soc.* **50**:6 (2018), 1056–1070. [MR](#)
- [8] A. Connes, “On the cohomology of operator algebras”, *J. Functional Analysis* **28**:2 (1978), 248–253. [MR](#)
- [9] H. G. Dales, P. Aiena, J. Eschmeier, K. Laursen, and G. A. Willis, *Introduction to Banach algebras, operators, and harmonic analysis*, London Mathematical Society Student Texts **57**, Cambridge University Press, 2003. [MR](#)
- [10] M. Daws, “ p -operator spaces and Figà–Talamanca–Herz algebras”, *J. Operator Theory* **63**:1 (2010), 47–83. [MR](#)
- [11] M. Daws and N. Spronk, “On convoluters on L^p -spaces”, *Studia Math.* **245**:1 (2019), 15–31. [MR](#)
- [12] A. Derighetti, *Convolution operators on groups*, Lecture Notes of the Unione Matematica Italiana **11**, Springer, 2011. [MR](#)
- [13] E. M. Elkiær, “Symmetrized pseudofunction algebras from L^p -representations and amenability of locally compact groups”, *Expo. Math.* **43**:4 (2025), art. id. 125685, 20 pp. [MR](#)
- [14] K. Fan and I. Glicksberg, “Some geometric properties of the spheres in a normed linear space”, *Duke Math. J.* **25** (1958), 553–568. [MR](#)
- [15] E. Gardella, “A modern look at algebras of operators on L^p -spaces”, *Expo. Math.* **39**:3 (2021), 420–453. [MR](#)
- [16] E. Gardella and M. Lupini, “Representations of étale groupoids on L^p -spaces”, *Adv. Math.* **318** (2017), 233–278. [MR](#)
- [17] E. Gardella and H. Thiel, “Banach algebras generated by an invertible isometry of an L^p -space”, *J. Funct. Anal.* **269**:6 (2015), 1796–1839. [MR](#)
- [18] E. Gardella and H. Thiel, “Group algebras acting on L^p -spaces”, *J. Fourier Anal. Appl.* **21**:6 (2015), 1310–1343. [MR](#)
- [19] E. Gardella and H. Thiel, “Representations of p -convolution algebras on L^q -spaces”, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **371**:3 (2019), 2207–2236. [MR](#)
- [20] E. Gardella and H. Thiel, “Isomorphisms of algebras of convolution operators”, *Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér.* (4) **55**:5 (2022), 1433–1471. [MR](#)
- [21] U. Haagerup, “All nuclear C^* -algebras are amenable”, *Invent. Math.* **74**:2 (1983), 305–319. [MR](#)
- [22] C. Herz, “The theory of p -spaces with an application to convolution operators”, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **154** (1971), 69–82. [MR](#)
- [23] C. Herz, “Harmonic synthesis for subgroups”, *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)* **23**:3 (1973), 91–123. [MR](#)

- [24] C. Herz, “On the asymmetry of norms of convolution operators, I”, *J. Functional Analysis* **23**:1 (1976), 11–22. [MR](#)
- [25] B. E. Johnson, *Cohomology in Banach algebras*, Memoirs of the Amer. Math. Soc. **127**, Amer. Math. Soc., 1972. [MR](#)
- [26] E. Kirchberg, “ C^* -nuclearity implies CPAP”, *Math. Nachr.* **76** (1977), 203–212. [MR](#)
- [27] S. Kwapien, “On operators factorizable through L_p space”, *Bull. Soc. Math. France* **100** (1972), 215–225. [MR](#)
- [28] C. Lance, “On nuclear C^* -algebras”, *J. Functional Analysis* **12** (1973), 157–176. [MR](#)
- [29] C. Le Merdy, “Factorization of p -completely bounded multilinear maps”, *Pacific J. Math.* **172**:1 (1996), 187–213. [MR](#)
- [30] J. J. Lee, *On p -operator spaces and their applications*, Ph.D. thesis, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, 2010, <https://www.proquest.com/docview/863835462>. [MR](#)
- [31] N. C. Phillips, “Analogues of Cuntz algebras on L^p spaces”, preprint, 2012. [arXiv 1201.4196](#)
- [32] N. C. Phillips, “Crossed products of L^p operator algebras and the K-theory of Cuntz algebras on L^p spaces”, preprint, 2013. [arXiv 1309.6406](#)
- [33] N. C. Phillips, “Isomorphism, nonisomorphism, and amenability of L^p UHF algebras”, preprint, 2013. [arXiv 1309.3694v2](#)
- [34] N. C. Phillips, “Simplicity of UHF and Cuntz algebras on L^p spaces”, preprint, 2013. [arXiv 1309.0115](#)
- [35] N. C. Phillips, “Open problems related to operator algebras on L^p spaces”, preprint, 2014, <https://pdfs.semanticscholar.org/0823/5038ec45079e7721a59021a4492da2c2b1a3.pdf>.
- [36] N. C. Phillips, “Operator algebras on L^p spaces which “look like” C^* -algebras”, lecture notes, 2014, https://pages.uoregon.edu/ncp/Talks/20140527_GPOTS/LpOpAlgs_TalkSummary.pdf.
- [37] N. C. Phillips and M. G. Viola, “Classification of spatial L^p AF algebras”, *Internat. J. Math.* **31**:13 (2020), art. id. 2050088, 41 pp. [MR](#)
- [38] G. Pisier, *Tensor products of C^* -algebras and operator spaces: the Connes–Kirchberg problem*, London Mathematical Society Student Texts **96**, Cambridge University Press, 2020. [MR](#)
- [39] R. R. Smith, “Completely contractive factorizations of C^* -algebras”, *J. Funct. Anal.* **64**:3 (1985), 330–337. [MR](#)
- [40] M. Takesaki, “On the cross-norm of the direct product of C^* -algebras”, *Tohoku Math. J. (2)* **16** (1964), 111–122. [MR](#)
- [41] Q. Wang and Z. Wang, “Notes on the ℓ^p -Toeplitz algebra on $\ell^p(\mathbb{N})$ ”, *Israel J. Math.* **245**:1 (2021), 153–163. [MR](#)
- [42] Z. Wang and S. Zhu, “On the Takai duality for L^p operator crossed products”, *Math. Z.* **304**:4 (2023), art. id. 54, 23 pp. [MR](#)
- [43] Z. Wang and S. Zhu, “ p -nuclearity of L^p -operator crossed products”, *Israel J. Math.* (published online November 2025).

Received November 23, 2025. Revised February 25, 2026.

ZHEN WANG
 SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS
 HANGZHOU NORMAL UNIVERSITY
 HANGZHOU, ZHEJIANG
 CHINA
wangzhen@hznu.edu.cn

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

Founded in 1951 by E. F. Beckenbach (1906–1982) and F. Wolf (1904–1989)

msp.org/pjm

EDITORS

Don Blasius (Managing Editor)
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
blasius@math.ucla.edu

Matthias Aschenbrenner
Fakultät für Mathematik
Universität Wien
Vienna, Austria
matthias.aschenbrenner@univie.ac.at

Vyjayanthi Chari
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Riverside, CA 92521-0135
chari@math.ucr.edu

Atsushi Ichino
Department of Mathematics
Kyoto University
Kyoto 606-8502, Japan
atsushi.ichino@gmail.com

Kefeng Liu
School of Sciences
Chongqing University of Technology
Chongqing 400054, China
liu@math.ucla.edu

Sucharit Sarkar
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
sucharit@math.ucla.edu

Dimitri Shlyakhtenko
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
shlyakht@ipam.ucla.edu

Ruixiang Zhang
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Berkeley, CA 94720-3840
ruixiang@berkeley.edu

PRODUCTION

Silvio Levy, Scientific Editor, production@msp.org


See inside back cover or msp.org/pjm for submission instructions.

The subscription price for 2026 is US \$710/year for the electronic version, and \$965/year for print and electronic. Subscriptions, requests for back issues and changes of subscriber address should be sent to Mathematical Sciences Publishers, 2000 Allston Way # 59, Berkeley, CA 94701-4004, U.S.A. The Pacific Journal of Mathematics is indexed by [Mathematical Reviews](#), [Zentralblatt MATH](#), [PASCAL CNRS Index](#), [Referativnyi Zhurnal](#), [Current Mathematical Publications](#) and [Web of Knowledge \(Science Citation Index\)](#).

The Pacific Journal of Mathematics (ISSN 1945-5844 electronic, 0030-8730 printed) at Mathematical Sciences Publishers, 2000 Allston Way # 59, Berkeley, CA 94701-4004, is published twelve times a year. Periodical rate postage paid at Berkeley, CA 94704, and additional mailing offices. POSTMASTER: send address changes to Mathematical Sciences Publishers, 2000 Allston Way # 59, Berkeley, CA 94701-4004.

PJM peer review and production are managed by EditFLOW® from Mathematical Sciences Publishers.

PUBLISHED BY

 **mathematical sciences publishers**
nonprofit scientific publishing

<http://msp.org/>

© 2026 Mathematical Sciences Publishers

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

Volume 342 No. 2 June 2026

On multilinear maximal operators along homogeneous curves	207
LARS BECKER and BEN KRAUSE	
The plain sphere number of a link	217
RYAN BLAIR, ALEXANDRA KJUCHUKOVA and ELLA PFAFF	
On the test properties of the Frobenius endomorphism	235
OLGUR CELIKBAS, ARASH SADEGHI and YONGWEI YAO	
Symplectic automorphisms of a surface with genus two fibration and their action on CH_0	259
JIABIN DU and WENFEI LIU	
Non-braid-positive hyperbolic L -space knots	275
KEISUKE HIMENO	
The Rankin–Selberg integral on GSp_2 for square-free levels	299
SEIJI KUGA and MASAO TSUZUKI	
Sum of the squares of the p' -character degrees	351
NGUYEN N. HUNG, J. MIQUEL MARTÍNEZ and GABRIEL NAVARRO	
On the first eigenvalue of the Hodge Laplacian of submanifolds	381
CHRISTOS-RAENT ONTI	
Howe duality for the dual pair $SL_2(\mathbb{R}) \times F_{4,1}$: a ping pong of K -types	387
GORDAN SAVIN	
Liouville theorems and new gradient estimates for positive solutions to $\Delta_p u + au^q = 0$ on a complete manifold	395
YOUDE WANG and LIQIN ZHANG	
p -nuclearity of reduced group L^p -operator algebras	427
ZHEN WANG	
A higher-rank analog of the strong openness property	441
JINGCAO WU	