Pacific Journal of Mathematics

LOCALIZATION AND TOEPLITZ OPERATORS ON DOUBLING FOCK SPACES

CHUNXU XU AND HONG ZOU

Volume 344 No. 2 October 2026

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS
Vol. 344, No. 2, 2026
https://doi.org/10.2140/pjm.2026.344.449

LOCALIZATION AND TOEPLITZ OPERATORS ON DOUBLING FOCK SPACES

CHUNXU XU AND HONG ZOU

Let F^p_φ be the weighted Fock space over the complex plane, where φ is subharmonic and $\Delta\varphi\, dA$ is a doubling measure. Firstly we introduce the concept of weakly localized operators from F^p_φ to F^q_φ for $1 \le p, q < \infty$. Then we show that weakly localized operators form an algebra and characterize the compactness of weakly localized operators from one doubling Fock space to another. As applications, the boundedness and compactness of Toeplitz operators with BMO^s symbols from F^p_φ to F^q_φ for $1 < p \le q < \infty$ and $p = q = 1$, and with IMO^s symbols from F^p_φ to F^q_φ for $1 \le q < p < \infty$, are considered.

1. Introduction

Let $\mathbb{C}$ be the complex plane, and let dA be the Lebesgue area measure on $\mathbb{C}$. A Borel measure $\upsilon > 0$ on $\mathbb{C}$ is called a doubling measure if there is a constant $C > 0$ such that for $z \in \mathbb{C}$ and $r > 0$,

$$\upsilon(D(z, 2r)) \le C\upsilon(D(z, r)),$$

where $D(z, r) = \{w \in \mathbb{C} : |z - w| < r\}$ is the Euclidean disc with the center z and radius r. Let φ be a nonzero real subharmonic function on $\mathbb{C}$ with $\Delta\varphi\, dA$ a doubling measure, where Δ is the laplacian operator. For $z \in \mathbb{C}$, there exists a unique positive radius $\rho(z)$ such that $\upsilon\big(D(z, \rho(z))\big) = 1$. The function ρ^{-2} can be thought of as a regularized version corresponding to $\Delta\varphi$; see [Marco et al. 2003; Christ 1991] for details.

For $0 < p < \infty$, the space L^p_φ consists of all Lebesgue measurable functions f on $\mathbb{C}$ such that

$$\|f\|_{L^p_\varphi} := \left\{\int_{\mathbb{C}} |f(z)e^{-\varphi(z)}|^p \, dA(z)\right\}^{1/p} < \infty.$$

Xu is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (grant 12401154). Zou is the corresponding author.

MSC2020: primary 47B35, 47B47; secondary 30H20.

Keywords: doubling Fock space, Toeplitz operator, BMO^s, weakly localized operator, IMO^s.

© 2026 MSP (Mathematical Sciences Publishers). Distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0 (CC BY). Open Access made possible by subscribing institutions via Subscribe to Open.

Its norm $\|\cdot\|_{F^p_\varphi}$ is inherited from L^p_φ. Let $H(\mathbb{C})$ denote the family of all analytic functions on $\mathbb{C}$. The doubling Fock space F^p_φ is defined to be

$$F^p_\varphi = L^p_\varphi \cap H(\mathbb{C}).$$

The doubling Fock space F^∞_φ is the collection of all functions on $H(\mathbb{C})$ such that

$$\|f\|_{F^\infty_\varphi} = \sup_{z\in\mathbb{C}} |f(z)|e^{-\varphi(z)} < \infty.$$

For $0 < p < 1$, F^p_φ is an F-space under $d(f,g) = \|f-g\|_{F^p_\varphi}$. For $1 \le p < \infty$, F^p_φ is a Banach space. If $\varphi(z) = \frac{1}{2}\alpha|z|^2$ ($\alpha > 0$) then F^p_φ is the classical Fock space. For some suitable number $A > 0$ and integer $m > 0$, if $\varphi(z) = -m\ln(A+|z|^2) + |z|^2$, then F^2_φ is the Fock–Sobolev space first studied in [Cho and Zhu 2012]. For $\varphi(z) = |z|^m$, F^2_φ is the generalized Fock space; see [Schneider and Schneider 2009; 2011]. Notice that when $n = 1$, the weights ϕ defined as in [Hu and Virtanen 2022b; 2023] satisfy $0 < c \le \Delta\phi \le C$, which implies that $\Delta\phi\, dA$ is a doubling measure and $\rho \simeq \frac{1}{\Delta\phi} \simeq 1$.

It is well known that F^2_φ is a Hilbert space under the inner product

$$\langle f, g\rangle = \int_{\mathbb{C}} f(w)\overline{g(w)}e^{-2\varphi(w)}\, dA(w).$$

Let P be the orthogonal projection from L^2_φ onto F^2_φ. Then

$$P(g)(z) = \int_{\mathbb{C}} g(w)\overline{K_z(w)}e^{-2\varphi(w)}\, dA(w), \quad g \in L^2_\varphi,\ z \in \mathbb{C},$$

where $K_z(w) := K(w,z)$ is the reproducing kernel on F^2_φ. From [Oliver and Pascuas 2016, Corollary 3.7], we know that

$$\Gamma = \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{N} a_j K(\cdot, z_j) : N \in \mathbb{N}^+,\ a_j \in \mathbb{C},\ z_j \in \mathbb{C} \text{ for } 1 \le j \le N \right\}$$

is dense in F^p_φ ($1 \le p \le \infty$). Then the domain of P can be extended to L^p_φ with $1 \le p < \infty$. Let

$$\mathcal{S} = \{f \text{ is measurable on } \mathbb{C} : fgK_z \in L^1(\mathbb{C}, e^{-2\varphi}dA) \text{ for } g \in \Gamma, z \in \mathbb{C}\}.$$

Given $s \in \mathbb{R}$, we say that f belongs to the space BMO^s if $f \in \mathcal{S}$ and

$$\|f\|_{\mathrm{BMO}^s} := \sup_{z\in\mathbb{C}} \rho(z)^s\, \mathrm{MO}(f)(z) < \infty,$$

where

$$\mathrm{MO}(f)(z) = \int_{\mathbb{C}} |f(w) - \tilde{f}(z)|\, |k_z(w)|^2 e^{-2\varphi(w)}\, dA(w).$$

Here $k_z(\cdot) := K_z(\cdot)/\|K_z\|_{F^2_\varphi}$ and the Berezin transform $\tilde{f}$ of f is defined as

$$\tilde{f}(z) = \int_{\mathbb{C}} f(w)|k_z(w)|^2 e^{-2\varphi(w)}\, dA(w).$$

The space VMO^s consists of all $f \in \mathrm{BMO}^s$ such that

$$\lim_{|z|\to\infty} \rho(z)^s \mathrm{MO}(f)(z) = 0.$$

For short, we write $\mathrm{BMO} = \mathrm{BMO}^0$ and $\mathrm{VMO} = \mathrm{VMO}^0$. It should be noted that the BMO^s in this paper is identical in spelling to the BMO^p in [Hu and Virtanen 2022a, p. 106], but there is an important difference in definition. For $f \in \mathcal{S}$, the Toeplitz operator T_f on Γ can be defined by

$$T_f g(z) = \int_{\mathbb{C}} f(w)g(w)K(z,w)e^{-2\varphi(w)}\, dA(w), \quad g \in \Gamma,\ z \in \mathbb{C}.$$

Then for $f \in \mathcal{S}$, T_f is densely defined on Γ.

We are concerned with the localized operator from one doubling Fock space to another. As an application, we also consider the boundedness and the compactness of the Toeplitz operator T_f with BMO^s and IMO^s (see Section 4.2 for more details) from one doubling Fock space to another.

It is well known that the boundedness and compactness of Toeplitz operators with some suitable symbols have received much attention in the academic community. Axler and Zheng [1998] first characterized the compactness of Toeplitz operators with bounded symbols on the Bergman space by using its Berezin transform. But for the unbounded symbol, Zorboska [2003], using the Berezin transform technique, characterized the boundedness and compactness of Toeplitz operators with BMO symbols on the Bergman space $A^2(\mathbb{D})$. Coburn, Isralowitz and Li [Coburn et al. 2011], using a different method than [Axler and Zheng 1998; Zorboska 2003], proved an analogue of this compactness criterion for Toeplitz operators with BMO symbols on the Segal–Bargmann space $F^2(\mathbb{C}^n)$. Wang [2020] characterized the boundedness (or compactness) of the Toeplitz operators T_f with BMO symbols from the Fock pace F^p to F^q for $0 < p < q < \infty$. Given $f \in \mathrm{IMO}$ (the space of integrable mean oscillation functions), they proved that T_f is bounded (or compact) from F^p to F^q for $0 < q < p < \infty$ if and only if its Berezin transform satisfies the some integral condition.

Recently, "sufficiently localized" operators and "weakly localized" operators have been the subject of much research attention. Xia and Zheng [2013] first introduced the concept of sufficiently localized operators on the Fock space F^2, and they showed that if every bounded operator T from the C^* algebra generated by a sufficiently localized operator satisfies the condition

$$\lim_{|z|\to\infty} \langle Tk_z, k_z\rangle_{F^2} = 0,$$

then T is compact on F^2. After that, Isralowitz, Mitkovski and Wick [Isralowitz et al. 2015] gave the concept of weakly localized operators (weaker than the sufficiently localized operators) and they extended the results of Xia and Zheng to the cases of the Bergman space A^p and the generalized Fock space F^p_ϕ (the weight function ϕ is subharmonic, real-valued and satisfies $dd^c\phi \simeq dd^c|z|^2$) for $1 < p < \infty$. For $0 < p \le 1$, Hu, Lv and Wick [Hu et al. 2018] characterized the compact operators T in the algebra generated by weakly localized operators on F^p_ϕ. They also showed that, for $0 < p < \infty$, an operator T in the algebra generated by bounded Toeplitz operators with BMO symbols is compact on F^p_ϕ if and only if its Berezin transform vanishes at infinity. For more information about localized operators, please refer to [Liu and Wang 2021; Sadeghi and Zorboska 2020; Zorboska 2022].

Theories of Toeplitz (or Hankel) operators on doubling Fock spaces have been studied extensively. For example, Oliver and Pascuas [2016] proved the boundedness, compactness and membership in the Schatten class of Toeplitz operators with positive Radon measure. In [Hu and Lv 2017; Lv 2019], the authors characterized the boundedness and compactness of Toeplitz operators with positive Borel measure from F^p_φ to F^q_φ for $0 < p, q \le \infty$. Recently, Lv and Wang [2024] studied the boundedness and compactness of single Hankel operators from a doubling Fock space F^q_φ to a Lebesgue space L^q_φ for $1 \le p, q < \infty$, extending results of [Hu and Virtanen 2023] for a special case $\rho \simeq 1$. The doubling Fock spaces F^p_φ are different from the spaces in [Hu and Virtanen 2022b; 2023; Hu and Wang 2018] in some ways. For example, let $\varphi(z) = |z|^4$. Then $\Delta\varphi\, dA$ is a doubling measure, but

$$F^p_\varphi \setminus F^q_\varphi \neq \varnothing, \quad F^q_\varphi \setminus F^p_\varphi \neq \varnothing \tag{1-1}$$

for $p \neq q$; see [Constantin and Peláez 2016] for details. Hu and Virtanen [2022a] characterized the Fredholm properties of Toeplitz operators on F^p_φ for $0 < p < \infty$.

In the light of the above, a number of problems have naturally arisen:

(1) When are Toeplitz operators induced by bounded symbols, from one doubling Fock space to another, compact?

(2) When are Toeplitz operators induced by BMO^s or IMO^s symbols, from one doubling Fock space to another, bounded and compact?

(3) Doubling Fock spaces do not have the property of (1-1), so it makes sense to define a weakly localized condition from F^p_φ to F^q_φ for $1 \le p, q < \infty$ and to study the case when an operator is compact if it satisfies this condition.

We study problems (2) and (3). If (2) holds, (1) naturally holds. The reproducing kernel of doubling Fock spaces does not have specific expressions, which makes the study of the problem difficult and requires the development of new techniques (a key Lemma 3.2). Unfortunately we only give partial solutions to (2) and (3); see Theorems 3.7 and 4.7. As far as the other cases are concerned, we have not

yet found a good way of dealing with them. In particular, we characterize the boundedness and compactness of Toeplitz operators with BMO symbols on F^p_φ for $1 \le p < \infty$; see Corollary 4.8. But for Toeplitz operators with IMO^s symbols, we only characterize the boundedness and compactness of Toeplitz operators with IMO^s symbols from F^p_φ to F^p_φ for $1 \le q < p < \infty$; see Theorem 4.10.

The outline of this paper is as follows. In Section 2, we introduce some important lemmas that will be used frequently. In Section 3, we give the concept of weakly localized operators, obtain that weakly localized operators form an algebra and also characterize the compactness of weakly localized operators from F^p_φ to F^q_φ (on F^1_φ) for $1 < p \le q < \infty$. In Section 4, we obtain the boundedness and compactness of Toeplitz operators with BMO^s and IMO^s symbols from F^p_φ to F^p_φ for some suitable exponents $1 \le p, q < \infty$; see Sections 4.1 and 4.2, respectively.

For $a, b > 0$, the notation $a \lesssim b$ indicates that there exists some positive constant C independent of the functions being considered such that $a \le Cb$ and $a \simeq b$ means that both $a \lesssim b$ and $b \lesssim a$ hold.

2. Preliminaries

In this section, we list some estimates and some integral inequalities related to the reproducing kernel. These will be used frequently in what follows. We start with function ρ. Let

$$D^r(z) := D(z, r\rho(z))$$

for $z \in \mathbb{C}$ and $r > 0$. Then by [Oliver and Pascuas 2016, Lemma 2.2], we have the following lemma.

Lemma 2.1. *For every $r > 0$:*

(1) *There exists a positive constant C_r such that*

$$C_r^{-1}\rho(w) \le \rho(z) \le C_r\rho(w)$$

for every $z \in \mathbb{C}$ and $w \in D^r(z)$.

(2) *There exists a constant $B > 0$ such that*

$$D^r(z) \subset D^{Br}(w), \quad D^r(w) \subset D^{Br}(z)$$

for every $z \in \mathbb{C}$ and $w \in D^r(z)$.

For any $z, w \in \mathbb{C}$, the distance $d_\varphi(z, w)$ induced by the metric $\rho^{-2}\, dz \otimes d\bar{z}$ is defined by

$$d_\varphi(z, w) = \inf_\tau \int_0^1 \frac{|\tau'(t)|}{\rho(\tau(t))}\, dt,$$

where the infimum is taken over all piecewise C^1 curves $\tau : [0, 1] \to \mathbb{C}$ with $\tau(0) = z$ and $\tau(1) = w$. It is known that the Bergman metric

$$\frac{\partial^2 \log K(z, z)}{\partial z \partial \bar{z}}\, dz \otimes d\bar{z}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C},$$

is comparable to the metric $\rho^{-2}\, dz \otimes d\bar{z}$; see [Constantin and Ortega-Cerdà 2011, page 355] for further details. Write $\beta(\cdot, \cdot)$ for the Bergman distance on $\mathbb{C}$. Therefore there exist two constants $C_1, C_2 > 0$ such that

$$C_1\, d_\varphi(z, w) \le \beta(z, w) \le C_2\, d_\varphi(z, w), \quad z, w \in \mathbb{C}. \tag{2-1}$$

For more information about the Bergman distance and metric, please refer to [Krantz 2013]. For $z \in \mathbb{C}$ and $r > 0$, let $B(z, r) = \{w : \beta(z, w) < r\}$. By (2-1) and [Oliver and Pascuas 2016, Lemma 2.5(1)], we obtain that there exist two positive numbers m and M such that for every $z \in \mathbb{C}$,

$$D^m(z) \subseteq B(z, r) \subseteq D^M(z).$$

Therefore, we regard $B(z, r)$ and $D^x(z)$ as equivalent for numbers $r, x > 0$.

Since β is more suitable for the analysis of operators on doubling Fock spaces, we need the following estimates for the Bergman distance β instead of d_φ. These estimates will be very useful in our analysis.

Theorem 2.2. *For $p > 0$ and $k, l \in \mathbb{R}$,*

$$\int_{\mathbb{C}} (\beta(z, \xi) + 1)^l |K(z, \xi)|^p e^{-p\varphi(\xi)} \rho(\xi)^k\, dA(\xi) \lesssim \rho(z)^{2(1-p)+k} e^{p\varphi(z)} \quad \text{for } z \in \mathbb{C} \tag{2-2}$$

and

$$\sup_{z \in \mathbb{C}} \rho(z)^{2(p-1)-k} e^{-p\varphi(z)} \times \int_{\mathbb{C} \setminus B(z, R)} (\beta(z, \xi) + 1)^l |K(z, \xi)|^p e^{-p\varphi(\xi)} \rho(\xi)^k\, dA(\xi) \to 0 \tag{2-3}$$

as $R \to \infty$.

Proof. It is easy to see that these conclusions can be obtained by Lemma 2.2 and Corollary 2.4 in [Hu and Virtanen 2022a], so we will omit its proof here. □

For the convenience of the following applications, we obtained from [Oliver and Pascuas 2016, Proposition 2.9] the norm estimate for the reproducing kernel.

Lemma 2.3. *For any $1 \le p \le \infty$,*

$$\|K_z\|_{F^p_\varphi} \simeq e^{\varphi(z)} \rho(z)^{2/p-2}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}.$$

The following result comes from [Hu and Virtanen 2022a, Lemma 3.1], which implies that the point evaluation functional at $z \in \mathbb{C}$ is bounded.

Lemma 2.4. *Let $0 < p < \infty$. For any $r > 0$,*

$$|f(z)|^p e^{-p\varphi(z)} \lesssim \int_{D^r(z)} |f(w)|^p e^{-p\varphi(w)} \frac{dA(w)}{\rho^2(w)}$$

for $z \in \mathbb{C}$ and $f \in H(\mathbb{C})$.

As an application of the above lemma, we have the following lemma. It helps to study the Toeplitz operators with BMO symbols.

Lemma 2.5. *Let μ be a positive Borel measure on $\mathbb{C}$ and $0 < p < \infty$. Let $r > 0$ and $r \in \mathbb{R}$. Then for all $f \in H(\mathbb{C})$,*

$$\int_{\mathbb{C}} |f(z)|^p \rho(z)^k e^{-p\varphi(z)}\, d\mu(z) \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{C}} |f(w)|^p e^{-p\varphi(w)} \rho(w)^k \hat{\mu}_r(w)\, dA(w),$$

where

$$\hat{\mu}_r(w) := \frac{1}{\rho(w)^2} \int_{D^r(w)} d\mu.$$

Proof. It is easy to show that

$$|f(z)|^p \rho(z)^k e^{-p\varphi(z)} \lesssim \int_{D^r(z)} |f(w)|^p e^{-p\varphi(w)} \frac{dA(w)}{\rho^{2-k}(w)}$$

by Lemma 2.4. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathbb{C}} |f(z)|^p \rho(z)^k e^{-p\varphi(z)}\, d\mu(z) &\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{C}} \int_{D^r(z)} |f(w)|^p e^{-p\varphi(w)} \frac{dA(w)}{\rho^{2-k}(w)}\, d\mu(z) \\ &\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{C}} |f(w)|^p e^{-p\varphi(w)} \rho(w)^k \hat{\mu}_r(w)\, dA(w). \qquad \square\end{aligned}$$

3. Weakly localized operator

In this section we will introduce the concept of weakly localized operators from one doubling Fock space to another. We show that these operators form an algebra, and characterize their boundedness and compactness. We now proceed to define weakly localized operators. Let $k_{p,z}$ be the normalized reproducing kernel of K_z with respect to the norm $\|\cdot\|_{F^p_\varphi}$, that is,

$$k_{p,z}(w) = \frac{K(w,z)}{\|K_z\|_{F^p_\varphi}}.$$

For a linear operator T and for $f \in \Gamma$, we define

$$Tf(z) = \langle Tf, K_z \rangle, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}. \tag{3-1}$$

Definition 3.1. Let $1 \le p, q < \infty$ and $r > 0$. An operator T defined by satisfying (3-1) is called a weakly localized operator from F^p_φ to F^q_φ (we denote the set of such operators simply by $\mathcal{W}(F^p_\varphi, F^q_\varphi)$) if

$$\sup_{z\in\mathbb{C}} \int_{\mathbb{C}} |\langle T k_{p,z}, k_{q',w}\rangle|\, d\sigma(w) < \infty; \tag{3-2}$$

$$\sup_{z\in\mathbb{C}} \int_{\mathbb{C}} |\langle T^* k_{q',z}, k_{p,w}\rangle|\, d\sigma(w) < \infty; \tag{3-3}$$

$$\lim_{r\to\infty} \sup_{z\in\mathbb{C}} \int_{D^r(z)^c} |\langle T k_{p,z}, k_{q',w}\rangle|\, d\sigma(w) = 0; \tag{3-4}$$

$$\lim_{r\to\infty} \sup_{z\in\mathbb{C}} \int_{D^r(z)^c} |\langle T^* k_{q',z}, k_{p,w}\rangle|\, d\sigma(w) = 0; \tag{3-5}$$

where $d\sigma(w) := dA(w)/\rho(w)^{-2}$ and q' the conjugate exponent of q for $1 < q < \infty$ and $q' = +\infty$ for $q = 1$.

Lemma 3.2. *Let $1 < p, q < \infty$ or $p = q = 1$, and let Ω_1, Ω_2 be fixed subsets of $\mathbb{C}$. If a linear operator T satisfies* (3-1)*, there is a positive constant C such that*

$$\int_{\Omega_2}\left(\int_{\Omega_1} |f(w)\langle T^* K_z, K_w\rangle| e^{-2\varphi(w)}\, dA(w)\right)^q e^{-q\varphi(z)}\, dA(z) \le C C_1 C_2^{q/q'} \|f\|^q_{L^p_\varphi},$$

where

$$C_1 = \sup_{w\in\Omega_1} \int_{\Omega_2} |\langle T k_{p,w}, k_{q',z}\rangle|\, d\sigma(z),$$

$$C_2 = \sup_{z\in\Omega_2} \int_{\Omega_1} |\langle T^* k_{q',z}, k_{p,w}\rangle|\, d\sigma(w).$$

Proof. We first assume that $1 < p, q < \infty$. By Hölder's inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\Omega_1} |f(w)\langle T^* K_z, K_w\rangle| e^{-2\varphi(w)}\, dA(w) \qquad (3\text{-}6)\\
&= \int_{\Omega_1} |f(w)\langle T k_{p,w}, k_{q',z}\rangle| \|K_w\|_{F^p_\varphi} \|K_z\|_{F^{q'}_\varphi} e^{-2\varphi(w)}\, dA(w)\\
&= \int_{\Omega_1} |f(w)|\, |\langle T k_{p,w}, k_{q',z}\rangle|^{\frac{1}{q}} \|K_w\|^{-\frac{q-p}{(p-1)q}}_{F^p_\varphi} \|K_z\|_{F^{q'}_\varphi} e^{(-1+\frac{q-p}{(p-1)q})\varphi(w)}\\
&\qquad\qquad \times |\langle T k_{p,w}, k_{q',z}\rangle|^{\frac{1}{q'}} \|K_w\|^{1+\frac{q-p}{(p-1)q}}_{F^p_\varphi} e^{(-1-\frac{q-p}{(p-1)q})\varphi(w)}\, dA(w)\\
&\le \left(\int_{\Omega_1} |f(w)|^q |\langle T k_{p,w}, k_{q',z}\rangle| \|K_w\|^{-\frac{q-p}{p-1}}_{F^p_\varphi} \|K_z\|^q_{F^{q'}_\varphi} e^{(-q+\frac{q-p}{p-1})\varphi(w)}\, dA(w)\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}\\
&\qquad\qquad \times \left(\int_{\Omega_1} |\langle T k_{p,w}, k_{q',z}\rangle| \|K_w\|^{p'}_{F^p_\varphi} e^{-p'\varphi(w)}\, dA(w)\right)^{\frac{1}{q'}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2.4 shows that for $w \in \Omega_1$,

$$|f(w)|^{q-p} e^{(q-p)\varphi(w)} \lesssim \rho(w)^{-2(q-p)/p} \|f\|_{F^p_\varphi}^{q-p}. \tag{3-7}$$

Notice that

$$\|K_w\|_{F^p_\varphi}^{p'} e^{-p'\varphi(w)} \simeq \rho(w)^{-2}$$

by Lemma 2.3; therefore, by (3-6), (3-7) and Lemma 2.3 again, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\Omega_2} \bigg(\int_{\Omega_1} |f(w)\langle T^* K_z, K_w\rangle| e^{-2\varphi(w)}\, dA(w) \bigg)^q e^{-q\varphi(z)}\, dA(z) \\
&\quad \le \int_{\Omega_2} \int_{\Omega_1} |f(w)|^q |\langle T k_{p,w}, k_{q',z}\rangle| \|K_w\|_{F^p_\varphi}^{-\frac{q-p}{p-1}} \|K_z\|_{F^{q'}_\varphi}^q \\
&\qquad\qquad \times e^{(-q+\frac{q-p}{p-1})\varphi(w)}\, dA(w) e^{-q\varphi(z)}\, dA(z) \\
&\qquad\qquad \times \sup_{z\in\Omega_2} \bigg(\int_{\Omega_1} |\langle T k_{p,w}, k_{q',z}\rangle| \|K_w\|_{F^p_\varphi}^{p'} e^{-p'\varphi(w)}\, dA(w) \bigg)^{\frac{q}{q'}} \\
&\quad \lesssim C_2^{q/q'} \int_{\Omega_1} |f(w)|^q \|K_w\|_{F^p_\varphi}^{-\frac{q-p}{p-1}} e^{(-q+\frac{q-p}{p-1})\varphi(w)}\, dA(w) \\
&\qquad\qquad \times \sup_{w\in\Omega_1} \int_{\Omega_2} \langle T k_{p,w}, k_{q',z}\rangle \|K_z\|_{F^{q'}_\varphi}^q e^{-q\varphi(z)}\, dA(z) \\
&\quad = C_1 C_2^{q/q'} \int_{\Omega_1} |f(w)|^q \|K_w\|_{F^p_\varphi}^{-\frac{q-p}{p-1}} e^{(-q+\frac{q-p}{p-1})\varphi(w)}\, dA(w) \\
&\quad \lesssim C_1 C_2^{q/q'} \|f\|_{F^p_\varphi}^{q-p} \int_{\Omega_1} |f(w)|^p \rho(w)^{-2(q-p)/p} \|K_w\|_{F^p_\varphi}^{-\frac{q-p}{p-1}} \\
&\qquad\qquad \times e^{(-p+\frac{q-p}{p-1})\varphi(w)}\, dA(w) \\
&\quad \lesssim C_1 C_2^{q/q'} \|f\|_{F^p_\varphi}^{q-p} \int_{\Omega_1} |f(w)|^p e^{-p\varphi(w)}\, dA(w) \\
&\quad \le C_1 C_2^{q/q'} \|f\|_{F^p_\varphi}^q.
\end{aligned}$$

Next, we consider the case of $p = q = 1$. Fubini's theorem and Lemma 2.3 yield

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\Omega_2} \int_{\Omega_1} |f(w)\langle T^* K_z, K_w\rangle| e^{-2\varphi(w)}\, dA(w) e^{-\varphi(z)}\, dA(z) \\
&\le \int_{\Omega_2} \int_{\Omega_1} |f(w)|\, |\langle T k_{1,w}, k_{\infty,z}\rangle| e^{-2\varphi(w)} \|K_w\|_{F^1_\varphi} \|K_z\|_{F^\infty_\varphi}\, dA(w) e^{-\varphi(z)}\, dA(z) \\
&\lesssim \int_{\Omega_1} \int_{\Omega_2} |\langle T k_{1,w}, k_{\infty,z}\rangle|\, d\sigma(z) |f(w)| e^{-\varphi(w)}\, dA(w) \\
&\lesssim C_1 \|f\|_{F^1_\varphi}.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem 3.3. *Let $1 < p, q < \infty$ or $p = q = 1$. If $T \in \mathcal{W}(F^p_\varphi, F^q_\varphi)$, then T is bounded from F^p_φ to F^q_φ.*

Proof. By the definition of the linear operator T, for $f \in \Gamma$,

$$|Tf(z)| = |\langle Tf, K_z\rangle| = \left| \int_{\mathbb{C}} f(w)\overline{\langle T^* K_z, K_w\rangle} e^{-2\varphi(w)}\, dA(w) \right|$$
$$\leq \int_{\mathbb{C}} |f(w)\langle T^* K_z, K_w\rangle| e^{-2\varphi(w)}\, dA(w).$$

It is easy to show that

$$\|Tf\|^q_{F^q_\varphi} \lesssim C_1 C_2^{q/q'} \|f\|^q_{F^p_\varphi}$$

by the above inequality and Lemma 3.2, where

$$C_1 = \sup_{w\in\mathbb{C}} \int_{\mathbb{C}} |\langle T k_{p,w}, k_{q',z}\rangle|\, d\sigma(z) \quad \text{and} \quad C_2 = \sup_{z\in\mathbb{C}} \int_{\mathbb{C}} |\langle T^* k_{q',z}, k_{p,w}\rangle|\, d\sigma(w).$$

This shows that T is bounded from F^p_φ to F^q_φ. □

For $1 \leq p, q < \infty$, let $\mathfrak{B}(F^p_\varphi, F^q_\varphi)$ be the family of all bounded operators from F^p_φ to F^q_φ and let $\mathcal{K}(F^p_\varphi, F^q_\varphi)$ be the set of all compact operators from F^p_φ to F^q_φ. We write $\mathfrak{B}(F^p_\varphi) = \mathfrak{B}(F^p_\varphi, F^p_\varphi)$ and $\mathcal{K}(F^p_\varphi) = \mathcal{K}(F^p_\varphi, F^p_\varphi)$ for convenience.

Theorem 3.4. *For $1 \leq p < \infty$, $\mathcal{W}(F^p_\varphi, F^p_\varphi)$ forms a subalgebra of $\mathfrak{B}(F^p_\varphi)$. In particular, $\mathcal{W}(F^2_\varphi, F^2_\varphi)$ is a $*$-subalgebra of $\mathfrak{B}(F^2_\varphi)$.*

Proof. Suppose that $T, S \in \mathcal{W}(F^p_\varphi, F^p_\varphi)$. We show that TS and ST belong to $\mathcal{W}(F^p_\varphi, F^p_\varphi)$. By Theorem 3.3, we have $TS, ST \in \mathfrak{B}(F^p_\varphi)$. Next we prove that TS satisfies condition (3-2). By Fubini's theorem and Lemma 2.3, for every $z \in \mathbb{C}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
(3\text{-}8)\quad &\int_{\mathbb{C}} |\langle (TS)k_{p,z}, k_{p',w}\rangle|\, d\sigma(w) \\
&\leq \int_{\mathbb{C}}\int_{\mathbb{C}} |\langle Sk_{p,z}, K_u\rangle|\, |\langle T^* k_{p',w}, K_u\rangle| e^{-2\varphi(u)}\, dA(u)\, d\sigma(w) \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{C}}\int_{\mathbb{C}} |\langle Sk_{p,z}, k_{p',u}\rangle|\, |\langle T^* k_{p',w}, k_{p,u}\rangle| \\
&\qquad\qquad \times \|K_u\|_{F^p_\varphi} \|K_u\|_{F^{p'}_\varphi} e^{-2\varphi(u)}\, dA(u)\, d\sigma(w) \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{C}}\int_{\mathbb{C}} |\langle Sk_{p,z}, k_{p',u}\rangle|\, |\langle T^* k_{p',w}, k_{p,u}\rangle|\, d\sigma(u)\, d\sigma(w) \\
&\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{C}} \sup_{z\in\mathbb{C}} \int_{\mathbb{C}} |\langle Sk_{p,z}, k_{p',u}\rangle|\, d\sigma(u) |\langle T^* k_{p',w}, k_{p,u}\rangle|\, d\sigma(w) \\
&\lesssim \sup_{u\in\mathbb{C}} \int_{\mathbb{C}} |\langle T^* k_{p',w}, k_{p,u}\rangle|\, d\sigma(w) < \infty.
\end{aligned}$$

This shows that TS satisfies condition (3-2).

We next show that TS satisfies condition (3-4). Notice that $w \in D^r(u)^c$ when $u \in D^r(z)$ and $w \in D^{2r}(z)^c$. Similar to (3-8), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
(3\text{-}9)\quad & \int_{D^{2r}(z)^c} |\langle (TS)k_{p,z}, k_{p',w}\rangle|\, d\sigma(w) \\
&\le \int_{D^{2r}(z)^c} \int_{\mathbb{C}} |\langle Sk_{p,z}, k_{p',u}\rangle|\, |\langle T^* k_{p',w}, k_{p,u}\rangle|\, d\sigma(u)\, d\sigma(w) \\
&= \int_{D^{2r}(z)^c} \left(\int_{D^r(z)+D^r(z)^c} \right) |\langle Sk_{p,z}, k_{p',u}\rangle|\, |\langle T^* k_{p',w}, k_{p,u}\rangle|\, d\sigma(u)\, d\sigma(w) \\
&\lesssim \int_{D^r(z)} |\langle Sk_{p,z}, k_{p',u}\rangle|\, d\sigma(u) \sup_{w\in D^r(z)} \int_{D^r(u)^c} |\langle T^* k_{p',w}, k_{p,u}\rangle|\, d\sigma(w) \\
&\quad + \int_{D^r(z)^c} |\langle Sk_{p,z}, k_{p',u}\rangle|\, d\sigma(u) \sup_{u\in D^r(z)^c} \int_{D^{2r}(u)^c} |\langle T^* k_{p',w}, k_{p,u}\rangle|\, d\sigma(w) \\
&\le \int_{\mathbb{C}} |\langle Sk_{p,z}, k_{p',u}\rangle|\, d\sigma(u) \sup_{w\in D^r(z)} \int_{D^r(u)^c} |\langle T^* k_{p',w}, k_{p,u}\rangle|\, d\sigma(w) \\
&\qquad + \int_{D^r(z)^c} |\langle Sk_{p,z}, k_{p',u}\rangle|\, d\sigma(u) \sup_{u\in\mathbb{C}} \int_{D^{2r}(u)^c} |\langle T^* k_{p',w}, k_{p,u}\rangle|\, d\sigma(w).
\end{aligned}
$$

This proves that TS satisfies condition (3-4), because $T, S \in \mathcal{W}(F^p_\varphi, F^p_\varphi)$. Similar to the proof of (3-8) and (3-9), it is easy to prove that TS satisfies the conditions (3-3) and (3-5). This shows that $\mathcal{W}(F^p_\varphi, F^p_\varphi)$ forms a subalgebra of $\mathfrak{B}(F^p_\varphi)$.

It is easy to show $\mathcal{W}(F^2_\varphi, F^2_\varphi)$ is a $*$-subalgebra of $\mathfrak{B}(F^2_\varphi)$, since $T \in \mathcal{W}(F^2_\varphi, F^2_\varphi)$ if and only if $T^* \in \mathcal{W}(F^2_\varphi, F^2_\varphi)$. □

Given some sequence $\{a_j\}_{j=1}^\infty \subset \mathbb{C}$ and $r > 0$, we call $\{a_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$ a (ρ, r)-lattice if

(a) $\{D^r(a_j)\}_{j=1}^\infty$ covers $\mathbb{C}$;

(b) the disks of $\{D^{r/5}(a_j)\}_{j=1}^\infty$ are pairwise disjoints.

The existence of a (ρ, r)-lattice follows from a standard covering lemma; see [Marco et al. 2003]. For a (ρ, r)-lattice and $m > 0$, there exists some integer N such that $z \in \mathbb{C}$ belongs to at most N disks of $\{D^{mr}(a_j)\}_{j=1}^\infty$. That is,

$$
\sum_{j=1}^\infty \chi_{D^{mr}(a_j)}(z) \le N. \tag{3-10}
$$

Let $F_j = D^r(z_j) \setminus \bigcup_{i<j} D^r(z_i)$. It follows that $\{F_j\}_j$ is also a covering of $\mathbb{C}$ and $F_j \cap F_k = \varnothing$ $(j \ne k)$. We write $(F_j)^+_r = \bigcup_{x\in F_j} D^r(x)$, and let

$$
G_j = \{y : d(y, F_j) \le m^4 r\rho(z_j),\ m = m(r) > 1\}.
$$

There is some constant N such that

$$
\sum_{j=1}^\infty \chi_{F_j}(w) \le \sum_{j=1}^\infty \chi_{(F_j)^+_r}(w) \le N \quad \text{for any } w \in \mathbb{C}. \tag{3-11}
$$

Lemma 3.5. *For $1 < p, q < \infty$ or $p = q = 1$, if $T \in \mathcal{W}(F^p_\varphi, F^q_\varphi)$, then for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists sufficiently large $r > 0$ such that for the covering $\{F_j\}$ depending only on r,*

$$\left\| T - \sum_{j=1} M_{\chi_{F_j}} T P M_{\chi_{G_j}} \right\|_{F^p_\varphi \to F^q_\varphi} < \varepsilon.$$

Here the multiplier operator M_f is defined by $M_f g = fg$ for $g \in \Gamma$.

Proof. Let $S_r = T - \sum_{j=1} M_{\chi_{F_j}} T P M_{\chi_{G_j}}$ for some given r. For any fixed $z \in \mathbb{C}$, we assume that $z \in F_{j_0}$ for some integer j_0. For $f \in F^p_\varphi$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
S_r f(z) &= Tf(z) - \sum_{j=1} M_{\chi_{F_j}} T P M_{\chi_{G_j}} f(z) \\
&= \sum_{j=1} M_{\chi_{F_j}} Tf(z) - \sum_{j=1} M_{\chi_{F_j}} T P M_{\chi_{G_j}} f(z) \\
&= \sum_{j=1} M_{\chi_{F_j}}(z) T(P M_{\chi_{G_j^c}} f)(z) \\
&= \sum_{j=1} M_{\chi_{F_j}}(z) \langle T P M_{\chi_{G_j^c}} f, K_z \rangle \\
&= \sum_{j=1} M_{\chi_{F_j}}(z) \int_{\mathbb{C}} M_{\chi_{G_j^c}}(w) f(w) \overline{\langle T^* K_z, K_w \rangle} e^{-2\varphi(w)}\, dA(w).
\end{aligned} \tag{3-12}$$

Notice that $D^r(z) \subseteq G_{j_0}$ for $z \in F_{j_0}$. From (3-12), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
S_r f(z) &\le \sum_{j=1} M_{\chi_{F_j}}(z) \int_{\mathbb{C}} M_{\chi_{G_j^c}}(w) |f(w)|\, |\langle T^* K_z, K_w \rangle| e^{-2\varphi(w)}\, dA(w) \\
&= \int_{G^c_{j_0}} |f(w)|\, |\langle T^* K_z, K_w \rangle| e^{-2\varphi(w)}\, dA(w) \\
&\le \int_{D^r(z)^c} |f(w)|\, |\langle T^* K_z, K_w \rangle| e^{-2\varphi(w)}\, dA(w).
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly to the proof of Lemma 3.2, if we combine the above inequality, we immediately obtain

$$\|S_r f\|^q_{L^q_\varphi} \lesssim C_1 C_2^{q/q'}(r) \|f\|^q_{F^p_\varphi},$$

where

$$C_1 = \sup_{w \in \mathbb{C}} \int_{\mathbb{C}} |\langle T k_{p,w}, k_{q',z} \rangle|\, d\sigma(z), \quad C_2(r) = \sup_{z \in \mathbb{C}} \int_{D^r(z)^c} |\langle T^* k_{q',z}, k_{p,w} \rangle|\, d\sigma(w).$$

Since $T \in \mathcal{W}(F^p_\varphi, F^q_\varphi)$, we have C_1 is finite and $C_2(r) \to 0$ as $r \to \infty$. Then for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a sufficiently large $r > 0$ such that

$$\|S_r\|_{F^p_\varphi \to F^q_\varphi} < \varepsilon. \qquad \square$$

We use $\|T\|_{e,F^p_\varphi\to F^q_\varphi}$ to stand for the essential norm of a given linear operator T from F^p_φ to F^q_φ. We write $\|T\|_e = \|T\|_{e,F^p_\varphi\to F^q_\varphi}$ for convenience.

Theorem 3.6. *For $1 < p \le q < \infty$ or $p = q = 1$, if $T \in \mathcal{W}(F^p_\varphi, F^q_\varphi)$, there exist positive constants r and $C = C(r)$ such that*

$$\|T\|_e \le C \limsup_{|z|\to\infty} \sup_{w\in D^r(z)} |\langle Tk_{z,p}, k_{q',w}\rangle|^{1/q}.$$

Proof. The case of $p = q = 1$ comes from [Liu and Wang 2021, Theorem 25], so we only need to prove that the case of $1 < p \le q < \infty$. It is easy to prove that $\|T\|_e = \|TP\|_e$, because $P\mathcal{F}^p_\varphi = \mathcal{F}^p_\varphi$. We always assume that $\|TP\|_e > 0$ because $\|TP\|_e = 0$ is trivial. Lemma 3.5 shows there is some $r > 0$ satisfying

$$\left\| TP - \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} M_{\chi_{F_j}} TPM_{\chi_{G_j}} \right) \right\|_{\mathcal{F}^p_\varphi\to\mathcal{F}^q_\varphi} < \tfrac{1}{2}\|TP\|_e.$$

Because $\sum_{j\le m} M_{\chi_{F_j}} TPM_{\chi_{G_j}}$ is a compact operator where $m \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|TP\|_e &\le \left\| TP - \left(\sum_{j=1}^{m} M_{\chi_{F_j}} TPM_{\chi_{G_j}} \right) \right\|_{F^p_\varphi\to F^q_\varphi} \\
&\le \left\| TP - \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} M_{\chi_{F_j}} TPM_{\chi_{G_j}} \right) \right\|_{F^p_\varphi\to F^q_\varphi} + \|T_m\|_{F^p_\varphi\to F^p_\varphi} \\
&< \tfrac{1}{2}\|TP\|_e + \|T_m\|_{F^p_\varphi\to F^q_\varphi},
\end{aligned}$$

where $T_m = \sum_{j>m} M_{\chi_{F_j}} TPM_{\chi_{G_j}}$. It remains to show that

$$\limsup_{m\to\infty} \|T_m\|_{F^p_\varphi\to F^q_\varphi} \lesssim \limsup_{|z|\to\infty} \sup_{w\in D^r(z)} |\langle Tk_{p,z}, k_{q',w}\rangle|^{1/q} + \tfrac{1}{4}\|TP\|_e. \tag{3-13}$$

Let $f \in F^p_\varphi$ with $\|f\|_{F^p_\varphi} \le 1$. Notice that

$$F_j \cap F_k = \varnothing \quad (j \ne k).$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\|T_m f\|^q_{F^q_\varphi} &= \sum_{j>m} \|M_{\chi_{F_j}} TPM_{\chi_{G_j}} f\|^q_{F^q_\varphi} = \sum_{j>m} \|M_{\chi_{F_j}} Tl_j\|^q_{F^q_\varphi} \|M_{\chi_{G_j}} f\|^q_{F^p_\varphi} \\
&\lesssim (\sup_{j>m} \|M_{\chi_{F_j}} Tl_j\|^q_{F^q_\varphi}) \sum_{j>m} \left(\int_{\mathbb{C}} \chi_{F_j}(z)|f(z)|^p e^{-p\varphi(z)}\, dA(z) \right)^{q/p} \\
&\le (\sup_{j>m} \|M_{\chi_{F_j}} Tl_j\|^q_{F^q_\varphi}) \left(\sum_{j>m} \int_{\mathbb{C}} \chi_{F_j}(z)|f(z)|^p e^{-p\varphi(z)}\, dA(z) \right)^{q/p} \\
&\lesssim \|f\|^q_{F^p_\varphi} (\sup_{j>m} \|M_{\chi_{F_j}} Tl_j\|^q_{F^q_\varphi}),
\end{aligned}$$

where $l_j := PM_{\chi_{G_j}} f / \|M_{\chi_{G_j}} f\|_{F^p_\varphi}$. It follows that

$$\|T_m\|_{F^p_\varphi \to F^q_\varphi} \lesssim \sup_{j>m} \sup_{\|f\|_{F^p_\varphi}\le 1} \left\{ \|M_{\chi_{F_j}} T l_j\|_{F^q_\varphi} : l_j = \frac{PM_{\chi_{G_j}} f}{\|M_{\chi_{G_j}} f\|_{F^p_\varphi}} \right\}.$$

Furthermore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(3-14)} \quad \limsup_{m\to\infty} \|T_m\|_{F^p_\varphi \to F^q_\varphi} &\\ \lesssim \limsup_{j\to\infty} \sup_{\|f\|_{F^p_\varphi}\le 1} &\left\{ \|M_{\chi_{F_j}} T l_j\|_{F^q_\varphi} : l_j = \frac{PM_{\chi_{G_j}} f}{\|M_{\chi_{G_j}} f\|_{F^p_\varphi}} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

We can choose a sequence $\{f_j\}$ with $\|f_j\|_{F^p_\varphi} \le 1$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(3-15)} \quad \limsup_{j\to\infty} \sup_{\|f\|_{F^p_\varphi}\le 1} &\left\{ \|M_{\chi_{F_j}} T l_j\|_{F^p_\varphi} : l_j := \frac{PM_{\chi_{G_j}} f}{\|M_{\chi_{G_j}} f\|_{F^p_\varphi}} \right\} - \tfrac{1}{4}\|TP\|_e \\ &\le \limsup_{j\to\infty} \|M_{\chi_{F_j}} T g_j\|_{F^q_\varphi}, \end{aligned}$$

where $g_j := PM_{\chi_{G_j}} f_j / \|M_{\chi_{G_j}} f_j\|_{F^p_\varphi}$.

By Lemma 3.2, we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(3-16)} \quad &\|M_{\chi_{F_j}} T g_j\|^q_{F^q_\varphi} \\ &\le \int_{F_j} \left(\int_{G_j} \frac{|f_j(w)|\,|TK_w(z)| e^{-2\varphi(w)}}{\|\chi_{G_j} f_j\|_{F^p_\varphi}}\, dA(w) \right)^q e^{-q\varphi(z)}\, dA(z) \\ &\lesssim \frac{1}{\|\chi_{G_j} f_j\|^q_{F^p_\varphi}} \int_{F_j} \left(\int_{G_j} |f_j(w)| e^{-2\varphi(w)} |\langle TK_w, K_z\rangle|\, dA(w) \right)^q e^{-q\varphi(z)}\, dA(z) \\ &\lesssim C_1 C_2^{q/q'} \frac{\|\chi_{G_j} f_j\|^{q-p}_{F^p_\varphi} \|\chi_{G_j} f_j\|^p_{F^p_\varphi}}{\|\chi_{G_j} f_j\|^q_{F^p_\varphi}} \\ &= C_1 C_2^{q/q'}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} C_1 &= \sup_{w\in G_j} \int_{F_j} |\langle T k_{p,w}, k_{q',z}\rangle|\, d\sigma(z), \\ C_2 &= \sup_{z\in F_j} \int_{G_j} |\langle T^* k_{q',z}, k_{p,w}\rangle|\, d\sigma(w) < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

For each j, there exists $z_j \in F_j \subset G_j$ such that $G_j \subset D^{br}(z_j)$ for some $b = b(r) > 0$ by Lemma 2.1(2). We can choose that $u_j, t_j \in D^{br}(z_j)$ such that

$$|\langle T k_{p,t_j}, k_{q',u_j}\rangle| = \sup_{z,w\in D^{br}(z_j)} |\langle T k_{p,w}, k_{q',z}\rangle|.$$

There is $k > 0$ such that $w \in D^{kbr}(u_j)$ for $w \in G_j$. It is easy to prove that

$$
\begin{aligned}
C_1 &= \sup_{w\in G_j} \int_{F_j} |\langle Tk_{p,w}, k_{q',z}\rangle|\, d\sigma(z) \\
&\le \sup_{w\in G_j} \int_{G_j} |\langle Tk_{p,w}, k_{q',z}\rangle|\, d\sigma(z) \\
&\lesssim |\langle Tk_{p,t_j}, k_{q',u_j}\rangle| \\
&\lesssim \sup_{w\in D^{kbr}(u_j)} |\langle Tk_{p,w}, k_{q',u_j}\rangle|.
\end{aligned} \tag{3-17}
$$

Since F_j is a covering, there is a subsequence $\{u_{m_j}\}$ such that $u_{m_j} \to \infty$ as $j \to \infty$. Therefore, by (3-16) and (3-17), we immediately obtain

$$
\limsup_{j\to\infty} \|M_{\chi_{F_j}} Tg_j\|^q_{F^q_\varphi} \lesssim \limsup_{|z|\to\infty} \sup_{w\in D^{kbr}(z)} |\langle Tk_{p,w}, k_{q',z}\rangle|.
$$

By the above inequality, (3-14) and (3-15), we have (3-13) holds. □

Theorem 3.7. *For $1 < p \le q < \infty$ or $p = q = 1$, if $T \in \mathcal{W}(F^p_\varphi, F^q_\varphi)$, then the following statements are equivalent*:

(1) $T \in \mathcal{K}(F^p_\varphi, F^q_\varphi)$.

(2) $\lim_{|z|\to\infty} \|Tk_{p,z}\|_{F^q_\varphi} = 0$.

(3) $\lim_{|z|\to\infty} \sup_{w\in\mathbb{C}} |\langle Tk_{p,z}, k_{q',w}\rangle| = 0$.

(4) $\lim_{|z|\to\infty} \sup_{w\in D^r(z)} |\langle Tk_{p,z}, k_{q',w}\rangle| = 0$ *for any* $r > 0$.

Proof. Assume that (1) holds. Lv and Wang [2024, Lemma 2.1(4)] showed that if $k_{p,z} \to 0$ uniformly on compact subsets of $\mathbb{C}$ as $z \to \infty$, then

$$
\lim_{|z|\to\infty} \|Tk_{p,z}\|_{F^q_\varphi} = 0.
$$

This proves (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2). Assume that (2) holds. If $1 < p \le q < \infty$, by Lemmas 2.3 and 2.4, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
|\langle Tk_{p,z}, k_{q',w}\rangle|^q &= \frac{1}{\|K_w\|^q_{L^{q'}_\varphi}} |\langle Tk_{p,z}, K_w\rangle|^q \\
&\lesssim \rho(w)^2 e^{-q\varphi(w)} |\langle Tk_{p,z}, K_w\rangle|^q \\
&\lesssim \int_{D^r(w)} |\langle Tk_{p,z}, K_u\rangle|^q e^{-q\varphi(u)}\, dA(u) \\
&\le \|Tk_{p,z}\|^q_{F^q_\varphi}.
\end{aligned}
$$

If $p = q = 1$, it is easy to check that

$$
|\langle Tk_{1,z}, K_{\infty,w}\rangle| \le \|Tk_{1,z}\|_{F^1_\varphi}.
$$

This shows that (2) $\Rightarrow$ (3). It is trivial to show that (3) $\Rightarrow$ (4). It remains to show that (4) $\Rightarrow$ (1). Assume that (4) holds. By Theorem 3.6, there exist positive constants r and $C = C(r)$ such that

$$\|T\|_e \le C \limsup_{|z|\to\infty} \sup_{w\in D^r(z)} |\langle Tk_{z,p}, k_{q',w}\rangle|^{1/q}.$$

The condition (4) implies that $\|T\|_e = 0$, or, equivalently, that $T \in \mathcal{K}(F^p_\varphi, F^q_\varphi)$. $\square$

4. Toeplitz operators with BMO^s or IMO^s symbols

In this section we give characterizations of Toeplitz operators with BMO^s or IMO^s symbols. This section is divided into two parts: the first considers Toeplitz operators with BMO^s symbols and the second considers those with IMO^s symbols.

4.1. ***Toeplitz operators with* BMO^s *symbols.*** As an application of the technique of weakly localized operators, we characterize the boundedness and compactness of Toeplitz operators with BMO^s symbols from F^p_φ to F^q_φ when $1 < p \le q < \infty$ or $p = q = 1$. In particular, we show that Toeplitz operators with BA symbols form a subalgebra of $\mathfrak{B}(F^p_\varphi)$ for $1 \le p < \infty$. We also give a characterization of the compactness of operators in this subalgebra. In order to do this, we will start with some notation and auxiliary function spaces.

Recall that for a given $s \in \mathbb{R}$, we say that $f \in \mathrm{BMO}^s$ if $f \in \mathcal{S}$ and

$$\|f\|_{\mathrm{BMO}^s} := \sup_{z\in\mathbb{C}} \rho(z)^s \,\mathrm{MO}(f)(z) < \infty,$$

where

$$\mathrm{MO}(f)(z) = \int_{\mathbb{C}} |f(w) - \tilde{f}(z)|\,|k_z(w)|^2 e^{-2\varphi(w)}\,dA(w).$$

For a continuous function f on $\mathbb{C}$, we define the oscillation of f at $z \in \mathbb{C}$ in the Bergman metric by

$$\omega_r(f)(z) = \sup\{|f(z) - f(w)| : w \in D^r(z)\}.$$

We say that $f \in \mathrm{BO}^s_r$ if the function $\rho^s \omega_r(f)$ is bounded. We now define the mean oscillation of f at $z \in \mathbb{C}$ by

$$\mathrm{MO}_r(f)(z) = \frac{1}{|D^r(z)|}\int_{D^r(z)} |f(w) - \hat{f}_r(z)|\,dA(w),$$

where

$$\hat{f}_r(z) = \frac{1}{|D^r(z)|}\int_{D^r(z)} f(w)\,dA(w),$$

and $|D^r(z)|$ is the Euclidean area of $D^r(z)$. We say that $f \in \mathrm{BMO}^s_r$ if f is a locally Lebesgue integrable function and $\rho(\cdot)^s\,\mathrm{MO}_r(f)$ is bounded on $\mathbb{C}$. Let BA^s_r denote the space of locally Lebesgue integrable functions f on $\mathbb{C}$ such that $\rho(\cdot)^s \widehat{|f|}_r$

is bounded. We know that BO_r^s and BA_r^s are independent of r when $s \le 0$; see [Lv and Wang 2024, Theorem 4.1]. We write BO^s for BO_r^s and BA^s for BA_r^s for convenience. In particular, when $s = 0$, we write BO for BO^0 and BA for BA^0.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $r > 0$, $s \le 0$ and $f \in \Gamma$. Then the following statements are equivalent*:

(1) $f \in \mathrm{BMO}_r^s$ *for some* (*or any*) $r > 0$.

(2) $f = f_1 + f_2$, *where* $f_1 \in \mathrm{BO}^s \cap C^2(\mathbb{C})$ *and* $f_2 \in \mathrm{BA}^s$.

(3) $f \in \mathrm{BMO}^s$.

Moreover,

$$\|f\|_{\mathrm{BMO}^s} \simeq (\|f_1\|_{\mathrm{BO}^s} + \|f_1\|_{\mathrm{BA}^s}) \simeq \|f\|_{\mathrm{BMO}_r^s}.$$

Proof. The equivalence of (1) and (2) comes from [Lv and Wang 2024, Theorem 4.1]. We only need to show that (2) $\Rightarrow$ (3) and (3) $\Rightarrow$ (1). Assume that (2) holds. Assume $f \in \mathrm{BA}^s$, that is, $\rho^s|\widehat{f}|$ is bounded on $\mathbb{C}$. Through appropriate modifications to [Hu and Lv 2017, Lemma 2.5], it is easy to show that $\rho^s|\widehat{f}|$ is bounded if and only if $\rho^s|\widetilde{f}|$ is bounded. Therefore, for every $z \in \mathbb{C}$,

$$\rho(z)^s\bigg(\int_{\mathbb{C}} |f(w) - \tilde{f}(z)|\,|k_{2,z}(w)|^2 e^{-2\varphi(w)}\,dA(w)\bigg) \le 2\rho(z)^s|\widetilde{f}|(z) < \infty.$$

This implies that $f \in \mathrm{BMO}^s$ when $f \in \mathrm{BA}^s$. We assume that $f \in \mathrm{BO}^s$, that is, the function $\rho^s\omega_r(f)$ is bounded. For any given $z \in \mathbb{C}$, similar to the proof of [Zhu 2007, Lemma 8.2], we have

$$\rho(z)^s(|f(z) - f(w)|) \lesssim \|f\|_{\mathrm{BO}^s}(\beta(z,w) + 1). \tag{4-1}$$

From this and (2-2), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\rho(z)^s\bigg(\int_{\mathbb{C}} |f(w) - \tilde{f}(z)|\,|k_{2,z}(w)|^2 e^{-2\varphi(w)}\,dA(w)\bigg)\\
&\quad\le \rho(z)^s\bigg(\int_{\mathbb{C}} |f(w) - f(z)|\,|k_{2,z}(w)|^2 e^{-2\varphi(w)}\,dA(w) + |f(z) - \tilde{f}(z)|\bigg)\\
&\quad\le 2\int_{\mathbb{C}} \rho(z)^s|f(w) - f(z)|\,|k_{2,z}(w)|^2 e^{-2\varphi(w)}\,dA(w)\\
&\quad\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{C}} (\beta(z,w) + 1)|k_{2,z}(w)|^2 e^{-2\varphi(w)}\,dA(w)\\
&\quad\lesssim 1.
\end{aligned}$$

This shows that $f \in \mathrm{BMO}^s$ when $f \in \mathrm{BO}^s$. This proves that (2) $\Rightarrow$ (3).

We now suppose that (3) holds. For any fixed $z \in \mathbb{C}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\rho(z)^s \,\mathrm{MO}_r(f)(z)\\
&\quad= \rho(z)^s \bigg(\frac{1}{|D^r(z)|} \int_{D^r(z)} |f(w) - \hat{f}_r(z)|\, dA(w) \bigg)\\
&\quad\lesssim \rho(z)^s \bigg(\int_{D^r(z)} |f(w) - \hat{f}_r(z)|\, |k_{2,z}(w)|^2 e^{-2\varphi(w)}\, dA(w) \bigg)\\
&\quad\lesssim \rho(z)^s \bigg(\int_{\mathbb{C}} |f(w) - \hat{f}_r(z)|\, |k_{2,z}(w)|^2 e^{-2\varphi(w)}\, dA(w) \bigg)\\
&\quad\lesssim \rho(z)^s \bigg(\int_{\mathbb{C}} |f(w) - \tilde{f}(z)|\, |k_{2,z}(w)|^2 e^{-2\varphi(w)}\, dA(w) + |\tilde{f}(z) - \widehat{f_r}(z)| \bigg)\\
&\quad\le 2\rho(z)^s \bigg(\int_{\mathbb{C}} |f(w) - \tilde{f}(z)|\, |k_{2,z}(w)|^2 e^{-2\varphi(w)}\, dA(w) \bigg),
\end{aligned}$$

which implies that $f \in \mathrm{BMO}^s_r$, when $f \in \mathrm{BMO}^s$. □

In order to characterize the boundedness and compactness of Toeplitz operators with BMO^s symbols from F^p_φ to F^q_φ, we first prove that Toeplitz operators with BMO^s symbols are well defined.

Lemma 4.2. *For $s \le 0$ and $f \in \mathcal{S} \cap \mathrm{BMO}^s$, the Toeplitz operator T_f is well defined on Γ. Moreover,*

$$T_f g(w) = \langle T_f g, K_w \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{C}} f(z) g(z) K(w,z) e^{-2\varphi(z)}\, dA(z), \quad g \in \Gamma,\ w \in \mathbb{C}.$$

Proof. For $f \in \mathcal{S}$, we only need to prove that for every $z, w \in \mathbb{C}$, $|\langle T_f K_z, K_w \rangle|$ is finite and $T_f K_z(w) = \langle T_f K_z, K_w \rangle$. Notice that

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle T_f K_z, K_w \rangle &= \int_{\mathbb{C}} T_f K_z(u) \overline{K_w(u)} e^{-2\varphi(u)}\, dA(u)\\
&= \int_{\mathbb{C}} \int_{\mathbb{C}} f(\xi) K_z(\xi) \overline{K_u(\xi)} e^{-2\varphi(\xi)}\, dA(\xi) \overline{K_w(u)} e^{-2\varphi(u)}\, dA(u).
\end{aligned} \tag{4-2}$$

We now check that

$$\begin{aligned}
&|\langle T_f K_z, K_w \rangle|\\
&\quad\le \int_{\mathbb{C}} \int_{\mathbb{C}} |f(\xi) K_z(\xi) K_u(\xi) e^{-2\varphi(\xi)} K_w(u) e^{-2\varphi(u)}|\, dA(u)\, dA(\xi) < \infty.
\end{aligned} \tag{4-3}$$

To this end, Lemma 2.3 and the definition of F^∞_φ show that

$$\int_{\mathbb{C}} |K_u(\xi) e^{-2\varphi(u)} K_w(u)|\, dA(u) \lesssim \|K_w\|_{F^\infty_\varphi} e^{\varphi(\xi)}. \tag{4-4}$$

From Lemma 4.1 we have the decomposition $\mathrm{BMO}^s = \mathrm{BA}^s + \mathrm{BO}^s$. If $f \in \mathrm{BA}^s$, then by Lemma 2.5, (4-4) and (2-2), we get

$$\begin{aligned}(4\text{-}5)\quad &\int_{\mathbb{C}}\int_{\mathbb{C}} |f(\xi)K_z(\xi)K_u(\xi)e^{-2\varphi(\xi)}K_w(u)e^{-2\varphi(u)}|\,dA(u)\,dA(\xi)\\ &\lesssim \|K_w\|_{F^\infty_\varphi}\int_{\mathbb{C}} |f(\xi)K_z(\xi)e^{-\varphi(\xi)}|\,dA(\xi)\\ &\lesssim \|K_w\|_{F^\infty_\varphi}\int_{\mathbb{C}} |K_z(\xi)e^{-\varphi(\xi)}\rho(\xi)^{-s}\rho(\xi)^s\widehat{|f|}_r(\xi)\,dA(\xi)\\ &\lesssim \rho(z)^{-s}e^{\varphi(z)}\|K_w\|_{F^\infty_\varphi}\|f\|_{\mathrm{BA}^s}<\infty.\end{aligned}$$

If $f \in \mathrm{BO}^s$, then by (4-1), (4-4) and (2-2) again, we have

$$\begin{aligned}(4\text{-}6)\quad &\int_{\mathbb{C}}\int_{\mathbb{C}} |f(\xi)K_z(\xi)K_u(\xi)e^{-2\varphi(\xi)}K_w(u)e^{-2\varphi(u)}|\,dA(u)\,dA(\xi)\\ &\lesssim \|K_w\|_{F^\infty_\varphi}\int_{\mathbb{C}} |f(\xi)K_z(\xi)e^{-\varphi(\xi)}|\,dA(\xi)\\ &\le \|K_w\|_{F^\infty_\varphi}\int_{\mathbb{C}} (|f(z)|+|f(z)-f(\xi)|)|K_z(\xi)e^{-\varphi(\xi)}\,dA(\xi)\\ &\lesssim |f(z)|\|K_w\|_{F^\infty_\varphi}\|K_z\|_{F^1_\varphi}+\rho(z)^{-s}\|K_w\|_{F^\infty_\varphi}\|f\|_{\mathrm{BO}^s}\\ &\qquad\qquad\times\int_{\mathbb{C}}(\beta(z,\xi)+1)|K_z(\xi)e^{-\varphi(\xi)}\,dA(\xi)\\ &\lesssim |f(z)|\|K_w\|_{F^\infty_\varphi}\|K_z\|_{F^1_\varphi}+\rho(z)^{-s}\|K_w\|_{F^\infty_\varphi}\|f\|_{\mathrm{BO}^s}e^{\varphi(z)}<\infty.\end{aligned}$$

We immediately get (4-3) by combining the conditions (4-5) and (4-6).

Finally, by Fubini's theorem and the property of the reproducing kernel in (4-2), we have $\langle T_f K_z, K_w\rangle = T_f K_z(w)$. □

Let $1 \le p, q < \infty$ and let $s = \frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{p}$ in this section.

Lemma 4.3. *Let $1 < p \le q < \infty$ or $p = q = 1$. For $f \in \mathcal{S}$, if $f \in \mathrm{BMO}^{2s}$ with*

$$\sup_{z\in\mathbb{C}} \rho(z)^{2s}|\tilde{f}(z)| < \infty,$$

then $T_f \in \mathcal{W}(F^p_\varphi, F^q_\varphi)$.

Proof. First note that by Lemma 4.2, T_f is well defined on Γ. It can easily be shown that

$$\widehat{|f|}(z) - |\tilde{f}(z)| = \int_{\mathbb{C}} (|f(w)| - |\tilde{f}(z)|)|k_{2,z}(w)|^2 e^{-2\varphi(w)}\,dA(w) \le \mathrm{MO}(f)(z)$$

since $k_{2,z}$ is a normalized reproducing kernel. Therefore, by our assumption,

$$\sup_{z\in\mathbb{C}} \rho(z)^{2s}|\tilde{f}|(z) < \infty.$$

From this, Lemma 2.5 and $\widehat{|f|}_r(u) \le \widetilde{|f|}_r(u)$ for all $u \in \mathbb{C}$, $r > 0$, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}|\langle T_f k_{p,z}, k_{q',w}\rangle| &\le \int_{\mathbb{C}} |k_{p,z}(u)|\,|k_{q',w}(u)|\,|f(u)|e^{-2\varphi(u)}\,dA(u)\\ &= \int_{\mathbb{C}} |k_{p,z}(u)|\,|k_{q',w}(u)|\,\widetilde{|f|}(u)e^{-2\varphi(u)}\,dA(u).\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by the above inequality, Lemma 2.3, Fubini's theorem and (2-2), we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{\mathbb{C}} |\langle T_f k_{p,z}, k_{q',w}\rangle|\,dA\sigma(w)\\ &\qquad\le \int_{\mathbb{C}}\int_{\mathbb{C}} |k_{p,z}(u)|\,|k_{q',w}(u)|\,\widetilde{|f|}(u)e^{-2\varphi(u)}\,dA(u)\,dA\sigma(w)\\ &\qquad= \int_{\mathbb{C}} |k_{p,z}(u)|\,\widetilde{|f|}(u)e^{-2\varphi(u)}\,dA(u)\int_{\mathbb{C}} |k_{q',w}(u)|\,dA\sigma(w)\\ &\qquad= \int_{\mathbb{C}} |k_{p,z}(u)|\,\widetilde{|f|}(u)e^{-\varphi(u)}\rho(u)^{-2/q'}\,dA(u)\\ &\qquad\lesssim \sup_{u\in\mathbb{C}} \rho(u)^{2s}\,\widetilde{|f|}(u)\int_{\mathbb{C}} |k_{p,z}(u)|e^{-\varphi(u)}\rho(u)^{2/p-2}\,dA(u)\\ &\qquad\lesssim \sup_{u\in\mathbb{C}} \rho(u)^{2s}\widetilde{|f|}(u) < \infty.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\sup_{z\in\mathbb{C}}\int_{\mathbb{C}} |\langle T_f k_{p,z}, k_{q',w}\rangle|\,dA\sigma(w) < \infty.$$

This shows that T_f satisfies the condition (3-2).

To prove T_f satisfies the condition (3-4), we only need to replace (2-2) by (2-3). The conditions (3-3) and (3-5) for the Toeplitz operator T_f can be shown to hold in the same way. Hence, $T_f \in \mathcal{W}(F^p_\varphi, F^q_\varphi)$. □

We immediately get the following corollary, whose proof is similar to that in [Hu et al. 2018, Theorem 3.2].

Corollary 4.4. *For $p \ge 1$ and $f \in \mathcal{S}$, if $f \in$ BMO with $\sup_{z\in\mathbb{C}} |\tilde{f}(z)| < \infty$, then $T_f \in \mathcal{W}(F^p_\varphi, F^p_\varphi)$.*

Corollary 4.5. *Let $1 \le p < \infty$ and let $f \in \mathcal{S}$. If $\sup_{z\in\mathbb{C}} \widetilde{|f|}(z) < \infty$, then $T_f \in \mathcal{W}(F^p_\varphi, F^p_\varphi)$. Furthermore,*

$$\Big\{T_f : f \in \mathcal{S} \text{ and } \sup_{z\in\mathbb{C}} \widetilde{|f|}(z) < \infty\Big\}$$

forms a subalgebra of $\mathfrak{B}(F^p_\varphi)$.

Proof. As an application of [Hu and Lv 2017, Lemma 2.5], it is easy to show that $\sup_{z\in\mathbb{C}} \widetilde{|f|}(z) < \infty$ if and only if $f \in$ BA. Lemma 4.1 implies that BA $\subset$ BMO and

Lemma 4.2 shows that T_f is well defined on Γ. It follows that $T_f \in \mathcal{W}(F^p_\varphi, F^p_\varphi)$ by Lemma 4.3 when $\sup_{z\in\mathbb{C}} |\widetilde{f}|(z) < \infty$.

Therefore,

$$\left\{T_f : f \in \mathcal{S} \text{ and } \sup_{z\in\mathbb{C}} |\widetilde{f}|(z) < \infty\right\}$$

forms a subalgebra of $\mathfrak{B}(F^p_\varphi)$ by Theorem 3.4. □

As an application of Corollary 4.5 and Theorem 3.7, we immediately obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 4.6. *Let $1 \le p < \infty$ and $f \in \mathcal{S}$. If*

$$T \in \{T_f : f \in \mathcal{S} \text{ and } \sup_{z\in\mathbb{C}} |\widetilde{f}|(z) < \infty\},$$

then the following conditions are equivalent:

(1) $T \in \mathcal{K}(F^p_\varphi)$.

(2) $\lim_{|z|\to\infty} \|Tk_{p,z}\|_{F^p_\varphi} = 0$.

(3) $\lim_{|z|\to\infty} \sup_{w\in\mathbb{C}} |\langle Tk_{p,z}, k_{p',w}\rangle| = 0$.

(4) $\lim_{|z|\to\infty} \sup_{w\in D^r(z)} |\langle Tk_{p,z}, k_{p',w}\rangle| = 0$ *for any* $r > 0$.

We are going to give the most important theorem of this section.

Theorem 4.7. *Let $1 < p \le q < \infty$ or $p = q = 1$, and $f \in \mathcal{S}$. If $f \in \mathrm{BMO}^{2s}$, then*:

(1) $T_f \in \mathfrak{B}(F^p_\varphi, F^q_\varphi)$ *if and only if*

$$\sup_{z\in\mathbb{C}} \rho(z)^{2s} |\tilde{f}(z)| < \infty. \tag{4-7}$$

(2) $T_f \in \mathcal{K}(F^p_\varphi, F^q_\varphi)$ *if and only if for any* $r > 0$,

$$\lim_{|z|\to\infty} \sup_{w\in D^r(z)} |\langle Tk_{p,z}, k_{q',w}\rangle| = 0. \tag{4-8}$$

Proof. (1) Suppose that (4-7) holds. From Lemma 4.3 and Theorem 3.3, it follows that $T_f \in \mathfrak{B}(F^p_\varphi, F^q_\varphi)$.

Conversely, assume that $T_f \in \mathfrak{B}(F^p_\varphi, F^q_\varphi)$. If $1 < p \le q < \infty$, then by Lemma 2.3,

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(z)^{2s} \tilde{f}(z) &= \rho(z)^{2s} \langle T_f k_{2,z}, k_{2,z}\rangle \\ &= \rho(z)^{2s} \|K_z\|^{-2}_{F^2_\varphi} \int_{\mathbb{C}} f(u) |K_z(u)|^2 e^{-2\varphi(u)}\, dA(u) \\ &\simeq \rho(z)^{2s} \rho(z)^{-2s} \|K_z\|^{-1}_{F^p_\varphi} \|K_z\|^{-1}_{F^{q'}_\varphi} \langle T_f K_z, K_z\rangle \\ &\simeq \langle T_f k_{p,z}, k_{q',z}\rangle. \end{aligned} \tag{4-9}$$

From this and Hölder's inequality, we have

$$\rho(z)^{2s}|\tilde{f}(z)| \simeq |\langle T_f k_{p,z}, k_{q',z}\rangle| \lesssim \|T_f k_{p,z}\|_{F^p_\varphi} \|k_{q',z}\|_{F^{q'}_\varphi} < \infty.$$

If $p = q = 1$, then by Lemma 2.3 again, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{f}(z) &= \langle T_f k_{2,z}, k_{2,z}\rangle \\ &= \|K_z\|^{-2}_{F^2_\varphi} \int_{\mathbb{C}} f(u)|K_z(u)|^2 e^{-2\varphi(u)}\, dA(u) \\ &\simeq \|K_z\|^{-1}_{F^1_\varphi} \|K_z\|^{-1}_{F^\infty_\varphi} \langle T_f K_z, K_z\rangle \\ &= \langle T_f k_{1,z}, k_{\infty,z}\rangle.\end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$|\tilde{f}(z)| \simeq |\langle T_f k_{1,z}, k_{\infty,z}\rangle| \le \|T_f k_{1,z}\|_{F^1_\varphi} < \infty.$$

Hence, $T_f \in \mathfrak{B}(F^p_\varphi, F^q_\varphi)$ implies that (4-7) holds.

(2) Suppose that $T_f \in \mathcal{K}(F^p_\varphi, F^q_\varphi)$. By (4-7) and Lemma 4.3, we obtain

$$T_f \in \mathcal{W}(F^p_\varphi, F^q_\varphi).$$

Furthermore,

$$\lim_{|z|\to\infty} \sup_{w\in D^r(z)} |\langle T k_{p,z}, k_{q',w}\rangle| = 0$$

by Theorem 3.7.

Assume that (4-8) holds. By Theorem 3.7, we have

$$\lim_{|z|\to\infty} \sup_{w\in\mathbb{C}} |\langle T k_{p,z}, k_{q',w}\rangle| = 0.$$

In particular,

$$\lim_{|z|\to\infty} |\langle T k_{p,z}, k_{q',z}\rangle| = 0.$$

From this and (4-9), we have $\rho(z)^{2s}\tilde{f}(z)$ is bounded and by Lemma 4.3, we have $T_f \in \mathcal{W}(F^p_\varphi, F^q_\varphi)$. By Theorem 3.7 again and our assumption, we obtain $T_f \in \mathcal{K}(F^p_\varphi, F^q_\varphi)$. □

Corollary 4.8. *Let $1 \le p < \infty$ and $f \in \mathrm{BMO} \cap \mathcal{S}$. Then*:

(1) *$T_f \in \mathfrak{B}(F^p_\varphi)$ if and only if the Berezin transform $\tilde{f}$ is bounded.*

(2) *$T_f \in \mathcal{K}(F^p_\varphi)$ if and only if for any $r > 0$,*

$$\lim_{|z|\to\infty} \sup_{w\in D^r(z)} |\langle T k_{p,z}, k_{q',w}\rangle| = 0.$$

Proof. It is easy to get this conclusion by using Theorem 4.7 and Corollary 4.4. □

4.2. ***Toeplitz operators with*** **IMO^s** ***symbols.*** For $s > 0$, the space IMO^s consists of $f \in \Gamma$ such that

$$\|f\|_{\mathrm{IMO}^s} = \left(\int_{\mathbb{C}} |\mathrm{MO}(f)(z)|^s \, dA(z) \right)^{1/s} < \infty.$$

Let $1 \le q < p < \infty$. We will characterize the boundedness and compactness of Toeplitz operators T_f with IMO^s from F^p_φ to F^q_φ in this section. We need to use Luecking's approach [1993] based on Khinchine's inequality. Recall that Rademacher functions R_n are defined by

$$R_0(t) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } 0 \le t - [t] < \frac{1}{2}, \\ -1 & \text{if } \frac{1}{2} \le t - [t] < 1; \end{cases} \qquad R_n(z^n t), \quad n \ge 1,$$

where $[t]$ denotes the largest integer not exceeding t.

Lemma 4.9 (Khinchine's inequality). *Let $0 < p < \infty$. There exists a positive constant C_p such that*

$$C_p^{-1} \left(\sum_{k=1}^n |\lambda_k|^2 \right)^{p/2} \lesssim \int_0^1 \left| \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k R_k(t) \right|^p dt \lesssim C_p \left(\sum_{k=1}^n |\lambda_k|^2 \right)^{p/2}$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and sequences $\{\lambda_k\}$ in $\mathbb{C}$.

We will give the main result of this section.

Theorem 4.10. *Let $1 \le q < p < \infty$ and let $s = \frac{pq}{p-q}$. Then for $f \in \mathrm{IMO}^s$, the following statements are equivalent*:

(1) *T_f is bounded from F^p_φ to F^q_φ.*

(2) *T_f is compact from F^p_φ to F^q_φ.*

(3) *The Berezin transform $\tilde{f} \in L^s(\mathbb{C}, dA)$.*

Proof. (2) $\Rightarrow$ (1) is trivial. Suppose that (1) holds. Let $r > 0$ and $\{z_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$ be a sequence such that $\{D^r(z_j)\}_{j=1}^\infty$ is a cover of $\mathbb{C}$. Then for $1 < p < \infty$, by [Hu and Lv 2017, Lemma 2.6], we get the function

$$g_t(z) := \sum_{j=1}^\infty \gamma_j r_j(t) k_{2,z_j}(z) \rho(z_j)^{1-2/p} = \sum_{j=1}^\infty \gamma_j r_j(t) k_{p,z_j}(z)$$

belongs to F^p_φ and

$$\|g_t\|_{F^p_\varphi} \lesssim \|\{\gamma_j\}_{j=1}^\infty\|_{\ell^p}.$$

Thus, by our assumption, we have

$$\|T_f g_t\|_{F^q_\varphi} \lesssim \|\{\gamma_j\}_{j=1}^\infty\|_{\ell^p}. \tag{4-10}$$

Fubini's theorem and Khinchine's inequality yield that

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^1 \|T_f g_t\|_{F_\varphi^q}^q \, dt &\gtrsim \int_{\mathbb{C}} \Big(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\gamma_j T_f k_{p,z_j}(z)|^2 \Big)^{q/2} e^{-q\varphi(z)} \, dA(z) \\ &\gtrsim \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \int_{D^r(z_i)} \Big(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\gamma_j T_f k_{p,z_j}(z)|^2 \Big)^{q/2} e^{-q\varphi(z)} \, dA(z) \\ &\gtrsim \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \gamma_i^q \int_{D^r(z_i)} |T_f k_{p,z_i}(z)|^q e^{-q\varphi(z)} \, dA(z) \\ &\gtrsim \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \gamma_i^q |T_f k_{p,z_i}(z_i)|^q e^{-q\varphi(z)} \rho(z_i)^2,\end{aligned} \tag{4-11}$$

where the last inequality comes from Lemma 2.4. Using the property of reproducing kernel and Lemma 2.3, we obtain

$$|T_f k_{p,z_i}(z_i)| e^{-\varphi(z)} \simeq |\tilde{f}(z_i)| \rho(z_i)^{-2/p}. \tag{4-12}$$

Combining with (4-10), (4-11) and (4-12), we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \gamma_i^q |\tilde{f}(z_i)|^q \rho(z_i)^{2-2q/p} \lesssim \int_0^1 \|T_f g_t\|_{F_\varphi^q}^q \, dt \lesssim \|\{\gamma_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}\|_{\ell^p}^q = \|\{|\gamma_j|^q\}_{j=1}^{\infty}\|_{\ell^{q/p}}.$$

Since the duality of $\ell^{p/q}$ is $\ell^{p/(p-q)}$, we have

$$|\tilde{f}(z_i)|^q \rho(z_i)^{2-2q/p} \in \ell^{p/(p-q)},$$

that is,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |\tilde{f}(z_i)|^s \rho(z_i)^2 < \infty.$$

Choose a point $a_i \in \overline{D^r(z_i)}$ so that the condition

$$|\tilde{f}(a_i)| = \sup_{z \in \overline{D^r(z_i)}} |\tilde{f}(z)|$$

is satisfied and there is a constant $b > 0$ such that $\{D^{br}(a_i)\}$ is a (ρ, br)-lattice. Similar to proving the above, we obtain

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |\tilde{f}(a_i)|^s \rho(a_i)^2 < \infty. \tag{4-13}$$

Therefore, by (4-13),

$$\int_{\mathbb{C}} |\tilde{f}(z)|^s \, dA(z) \le \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \int_{D^r(z_i)} |\tilde{f}(z)|^s \, dA(z) \lesssim \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |\tilde{f}(a_i)|^s \rho(a_i)^2 < \infty.$$

This proves (1) $\Rightarrow$ (3).

We suppose that (3) holds. It is easy to show that

$$\widetilde{|f|}(z) - |\tilde{f}(z)| = \int_{\mathbb{C}} (|f(w)| - |\tilde{f}(z)|)|k_{2,z}(w)|^2 e^{-2\varphi(w)}\, dA(w) \le \mathrm{MO}(f)(z),$$

which implies that $\widetilde{|f|} \in L^s(\mathbb{C}, dA)$. As an application of [Hu and Lv 2017, Thoerem 3.4], $T_{|f|}$ is compact from F^p_φ to F^q_φ if and only if $\widetilde{|f|} \in L^s(\mathbb{C}, dA)$. Hence, T_f is also compact from F^p_φ to F^q_φ. □

References

[Axler and Zheng 1998] S. Axler and D. Zheng, "Compact operators via the Berezin transform", *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **47**:2 (1998), 387–400. MR

[Cho and Zhu 2012] H. R. Cho and K. Zhu, "Fock–Sobolev spaces and their Carleson measures", *J. Funct. Anal.* **263**:8 (2012), 2483–2506. MR

[Christ 1991] M. Christ, "On the $\overline{\partial}$ equation in weighted L^2 norms in $\mathbb{C}^1$", *J. Geom. Anal.* **1**:3 (1991), 193–230. MR

[Coburn et al. 2011] L. A. Coburn, J. Isralowitz, and B. Li, "Toeplitz operators with BMO symbols on the Segal–Bargmann space", *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **363**:6 (2011), 3015–3030. MR

[Constantin and Ortega-Cerdà 2011] O. Constantin and J. Ortega-Cerdà, "Some spectral properties of the canonical solution operator to $\overline{\partial}$ on weighted Fock spaces", *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **377**:1 (2011), 353–361. MR

[Constantin and Peláez 2016] O. Constantin and J. A. Peláez, "Integral operators, embedding theorems and a Littlewood–Paley formula on weighted Fock spaces", *J. Geom. Anal.* **26**:2 (2016), 1109–1154. MR

[Hu and Lv 2017] Z. Hu and X. Lv, "Positive Toeplitz operators between different doubling Fock spaces", *Taiwanese J. Math.* **21**:2 (2017), 467–487. MR

[Hu and Virtanen 2022a] Z. Hu and J. A. Virtanen, "Fredholm Toeplitz operators on doubling Fock spaces", *J. Geom. Anal.* **32**:4 (2022), art. id. 106. MR

[Hu and Virtanen 2022b] Z. Hu and J. A. Virtanen, "Schatten class Hankel operators on the Segal–Bargmann space and the Berger–Coburn phenomenon", *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **375**:5 (2022), 3733–3753. MR

[Hu and Virtanen 2023] Z. Hu and J. A. Virtanen, "IDA and Hankel operators on Fock spaces", *Anal. PDE* **16**:9 (2023), 2041–2077. MR

[Hu and Wang 2018] Z. Hu and E. Wang, "Hankel operators between Fock spaces", *Integral Equations Operator Theory* **90**:3 (2018), art. id. 37. MR

[Hu et al. 2018] Z. Hu, X. Lv, and B. D. Wick, "Localization and compactness of operators on Fock spaces", *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **461**:2 (2018), 1711–1732. MR

[Isralowitz et al. 2015] J. Isralowitz, M. Mitkovski, and B. D. Wick, "Localization and compactness in Bergman and Fock spaces", *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **64**:5 (2015), 1553–1573. MR

[Krantz 2013] S. G. Krantz, *Geometric analysis of the Bergman kernel and metric*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics **268**, Springer, 2013. MR

[Liu and Wang 2021] Y. Liu and X. Wang, "Duality of large Fock spaces in several complex variables and compact localization operators", *J. Funct. Spaces* (2021), art. id. 6619459. MR

[Luecking 1993] D. H. Luecking, "Embedding theorems for spaces of analytic functions via Khinchine's inequality", *Michigan Math. J.* **40**:2 (1993), 333–358. MR

[Lv 2019] X. Lv, "Carleson measures and Toeplitz operators on doubling Fock spaces", *Chinese Ann. Math. Ser. B* **40**:3 (2019), 349–362. MR

[Lv and Wang 2024] X. Lv and E. Wang, "Hankel operators on doubling Fock spaces", *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **531**:1 (2024), art. id. 127780. MR

[Marco et al. 2003] N. Marco, X. Massaneda, and J. Ortega-Cerdà, "Interpolating and sampling sequences for entire functions", *Geom. Funct. Anal.* **13**:4 (2003), 862–914. MR

[Oliver and Pascuas 2016] R. Oliver and D. Pascuas, "Toeplitz operators on doubling Fock spaces", *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **435**:2 (2016), 1426–1457. MR

[Sadeghi and Zorboska 2020] M. Sadeghi and N. Zorboska, "Localization, Carleson measure and BMO Toeplitz operators on the Bergman space", *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **485**:2 (2020), art. id. 123829. MR

[Schneider and Schneider 2009] G. Schneider and K. A. Schneider, "Generalized Hankel operators on the Fock space", *Math. Nachr.* **282**:12 (2009), 1811–1826. MR

[Schneider and Schneider 2011] G. Schneider and K. Schneider, "Generalized Hankel operators on the Fock space, II", *Math. Nachr.* **284**:14–15 (2011), 1967–1984. MR

[Wang 2020] E. Wang, "Toeplitz operators with BMO and IMO symbols between Fock spaces", *Arch. Math.* (*Basel*) **114**:5 (2020), 541–551. MR

[Xia and Zheng 2013] J. Xia and D. Zheng, "Localization and Berezin transform on the Fock space", *J. Funct. Anal.* **264**:1 (2013), 97–117. MR

[Zhu 2007] K. Zhu, *Operator theory in function spaces*, 2nd ed., Mathematical Surveys and Monographs **138**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2007. MR

[Zorboska 2003] N. Zorboska, "Toeplitz operators with BMO symbols and the Berezin transform", *Int. J. Math. Math. Sci.* 46 (2003), 2929–2945. MR

[Zorboska 2022] N. Zorboska, "On the localization of measure induced Toeplitz operators on the Bergman space", *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **150**:6 (2022), 2545–2551. MR

Received January 20, 2025. Revised June 16, 2026.

CHUNXU XU
SCHOOL OF SCIENCE
NANJING FORESTRY UNIVERSITY
NANJING
CHINA
1968385450@qq.com

HONG ZOU
SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTATIONAL SCIENCE
XIANGTAN UNIVERSITY
XIANGTAN
CHINA
hongzou@xtu.edu.cn