

Tunisian Journal of Mathematics

an international publication organized by the Tunisian Mathematical Society

Partial resolution by toroidal blow-ups

János Kollár

2019 vol. 1 no. 1



Partial resolution by toroidal blow-ups

János Kollár

We give an alternate proof of a theorem of Tevelev about improving a nontoroidal ideal sheaf by a sequence of toroidal blow-ups.

1. Toroidal blow-up. Let X be a smooth variety over a field and $\sum D_i$ a simple normal crossing (abbreviated as *snc*) divisor on X . A (closed) *stratum* of $(X, \sum D_i)$ is an irreducible component of an intersection $D_{i_1} \cap \cdots \cap D_{i_r}$. If $Z \subset X$ is a stratum (or a disjoint union of strata) and $\pi : B_Z X \rightarrow X$ the blow-up then $(B_Z X, \sum_i \pi_*^{-1} D_i + \sum_j E_j)$ is also an snc pair where the E_j are the exceptional divisors of π . We call such blow-ups *toroidal*.

The following question was suggested by Keel.

Question 2. Let $(X, \sum D_i)$ be an snc pair over a field and $J \subset \mathcal{O}_X$ an ideal sheaf. How much can one improve J by a sequence of toroidal blow-ups?

As a simple example, assume that X is a surface. Then there are very few toroidal blow-ups: we can blow up either the curves $D_i \subset X$ (giving the identity map) or any of their intersection points. Thus if the cosupport of J (that is, the support of $\mathcal{O}_X/J$) does not contain any strata then toroidal blow-ups have no effect on J . Similarly, one expects to be able to improve the singularities of J along strata but not necessarily along other subvarieties. This leads to the following.

Definition 3. Let $(X, \Delta := \sum D_i)$ be an snc pair over a field and $J \subset \mathcal{O}_X$ an ideal sheaf. We say that J is *toroidally resolved* if its cosupport does not contain any strata.

The key step of the proof is to show that each ideal sheaf $J \subset \mathcal{O}_X$ has a unique *toroidal hull* $J \subset J^t \subset \mathcal{O}_X$ such that the toroidal resolution problem for J is equivalent to the ordinary resolution problem for J^t ; see [Definition–Theorem 17](#) and [Proposition 20](#). The resolution of toroidal ideals is known over arbitrary fields by [\[Bierstone and Milman 2006\]](#), thus we get the following answer to [Question 2](#).

Theorem 4. *Let (X, Δ) be an snc pair over a field (of arbitrary characteristic) and $J \subset \mathcal{O}_X$ an ideal sheaf. Then there is a toroidal blow-up sequence*

$$(X_n, \Delta_n, J_n) \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow (X_0, \Delta_0, J_0) := (X, \Delta, J)$$

such that $J_n \subset \mathcal{O}_{X_n}$ is toroidally resolved.

We state a more precise version in [Theorem 10](#) and also explain how the ideals J_i transform into each other, but first we apply [Theorem 4](#) to the ideal sheaf of a divisor to get the following answer to the original question of Keel.

Tevelev pointed out that, using [\[De Concini and Procesi 1985\]](#), the methods of [\[Tevelev 2007\]](#) can easily be modified to obtain [Corollary 5](#); see also [\[Popescu-Pampu 2004; Hacking 2008; Ulirsch 2015; Voggiannou 2015\]](#) for closely related variants. In fact, [\[Tevelev 2007\]](#) gives the stronger result that $\Pi_*^{-1}Y$ intersects each stratum in the expected codimension.

Corollary 5 [\[Tevelev 2007\]](#). *Let (X, Δ) be an snc pair over a field and $Y \subset X$ a closed subscheme that does not contain any of the irreducible components of Δ . Then there is a sequence of toroidal blow-ups $\Pi : X_n \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow X_0 := X$ such that the birational transform $\Pi_*^{-1}Y$ does not contain any strata of the pair*

$$(X_n, \Pi_*^{-1}\Delta + \text{Ex}(\Pi)). \quad \square$$

For another application, note that a divisor B does not contain any strata of (X, Δ) if and only if $(X, \Delta + \epsilon B)$ is divisorial log terminal (abbreviated as *dlt*) for $0 < \epsilon \ll 1$, cf. [\[Kollár 2013, 2.8\]](#). We can thus restate the divisorial case of [Corollary 5](#) as follows.

Corollary 6. *Let (X, Δ) be an snc pair over a field and $B \subset X$ an effective divisor that does not contain any of the irreducible components of Δ . Then there is a sequence of toroidal blow-ups $\Pi : X_n \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow X_0 := X$ such that*

$$(X_n, \Pi_*^{-1}(\Delta + \epsilon B) + \text{Ex}(\Pi)) \quad \text{is dlt for } 0 < \epsilon \ll 1. \quad \square$$

The model obtained in [Corollary 6](#) is related to the construction in [\[Odaka and Xu 2012\]](#) of dlt modifications of $(X, \Delta + \epsilon B)$ (in characteristic 0). Our models are smooth but the log canonical class need not be relatively nef. Nonetheless, this suggests that [Corollary 6](#) might be approached using the minimal model program. A problem is that there are many different dlt modifications and most of them are singular. It is not clear to me how to guarantee smoothness using MMP.

7. Plan of the proof of [Theorem 4](#). Assume for simplicity that (X, Δ) is toric with torus T . We assume that Δ consists of all T -invariant divisors. We show that [Theorem 4](#) for J is essentially equivalent to a special case of resolution, usually

called monomialization, of the toric ideal $J^t := \sum_{\tau} \tau^* J$, where we sum over all $\tau \in T$. This is a combinatorial problem that is independent of the characteristic.

Note also that, at least in characteristic zero, J^t is the ideal generated by logarithmic derivatives of all orders of elements of J ; see [Paragraph 14.4](#) and [Proposition 15](#) for details.

In general, (X, Δ) is locally toric in the analytic or étale topology so we need to check that the local construction of J^t gives a global ideal sheaf J^t . This is probably well known to experts. I do not know a reference that covers everything that we need, so we go through the details.

In the precise version of [Theorem 4](#) we further restrict the blow-ups allowed in the sequence. For this we need some definitions first.

8. Toroidally equimultiple blow-ups. Let X be a smooth variety and $J \subset \mathcal{O}_X$ an ideal sheaf. Let $Z \subset X$ be a smooth subvariety and $\pi : B_Z X \rightarrow X$ the blow-up of Z . Let $E \subset B_Z X$ denote the exceptional divisor.

Most resolution methods work with blow-up centers $Z \subset X$ such that J is equimultiple along Z ; that is, $\text{mult}_z J = m$ for every $z \in Z$ for some fixed m . We then define the *birational transform* of J by

$$\pi_*^{-1} J := \mathcal{O}_{B_Z X}(mE) \cdot \pi^* J. \quad (8-1)$$

(This is frequently called the “controlled” or “weak” transform.) This is an ideal sheaf on $B_Z X$. It has the pleasant property that $\text{mult}_y \pi_*^{-1} J \leq m$ for every $y \in E$.

Working toroidally, we would like Z to be a stratum (or a disjoint union of strata). However, if the multiplicity of J jumps at a single point that is not a stratum, then toroidal blow-ups are unlikely to change this. Thus, in a resolution procedure, the best one can hope for is that J is *toroidally equimultiple* along Z , that is, $\text{mult}_W J = \text{mult}_Z J = m$ for every stratum $W \subset Z$ for some fixed m .

If this holds then we define the *birational transform* of J by

$$\pi_*^{-1} J := \mathcal{O}_{B_Z X}(mE) \cdot \pi^* J. \quad (8-2)$$

As before, this is an ideal sheaf on $B_Z X$ and $\text{mult}_V \pi_*^{-1} J \leq m$ for every stratum $V \subset E$.

The resulting birational transform of J then behaves as expected over generic points of strata $W \subset Z$ but can be rather badly behaved elsewhere. This is not a problem if we care only about generic points of strata.

Let us recall a somewhat detailed form of resolution (usually called monomialization) of ideal sheaves, as stated in [\[Kollár 2007, 3.68\]](#).

Theorem 9. *Let (X, E) be an snc pair over a field of characteristic 0 and $J \subset \mathcal{O}_X$ an ideal sheaf. Then there is a blow-up sequence*

$$(X_n, J_n, E_n) \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow (X_0, J_0, E_0) := (X, J, E)$$

with the following properties:

- (1) Each $\pi_i : X_{i+1} \rightarrow X_i$ is a blow-up with smooth center $Z_i \subset X_i$ and exceptional divisor E^{i+1} .
- (2) J_i is equimultiple along Z_i .
- (3) $J_{i+1} = (\pi_i)_*^{-1} J_i$ as in (8-1).
- (4) Z_i has normal crossings with E_i and $E_{i+1} = (\pi_i)_*^{-1} E_i + E^{i+1}$.
- (5) (X_n, J_n, E_n) is resolved; that is, $J_n = \mathcal{O}_{X_n}$.

Now we can state the more precise form of [Theorem 4](#) where we just add “toroidal” to the formulation of [Theorem 9](#) in a few places.

Theorem 10. *Let (X, Δ) be an snc pair over a field of any characteristic and $J \subset \mathcal{O}_X$ an ideal sheaf. Then there is a toroidal blow-up sequence*

$$(X_n, \Delta_n, J_n) \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow (X_0, \Delta_0, J_0) := (X, \Delta, J)$$

with the following properties:

- (1) Each $\pi_i : X_{i+1} \rightarrow X_i$ is a blow-up with smooth, toroidal center $Z_i \subset X_i$ and exceptional divisor E_{i+1} .
- (2) J_i is toroidally equimultiple along Z_i .
- (3) $J_{i+1} = (\pi_i)_*^{-1} J_i$ as in (8-2).
- (4) $\Delta_{i+1} = (\pi_i)_*^{-1} \Delta_i + E_{i+1}$.
- (5) (X_n, Δ_n, J_n) is toroidally resolved.

Remark 11. The role of the divisors E and Δ is quite different in the two Theorems; the notation is changed to emphasize this. In [Theorem 9](#) E is but an auxiliary datum which gives very mild restrictions on the blow-up centers, whereas in [Theorem 4](#) Δ gives extremely strong restrictions on the blow-up centers.

Definition 12. We call a blow-up sequence satisfying [Theorem 9](#)(1)–(4) *equimultiple* and a blow-up sequence satisfying [Theorem 10](#)(1)–(4) *toroidally equimultiple*.

Thus [Theorem 9](#) says that, in characteristic 0, every ideal sheaf can be resolved by an equimultiple blow-up sequence.

13. Toroidal ideals. Let X be a smooth variety and $\sum D_i$ an snc divisor. An ideal sheaf $I \subset \mathcal{O}_X$ is *toroidal* if X is covered by open sets U_j such that

$$I|_{U_j} = \sum_s \mathcal{O}_{U_j}(-\sum_i m_{ijs} D_i|_{U_j}) \quad (13-1)$$

for every j and for suitable $m_{ijs} \in \mathbb{N}$.

Let $Z \subset X$ be a closed stratum and $Z^0 := Z \setminus \cup\{W : W \subsetneq Z \text{ is a stratum}\}$ the corresponding *open stratum*. For every $z \in Z^0 \cap U_j$ the m_{ijs} give vectors

$$v_{js} := (m_{ijs} : D_i \supset Z) \in \sum_{i:D_i \supset Z} \mathbb{N}[D_i] \quad (13-2)$$

and these generate a subsemigroup

$$M_Z \subset \sum_{i:D_i \supset Z} \mathbb{N}[D_i] \quad (13-3)$$

which depends only on Z . For any inclusion of strata $W \subset Z$ we have the coordinate projection

$$p_{Z,W} : \sum_{i:D_i \supset W} \mathbb{N}[D_i] \rightarrow \sum_{i:D_i \supset Z} \mathbb{N}[D_i] \quad (13-4)$$

and the subsemigroups M_Z satisfy the compatibility relation

$$p_{Z,W}(M_W) = M_Z. \quad (13-5)$$

This gives a one-to-one correspondence between toroidal ideals and collections of subsemigroups $\{M_Z\}$ satisfying the compatibility relations (13-5). In particular, we see that $I \mapsto I^{\text{an}}$ gives a one-to-one correspondence

$$\{\text{toroidal ideals } I \subset \mathcal{O}_X\} \leftrightarrow \{\text{toroidal ideals } I^{\text{an}} \subset \mathcal{O}_X^{\text{an}}\}. \quad (13-6)$$

We claim that toroidal ideals are the only ones that can be “canonically” associated to the stratification of an snc pair.

14. Local stratified isomorphisms. Let (X, Δ) be an snc pair and $U_1, U_2 \subset X$ open sets. An isomorphism $\phi : U_1 \rightarrow U_2$ is called *stratification preserving* if $Z \cap U_1 = \phi^{-1}(Z \cap U_2)$ for every stratum $Z \subset X$. Note that our strata are the irreducible components of the intersections of the D_i , thus this is stronger than just assuming $D_i \cap U_1 = \phi^{-1}(D_i \cap U_2)$ for every D_i .

We say that an ideal sheaf $I \subset \mathcal{O}_X$ is invariant under stratification preserving local isomorphisms if $\phi^*(I|_{U_2}) = I|_{U_1}$ holds for every such $\phi : U_1 \rightarrow U_2$.

It is clear that a toroidal ideal is invariant under stratification preserving local isomorphisms and we would like to claim the converse. Unfortunately, if X has no birational automorphisms then the identity map is the only stratification preserving local isomorphism. As usual, there are three ways to get more U_i .

14.1. Complex analytic. If X is over $\mathbb{C}$, we use analytic open sets $U_1, U_2 \subset X^{\text{an}}$.

14.2. Étale local. We use étale morphisms $\tau_i : U \rightarrow X$ and require that $\tau_1^{-1}(Z) = \tau_2^{-1}(Z)$ for every stratum $Z \subset X$.

14.3. Formal local. We use isomorphisms of complete local rings

$$\phi^* : \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{x_2, X} \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{x_1, X}.$$

(If the base field is not algebraically closed we also allow residue field extensions.)

14.4. Micro local. We assume the condition on the tangent space level. That is

$$\mathrm{Der}_X(-\log \Delta) \cdot I \subset I,$$

where $\mathrm{Der}_X(-\log \Delta)$ is the sheaf of logarithmic derivatives along Δ ; cf. [Kollár 2013, 3.87]. This works in characteristic 0 but not in positive characteristic. This shows that the concepts of toroidal ideal and toroidal hull (Definition–Theorem 17) are related to D-balanced ideals and well-tuned ideals used in resolution. See [Kollár 2007, Section 3.4] for the latter notions.

Proposition 15. *Let (X, Δ) be an snc pair and $I \subset \mathcal{O}_X$ an ideal sheaf that is invariant under all stratification preserving local isomorphisms in any of the settings of Paragraphs 14.1–14.3. Then I is a toroidal ideal sheaf.*

Proof. We explain the complex analytic case and leave the details of the other settings to the reader. By (13-6) it is enough to show that I^{an} is toroidal.

Let $\mathbb{D} \subset \mathbb{C}$ denote the unit disc and $\mathbb{D}^*$ the punctured unit disc. We will view $\mathbb{D}^* \subset \mathbb{C}^*$ as a semigroup.

Let $Z^0 \subset X$ be an open stratum. After reindexing the D_i , for every $z \in Z^0$ we can choose a neighborhood of the form $(0 \in \mathbb{D}^n)$, where $D_i = (x_i = 0)$ for $i = 1, \dots, m$. We start with the natural $(\mathbb{D}^*)^m$ action on the first m coordinates. This is a stratification preserving action.

Pick any $f = \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_m} f_{i_1, \dots, i_m}(x_{m+1}, \dots, x_n) \cdot x_1^{i_1} \cdots x_m^{i_m} \in I^{\mathrm{an}}$. Then

$$\tau^* f = \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_m} \chi_{i_1, \dots, i_m} \cdot f_{i_1, \dots, i_m}(x_{m+1}, \dots, x_n) \cdot x_1^{i_1} \cdots x_m^{i_m},$$

where $\chi_{i_1, \dots, i_m} : (\mathbb{D}^*)^m \rightarrow \mathbb{D}^*$ denotes the character $\lambda_1^{i_1} \cdots \lambda_m^{i_m}$. Since the characters of a group (in this case $(\mathbb{C}^*)^m$) are linearly independent we see that

$$f_{i_1, \dots, i_m}(x_{m+1}, \dots, x_n) \cdot x_1^{i_1} \cdots x_m^{i_m} \in I^{\mathrm{an}} + (x_1, \dots, x_m)^N$$

holds for every N . By Krull's intersection theorem this implies that

$$f_{i_1, \dots, i_m}(x_{m+1}, \dots, x_n) \cdot x_1^{i_1} \cdots x_m^{i_m} \in I^{\mathrm{an}}.$$

We next use translations by $(c_{m+1}, \dots, c_m)$ in the $x_{m+1}, \dots, x_n$ directions to achieve that $f_{i_1, \dots, i_m}(x_{m+1} + c_{m+1}, \dots, x_n + c_n)$ is nonzero at $(x_{m+1}, \dots, x_n) = (0, \dots, 0)$. Thus

$$x_1^{i_1} \cdots x_m^{i_m} \in I^{\mathrm{an}} \quad \text{provided } f_{i_1, \dots, i_m}(x_{m+1}, \dots, x_n) \not\equiv 0.$$

This shows that I^{an} is generated by monomials in $x_1, \dots, x_m$; hence it is toroidal. $\square$

Note that (X, Δ) is toric with torus T then we need only the T -action in the above proof. Thus we have showed the following elementary observation.

Corollary 16. *Let (X, Δ) be a smooth toric variety. Then an ideal is toric if and only if it is toroidal.* $\square$

Now we come to the key definition, the toroidal hull of an ideal. The existence of the toroidal hull is a quite elementary observation which is at least implicit in several papers. See, for instance, the notion of the Newton polygon [Kouchnirenko 1976] and its connections with resolutions [Teissier 2004] or the D-balanced and well-tuned ideals discussed in [Włodarczyk 2005]; see also [Kollár 2007, Section 3.4] for more details on the latter.

Definition–Theorem 17. Let (X, Δ) be an snc pair over a field and $J \subset \mathcal{O}_X$ an ideal sheaf. There is a unique, smallest toroidal ideal sheaf $J^t \supset J$, called the *toroidal hull* of J .

Furthermore, if $W \subset X$ is a stratum then $\text{mult}_W J^t = \text{mult}_W J$. (A stronger version of this property is established in Lemma 19.)

Proof. As we noted in Paragraph 13, specifying J^t is equivalent to specifying the semigroups M_Z (13-3) and the latter can be done working in an analytic or formal neighborhood of a point $p_0 \in Z^0$ of an open stratum.

Then the recipe of constructing J^t follows from the proof of Proposition 15:

- (*) Take all $f = \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_m} f_{i_1, \dots, i_m}(x_{m+1}, \dots, x_n) x_1^{i_1} \cdots x_m^{i_m} \in J$ and add the monomial $x_1^{i_1} \cdots x_m^{i_m}$ to J^t whenever $f_{i_1, \dots, i_m} \neq 0$.

This also shows that we have not decreased the multiplicity along Z^0 since

$$\text{mult}_{p_0} x_1^{i_1} \cdots x_m^{i_m} = \inf_{p \in Z^0} \text{mult}_p (f_{i_1, \dots, i_m} \cdot x_1^{i_1} \cdots x_m^{i_m}) \geq \inf_{p \in Z^0} \text{mult}_p f. \quad \square$$

Corollary 18. *Let (X, Δ) be an snc pair and $J \subset \mathcal{O}_X$ an ideal sheaf. Then J is toroidally resolved if and only if $J^t = \mathcal{O}_X$.* $\square$

The following result says that the toroidal hull commutes with toroidal blow-ups along toroidally equimultiple centers.

Lemma 19. *Assume that J is toroidally equimultiple along Z . Then*

$$(\pi_*^{-1} J)^t = \pi_*^{-1} (J^t).$$

Proof. The question is local on X and we can even replace X by its completion $\hat{X}_x$. Thus we may assume that (X, Δ) is toric with torus T acting on X . Then

$$J^t = \sum_{\tau} \tau^* J,$$

where we sum of all $\tau \in T$. If J is toroidally equimultiple along Z with multiplicity m then the same holds for every $\tau^* J$. Thus

$$\pi_*^{-1}(J^t) = \mathcal{O}_{B_Z X}(mE) \cdot \pi^*(\sum_{\tau} \tau^* J) = \sum_{\tau} (\mathcal{O}_{B_Z X}(mE) \cdot \tau^* \pi^* J) = (\pi_*^{-1} J)^t. \quad \square$$

The following observations transforms the toroidal resolution problem for J to the usual resolution problem for its toroidal hull. Thus the toroidal hull is a variant of the concept of *tuning an ideal* used in resolution; see [Kollár 2007, 3.54].

Proposition 20. *Let (X, Δ) be an snc pair over a field and $J \subset \mathcal{O}_X$ an ideal sheaf. There is a natural equivalence between the following sets:*

- (1) *toroidally equimultiple blow-up sequences for J ,*
- (2) *toroidally equimultiple blow-up sequences for J^t ,*
- (3) *equimultiple blow-up sequences for J^t .*

Proof. Definition–Theorem 17 shows that J is toroidally equimultiple along a stratum Z if and only if J^t is toroidally equimultiple along Z . A toroidal ideal is toroidally equimultiple along a stratum Z if and only if it is equimultiple along Z . Thus in all three settings the blow-ups allowed at the first step are the same.

Lemma 19 guarantees that this holds for all subsequent steps by induction. $\square$

21. Resolution of toroidal ideals. It has been long known that resolution of toric ideal sheaves is a combinatorial question that is independent of the characteristic [Kempf et al. 1973; Ash et al. 1975; Cox 2000; González Pérez and Teissier 2002]. However, we need a resolution that is obtained by an equimultiple blow-up sequence. The original toric references that I could find do not claim this and the methods do not seem to be designed for this purpose.

Resolution of toric and toroidal varieties and ideals using equimultiple blow-up sequences is proved in [Bierstone and Milman 2006]; see also [Blanco 2012a; 2012b]. Note that our setting is quite a bit easier since for us all strata are smooth. (This is also the reason why we do not need to worry about imperfect fields.)

One should also note that for toroidal ideals an étale-local resolution procedure is automatically combinatorial. So, although this is not stated, the resolution method discussed in [Włodarczyk 2005; Kollár 2007, Chapter 3] is combinatorial. Thus it yields the required resolution procedure for toroidal ideals over any field.

22. Proof of Theorem 10. By Theorem 9 (in characteristic = 0) and Paragraph 21 (in characteristic $\neq 0$) there is an equimultiple blow-up sequence

$$(X_n, \Delta_n, (J^t)_n) \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow (X_0, \Delta_0, (J^t)_0) := (X, \Delta, J^t)$$

that resolves J^t . By [Proposition 20](#) the same sequence gives a toroidally equimultiple blow-up sequence for J :

$$(X_n, \Delta_n, J_n) \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow (X_0, \Delta_0, J_0) := (X, \Delta, J).$$

By [Lemma 19](#) we know that $(J_n)^t = (J^t)_n$ and the latter is $\mathcal{O}_{X_n}$ by assumption. Thus J_n is toroidally resolved by [Corollary 18](#).

Acknowledgements

I thank S. Keel for posing the question, J. Huh, J. Tevelev and the referee for insightful comments and references. Partial financial support was provided by the NSF under grant number DMS-1362960.

References

- [Ash et al. 1975] A. Ash, D. Mumford, M. Rapoport, and Y. Tai, *Smooth compactification of locally symmetric varieties: Lie Groups: History, Frontiers and Applications, IV*, Math. Sci. Press, Brookline, MA, 1975. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Bierstone and Milman 2006] E. Bierstone and P. D. Milman, “Desingularization of toric and binomial varieties”, *J. Algebraic Geom.* **15**:3 (2006), 443–486. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Blanco 2012a] R. Blanco, “Desingularization of binomial varieties in arbitrary characteristic, I: A new resolution function and their properties”, *Math. Nachr.* **285**:11–12 (2012), 1316–1342. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Blanco 2012b] R. Blanco, “Desingularization of binomial varieties in arbitrary characteristic, II: Combinatorial desingularization algorithm”, *Q. J. Math.* **63**:4 (2012), 771–794. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Cox 2000] D. A. Cox, “Toric varieties and toric resolutions”, pp. 259–284 in *Resolution of singularities* (Oberurgl, Austria, 1997), edited by H. Hauser et al., Progr. Math. **181**, Birkhäuser, Basel, 2000. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [De Concini and Procesi 1985] C. De Concini and C. Procesi, “Complete symmetric varieties, II: Intersection theory”, pp. 481–513 in *Algebraic groups and related topics* (Kyoto/Nagoya, 1983), Adv. Stud. Pure Math. **6**, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1985. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [González Pérez and Teissier 2002] P. D. González Pérez and B. Teissier, “Embedded resolutions of non necessarily normal affine toric varieties”, *C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris* **334**:5 (2002), 379–382. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Hacking 2008] P. Hacking, “The homology of tropical varieties”, *Collect. Math.* **59**:3 (2008), 263–273. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Kempf et al. 1973] G. Kempf, F. F. Knudsen, D. Mumford, and B. Saint-Donat, *Toroidal embeddings, I*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics **339**, Springer, 1973. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Kollár 2007] J. Kollár, *Lectures on resolution of singularities*, Annals of Mathematics Studies **166**, Princeton University Press, 2007. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Kollár 2013] J. Kollár, *Singularities of the minimal model program*, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics **200**, Cambridge Univ. Press, 2013. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Kouchnirenko 1976] A. G. Kouchnirenko, “Polyèdres de Newton et nombres de Milnor”, *Invent. Math.* **32**:1 (1976), 1–31. [MR](#)

- [Odaka and Xu 2012] Y. Odaka and C. Xu, “Log-canonical models of singular pairs and its applications”, *Math. Res. Lett.* **19**:2 (2012), 325–334. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Popescu-Pampu 2004] P. Popescu-Pampu, “On the analytical invariance of the semigroups of a quasi-ordinary hypersurface singularity”, *Duke Math. J.* **124**:1 (2004), 67–104. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Teissier 2004] B. Teissier, “Monomial ideals, binomial ideals, polynomial ideals”, pp. 211–246 in *Trends in commutative algebra*, edited by L. L. Avramov et al., Math. Sci. Res. Inst. Publ. **51**, Cambridge Univ. Press, 2004. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Tevelev 2007] J. Tevelev, “Compactifications of subvarieties of tori”, *Amer. J. Math.* **129**:4 (2007), 1087–1104. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Ulirsch 2015] M. Ulirsch, “Tropical compactification in log-regular varieties”, *Math. Z.* **280**:1-2 (2015), 195–210. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Vogiannou 2015] T. Vogiannou, “Spherical Tropicalization”, preprint, 2015. [arXiv](#)
- [Włodarczyk 2005] J. Włodarczyk, “Simple Hironaka resolution in characteristic zero”, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **18**:4 (2005), 779–822. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)

Received 29 Apr 2017. Revised 13 Jul 2017.

JÁNOS KOLLÁR:

kollar@math.princeton.edu

Department of Mathematics, Princeton University, Fine Hall, Washington Road,
Princeton, NJ 08544-1000, United States

Tunisian Journal of Mathematics

msp.org/tunis

EDITORS-IN-CHIEF

Ahmed Abbes CNRS & IHES, France
abbes@ihes.fr
Ali Baklouti Faculté des Sciences de Sfax, Tunisia
ali.baklouti@fss.usf.tn

EDITORIAL BOARD

Hajer Bahouri CNRS & LAMA, Université Paris-Est Créteil, France
hajer.bahouri@u-pec.fr
Arnaud Beauville Laboratoire J. A. Dieudonné, Université Côte d'Azur, France
beauville@unice.fr
Bassam Fayad CNRS & Institut de Mathématiques de Jussieu-Paris Rive Gauche, Paris, France
bassam.fayad@imj-prg.fr
Benoit Fresse Université Lille 1, France
benoit.fresse@math.univ-lille1.fr
Dennis Gaitsgory Harvard University, United States
gaitsgde@gmail.com
Emmanuel Hebey Université de Cergy-Pontoise, France
emmanuel.hebey@math.u-cergy.fr
Mohamed Ali Jendoubi Université de Carthage, Tunisia
ma.jendoubi@gmail.com
Sadok Kallel Université de Lille 1, France & American University of Sharjah, UAE
sadok.kallel@math.univ-lille1.fr
Minhyong Kim Oxford University, UK & Korea Institute for Advanced Study, Seoul, Korea
minhyong.kim@maths.ox.ac.uk
Toshiyuki Kobayashi The University of Tokyo & Kavli IPMU, Japan
toshi@kurims.kyoto-u.ac.jp
Yanyan Li Rutgers University, United States
yyli@math.rutgers.edu
Nader Masmoudi Courant Institute, New York University, United States
masmoudi@cims.nyu.edu
Haynes R. Miller Massachusetts Institute of Technology, United States
hrm@math.mit.edu
Nordine Mir Texas A&M University at Qatar & Université de Rouen Normandie, France
nordine.mir@qatar.tamu.edu
Detlef Müller Christian-Albrechts-Universität zu Kiel, Germany
mueller@math.uni-kiel.de
Mohamed Sifi Université Tunis El Manar, Tunisia
mohamed.sifi@fst.utm.tn
Daniel Tataru University of California, Berkeley, United States
tataru@math.berkeley.edu
Sundaram Thangavelu Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore, India
veluma@math.iisc.ernet.in
Saïd Zarati Université Tunis El Manar, Tunisia
said.zarati@fst.utm.tn

PRODUCTION

Silvio Levy (Scientific Editor)
production@msp.org

The Tunisian Journal of Mathematics is an international publication organized by the Tunisian Mathematical Society (<http://www.tms.rnu.tn>) and published in electronic and print formats by MSP in Berkeley.

See inside back cover or msp.org/tunis for submission instructions.

The subscription price for 2019 is US \$*TBA*/year for the electronic version, and \$*TBA*/year (+\$*TBA*, if shipping outside the US) for print and electronic. Subscriptions, requests for back issues and changes of subscriber address should be sent to MSP.

Tunisian Journal of Mathematics (ISSN 2576-7666 electronic, 2576-7658 printed) at Mathematical Sciences Publishers, 798 Evans Hall #3840, c/o University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720-3840 is published continuously online. Periodical rate postage paid at Berkeley, CA 94704, and additional mailing offices.

TJM peer review and production are managed by EditFlow® from MSP.

PUBLISHED BY



mathematical sciences publishers

nonprofit scientific publishing

<http://msp.org/>

© 2019 Mathematical Sciences Publishers

Tunisian Journal of Mathematics

2019

vol. 1

no. 1

Welcome to the Tunisian Journal of Mathematics Ahmed Abbas and Ali Baklouti	1
Partial resolution by toroidal blow-ups János Kollár	3
Construction of a stable blowup solution with a prescribed behavior for a non-scaling-invariant semilinear heat equation Giao Ky Duong, Van Tien Nguyen and Hatem Zaag	13
Troisième groupe de cohomologie non ramifiée des hypersurfaces de Fano Jean-Louis Colliot-Thélène	47
On the ultimate energy bound of solutions to some forced second-order evolution equations with a general nonlinear damping operator Alain Haraux	59
On the irreducibility of some induced representations of real reductive Lie groups Wee Teck Gan and Atsushi Ichino	73
Truncated operads and simplicial spaces Michael S. Weiss	109
From compressible to incompressible inhomogeneous flows in the case of large data Raphaël Danchin and Piotr Bogusław Mucha	127