





# On approximations of solutions of the equation $P(z, \ln z) = 0$ by algebraic numbers

## Alexander Galochkin and Anastasia Godunova

The paper is devoted to studying how well solutions of an equation  $P(z, \ln z) = 0$ , where  $P(x, y) \in \mathbb{Z}[x, y]$ , can be approximated with algebraic numbers. We prove a new bound with the help of a construction due to K. Mahler.

The length of a polynomial is the sum of the absolute values of its coefficients. The length of an algebraic number is the length of its canonical polynomial. Let  $\ln z$  be an arbitrary branch of the logarithm. The main result of this paper is the following theorem.

## Theorem 1. Suppose

$$P(x, y) \in \mathbb{Z}[x, y], \quad \deg_x P = d_1, \quad \deg_y P = d_2, \quad d_1 d_2 \neq 0,$$
  
$$\zeta \in \mathbb{C}, \quad P(\zeta, y) \not\equiv 0, \quad P(\zeta, \ln \zeta) = 0.$$

*Then, for every*  $\varepsilon > 0$ *, the inequality* 

$$|\zeta - \theta| < \exp\left(-(4+\varepsilon)d_1d_2\frac{\ln^2 L(\theta)}{\ln \ln L(\theta)}\right) \tag{1}$$

admits only finitely many solutions in algebraic  $\theta$  such that

The length of  $\theta$  can be replaced in (1) by its height  $H(\theta)$ , as

$$L(\theta) < (\varkappa + 1)H(\theta)$$
.

N. I. Feldman [1964] proved a theorem on approximations of the solutions of the equation  $P(z, e^z) = 0$  by algebraic numbers. His result was improved in [Galochkin 1972]. A result similar to (1) can be obtained from [Nesterenko and Waldschmidt 1996, Theorem 5] but with a constant greater than 4 in the exponent. Our proof is based on Mahler's construction [1932a; 1932b; 1967] with a special choice of parameters.

**Lemma 2.** Suppose  $P(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ ,  $\theta$  is an algebraic number, and  $P(\theta) \neq 0$ . Then

$$|P(\theta)| \ge L(P)^{1-\deg \theta} L(\theta)^{-\deg P},$$

where L(P) and  $L(\theta)$  are the lengths of P and  $\theta$  respectively.

MSC2010: 11J82.

Keywords: Diophantine approximation, algebraic numbers, logarithms.

The proof can be found, for instance, in [Feldman 1981].

**Lemma 3.** Let m, n be positive integers. For each  $k = \overline{0, n}$  set

$$\Phi_k(t) = (t - m)^{k+1} \prod_{j=0}^{m-1} (t - j)^{n+1}, \quad R_k(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{\Gamma} \frac{e^{tz}}{\Phi_k(t)} dt, \tag{3}$$

where  $\Gamma$  is the circle |t| = m(n+1) + m. Then

$$\operatorname{ord}_{z=0} R_k(z) = m(n+1) + k, \tag{4}$$

$$|R_k(z)| < (m(n+1)+m)e^{(m(n+1)+m)|z|}(m(n+1))^{-m(n+1)},$$
(5)

$$R_k(z) = P_{k0}(z) + P_{k1}(z)e^z + \dots + P_{km}(z)e^{mz}, \quad P_{kj}(z) \in \mathbb{Q}[z],$$
(6)

$$n_{kj} = \deg P_{kj} = (\operatorname{ord}_{t=j} \Phi_k(t)) - 1 = \begin{cases} n & \text{for } j = \overline{0, m-1}, \\ k & \text{for } j = m, \ k = \overline{0, n}. \end{cases}$$
 (7)

Set  $p_{kj}(z) = b^n n! (m!)^{n+1} P_{kj}(z)$ , where b = lcm(1, 2, ..., m). Then

$$p_{kj}(z) \in \mathbb{Z}[z], \quad L(p_{kj}) < e^{\gamma_1 mn} n!, \quad \text{where } \gamma_1 \text{ is an absolute constant.}$$
 (8)

*Proof.* We have

$$R_k(z) = \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_{ks}}{s!} z^s, \quad a_{ks} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{\Gamma} \frac{t^s}{\Phi_k(t)} dt,$$

 $a_{ks} = 0$  for  $s < n_k$ ,  $a_{k,n_k} \ne 0$ ,  $n_k = m(n+1) + k$ , which proves (4).

Inequality (5) follows from the estimate

$$|\Phi_k(t)| \ge (m(n+1))^{m(n+1)}$$

and an obvious estimate on the integral in (3).

We have

$$R_k(z) = \sum_{j=0}^m I_{kj}, \quad I_{kj} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} e^{jz} \oint_{|t-j|=1/2} \frac{e^{(t-j)z}}{\Phi_k(t)} dt = e^{jz} \sum_{s=0}^{n_{kj}} \frac{a_{kjs}}{s!} z^s,$$

where

$$a_{kjs} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{|t-j|=1/2} \frac{(t-j)^s}{\Phi_k(t)} dt, \quad a_{k,j,n_{kj}} \neq 0, \quad P_{kj}(z) = \sum_{s=0}^{n_{kj}} \frac{a_{kjs}}{s!} z^s.$$
 (9)

This proves both (6) and (7).

Since  $|t - j| = \frac{1}{2}$ , we have

$$|\Phi_k(t)| > (m!)^{n+1} e^{-\gamma_2 mn}$$

Thus, by (9)

$$|a_{kjs}| < (m!)^{-n-1} e^{\gamma_3 mn}.$$
 (10)

Let us use the substitution t - j = bu, where  $b = \text{lcm}(1, 2, ..., m) = e^{O(m)}$ , in order to transform the integral in (9). Then for  $l \neq j$ 

$$t - l = bu + j - l = (j - l)\left(1 - \frac{bu}{l - j}\right).$$

This substitution gives  $a_{kjs} = A_{kjs}B_{kjs}$ , where

$$A_{kjs} = b^{s-n_{kj}} \prod_{l=0, l \neq j}^{m} (j-l)^{-n_{kl}-1},$$

$$B_{kjs} = \oint_{|u|=(2b)^{-1}} \prod_{l=0, l \neq j}^{m} \left(1 - \frac{bu}{l-j}\right)^{-n_{kl}-1} u^{s-n_{kj}-1} du,$$

with  $n_{li}$  defined by (7).

The coefficients of the series

$$\left(1 - \frac{bu}{l-j}\right)^{-1} = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{b}{l-j}\right)^r u^r$$

are integers; hence  $B_{kjs} \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $b^n(m!)^{n+1}A_{kjs} \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Taking into account (9) and (10), we get (8).

**Lemma 4.** There exist polynomials  $B_{ks}(u)$  which, together with the corresponding form

$$V_k(u) = \sum_{s=0}^n B_{ks}(u) (\ln u)^s,$$

enjoy the properties

$$B_{ks}(u) \in \mathbb{Z}[u], \quad k = \overline{0, n},$$
 (11)

$$\deg B_{ks} = \begin{cases} m & \text{for } k \le s, \\ m-1 & \text{for } k > s, \end{cases}$$
 (12)

$$\Delta(u) = \det |B_{ks}|_{k,s=\overline{0,n}} = \lambda(u-1)^{m(n+1)}, \quad \lambda \neq 0,$$
(13)

$$L(B_{ks}(u)) < e^{\gamma_4 mn} n!, \tag{14}$$

$$|V_k(u)| < e^{\gamma_5|u|mn} n^{-mn}. \tag{15}$$

Proof. Let us set

$$V_k(u) = b^n (n!)(m!)^{n+1} R_k(\ln u) = \sum_{j=0}^m p_{kj}(\ln u) u^j = \sum_{s=0}^n B_{ks}(u)(\ln u)^s.$$
 (16)

Statements (11), (12), (14), and (15) follow from Lemma 3. Thus, it remains to prove (13).

First, let us assume that |u-1| < 1 and that  $\ln 1 = 0$ . In this case we have by (4)

$$R_k(z) = z^{m(n+1)+k} T_k(z), \quad T_k(0) \neq 0,$$

whence, taking into account that  $\ln 1 = 0$ , we get

$$V_k(u) = (\ln u)^{m(n+1)+k} F_k(u) = (u-1)^{m(n+1)+k} G_k(u), \quad G_k(1) \neq 0.$$

It follows from (12) that  $\Delta(u) \not\equiv 0$  and that

$$\deg \Delta(u) = m(n+1).$$

Replacing the first column with the one consisting of  $V_0(u)$ ,  $V_1(u)$ , ...,  $V_n(u)$  preserves the determinant. Hence

$$\operatorname{ord}_{u=1} \Delta(u) > m(n+1),$$

which implies (13).

Moving along a path around the origin changes  $\ln u$ , but it does not change  $B_{ks}(u)$ . Therefore, it does not change  $\Delta(u)$ . Thus, (13) holds for every branch of the logarithm.

# **Theorem 5.** Suppose

$$P(x, y) \in \mathbb{Z}[x, y], \quad \deg_x P = d_1, \quad \deg_y P = d_2, \quad d_1 d_2 \neq 0.$$

*Then, for every*  $\varepsilon > 0$  *and every* r > 0*, the inequality* 

$$|P(\theta, \ln \theta)| < \exp\left(-(4+\varepsilon)d_1d_2\frac{\ln^2 L(\theta)}{\ln \ln L(\theta)}\right)$$
(17)

admits only finitely many solutions in algebraic  $\theta$  such that

$$|\theta| < r$$
 and  $\varkappa = \deg \theta = o(\ln \ln L(\theta))$  as  $L(\theta) \to \infty$ . (18)

Note that Theorem 1 follows from Theorem 5. Indeed, for all but finitely many  $\theta$  Theorem 5 provides

$$|P(\theta, \ln \theta)| \ge \exp\left(-(4+\varepsilon)d_1d_2\frac{\ln^2 L(\theta)}{\ln \ln L(\theta)}\right).$$

Hence

$$|P(\theta, \ln \theta)| = |P(\zeta, \ln \zeta) - P(\theta, \ln \theta)| = \left| \int_{\theta}^{\zeta} P'(t, \ln t) \, dt \right| < \gamma_6 |\zeta - \theta|,$$

and we can assume that  $|\zeta - \theta| < 1$ ,  $r = |\zeta| + 1$ . Thus, it remains to prove Theorem 5.

*Proof of Theorem 5.* Let us take

$$m = \left\lceil \frac{d_1}{d_2} n \right\rceil, \quad n > d_2. \tag{19}$$

Then by (14) and (15) we have

$$L(B_{ks}(u)) < e^{\gamma_4 m n} n! < e^{\gamma_7 n^2}, \quad |V_k(u)| < e^{\gamma_7 n^2} n^{-d_1 d_2^{-1} n^2}. \tag{20}$$

Let  $\theta$  be an algebraic number,  $\kappa = \deg \theta$ ,  $L = L(\theta)$ . We may assume that

$$\theta \neq 0$$
,  $\theta \neq 1$ ,  $P(\theta, y) \not\equiv 0$ .

This excludes finitely many values of  $\theta$ . The values

$$W_k(\theta) = (\ln \theta)^k P(\theta, \ln \theta) = \sum_{s=0}^n A_{ks}(\theta) (\ln \theta)^s, \quad k = \overline{0, v}, \quad v = n - d_2,$$
(21)

of the corresponding forms at  $1, \ln \theta, \ldots, (\ln \theta)^n$  are linearly independent. Moreover, we have  $|A_{ks}(\theta)| < e^{\gamma_8 n}$ . Hence we can choose  $d_2$  values among  $V_0(\theta), \ldots, V_n(\theta)$  (say,  $V_1(\theta), \ldots, V_{d_2}(\theta)$ ) which are linearly

independent with the values from (21) and such that

$$D(\theta) = \begin{vmatrix} A_{00}(\theta) & A_{01}(\theta) & \cdots & A_{0n}(\theta) \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ A_{v0}(\theta) & A_{v1}(\theta) & \cdots & A_{vn}(\theta) \\ B_{10}(\theta) & B_{11}(\theta) & \cdots & B_{1n}(\theta) \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ B_{d_{2},0}(\theta) & B_{d_{2},1}(\theta) & \cdots & B_{d_{2},n}(\theta) \end{vmatrix} \neq 0.$$
(22)

Consider the determinant

$$D(u) = \begin{vmatrix} A_{00}(u) & A_{01}(u) & \cdots & A_{0n}(u) \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ A_{v0}(u) & A_{v1}(u) & \cdots & A_{vn}(u) \\ B_{10}(u) & B_{11}(u) & \cdots & B_{1n}(u) \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ B_{d_2,0}(u) & B_{d_2,1}(u) & \cdots & B_{d_2,n}(u) \end{vmatrix}$$

as a polynomial of u. By (11), (12), (19), (20), and (22),

$$D(u) \in \mathbb{Z}[u], \quad D(\theta) \neq 0, \quad \deg D(u) \leq nd_1 + md_2 \leq 2nd_1, \quad L(D(u)) < e^{\gamma_0 n^2}.$$
 (23)

By Lemma 2,

$$|D(\theta)| \ge e^{(1-\kappa)\gamma_9 n^2} L^{-2d_1 n} > e^{-\gamma_9 \kappa n^2} L^{-2d_1 n}, \quad L = L(\theta).$$
 (24)

On the other hand,

$$D(\theta) = \begin{vmatrix} W_0(\theta) & A_{01}(\theta) & \cdots & A_{0n}(\theta) \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ W_v(\theta) & A_{v1}(\theta) & \cdots & A_{vn}(\theta) \\ V_1(\theta) & B_{11}(\theta) & \cdots & B_{1n}(\theta) \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ V_{d_2}(\theta) & B_{d_2,1}(\theta) & \cdots & B_{d_2,n}(\theta) \end{vmatrix};$$

i.e.,

$$D(\theta) = \sum_{k=0}^{v} W_k(\theta) M_k(\theta) + \sum_{l=1}^{d_2} V_l(\theta) N_l(\theta),$$

where  $M_k(\theta)$  and  $N_l(\theta)$  are the cofactors of the first column of  $D(\theta)$ . It follows from (20), (21), and (23) that

$$|W_k(\theta)| < e^{\gamma_8 n} |P(\theta, \ln \theta)|, \quad |V_l(\theta)| < e^{\gamma_7 n^2} n^{-d_1 d_2^{-1} n^2},$$
  
 $|M_k(\theta)| < e^{\gamma_{10} n^2}, \quad |N_l(\theta)| < e^{\gamma_{10} n^2}.$ 

Hence

$$|D(\theta)| < e^{\gamma_{11}n^2} |P(\theta, \ln \theta)| + e^{\gamma_{11}n^2} n^{-d_1d_2^{-1}n^2}.$$

Taking into account (24), we get

$$e^{-2d_1 n \ln L} < e^{\gamma_{12} \times n^2} |P(\theta, \ln \theta)| + e^{\gamma_{12} \times n^2 - d_1 d_2^{-1} n^2 \ln n}.$$
(25)

Given an arbitrary  $\varepsilon > 0$ , let us set

 $n = \left[ \left( 2 + \frac{\varepsilon}{4} \right) d_2 \frac{\ln L}{\ln \ln L} \right].$ 

Then

$$\begin{aligned} 2d_1 n \ln L &\sim \left(4 + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right) d_1 d_2 \frac{\ln^2 L}{\ln \ln L} & \text{as } L \to \infty, \\ d_1 d_2^{-1} n^2 \ln n &\sim \left(4 + \varepsilon + \frac{\varepsilon^2}{16}\right) d_1 d_2 \frac{\ln^2 L}{\ln \ln L} & \text{as } L \to \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Hence due to restrictions (18),

$$\gamma_{12} \varkappa n^2 = o\left(\frac{\ln^2 L}{\ln \ln L}\right).$$

Thus, for L large enough we have  $e^{\gamma_{12} \times n^2 - d_1 d_2^{-1} n^2 \ln n} < \frac{1}{2} e^{-2d_1 n \ln L}$ . Combining this with (25), we get

$$|P(\theta, \ln \theta)| > \frac{1}{2}e^{-\gamma_{12} \times n^2 - 2d_1 n \ln L} > \exp\left(-(4+\varepsilon)d_1 d_2 \frac{\ln^2 L}{\ln \ln L}\right),$$

which implies that inequality (17) has finitely many solutions.

Theorems 5 and 1 are proved.

#### References

[Feldman 1964] N. I. Feldman, "Арифметические свойства решений одного трансцендентного уравнения (Arithmetic properties of the solutions of a transcendental equation)", Vestnik Moskov. Univ. Ser. I Mat. Mekh. 19:1 (1964), 13–20. MR Zbl

[Feldman 1981] N. I. Feldman, Приближения алгебраических чисел, Moskov. Gos. Univ., Moscow, 1981. MR Zbl

[Galochkin 1972] А. І. Galochkin, "О диофантовых приближениях значений показательной функции и решений некоторых трансцендентных уравнений (Diophantine approximations of values of the exponential function and the solutions of certain transcendental equations)", *Vestnik Moskov. Univ. Ser. I Mat. Mekh.* 27:3 (1972), 16–23. MR Zbl

[Mahler 1932a] K. Mahler, "Zur Approximation der Exponentialfunktion und des Logarithmus, Teil I", *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **166** (1932), 118–136. MR

[Mahler 1932b] K. Mahler, "Zur Approximation der Exponentialfunktion und des Logarithmus, Teil II", *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **166** (1932), 137–150. MR Zbl

[Mahler 1967] K. Mahler, "Applications of some formulae by Hermite to the approximation of exponentials and logarithms", *Math. Ann.* **168** (1967), 200–227. MR Zbl

[Nesterenko and Waldschmidt 1996] Y. V. Nesterenko and M. Waldschmidt, "О приближении алгебраическими числами значений экспоненциальной функции и логарифма (Algebraic approximation of values of the exponential function and the logarithm)", pp. 23–42 in Диофантовы приближения, Matematicheskie Zapiski 2, Moskov. Gos. Univ., Moscow, 1996.

Received 30 Dec 2019. Revised 11 Feb 2020.

ALEXANDER GALOCHKIN:

aigalochkin@yandex.ru

Department of Number Theory, Moscow Lomonosov State University, Moscow, Russia

ANASTASIA GODUNOVA:

icq13@mail.ru

Department of Number Theory, Moscow Lomonosov State University, Moscow, Russia



# **Moscow Journal of Combinatorics** and Number Theory

msp.org/moscow

### **EDITORS-IN-CHIEF**

Université de Strasbourg (France) Yann Bugeaud

bugeaud@math.unistra.fr

Lomonosov Moscow State University (Russia) Nikolay Moshchevitin

moshchevitin@gmail.com

Andrei Raigorodskii Moscow Institute of Physics and Technology (Russia)

mraigor@yandex.ru

Ilya D. Shkredov Steklov Mathematical Institute (Russia)

ilya.shkredov@gmail.com

#### EDITORIAL BOARD

Iskander Aliev Cardiff University (United Kingdom)

Moscow Institute of Physics and Technology (Russia) Vladimir Dolnikov

Nikolay Dolbilin Steklov Mathematical Institute (Russia) Oleg German Moscow Lomonosov State University (Russia)

United States Naval Academy Michael Hoffman

Grigory Kabatiansky Russian Academy of Sciences (Russia)

Moscow Institute of Physics and Technology (Russia) Roman Karasev

Gyula O. H. Katona Hungarian Academy of Sciences (Hungary)

Alex V. Kontorovich Rutgers University (United States)

Maxim Korolev Steklov Mathematical Institute (Russia)

Christian Krattenthaler Universität Wien (Austria) Antanas Laurinčikas Vilnius University (Lithuania)

Vsevolod Lev University of Haifa at Oranim (Israel)

János Pach EPFL Lausanne(Switzerland) and Rényi Institute (Hungary)

Rom Pinchasi Israel Institute of Technology – Technion (Israel) Alexander Razborov Institut de Mathématiques de Luminy (France)

Joël Rivat Université d'Aix-Marseille (France) Tanguy Rivoal Institut Fourier, CNRS (France) Damien Roy University of Ottawa (Canada)

Vladislav Salikhov Bryansk State Technical University (Russia) Tom Sanders University of Oxford (United Kingdom) Alexander A. Sapozhenko Lomonosov Moscow State University (Russia)

József Solymosi University of British Columbia (Canada)

Andreas Strömbergsson Uppsala University (Sweden)

Benjamin Sudakov University of California, Los Angeles (United States)

Jörg Thuswaldner University of Leoben (Austria) Hong Kong University (China) Kai-Man Tsang Maryna Viazovska EPFL Lausanne (Switzerland) Barak Weiss Tel Aviv University (Israel)

## PRODUCTION

(Scientific Editor) Silvio Levy

production@msp.org

Cover design: Blake Knoll, Alex Scorpan and Silvio Levy

See inside back cover or msp.org/moscow for submission instructions.

The subscription price for 2020 is US \$310/year for the electronic version, and \$365/year (+\$20, if shipping outside the US) for print and electronic. Subscriptions, requests for back issues and changes of subscriber address should be sent to MSP.

Moscow Journal of Combinatorics and Number Theory (ISSN 2640-7361 electronic, 2220-5438 printed) at Mathematical Sciences Publishers, 798 Evans Hall #3840, c/o University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720-3840 is published continuously online. Periodical rate postage paid at Berkeley, CA 94704, and additional mailing offices.

MJCNT peer review and production are managed by EditFlow® from MSP.



© 2020 Mathematical Sciences Publishers

# In memoriam N. I. Feldman

Naum Ilyitch Feldman	351
NIKOLAY MOSHCHEVITIN	
Effective simultaneous rational approximation to pairs of real quadratic numbers	353
Yann Bugeaud	
Algebraic integers close to the unit circle	361
Artūras Dubickas	
On transcendental entire functions with infinitely many derivatives taking integer values at several points	371
MICHEL WALDSCHMIDT	
Can polylogarithms at algebraic points be linearly independent?	389
SINNOU DAVID, NORIKO HIRATA-KOHNO and MAKOTO KAWASHIMA	
The irrationality measure of $\pi$ is at most $7.103205334137$	407
DORON ZEILBERGER and WADIM ZUDILIN	
Approximating $\pi$ by numbers in the field $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{3})$	421
MIKHAIL YU. LUCHIN and VLADISLAV KH. SALIKHOV	
On approximations of solutions of the equation $P(z, \ln z) = 0$ by algebraic numbers	435
ALEXANDER GALOCHKIN and ANASTASIA GODUNOVA	
Two integral transformations related to $\zeta(2)$	441
RAFFAELE MARCOVECCHIO	