

# ANNALS OF K-THEORY

vol. 5 no. 3 2020

**$C_2$ -equivariant stable homotopy  
from real motivic stable homotopy**

Mark Behrens and Jay Shah



A JOURNAL OF THE K-THEORY FOUNDATION

# $C_2$ -equivariant stable homotopy from real motivic stable homotopy

Mark Behrens and Jay Shah

We give a method for computing the  $C_2$ -equivariant homotopy groups of the Betti realization of a  $p$ -complete cellular motivic spectrum over  $\mathbb{R}$  in terms of its motivic homotopy groups. More generally, we show that Betti realization presents the  $C_2$ -equivariant  $p$ -complete stable homotopy category as a localization of the  $p$ -complete cellular real motivic stable homotopy category.

1. Introduction	411
2. Limits of presentable $\infty$ -categories	418
3. Localization of symmetric monoidal $\infty$ -categories	420
4. Cellularization	427
5. Recollements	432
6. Background on motivic and equivariant homotopy theory	433
7. $\tau$ -self maps	438
8. The equivariant-motivic situation	444
9. Examples	456
Acknowledgments	463
References	463

## 1. Introduction

Let  $\mathrm{SH}(K)$  denote the  $\infty$ -category of motivic spectra over a field  $K$  [Morel and Voevodsky 1999], whose equivalences are given by the stable  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -equivalences. This  $\infty$ -category has a bigraded family of spheres

$$S^{i,j} := S^{i-j} \wedge \mathbb{G}_m^j$$

of topological degree  $i$  and motivic weight  $j$ . These lead to bigraded homotopy groups

$$\pi_{i,j}^K X := [S^{i,j}, X]_K.$$

---

MSC2010: 14F42, 55N91, 55P91, 55Q91.

Keywords: motivic homotopy groups, equivariant homotopy groups.

A motivic spectrum is *cellular* if it is built from the spheres  $S^{i,j}$  using cofiber sequences and filtered homotopy colimits. A map between cellular spectra is a stable  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -equivalence if and only if it is a  $\pi_{*,*}^K$ -isomorphism [Dugger and Isaksen 2005]. We shall let  $\text{SH}_{\text{cell}}(K)$  denote the full subcategory of cellular spectra.

**Complex and real Betti realization.** If  $Z$  is a smooth scheme over  $\mathbb{C}$ , then its  $\mathbb{C}$ -points, or Betti realization

$$\text{Be}(Z) := Z(\mathbb{C}),$$

form a topological space when endowed with the complex analytic topology. The resulting Betti realization functor

$$\text{Be} : \text{SH}(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \text{Sp}$$

(where  $\text{Sp}$  denotes the  $\infty$ -category of spectra) is called *Betti realization* [Morel and Voevodsky 1999]. Since  $\text{Be}(S^{i,j}) = S^i$ , Betti realization induces a map

$$\text{Be} : \pi_{i,j}^{\mathbb{C}} X \rightarrow \pi_i \text{Be}(X).$$

This map was well studied by Dugger and Isaksen [2010] (at the prime 2) and by Stahn [2016] (at odd primes). For a prime  $p$ , the  $p$ -complete motivic stable stems have an element

$$\tau \in \pi_{0,-1}^{\mathbb{C}} (S^{0,0})_p^{\wedge}.$$

The following result is a direct corollary of the results of Dugger and Isaksen and of Stahn (here,  $\widehat{\text{Be}}_p(-)$  denotes  $p$ -completed Betti realization).

**Theorem 1.1** (see Theorem 8.18). *Let  $X \in \text{SH}(\mathbb{C})$  be  $p$ -complete and cellular. Then Betti realization induces an isomorphism of abelian groups*

$$\pi_{i,j}^{\mathbb{C}} X[\tau^{-1}] \xrightarrow{\cong} \pi_i \widehat{\text{Be}}_p(X),$$

and thus an equivalence of  $\infty$ -categories

$$\widehat{\text{Be}}_p : \text{SH}_{\text{cell}}(\mathbb{C})_p^{\wedge}[\tau^{-1}] \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{Sp}_p^{\wedge}.$$

In the real case, there is a real Betti realization functor

$$\text{Be}_{\mathbb{R}} : \text{SH}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \text{Sp}$$

which arises from associating to a smooth scheme  $Z$  over  $\mathbb{R}$  its topological space of  $\mathbb{R}$ -points  $Z(\mathbb{R})$ , endowed with the real analytic topology. The inclusion

$$\rho : \{\pm 1\} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{G}_m$$

gives an element  $\rho \in \pi_{-1,-1}^{\mathbb{R}} S^{0,0}$ , which becomes an equivalence after real Betti realization. Bachmann [2018] proved the following:

**Theorem 1.2** (see [Theorem 8.10](#)). *For all  $X \in \text{SH}(\mathbb{R})$ , real Betti realization induces an isomorphism of abelian groups*

$$\text{Be}_{\mathbb{R}} : \pi_{i,j}^{\mathbb{R}} X[\rho^{-1}] \xrightarrow{\cong} \pi_{i-j} \text{Be}_{\mathbb{R}}(X),$$

and moreover<sup>1</sup> an equivalence of  $\infty$ -categories

$$\text{SH}(\mathbb{R})[\rho^{-1}] \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{Sp}.$$

**Statement of results.** The results discussed above demonstrate that the homotopy groups of the complex and real Betti realizations of a cellular motivic spectrum can be obtained by localizing its motivic homotopy groups, and each of these Betti realization functors is a localization.

*The purpose of this paper is to prove a similar result about the  $C_2$ -Betti realization functor*

$$\text{Be}^{C_2} : \text{SH}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \text{Sp}^{C_2}.$$

Here,  $\text{Sp}^{C_2}$  denotes the  $\infty$ -category of genuine  $C_2$ -spectra. This functor arises from associating to a smooth scheme  $Z$  over  $\mathbb{R}$  the  $C_2$ -topological space  $Z(\mathbb{C})$ , with the  $C_2$ -action given by complex conjugation.

For  $Y \in \text{Sp}^{C_2}$ , the  $RO(C_2)$ -graded equivariant homotopy groups are bigraded by setting

$$\pi_{i,j}^{C_2} Y := [S^{(i-j)+j\sigma}, Y]^{C_2},$$

where  $\sigma$  is the sign representation. In  $G$ -equivariant homotopy theory, one takes the stable equivalences to be the  $\pi_*^H$ -isomorphisms, where  $\pi_*^H$  denotes the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded  $H$ -equivariant homotopy groups, and  $H$  ranges over the subgroups of  $G$ . However, in the case of  $G = C_2$ , a map in  $\text{Sp}^{C_2}$  is a stable equivalence if and only if it is a  $\pi_{*,*}^{C_2}$ -isomorphism (see the discussion following [\(6.1\)](#)). The  $C_2$ -equivariant homotopy groups of  $Y$  can be effectively analyzed from the homotopy pullback (isotropy separation square) [[Greenlees and May 1995](#)]

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y & \longrightarrow & Y^\Phi \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ Y^h & \longrightarrow & Y^t \end{array} \tag{1.3}$$

where<sup>2</sup>

$$\begin{aligned} Y^h &:= F((EC_2)_+, Y) && \text{(homotopy completion),} \\ Y^\Phi &:= Y \wedge \widetilde{EC}_2 && \text{(geometric localization),} \\ Y^t &:= (Y^h)^\Phi && \text{(equivariant Tate spectrum).} \end{aligned}$$

<sup>1</sup>Bachmann’s methods do not rely upon cellularity hypotheses.

<sup>2</sup>The terminology here comes from the fact that the fixed points  $Y^{hC_2}$ ,  $Y^{\Phi C_2}$ , and  $Y^{tC_2}$  are the homotopy fixed points, geometric fixed points, and Tate spectrum of  $Y$ , respectively.

We let  $\mathrm{Sp}^{hC_2}$  denote the full subcategory of  $\mathrm{Sp}^{C_2}$  consisting of homotopically complete spectra, and let  $\mathrm{Sp}^{\Phi C_2}$  denote the full subcategory consisting of geometrically local spectra. The  $C_2$ -geometric fixed points functor gives an equivalence of  $\infty$ -categories  $\mathrm{Sp}^{\Phi C_2} \simeq \mathrm{Sp}$ .

Bachmann’s theorem (Theorem 1.2) effectively describes the homotopy theory of the geometric localization of  $C_2$ -Betti realization  $\mathrm{Be}^{C_2}(-)^\Phi$ . This is because

(1) for all  $X \in \mathrm{SH}(\mathbb{R})$ , we have

$$\mathrm{Be}_{\mathbb{R}}(X) = \mathrm{Be}^{C_2}(X)^{\Phi C_2},$$

(2) geometric localization is given by inverting  $a := \mathrm{Be}^{C_2}(\rho) \in \pi_{-1,-1}^{C_2} S^{0,0}$ :

$$Y^\Phi \simeq Y[a^{-1}]. \tag{1.4}$$

Thus, Bachmann’s theorem (Theorem 1.2) can be restated in the following way.

**Theorem 1.5** (see Theorem 8.24). *For all  $X \in \mathrm{SH}(\mathbb{R})$ ,  $C_2$ -Betti realization induces an isomorphism*

$$\pi_{*,*}^{\mathbb{R}} X[\rho^{-1}] \xrightarrow{\cong} \pi_{*,*}^{C_2} \mathrm{Be}^{C_2}(X)^\Phi,$$

and an equivalence

$$\mathrm{Be}^{C_2} : \mathrm{SH}(\mathbb{R})[\rho^{-1}] \xrightarrow{\simeq} \mathrm{Sp}^{\Phi C_2}.$$

We are thus left to describe the homotopy theory of the homotopy completion of the  $C_2$ -Betti realization.

We first note that a map

$$f : Y_1 \rightarrow Y_2$$

in  $\mathrm{Sp}^{hC_2}$  is an equivalence if and only if the underlying map

$$f^e : Y_1^e \rightarrow Y_2^e$$

of spectra is a nonequivariant equivalence. We therefore first study  $\mathrm{Be}^{C_2}(-)^e$ . Consider the diagram of adjoint functors

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathrm{SH}(\mathbb{R}) & \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Be}^{C_2}} \\ \xleftarrow{\mathrm{Sing}^{C_2}} \end{array} & \mathrm{Sp}^{C_2} \\
 \begin{array}{c} \uparrow \zeta_* \\ \downarrow \zeta^* \end{array} & & \begin{array}{c} \uparrow \mathrm{Ind}_e^{C_2} \\ \downarrow \mathrm{Res}_e^{C_2} \end{array} \\
 \mathrm{SH}(\mathbb{C}) & \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Be}} \\ \xleftarrow{\mathrm{Sing}} \end{array} & \mathrm{Sp}
 \end{array} \tag{1.6}$$

where  $(\zeta^*, \zeta_*)$  are the base change functors associated to the morphism

$$\zeta : \mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{R})$$

and  $(\text{Res}_e^{C_2}, \text{Ind}_e^{C_2})$  are the change of group functors associated to the inclusion

$$e \hookrightarrow C_2.$$

We will prove the following theorem, which has also been independently obtained by Isaksen, Kong, Wang, and Xu.

**Theorem 1.7** (see [Corollary 8.2](#)). *Under the equivalence*

$$\zeta_* \zeta^* S^0 \simeq \Sigma_+^\infty \text{Spec}(\mathbb{C})$$

*the adjunction  $(\zeta^*, \zeta_*)$  induces an equivalence*

$$\text{SH}(\mathbb{C}) \simeq \text{Mod}_{\text{SH}(\mathbb{R})}(\Sigma_+^\infty \text{Spec}(\mathbb{C})).$$

Let  $C\rho \in \text{SH}(\mathbb{R})$  denote the cofiber of  $\rho \in \pi_{-1,-1}^{\mathbb{R}} S^{0,0}$ . Since  $\zeta^*(\rho)$  is null in  $\pi_{-1,-1}^{\mathbb{C}} S^{0,0}$ , there is a map

$$C(\rho) \rightarrow \Sigma_+^\infty \text{Spec}(\mathbb{C}) \tag{1.8}$$

which we show is a  $\pi_{*,*}^{\mathbb{R}}$ -isomorphism after  $p$ -completion ([Proposition 8.3](#)). The real motivic spectrum  $\Sigma_+^\infty \text{Spec}(\mathbb{C})$  is not cellular ([Remark 8.4](#)), so  $C\rho$  may be regarded as its  $p$ -complete cellular approximation. We deduce the following (which was also independently observed by Isaksen, Kong, Wang, and Xu):

**Corollary 1.9** (see [Corollary 8.6](#)). *The adjunction  $(\zeta^*, \zeta_*)$  and equivalence (1.8) induces an equivalence*

$$\text{SH}_{\text{cell}}(\mathbb{C})_p^\wedge \simeq \text{Mod}_{\text{SH}_{\text{cell}}(\mathbb{R})_p^\wedge}(C(\rho)).$$

*In particular, for  $X \in \text{SH}_{\text{cell}}(\mathbb{R})_p^\wedge$  there is an isomorphism*

$$\pi_{*,*}^{\mathbb{C}}(\zeta^* X) \cong \pi_{*,*}^{\mathbb{R}}(X \wedge C\rho).$$

Combining [Corollary 1.9](#) with [Theorem 1.1](#), we deduce that for  $X \in \text{SH}_{\text{cell}}(\mathbb{R})_p^\wedge$ ,

$$\pi_i(\widehat{\text{Be}}_p^{C_2}(X)^e) \cong \pi_{i,j}^{\mathbb{R}}(X \wedge C\rho[\tau^{-1}]).$$

In particular,  $\tau$  exists as a self map

$$\tau : \Sigma^{0,-1} C(\rho)_p^\wedge \rightarrow C(\rho)_p^\wedge.$$

Let  $C(\rho^i)$  denote the cofiber of  $\rho^i \in \pi_{-i,-i}^{\mathbb{R}} S^{0,0}$ . We will prove:

**Theorem 1.10** (see [Theorem 7.10](#) and [Proposition 7.11](#)). *For each  $i \geq 1$ , there exists a  $j$  so that  $C(\rho^i)_p^\wedge$  has a  $\tau^j$ -self map*

$$\tau^j : \Sigma^{0,-j} C(\rho^i)_p^\wedge \rightarrow C(\rho^i)_p^\wedge.$$

Our proof of the existence of these  $\tau$ -self maps at the prime 2 relies on first proving the existence of their  $C_2$ -Betti realizations, and then using a theorem of Dugger and Isaksen [2017b] to lift the self maps to the real motivic category. Because this approach involves some analysis of the  $C_2$ -equivariant stable stems, it may be of independent interest.

We shall let  $C(\rho^i)^\wedge[\tau^{-1}]$  denote the telescope of this  $\tau^j$ -self map. Define, for  $X \in \text{SH}(\mathbb{R})_p^\wedge$ ,<sup>3</sup>

$$X_\rho^\wedge[\tau^{-1}] := \text{holim}_i X \wedge C(\rho^i)[\tau^{-1}].$$

Our main theorem is the following:

**Theorem 1.11** (see Corollary 8.21 and Theorem 8.26). *For  $X \in \text{SH}_{\text{cell}}(\mathbb{R})_p^\wedge$ , the  $p$ -completed  $C_2$ -Betti realization functor  $\widehat{\text{Be}}_p^{C_2}$  induces an isomorphism*

$$\pi_{*,*}^{\mathbb{R}} X_\rho^\wedge[\tau^{-1}] \xrightarrow{\cong} \pi_{*,*}^{C_2} \widehat{\text{Be}}_p^{C_2}(X)^h$$

and the right adjoint

$$\text{Cell Sing}^{C_2} : (\text{Sp}^{hC_2})_p^\wedge \rightarrow \text{SH}_{\text{cell}}(\mathbb{R})_p^\wedge$$

of  $p$ -complete, homotopy complete  $C_2$ -Betti realization is fully faithful.

Thus, Theorems 1.5 and 1.11 combine to express the  $RO(C_2)$ -graded equivariant homotopy groups of  $\widehat{\text{Be}}_p^{C_2}(X)^\Phi$  and  $\widehat{\text{Be}}_p^{C_2}(X)^h$  in terms of the real motivic homotopy groups of  $X$ . By the isotropy separation square (1.3), we just need to be able to compute  $\pi_{*,*}^{C_2} \widehat{\text{Be}}_p^{C_2}(X)^t$  (and the maps on homotopy groups) to recover  $\pi_{*,*}^{C_2} \widehat{\text{Be}}_p^{C_2}(X)$ , but this is easily accomplished by combining Theorem 8.26 with (1.3) to deduce (for  $X$  cellular and  $p$ -complete) an isomorphism<sup>4</sup>

$$\pi_{*,*}^{C_2} \widehat{\text{Be}}_p^{C_2}(X)^t \cong \pi_{*,*}^{\mathbb{R}} X_\rho^\wedge[\tau^{-1}][[\rho^{-1}]].$$

Finally, we will show that the isotropy separation square (1.3) implies that Theorems 1.5 and 1.11 combine to show that  $p$ -complete  $C_2$ -equivariant stable homotopy is a localization of real motivic cellular stable homotopy.

**Theorem 1.12** (see Theorem 8.22). *The right adjoint to  $p$ -complete cellular  $C_2$ -Betti realization*

$$\text{Cell Sing}^{C_2} : (\text{Sp}^{C_2})_p^\wedge \rightarrow \text{SH}_{\text{cell}}(\mathbb{R})_p^\wedge$$

is fully faithful.

We will apply our techniques to compute  $\pi_{*,*}^{C_2} \widehat{\text{Be}}_2^{C_2} X$  from  $\pi_{*,*}^{\mathbb{R}} X$ , for  $X$  equal to

<sup>3</sup>For  $p$  odd, it turns out that independently of  $i$ , one can take  $j = 2$  in Theorem 1.10 (see Proposition 7.11). Consequently,  $X_\rho^\wedge$  has a  $\tau^2$ -self map, and the spectrum  $X_\rho^\wedge[\tau^{-1}]$  can be simply taken to be the telescope of this  $\tau^2$ -self map on  $X_\rho^\wedge$ .

<sup>4</sup>For  $p$  odd, the situation is much simpler, as this Tate spectrum is contractible since  $2 = |C_2|$  is invertible.

- (1)  $(H\mathbb{F}_2)_{\mathbb{R}}$ , the real motivic mod 2 Eilenberg–MacLane spectrum, with

$$\widehat{\mathrm{Be}}_2^{C_2}(H\mathbb{F}_2)_{\mathbb{R}} \simeq H\underline{\mathbb{F}}_2,$$

the  $C_2$ -equivariant Eilenberg–MacLane spectrum associated to the constant Mackey functor  $\underline{\mathbb{F}}_2$ ,

- (2)  $(HZ_2^{\wedge})_{\mathbb{R}}$ , the real motivic 2-adic Eilenberg–MacLane spectrum, with

$$\widehat{\mathrm{Be}}_2^{C_2}(HZ_2^{\wedge})_{\mathbb{R}} \simeq H\underline{Z}_2^{\wedge},$$

the  $C_2$ -equivariant Eilenberg–MacLane spectrum associated to the constant Mackey functor  $\underline{Z}_2^{\wedge}$ ,

- (3)  $kgl_2^{\wedge}$ , the 2-complete effective cover of the real motivic  $K$ -theory spectrum  $KGL$ , with

$$\widehat{\mathrm{Be}}_2^{C_2} kgl_2^{\wedge} \simeq kR_2^{\wedge},$$

the 2-complete connective Real  $K$ -theory spectrum.

In the case of  $(H\mathbb{F}_2)_{\mathbb{R}}$ , the homotopy groups of the  $C_2$ -Betti realization differ from the motivic homotopy groups of the original spectrum through the addition of a notorious “negative cone” (see, e.g., [Dugger and Isaksen 2017b, Figure 1]). From the perspective of the mod 2 Adams spectral sequence, the presence of this “negative cone” makes the equivariant homotopy of the Betti realizations of the other examples similarly more complicated than the motivic homotopy of the original spectra. Our theory organically predicts the presence of the negative cone through a mechanism of local duality such as that studied in [Barthel et al. 2018], and thus gives a more direct route to these equivariant computations by starting with the simpler motivic analogs. This connection with local duality deserves further study.

**Relationship to the work of Heller and Ormsby.** Heller and Ormsby [2016; 2018] also study the relationship between real motivic and  $C_2$ -equivariant spectra (and their results extend to other real closed fields), but their analysis centers around the adjoint pair

$$c_{\mathbb{R}}^* : \mathrm{Sp}^{C_2} \rightleftarrows \mathrm{SH}(\mathbb{R}) : (c_{\mathbb{R}})_*$$

where  $c_{\mathbb{R}}^*$  is the equivariant generalization of the constant functor (Definition 8.11).

Namely, Heller and Ormsby show that  $\mathrm{Sp}^{C_2}$  is a *colocalization* of  $\mathrm{SH}(\mathbb{R})$  by showing that  $c_{\mathbb{R}}^*$  is fully faithful. Their results allow them to compute, for  $X \in \mathrm{Sp}^{C_2}$ , integer graded motivic homotopy groups of  $c_{\mathbb{R}}^* X$  in terms of the integer graded equivariant homotopy groups of  $X$ .

Our results, by contrast, show that  $C_2$ -Betti realization exhibits  $(\mathrm{Sp}^{C_2})_p^{\wedge}$  as a *localization* of  $\mathrm{SH}_{\mathrm{cell}}(\mathbb{R})_p^{\wedge}$ , and this allows us to compute, for  $X \in \mathrm{SH}_{\mathrm{cell}}(\mathbb{R})_p^{\wedge}$ , the equivariant  $RO(C_2)$ -graded homotopy groups of  $\widehat{\mathrm{Be}}_p^{C_2}(X)$  in terms of the bigraded

motivic homotopy groups of  $X$ . Nevertheless, we use the functor  $c_{\mathbb{R}}^*$  to prove our localization theorem.

**Organization of the paper.** The first four sections of this paper are formal. In [Section 2](#), we recall some facts concerning limits of presentable  $\infty$ -categories. In [Section 3](#), we study both Bousfield localizations of symmetric monoidal  $\infty$ -categories and their relation to completion, and we discuss the interaction of these localizations with a monoidal Barr–Beck theorem of Mathew, Naumann, and Noel [[Mathew et al. 2017](#)]. In [Section 4](#), we summarize some facts regarding cellularization in the  $\infty$ -categorical context, and the interaction of cellularization with localization and symmetric monoidal structures.

In [Section 5](#), we recall the notion of a *recollement* of  $\infty$ -categories, which is a formalism for decomposing an  $\infty$ -category using two complementary localizations. We show that to prove an adjunction between two recollements is a localization, it suffices to check fully faithfulness on the constituents of the recollements.

In [Section 6](#), we turn to the case of interest and recall some facts about motivic and equivariant homotopy theory that we will need later.

In [Section 7](#), we show that James periodicity in the 2-primary equivariant stable stems results from the existence of *u-self maps* on  $C(a^i)_2^\wedge$ , where  $a$  is the Euler class of the sign representation. We then use an isomorphism theorem of Dugger and Isaksen [[2017b](#)] to lift these *u-self maps* to  *$\tau$ -self maps* on  $C(\rho^i)_2^\wedge$ . For an odd prime  $p$ , we explain how the work of Stahn [[2016](#)] implies that every  $(p, \rho)$ -complete  $\mathbb{R}$ -motivic spectrum has a  $\tau^2$ -self map.

[Section 8](#) contains all of our main theorems, and their proofs, concerning the localizations induced by Betti realization.

[Section 9](#) contains examples, where we take various real motivic spectra, and use our theory to compute the 2-primary  $RO(C_2)$ -graded  $C_2$ -equivariant homotopy groups of their Betti realizations from their 2-primary motivic homotopy groups. We also explain how to do these kinds of computations at an odd prime, where the story is much simpler.

## 2. Limits of presentable $\infty$ -categories

We collect some necessary facts about limits in the  $\infty$ -category  $\text{Pr}^L$  of presentable  $\infty$ -categories.

Suppose  $\mathcal{C}_\bullet : J \rightarrow \text{Pr}^L$  is a diagram and let

$$\mathcal{X} = \int \mathcal{C}_\bullet \rightarrow J$$

be the presentable fibration [[Lurie 2009](#), Definition 5.5.3.2] classified by  $\mathcal{C}_\bullet$ . By [[Lurie 2009](#), Proposition 5.5.3.13, Corollary 3.3.3.2], we have an equivalence

$$\mathcal{C} := \lim \mathcal{C}_\bullet \simeq \text{Sect}(\mathcal{X}) := \text{Fun}_{/J}^{\text{cocart}}(J, \mathcal{X})$$

between the limit  $\mathcal{C}$  of  $\mathcal{C}_\bullet$  and the  $\infty$ -category of cocartesian sections of  $\mathcal{X}$ . Let  $\mathcal{D}$  be another presentable  $\infty$ -category and suppose that we have an extension

$$\bar{\mathcal{C}}_\bullet : J^\triangleleft \rightarrow \mathbf{Pr}^L$$

with the cone point sent to  $\mathcal{D}$ . Then we have an induced adjunction

$$F : \mathcal{D} \rightleftarrows \mathcal{C} : R.$$

Let

$$\bar{\mathcal{X}} \rightarrow J^\triangleleft$$

be the presentable fibration classified by  $\bar{\mathcal{C}}_\bullet$ . In terms of the description of  $C$  as  $\text{Sect}(\mathcal{X})$ , we may describe  $F$  and  $R$  more explicitly as follows:

(1) The functor

$$F : \mathcal{D} \simeq \lim \bar{\mathcal{C}}_\bullet \rightarrow \mathcal{C} \simeq \lim \mathcal{C}_\bullet$$

is given by the contravariant functoriality of limits for the inclusion  $J \subset J^\triangleleft$ . Thus, under the equivalences  $\text{Sect}(\bar{\mathcal{X}}) \simeq \mathcal{D}$  and  $\text{Sect}(\mathcal{X}) \simeq \mathcal{C}$ , the functor  $F : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  corresponds to the functor

$$F : \text{Sect}(\bar{\mathcal{X}}) \rightarrow \text{Sect}(\mathcal{X})$$

given by restriction of cocartesian sections. In particular, an object  $x \in \mathcal{D}$  corresponds to the cocartesian section

$$\bar{\sigma} : J^\triangleleft \rightarrow \bar{\mathcal{X}}$$

determined up to contractible choice by  $\bar{\sigma}(v) = x$  for  $v$  the cone point, and then  $F(x) = \bar{\sigma}|_J$ .

(2) Let

$$p : \mathcal{X} \subset \bar{\mathcal{X}} \rightarrow \bar{\mathcal{X}}_v \simeq \mathcal{D}$$

be the cartesian pushforward to the fiber over the initial object  $v \in J^\triangleleft$ . Then for any object  $\sigma \in \mathcal{C}$  viewed as a cocartesian section of  $\mathcal{X}$  and  $x \in \mathcal{D}$ , we have the sequence of equivalences

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Map}_{\mathcal{D}}(x, \lim p\sigma) &\simeq \lim \text{Map}_{\mathcal{D}}(x, p\sigma(-)) \\ &\simeq \lim \text{Map}_{\mathcal{C}_\bullet}(F_\bullet x, \sigma(-)) \\ &\simeq \text{Map}_{\mathcal{C}}(Fx, \sigma), \end{aligned}$$

so there is an equivalence  $R(\sigma) \simeq \lim p\sigma$ .

### 3. Localization of symmetric monoidal $\infty$ -categories with respect to a commutative algebra

Let  $\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}$  be presentable stable symmetric monoidal  $\infty$ -categories, where we by default assume that the tensor product commutes with colimits separately in each variable.

**Adjunctions and limits.** We say that an adjunction

$$F : \mathcal{C} \rightleftarrows \mathcal{D} : R$$

is *monoidal* if  $F$  is (strong) symmetric monoidal, in which case  $R$  is lax monoidal.

Given a diagram of commutative algebras in  $\mathcal{C}$

$$p : L \rightarrow \text{CAlg}(\mathcal{C}),$$

we have a canonical monoidal adjunction in  $\text{Pr}^L$

$$\phi : \text{Mod}_{\mathcal{C}}(\lim_L p) \rightleftarrows \lim_L \text{Mod}_{\mathcal{C}}(p(-)) : \psi. \tag{3.1}$$

Let  $R = \lim_L p$ . For  $X \in \text{Mod}_{\mathcal{C}}(R)$ , the unit map  $\eta : X \rightarrow \psi\phi X$  may be identified with the canonical map

$$X \rightarrow \lim_{i \in L} X \otimes_R p(i)$$

in view of the material in [Section 2](#).

Moreover, for any functor  $f : K \rightarrow L$ , by functoriality of limits we have a commutative diagram in  $\text{Pr}^L$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Mod}_{\mathcal{C}}(\lim_L p) & \xrightarrow{\phi} & \lim_L \text{Mod}_{\mathcal{C}}(p(-)) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \text{Mod}_{\mathcal{C}}(\lim_K pf) & \xrightarrow{\phi} & \lim_K \text{Mod}_{\mathcal{C}}(pf(-)) \end{array}$$

**Bousfield localization.** Recall [[Lurie 2009](#), Definition 5.2.7.2] that a *localization* of an  $\infty$ -category  $\mathcal{X}$  is an adjunction

$$L : \mathcal{X} \rightleftarrows \mathcal{X}_0 : R$$

where the right adjoint  $R$  is fully faithful. The left adjoint  $L$  is the localization functor.

When  $\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{C}$  is our presentable stable symmetric monoidal  $\infty$ -category, we will be concerned with the special case of *Bousfield localization* with respect to an object  $E \in \mathcal{C}$ . We briefly recall this notion to fix terminology.

A map

$$X \rightarrow Y$$

in  $\mathcal{C}$  is an  $E$ -equivalence if

$$E \otimes X \rightarrow E \otimes Y$$

is an equivalence. An object  $X \in \mathcal{C}$  is  $E$ -null if

$$X \otimes E \simeq 0.$$

An object  $X \in \mathcal{C}$  is  $E$ -local if for every  $E$ -equivalence

$$f : Y \rightarrow Z$$

the map

$$f^* : \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(Z, X) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(Y, X)$$

is an equivalence, i.e., for every  $E$ -null object  $W$ ,

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(W, X) \simeq 0.$$

Let  $\mathcal{C}_E \subseteq \mathcal{C}$  denote the full subcategory consisting of the  $E$ -local objects. Then  $\mathcal{C}_E$  is again a presentable stable  $\infty$ -category and we have the localization adjunction

$$L_E : \mathcal{C} \rightleftarrows \mathcal{C}_E : i_E.$$

With the tensor product on  $\mathcal{C}_E$  defined by  $L_E(- \otimes -)$ ,  $\mathcal{C}_E$  is a symmetric monoidal  $\infty$ -category and  $L_E \dashv i_E$  is a monoidal adjunction.

**Example 3.2.** Suppose  $E = C(x)$  is the cofiber of a map

$$x : I \rightarrow 1$$

for  $1 \in \mathcal{C}$  the unit. Then we also write  $\mathcal{C}_x^\wedge$  for  $\mathcal{C}_E$  and call this  $\infty$ -category the  $x$ -completion of  $\mathcal{C}$ .

**Derived completion.** If we further suppose that  $E$  is a dualizable  $E_\infty$ -algebra  $A \in \text{CAlg}(\mathcal{C})$ , then Bousfield localization can be computed as the  $A$ -completion. Specifically, we have the following:

- (1) Let  $C^\bullet(A)$  be the Amitsur complex on  $A$  [Mathew et al. 2017, Construction 2.7]. By [Mathew et al. 2017, Proposition 2.21], for any  $X \in \mathcal{C}$  we have an equivalence

$$L_A(X) \simeq \text{Tot}(X \otimes C^\bullet(A)) \simeq \lim_{n \in \Delta} (X \otimes A^{\otimes n+1}).$$

- (2) By [Mathew et al. 2017, Theorem 2.30],<sup>5</sup> this equivalence of objects promotes to an equivalence of symmetric monoidal  $\infty$ -categories

$$\mathcal{C}_A \simeq \text{Tot Mod}_{\mathcal{C}}(C^\bullet(A)) \simeq \lim_{n \in \Delta} \text{Mod}_{\mathcal{C}}(A^{\otimes n+1}).$$

---

<sup>5</sup>Note that even though [Mathew et al. 2017, Hypotheses 2.26] are otherwise in effect in that section of the paper, the proof of [Mathew et al. 2017, Theorem 2.30] only uses that  $A \in \text{CAlg}(\mathcal{C})$  is dualizable.

Let  $I$  denote the fiber of the unit

$$I \xrightarrow{t} 1 \rightarrow A$$

and define

$$C(t^n) := \text{cofib}(t^n : I^{\otimes n} \rightarrow 1).$$

Then there is an equivalence [Mathew et al. 2017, Proposition 2.14]

$$C(t^{n+1}) \simeq \text{Tot}_n(\mathbf{C}^\bullet(A)).$$

Note that because the cosimplicial object  $\mathbf{C}^\bullet(A)$  in  $\mathcal{C}$  canonically lifts to a cosimplicial object in  $\text{CAlg}(\mathcal{C})$ , the cofiber  $C(t^n)$  obtains the structure of an  $E_\infty$ -algebra as a limit and the maps

$$C(t^{n+1}) \rightarrow C(t^n)$$

are maps of  $E_\infty$ -algebras.

**The completion tower.** For our dualizable  $E_\infty$ -algebra  $A$ , we wish to reexpress the above descent description of  $\mathcal{C}_A$  in terms of an inverse limit over the  $\infty$ -categories  $\text{Mod}_{\mathcal{C}}(C(t^n))$ .

By (3.1), for all  $n$  we have canonical monoidal adjunctions

$$\phi_n : \text{Mod}_{\mathcal{C}}(C(t^n)) \rightleftarrows \text{Tot}_{n-1} \text{Mod}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{C}^\bullet(A)) : \psi_n$$

where the left adjoints  $\phi_n$  are compatible with restriction along  $\Delta_{\leq n} \subset \Delta_{\leq m}$ . Passage to the limit then yields the monoidal adjunction

$$\phi_\infty : \lim \text{Mod}_{\mathcal{C}}(C(t^n)) \rightleftarrows \text{Tot} \text{Mod}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{C}^\bullet(A)) : \psi_\infty,$$

where  $\phi_\infty\{X_n\} = \{\phi_n X_n\}$ . By the universal property of the limit, and using that  $C(t^n)$ -modules are  $A$ -local, we also have the monoidal adjunctions

$$\phi : \mathcal{C}_A \rightleftarrows \lim \text{Mod}_{\mathcal{C}}(C(t^n)) : \psi,$$

$$\phi' : \mathcal{C}_A \rightleftarrows \text{Tot} \text{Mod}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{C}^\bullet(A)) : \psi',$$

where the second adjunction is the adjoint equivalence of [Mathew et al. 2017, Theorem 2.30]. These adjunctions fit into a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \phi' & \\ & \curvearrowright & \\ \mathcal{C}_A & \xrightarrow{\phi} \lim \text{Mod}_{\mathcal{C}}(C(t^n)) & \xrightarrow{\phi_\infty} \text{Tot} \text{Mod}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{C}^\bullet(A)). \end{array}$$

**Proposition 3.3.** *Both  $\phi \dashv \psi$  and  $\phi_\infty \dashv \psi_\infty$  are adjoint equivalences of symmetric monoidal  $\infty$ -categories.*

*Proof.* It suffices to prove the first statement. We need to show that

- (1) the unit  $id \rightarrow \psi\phi$  is an equivalence,
- (2)  $\psi$  is conservative.

For (1), given any  $A$ -local object  $X$ , the unit map

$$X \rightarrow \psi\phi X \simeq \lim X \otimes C(t^n) \simeq \text{Tot } X \otimes C^\bullet(A)$$

is already known to be an equivalence. For (2), we first note that  $\phi_n$  is fully faithful, i.e., the unit map  $id \rightarrow \psi_n\phi_n$  is an equivalence. Indeed, for *any* finite  $\infty$ -category  $K$  and functor  $p : K \rightarrow \text{CAlg}(\mathcal{C})$ , if  $R = \lim_K p$  and  $X \in \text{Mod}_C(R)$ , then there is an equivalence

$$X \simeq \lim_K X \otimes_R p(-).$$

Now suppose that  $\{X_n\}$  is a object in  $\lim \text{Mod}_\ell(C(t^n))$  such that

$$\psi\{X_n\} = \lim X_n \simeq 0.$$

Note that since  $\phi_\infty\{X_n\} = \{\phi_n X_n\}$ , for the cosimplicial object  $\phi_\bullet X_\bullet$  we have that  $\text{Tot}_n(\phi_\bullet X_\bullet) \simeq \psi_n\phi_n X_n \simeq X_n$ , so

$$\psi'(\phi_\infty\{X_n\}) = \text{Tot } \phi_\bullet X_\bullet \simeq \lim_n \text{Tot}_n(\phi_\bullet X_\bullet) \simeq \lim_n X_n \simeq 0.$$

Therefore, because  $\psi'$  is an equivalence,  $\phi_\infty\{X_n\} \simeq 0$ . This means that for all  $n$ ,  $\phi_n X_n \simeq 0$ , so  $X_n \simeq \psi_n\phi_n X_n \simeq 0$  and  $\{X_n\} \simeq 0$ . □

**Remark 3.4.** We have a commutative diagram of right adjoints

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \lim \text{Mod}_\ell(C(t^n)) & \xleftarrow{\psi_\infty} & \text{Tot Mod}_\ell(C^\bullet(A)) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \text{Fun}(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{op}, \mathcal{C}) & \xleftarrow{\text{DK}} & \text{Fun}(\Delta, \mathcal{C}) \end{array}$$

where DK is the functor that sends a cosimplicial object to its tower of partial totalizations. DK implements the equivalence of the  $\infty$ -categorical Dold–Kan correspondence [Lurie 2017, Theorem 1.2.4.1]. We may thus interpret Proposition 3.3 as a monoidal refinement of the Dold–Kan correspondence, with  $\phi_\infty$  providing an explicit inverse.

We also record a useful corollary of the proof of Proposition 3.3. This result is a companion to the fact that

$$- \otimes A : \mathcal{C}_A \rightarrow \text{Mod}_\ell(A)$$

is conservative.

**Lemma 3.5.** *For every  $n$ , the base change functor*

$$\text{Mod}_{\mathcal{C}}(C(t^n)) \rightarrow \text{Mod}_{\mathcal{C}}(C(t)) = \text{Mod}_{\mathcal{C}}(A)$$

*is conservative.*

*Proof.* We showed that the functor  $\phi_n$  is fully faithful, and the restriction functor

$$\text{Tot}_{n-1} \text{Mod}_{\mathcal{C}}(C^*(A)) \rightarrow \text{Mod}_{\mathcal{C}}(A)$$

is clearly conservative. □

**The monoidal Barr–Beck theorem.** Throughout, let

$$F : \mathcal{C} \rightleftarrows \mathcal{D} : R$$

be a monoidal adjunction between our presentable symmetric monoidal stable  $\infty$ -categories  $\mathcal{C}$  and  $\mathcal{D}$ . We recall the *monoidal Barr–Beck theorem* of [Mathew et al. 2017], which will be a key technical device to many of the formal results of this paper.

**Theorem 3.6** [Mathew et al. 2017, Theorem 5.29]. *Suppose that  $F \dashv R$  satisfies the following conditions:*

- (1)  *$R$  is conservative,*
- (2)  *$R$  preserves colimits,*
- (3)  *$(F, R)$  satisfies the projection formula: the natural map*

$$R(X) \otimes Y \rightarrow R(X \otimes F(Y))$$

*is an equivalence for all  $X \in \mathcal{D}$  and  $Y \in \mathcal{C}$ .*

*Then there is an equivalence*

$$\mathcal{D} \simeq \text{Mod}_{\mathcal{C}}(R(1_{\mathcal{D}}))$$

*and  $F \dashv R$  is equivalent to the free-forgetful adjunction.*

We may descend **Theorem 3.6** to subcategories of local objects.

**Lemma 3.7.** *Let  $\mathcal{C}$  and  $\mathcal{D}$  be presentable symmetric monoidal stable  $\infty$ -categories, let*

$$F : \mathcal{C} \rightleftarrows \mathcal{D} : R$$

*be a monoidal adjunction, let  $E \in \mathcal{C}$  be any object, and let  $E' = F(E)$ . Then the adjunction  $F \dashv R$  induces a monoidal adjunction*

$$F' : \mathcal{C}_E \rightleftarrows \mathcal{D}_{E'} : R'$$

*between the  $\infty$ -categories of  $E$ -local and  $E'$ -local objects. Moreover:*

- (1) If  $R$  is conservative, then  $R'$  is conservative.
- (2) Suppose that  $(F, R)$  satisfies the projection formula. Then there is an equivalence

$$L_E R \simeq R' L_{E'}$$

and  $(F', R')$  satisfies the projection formula. Moreover, if  $R$  in addition preserves colimits, then  $R'$  preserves colimits.

Therefore, we have

$$\text{Mod}_{\mathcal{C}_E}(R(1_{\mathcal{D}}))_{E'} \simeq \text{Mod}_{\mathcal{C}_E}(L_E R(1_{\mathcal{D}}))$$

and  $F' \dashv R'$  is the free-forgetful adjunction.

*Proof.* Because the functor  $F$  is strong monoidal,  $F$  sends  $E$ -equivalences to  $E'$ -equivalences. Therefore, if  $X$  is  $E'$ -local, then  $R(X)$  is  $E$ -local, so we may define

$$R' : \mathcal{D}_{E'} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_E$$

to be the restriction of  $R$ . We may then define

$$F' : \mathcal{C}_E \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{E'}$$

by  $F' := L_{E'} F$  to obtain the induced monoidal adjunction

$$F' : \mathcal{C}_E \rightleftarrows \mathcal{D}_{E'} : R'.$$

For (1), if  $R$  is conservative, then because  $i_E R' = R i_{E'}$ ,  $R'$  is conservative. For (2), if  $(F, R)$  satisfies the projection formula, then we have that for any  $E'$ -null object  $X$ ,

$$R(X) \otimes E \simeq R(X \otimes E') \simeq 0,$$

so  $R$  sends  $E'$ -equivalences to  $E$ -equivalences. Therefore, we have  $L_E R \simeq R' L_{E'}$ . To see that  $(F', R')$  satisfies the projection formula, we use the sequence of equivalences

$$\begin{aligned} R'(X \otimes_{\mathcal{D}_{E'}} F'(Y)) &\simeq R'(L_{E'}(i_{E'} X \otimes_{\mathcal{D}} F(Y))) \\ &\simeq L_E R(i_{E'} X \otimes_{\mathcal{D}} F(Y)) \\ &\simeq L_E (R(i_{E'} X) \otimes_{\mathcal{C}_E} Y) \\ &\simeq R' X \otimes_{\mathcal{C}_E} Y. \end{aligned}$$

Now suppose that  $R$  preserves colimits. To see that  $R'$  preserves colimits, suppose being given a diagram  $X_{\bullet} : J \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{E'}$ . Then we have

$$\text{colim } X_{\bullet} \simeq L_{E'} \text{colim } i_{E'} X_{\bullet},$$

and we have the sequence of equivalences

$$\begin{aligned}
 R'(\operatorname{colim} X_\bullet) &\simeq R' L_{E'} \operatorname{colim} i_{E'} X_\bullet \\
 &\simeq L_E R \operatorname{colim} i_{E'} X_\bullet \\
 &\simeq \operatorname{colim} L_E R i_{E'} X_\bullet \\
 &\simeq \operatorname{colim} R' L_{E'} i_{E'} X_\bullet \\
 &\simeq \operatorname{colim} R' X_\bullet.
 \end{aligned}$$

Finally, the last statement is a consequence of [Theorem 3.6](#). □

**Example 3.8.** In [Lemma 3.7](#), let  $E = C(x)$  for  $x$  as in [Example 3.2](#). Then we see that

$$\operatorname{Mod}_{\mathcal{C}}(R(1_{\mathcal{D}}))_x^\wedge \simeq \operatorname{Mod}_{\mathcal{C}_x^\wedge}(R(1_{\mathcal{D}})_x^\wedge).$$

We also note a similar result when passing to module categories.

**Lemma 3.9.** *Let  $A \in \operatorname{CAlg}(\mathcal{C})$  and  $A' = F(A)$ , and let*

$$F' : \operatorname{Mod}_{\mathcal{C}}(A) \rightleftarrows \operatorname{Mod}_{\mathcal{D}}(A') : R'$$

*denote the induced monoidal adjunction. Then:*

- (1) *If  $R$  is conservative, then  $R'$  is conservative.*
- (2) *If  $R$  preserves colimits, then  $R'$  preserves colimits.*
- (3) *If  $R$  preserves colimits and  $(F, R)$  satisfies the projection formula, then  $(F', R')$  satisfies the projection formula.*

*Proof.* Because  $F'$  and  $R'$  are computed by  $F$  and  $R$  after forgetting the module structure, the first two results are clear. For the projection formula, under our assumptions the natural map

$$RM \otimes_A N \rightarrow R(M \otimes_{A'} FN)$$

is equivalent to the geometric realization of the map of simplicial diagrams

$$RM \otimes A^{\otimes \bullet} \otimes N \rightarrow R(M \otimes (A')^{\otimes \bullet} \otimes FN),$$

which is an equivalence in view of the projection formula for  $(F, R)$ . □

**Lifting localizations.** For  $A \in \operatorname{CAlg}(\mathcal{C})$  dualizable and

$$C(l^{n+1}) = \operatorname{Tot}_n C^\bullet(A)$$

as before, let  $A' = F(A)$  and  $j = F(\iota)$ , so that  $F(C(\iota^n)) \simeq C(j^n)$ . We have induced monoidal adjunctions

$$F_n : \text{Mod}_{\mathcal{C}}(C(\iota^n)) \rightleftarrows \text{Mod}_{\mathcal{D}}(C(j^n)) : R_n,$$

$$F_\infty : \mathcal{C}_A \rightleftarrows \mathcal{D}_{A'} : R_\infty.$$

We end this section with a result that allows us to lift the property of  $R_1$  being fully faithful to  $R_n$  and  $R_\infty$ .

**Proposition 3.10.** *Suppose that  $R$  preserves colimits,  $(F, R)$  satisfies the projection formula, and  $R_1$  is fully faithful. Then  $R_n$  is fully faithful for all  $1 \leq n \leq \infty$ .*

*Proof.* First suppose  $n < \infty$  and let  $X \in \text{Mod}_{\mathcal{D}}(C(j^n))$ . We need to prove that the counit  $\epsilon_X^n$  is an equivalence. Because the base change functor  $- \otimes_{C(j^n)} A'$  is conservative by Lemma 3.5, it suffices to show that  $\epsilon_X^n \otimes_{C(j^n)} A'$  is an equivalence. But by the projection formula for  $(F_n, R_n)$  established in Lemma 3.9, this map is equivalent to the counit  $\epsilon_{(X \otimes_{C(j^n)} A')}^n$ . Because  $X \otimes_{C(j^n)} A'$  is an  $A'$ -module,  $\epsilon_{(X \otimes_{C(j^n)} A')}^n$  is lifted by the counit  $\epsilon_{(X \otimes_{C(j^n)} A')}^1$ , which is an equivalence by assumption. The proof for the case  $n = \infty$  is similar, where we instead use that

$$- \otimes A' : \mathcal{D}_{A'} \rightarrow \text{Mod}_{\mathcal{D}}(A')$$

is conservative and the projection formula for  $(F_\infty, R_\infty)$  by Lemma 3.7. □

### 4. Cellularization

In this section, we collect a few technical lemmas that will be applied to study the  $\infty$ -category  $\text{SH}_{\text{cell}}(S)$  of cellular motivic spectra. To begin with, we have the following variant of [Mathew et al. 2017, Proposition 2.27] (with the same conclusion), where we do not assume that  $E$  is an algebra object of  $\mathcal{C}$ .

**Lemma 4.1.** *Suppose  $\mathcal{C}$  is a presentable symmetric monoidal stable  $\infty$ -category and  $E$  is a dualizable object in  $\mathcal{C}$ .*

- (1) *For any object  $X \in \mathcal{C}$ ,  $E^\vee \otimes X$  is  $E$ -local. If  $E^\vee \simeq E \otimes \kappa$ , then  $E \otimes X$  is also  $E$ -local.*
- (2) *For any compact object  $X \in \mathcal{C}$ ,  $E^\vee \otimes X$  is compact in  $\mathcal{C}_E$ . If  $E^\vee \simeq E \otimes \kappa$ , then  $E \otimes X$  is also compact in  $\mathcal{C}_E$ .*
- (3) *If  $\{X_i\}$  is a set of compact generators of  $\mathcal{C}$ , then  $\{E^\vee \otimes X_i\}$  is a set of compact generators of  $\mathcal{C}_E$ . Therefore, if  $\mathcal{C}$  is compactly generated, then  $\mathcal{C}_E$  is compactly generated.*

*Proof.* (1) Let  $Z$  be an  $E$ -null object. Then

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(Z, E^\vee \otimes X) \simeq \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(Z \otimes E, X) \simeq 0,$$

so  $E^\vee \otimes X$  is  $E$ -local. If  $E^\vee \simeq E \otimes \kappa$ , then

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(Z, E \otimes X) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(Z \otimes E^\vee, X) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(Z \otimes E \otimes \kappa, X) \simeq 0,$$

so  $E \otimes X$  is  $E$ -local.

(2) Observe that the functor

$$\mathcal{C}_E \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$$

given by  $Y \mapsto Y \otimes E$  preserves colimits [Mathew et al. 2017, Remark 2.20]. Let

$$Y_\bullet : J \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_E$$

be a functor and let us write  $\mathrm{colim} Y_j$  for the colimit in  $\mathcal{C}$  and  $L_E(\mathrm{colim} Y_j)$  for the colimit in  $\mathcal{C}_E$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(E^\vee \otimes X, L_E(\mathrm{colim} Y_j)) &\simeq \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, E \otimes L_E(\mathrm{colim} Y_j)) \\ &\simeq \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, \mathrm{colim}(E \otimes Y_j)) \\ &\simeq \mathrm{colim} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, E \otimes Y_j) \\ &\simeq \mathrm{colim} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(E^\vee \otimes X, Y_j), \end{aligned}$$

so  $E^\vee \otimes X$  is compact. The second assertion is similar.

(3) This follows as in the proof of [Mathew et al. 2017, Proposition 2.27]. □

The following concerns the existence and basic properties of cellularization:

**Lemma 4.2.** *Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a compactly generated stable  $\infty$ -category, let  $S = \{S_i : i \in \mathcal{I}\}$  be a small set of compact objects in  $\mathcal{C}$ , and let  $\mathcal{C}'$  be the localizing subcategory generated by  $S$  (i.e., the smallest full stable subcategory containing  $S$  that is closed under colimits).*

(1)  *$\mathcal{C}'$  is compactly generated and is a coreflective subcategory of  $\mathcal{C}$  (i.e., the inclusion  $j : \mathcal{C}' \subseteq \mathcal{C}$  admits a right adjoint). Moreover, if*

$$\mathrm{Cell} : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}'$$

*denotes this right adjoint, then  $\mathrm{Cell}$  also preserves colimits.*

(2) *Suppose in addition that  $\mathcal{C}$  is closed symmetric monoidal, the unit  $1 \in \mathcal{C}$  is compact and in  $S$ , and for all  $i, i' \in \mathcal{I}$ , we have that  $S_i \otimes S_{i'} \in S$ . Then  $\mathcal{C}' \subseteq \mathcal{C}$  is a symmetric monoidal subcategory.*

(3) *Suppose in addition to the assumptions of (2) that each  $S_i$  is dualizable. Then for all  $X \in \mathcal{C}$  and  $Y \in \mathcal{C}'$ , the natural map  $\theta : \mathrm{Cell}(X) \otimes Y \rightarrow \mathrm{Cell}(X \otimes Y)$  is an equivalence.*

*Proof.* For (1),  $\mathcal{C}'$  is compactly generated by definition, so  $j$  admits a right adjoint by the adjoint functor theorem [Lurie 2009, Corollary 5.5.2.9]. Moreover, the set  $S$  furnishes a set of compact generators for  $\mathcal{C}'$  that are sent to compact objects under  $j$ , so  $\text{Cell}$  preserves colimits. For (2), because the tensor product  $\otimes$  commutes with colimits separately in each variable, our assumption ensures that if  $X, Y \in \mathcal{C}'$ , then  $X \otimes Y \in \mathcal{C}'$ . We may then invoke [Lurie 2017, Remark 2.2.1.2] to see that  $\mathcal{C}' \subseteq \mathcal{C}$  is a symmetric monoidal subcategory. For (3), the assumption ensures that  $\mathcal{C}'$  is generated by dualizable objects under colimits. Because  $\text{Cell}$  commutes with colimits, both the source and target of  $\theta$  commute with colimits separately in each variable. We may thus suppose that  $Y$  is a dualizable object in  $\mathcal{C}'$ , with dual  $Y^\vee$ . Note that  $Y^\vee$  is also the dual of  $Y$  in  $\mathcal{C}$ . For each generator  $S_i$  we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(S_i, \text{Cell}(X) \otimes Y) &\simeq \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(S_i \otimes Y^\vee, \text{Cell}(X)) \\ &\simeq \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(S_i \otimes Y^\vee, X) \\ &\simeq \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(S_i, X \otimes Y) \\ &\simeq \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(S_i, \text{Cell}(X \otimes Y)), \end{aligned}$$

so  $\theta$  is an equivalence. □

The following two lemmas describe the interaction of cellularization with Bousfield localization and passage to module categories.

**Lemma 4.3.** *With the setup of Lemma 4.2(2), let  $E$  be a dualizable object in  $\mathcal{C}'$ . Then:*

- (1) *If  $X \in \mathcal{C}$  is  $j(E)$ -local, then  $\text{Cell}(X) \in \mathcal{C}'$  is  $E$ -local.*
- (2) *For  $X \in \mathcal{C}$ , the natural map*

$$\text{Cell}(X) \otimes E \rightarrow \text{Cell}(X \otimes j(E))$$

*is an equivalence. Hence,  $\text{Cell}$  sends  $j(E)$ -equivalences to  $E$ -equivalences.*

- (3) *The adjunction*

$$j : \mathcal{C}' \rightleftarrows \mathcal{C} : \text{Cell}$$

*induces a monoidal adjunction*

$$j' : \mathcal{C}'_E \rightleftarrows \mathcal{C}_{j(E)} : \text{Cell}'$$

*such that  $\text{Cell}'(X) \simeq \text{Cell}(X)$  for  $X \in \mathcal{C}_{j(E)}$ ,  $j'(Y) \simeq L_{j(E)}j(Y)$  for  $Y \in \mathcal{C}'_E$ , and the functor  $j'$  is fully faithful.*

- (4) *The functor  $\text{Cell}'$  preserves colimits.*

(5) Suppose in addition the condition of Lemma 4.2(3). Then for all  $X \in \mathcal{C}_{j(E)}$  and  $Y \in \mathcal{C}'_E$ , we have the natural equivalence

$$L_E(\text{Cell}'(X) \otimes Y) \simeq \text{Cell}'(L_E(X \otimes Y)).$$

Consequently, the conclusion of Lemma 4.5 holds with  $j' \dashv \text{Cell}'$  in place of  $j \dashv \text{Cell}$ .

*Proof.* We consider each assertion in turn:

(1) If  $Y \in \mathcal{C}'$  is  $E$ -null, then  $j(Y) \in \mathcal{C}$  is  $j(E)$ -null since the inclusion  $\mathcal{C}' \subseteq \mathcal{C}$  is strong monoidal. Then if  $X \in \mathcal{C}$  is  $j(E)$ -local, we have for all  $Y \in \mathcal{C}'$   $E$ -null that  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(Y, \text{Cell } X) \simeq \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(Y, X) \simeq 0$ , so  $\text{Cell}(X)$  is  $E$ -local.

(2) We write  $j(E)$  as  $E$  for clarity. It suffices to observe that for all  $i \in \mathcal{I}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(S_i, \text{Cell}(X) \otimes E) &\simeq \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(S_i \otimes E^\vee, \text{Cell}(X)) \\ &\simeq \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(S_i \otimes E^\vee, X) \\ &\simeq \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(S_i, X \otimes E) \\ &\simeq \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(S_i, \text{Cell}(X \otimes E)). \end{aligned}$$

(3) By (1),  $\text{Cell} : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}'$  restricts to a functor

$$\text{Cell}' : \mathcal{C}_{j(E)} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}'_E.$$

Define

$$j' : \mathcal{C}'_E \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{j(E)}$$

to be the composite

$$\mathcal{C}'_E \subseteq \mathcal{C} \xrightarrow{L_{j(E)}} \mathcal{C}_{j(E)}.$$

Then it is clear that  $j' \dashv \text{Cell}'$ , the adjunction is monoidal with respect to the tensor products  $L_E(- \otimes -)$  and  $L_{j(E)}(- \otimes -)$  on  $\mathcal{C}'_E$  and  $\mathcal{C}_{j(E)}$ , and the unit map

$$\eta : Y \rightarrow \text{Cell}' j' Y$$

is equivalent to  $\text{Cell}$  of the unit map

$$\hat{\eta} : Y \rightarrow L_{j(E)} Y.$$

Because  $\hat{\eta}$  is an  $j(E)$ -equivalence in  $\mathcal{C}$ , by (2) we see that  $\text{Cell}(\hat{\eta})$  is an equivalence.

(4) By Lemma 4.1,  $\{S_i \otimes E^\vee : i \in \mathcal{I}\}$  are a set of compact generators for  $\mathcal{C}'_E$ , and are also compact and  $j(E)$ -local objects when regarded as being in  $\mathcal{C}$ . Therefore, the left adjoint  $j'$  sends compact generators to compact objects, which implies that the right adjoint  $\text{Cell}'$  preserves colimits.

(5) With our additional assumption, the  $S_i \otimes E^\vee$  constitute a set of compact dualizable generators of  $\mathcal{C}'_E$ . The proof of Lemma 4.2(3) then applies to  $j' \dashv \text{Cell}'$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 4.4.** *With the setup of Lemma 4.2(3), let  $A$  be an  $E_\infty$ -algebra in  $\mathcal{C}$  and let  $A' := \text{Cell}(A)$  be the resulting  $E_\infty$ -algebra in  $\mathcal{C}'$ . Then we have an induced adjunction*

$$j' : \text{Mod}_{\mathcal{C}'}(A') \rightleftarrows \text{Mod}_{\mathcal{C}}(A) : \text{Cell}'$$

such that  $j'$  is fully faithful and identifies  $\text{Mod}_{\mathcal{C}'}(A')$  with the localizing subcategory of  $\text{Mod}_{\mathcal{C}}(A)$  generated by  $S_A := \{S_i \otimes A : i \in \mathcal{J}\}$ .

*Proof.* Note that  $\text{Mod}_{\mathcal{C}}(A)$  and  $\text{Mod}_{\mathcal{C}'}(A')$  are compactly generated stable symmetric monoidal  $\infty$ -categories, and the set  $S_{A'} := \{S_i \otimes A'\}$  furnishes a set of compact dualizable generators for  $\text{Mod}_{\mathcal{C}'}(A')$ . Because  $\text{Cell}$  is lax monoidal, it induces a functor  $\text{Cell}' : \text{Mod}_{\mathcal{C}}(A) \rightarrow \text{Mod}_{\mathcal{C}'}(A')$  such that the diagram of right adjoints

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{C}' & \xleftarrow{\text{Cell}} & \mathcal{C} \\ U' \uparrow & & \uparrow U \\ \text{Mod}_{\mathcal{C}'}(A') & \xleftarrow{\text{Cell}'} & \text{Mod}_{\mathcal{C}}(A) \end{array}$$

commutes (where  $U$  and  $U'$  denote the forgetful functors). Since  $\text{Cell}$  preserves limits and  $U, U'$  create limits,  $\text{Cell}'$  also preserves limits and therefore admits a left adjoint  $j'$  such that the diagram of left adjoints

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{C}' & \xrightarrow{j} & \mathcal{C} \\ F' \downarrow & & \downarrow F \\ \text{Mod}_{\mathcal{C}'}(A') & \xrightarrow{j'} & \text{Mod}_{\mathcal{C}}(A) \end{array}$$

commutes (where  $F$  and  $F'$  denote the free functors), so  $j(S_{A'}) = S_A$ . It remains to show that  $j'$  is fully faithful, i.e., that the unit map

$$\eta : M \rightarrow \text{Cell}' j' M$$

is an equivalence for all  $M \in \text{Mod}_{\mathcal{C}'}(A')$ . For this, note that  $\text{Cell}'$  preserves colimits since  $\text{Cell}$  preserves colimits by Lemma 4.2(2) and  $U, U'$  create colimits, so we may suppose that  $M = S_i \otimes A'$ . But then we have

$$\text{Cell}' j'(S_i \otimes A') = \text{Cell}'(S_i \otimes A) \simeq S_i \otimes A'$$

by Lemma 4.2(3), and it is easily checked that  $\eta$  implements this equivalence.  $\square$

Finally, we retain the projection formula after cellularization.

**Lemma 4.5.** *With the setup of Lemma 4.2(3), let  $\mathcal{D}$  be a presentable symmetric monoidal stable  $\infty$ -category and let*

$$F : \mathcal{C} \rightleftarrows \mathcal{D} : R$$

be a monoidal adjunction such that  $R$  preserves colimits and  $(F, R)$  satisfies the projection formula. Then  $\text{Cell } R$  preserves colimits and  $(Fj, \text{Cell } R)$  satisfies the projection formula.

*Proof.* Cell  $R$  preserves colimits by Lemma 4.2(2). For the projection formula, we note that for all  $X \in \mathcal{D}$  and  $Y \in \mathcal{C}'$ ,

$$(\text{Cell } R)(X) \otimes Y \simeq \text{Cell}(RX \otimes Y) \simeq (\text{Cell } R)(X \otimes LY),$$

where the first equivalence is by Lemma 4.2(3) and the second by our assumption on  $(L, R)$ . □

### 5. Recollements

Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be an  $\infty$ -category which admits finite limits. Recall [Lurie 2017, §A.8; Barwick and Glasman 2016] that an  $\infty$ -category  $\mathcal{X}$  is a *recollement* of two full subcategories  $\mathcal{U}$  and  $\mathcal{Z}$  if the inclusions  $j_*, i_*$  of these subcategories admit left adjoints  $j^*, i^*$ :

$$\mathcal{U} \begin{array}{c} \xleftarrow{j^*} \\ \xrightarrow{j_*} \end{array} \mathcal{X} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{i^*} \\ \xleftarrow{i_*} \end{array} \mathcal{Z}$$

such that

- (1) the subcategories  $\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{Z} \subseteq \mathcal{X}$  are stable under equivalence,
- (2) the left adjoints  $j^*, i^*$  are left exact,
- (3) the functor  $j^*i_*$  is equivalent to the constant functor at the terminal object,
- (4) if  $f$  is a morphism of  $\mathcal{X}$  such that  $j^*f$  and  $i^*f$  are equivalences, then  $f$  is an equivalence.

The following lemma shows that if  $\mathcal{X}$  is a recollement of  $\mathcal{U}$  and  $\mathcal{Z}$ , then to test whether a functor into  $\mathcal{X}$  is a localization, it suffices to check this on  $\mathcal{U}$  and  $\mathcal{Z}$ .

**Lemma 5.1.** *Let  $\mathcal{C}$  and  $\mathcal{X}$  be  $\infty$ -categories that admit finite limits and suppose that we have a recollement on  $\mathcal{X}$*

$$\mathcal{U} \begin{array}{c} \xleftarrow{j^*} \\ \xrightarrow{j_*} \end{array} \mathcal{X} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{i^*} \\ \xleftarrow{i_*} \end{array} \mathcal{Z}$$

and an adjunction  $F: \mathcal{C} \rightleftarrows \mathcal{X}: R$  with  $F$  also left exact such that

- (1) the natural transformation  $i^*FRj_* \Rightarrow i^*j_*$  induced by the counit of  $(F, R)$  is an equivalence,
- (2) the functor  $j^*FRi_*$  is equivalent to the constant functor at the terminal object,
- (3) the two functors  $Rj_*$  and  $Ri_*$  are fully faithful.

Then  $R$  is fully faithful.

*Proof.* We will show that for any  $X \in \mathcal{X}$ , the counit  $\epsilon : FRX \rightarrow X$  is an equivalence. Because  $i^*$  and  $j^*$  are jointly conservative, it suffices to show that  $i^*\epsilon$  and  $j^*\epsilon$  are equivalences. Consider the pullback square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \longrightarrow & i_*i^*X \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ j_*j^*X & \longrightarrow & i_*i^*j_*j^*X \end{array}$$

Applying  $i^*FR$  and using that  $Ri_*$  is fully faithful and  $i^*FRj_* \simeq i^*j_*$ , we obtain a pullback square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} i^*FRX & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & i^*FRi_*i^*X \simeq i^*X \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ i^*FRj_*j^*X \simeq i^*j_*j^*X & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & i^*FRi_*i^*j_*j^*X \simeq i^*j_*j^*X \end{array}$$

from which it follows that  $i^*\epsilon$  is an equivalence. Applying  $j^*FR$  and using that  $Rj_*$  is fully faithful and  $j^*FRi_* \simeq 0$ , we obtain a pullback square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} j^*FRX & \longrightarrow & j^*FRi_*i^*X \simeq 0 \\ \downarrow \simeq & & \downarrow \simeq \\ j^*FRj_*j^*X \simeq j^*X & \longrightarrow & j^*FRi_*i^*j_*j^*X \simeq 0 \end{array}$$

from which it follows that  $j^*\epsilon$  is an equivalence. □

### 6. Background on motivic and equivariant homotopy theory

**The motivic stable homotopy category.** Let  $S$  be a scheme and let  $\text{SH}(S)$  denote the symmetric monoidal  $\infty$ -category of motivic  $\mathbb{P}^1$ -spectra over  $S$ . Let  $\text{SH}_{\text{cell}}(S)$  be the localizing subcategory of  $\text{SH}(S)$  generated by the motivic spheres  $\{S^{p,q}\}$ . A motivic spectrum  $E$  is *cellular* if it lies inside  $\text{SH}_{\text{cell}}(S)$ . Note that the full hypotheses of [Lemma 4.2](#) apply.

We recall from [\[Elmanto and Kolderup 2020, §2.2\]](#) the following facts concerning compact and dualizable objects and generation in  $\text{SH}(S)$ :

- (1) For  $X$  an affine smooth scheme over  $S$  and  $q \in \mathbb{Z}$ , the motivic  $\mathbb{P}^1$ -spectrum  $\Sigma^q X_+$  is compact; in particular, the bigraded motivic spheres  $S^{p,q}$  are compact. Compactness of the unit then implies that every dualizable object in  $\text{SH}(S)$  is compact. Moreover,  $\text{SH}(S)$  is generated under sifted colimits by  $\Sigma^q X_+$  and is thus compactly generated.
- (2) If  $K$  is a field of characteristic 0, then every compact object in  $\text{SH}(K)$  is dualizable.

We collect a few facts concerning the functoriality of  $\mathrm{SH}(-)$ ; see [Hoyois 2017] for a reference. Let  $f : T \rightarrow S$  be a morphism of schemes. We always have a monoidal adjunction

$$f^* : \mathrm{SH}(S) \rightleftarrows \mathrm{SH}(T) : f_*$$

The left adjoint  $f_{\sharp}$  to  $f^*$  exists if  $f$  is smooth. If  $f$  is smooth and proper, we have the duality equivalence

$$f_* \simeq f_{\sharp} \Sigma^{-\Omega_f}.$$

In particular, if  $f$  is finite étale, then  $f_* \simeq f_{\sharp}$  and the adjunction  $f^* \dashv f_*$  is ambidextrous. On the other hand, if  $f$  is separated and of finite type, we have the adjunction

$$f_! : \mathrm{SH}(T) \rightleftarrows \mathrm{SH}(S) : f^!$$

Moreover,  $f_!$  coincides with  $f_*$  if  $f$  is proper. If  $f$  is finite étale, we have that  $f^! \simeq f^*$ . Finally, we have the projection formula

$$f_!(X \wedge f^*(Y)) \simeq f_!(X) \wedge Y.$$

**Euler classes.** Let

$$\rho = \rho_S : S^{-1,-1} \rightarrow S^{0,0}$$

be the map in  $\mathrm{SH}(S)$  induced by the inclusion

$$S^{0,0} = \{\pm 1\} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{G}_m = S^{1,1}.$$

The equivariant analog is the element  $a \in \pi_{-1,-1}^{C_2} S$  induced by the inclusion

$$S^0 \hookrightarrow S^\sigma.$$

The element  $a$  is the  $C_2$ -Betti realization of the element  $\rho \in \pi_{-1,-1}^{\mathbb{R}}$ , and also serves as the Euler class for the representation  $\sigma$ .

For  $Y \in \mathrm{Sp}^{C_2}$ , the cofiber sequence

$$\Sigma_+^\infty C_2 \rightarrow S^0 \xrightarrow{\Sigma^\sigma a} S^\sigma \tag{6.1}$$

yields a long exact sequence

$$\dots \rightarrow \pi_{i+1,1}^{C_2} Y \xrightarrow{a} \pi_i^{C_2} Y \rightarrow \pi_i^\sigma Y \rightarrow \dots.$$

It follows that a map of  $C_2$ -spectra is a stable equivalence if and only if it induces an isomorphism on the bigraded homotopy groups  $\pi_{*,*}^{C_2}$ , and that, in contrast to the  $\mathbb{R}$ -motivic case, every  $C_2$ -spectrum is stably equivalent to one built from representation spheres.

The cofiber sequence (6.1) results in an equivalence

$$Ca \simeq \Sigma^{1-\sigma} \Sigma_+^\infty C_2. \tag{6.2}$$

More generally, the cofiber sequences

$$S(i\sigma)_+ \rightarrow S^0 \xrightarrow{\Sigma^{i\sigma} a^i} S^{i\sigma}$$

yield equivalences

$$Ca^i \simeq \Sigma^{1-i\sigma} S(i\sigma)_+ \tag{6.3}$$

and, taking Spanier–Whitehead duals, equivalences

$$Ca^i \simeq (S(i\sigma)_+)^{\vee}.$$

We therefore have, for any  $Y \in \text{Sp}^{C_2}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} Y^h &= F((EC_2)_+, Y) \\ &\simeq \lim_i F(S(i\sigma)_+, Y) \\ &\simeq \lim_i Y \wedge C(a^i) \\ &\simeq Y_a^{\wedge}. \end{aligned} \tag{6.4}$$

Since we have

$$\begin{aligned} Y^{\Phi} &= Y \wedge \widetilde{EC}_2 \\ &\simeq \text{colim}_i Y \wedge S^{i\sigma} \\ &\simeq Y[a^{-1}] \end{aligned} \tag{6.5}$$

we deduce that the isotropy separation square (1.3) is equivalent to the  $a$ -arithmetic square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y & \longrightarrow & Y[a^{-1}] \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ Y_a^{\wedge} & \longrightarrow & Y_a^{\wedge}[a^{-1}] \end{array}$$

Therefore,  $C_2$ -Betti realization takes the  $\rho$ -arithmetic square to the isotropy separation square.

**$\eta$ -completion and  $\eta$ -localization at odd primes.** Let  $K$  be a perfect field. Bachmann [2018, Lemma 39] summarizes relations in  $\pi_{*,*}^K S^{0,0}$  involving the Hopf map

$$\eta \in \pi_{1,1}^K S^{0,0}$$

and the element  $\rho \in \pi_{-1,-1}^K S^{0,0}$ , after 2 is inverted. Namely, the element<sup>6</sup>

$$\epsilon := -\eta\rho - 1$$

---

<sup>6</sup>Here we are following the convention that  $\rho = [-1]$ . Bachmann instead takes  $\rho = -[-1]$ , which results in the formula  $\epsilon = \eta\rho - 1$  in his work.

is the interchange isomorphism

$$\epsilon : S^{1,1} \wedge S^{1,1} \rightarrow S^{1,1} \wedge S^{1,1}.$$

Therefore it satisfies  $\epsilon^2 \simeq 1$ , and hence for any  $X \in \text{SH}(K)[1/2]$ , there is a corresponding decomposition into  $\pm 1$ -eigenspaces

$$\pi_{*,*}^K X \cong \pi_{*,*}^K X^- \oplus \pi_{*,*}^K X^+. \tag{6.6}$$

Here  $(-)^-$  is the  $+1$  eigenspace, and  $(-)^+$  is the  $-1$  eigenspace. We have

$$\pi_{*,*}^K X^- = \pi_{*,*}^K X[\eta^{-1}] = \pi_{*,*}^K X[\rho^{-1}]$$

and on  $\pi_{*,*}^K X^+$  multiplication by  $\eta$  and  $\rho^2$  is zero.<sup>7</sup> We deduce:

**Proposition 6.7.** *For any  $X \in \text{SH}_{\text{cell}}(K)[1/2]$ , we have*

$$X[\eta^{-1}] \simeq X[\rho^{-1}]$$

and the homotopy groups of these spectra are  $\pi_{*,*}^K X^-$ , and we have

$$X_\eta^\wedge \simeq X_\rho^\wedge$$

and the homotopy groups of these spectra are  $\pi_{*,*}^K X^+$ .

*Proof.* From the discussion above we deduce that the maps

$$\begin{aligned} X[\eta^{-1}] &\rightarrow X[\rho^{-1}][\eta^{-1}] \leftarrow X[\rho^{-1}], \\ X_\eta^\wedge &\rightarrow X_{\rho,\eta}^\wedge \leftarrow X_\rho^\wedge \end{aligned}$$

induce isomorphisms on bigraded homotopy groups, and hence are equivalences since the spectra are cellular. □

Finally we note that for  $X \in \text{SH}_{\text{cell}}(K)[1/2]$ , since  $X_\rho^\wedge[\rho^{-1}] \simeq 0$ , the  $\rho$ -arithmetic square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \longrightarrow & X[\rho^{-1}] \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ X_\rho^\wedge & \longrightarrow & X_\rho^\wedge[\rho^{-1}] \end{array}$$

yields a topological lift of the decomposition (6.6)

$$X \simeq X[\rho^{-1}] \vee X_\rho^\wedge. \tag{6.8}$$

---

<sup>7</sup>When  $K = \mathbb{R}$ , multiplication by  $\rho$  is zero on  $\pi_{*,*}^{\mathbb{R}} X^+$ . This follows from the presentation of the Milnor–Witt ring of  $\mathbb{R}$  in the introduction of [Dugger and Isaksen 2017a].

On the other hand, for any  $Y \in \mathrm{Sp}^{C_2}[1/2]$ , the Tate spectrum  $Y^t$  is contractible, and the isotropy separation square reduces to a splitting

$$Y \simeq Y^\Phi \vee Y^h. \tag{6.9}$$

The discussion from the previous subsection implies that  $C_2$ -Betti realization carries the splitting (6.8) to (6.9).

**Motivic and equivariant cohomology.** Let  $(H\mathbb{F}_p)_K$  denote the mod  $p$  motivic Eilenberg–MacLane spectrum over  $K$ . By [Voevodsky 2003; 2011; Stahn 2016],

$$\pi_{*,*}^K(H\mathbb{F}_p)_K = \begin{cases} \mathbb{F}_p[\tau], & K = \mathbb{C}, \\ \mathbb{F}_2[\tau, \rho], & K = \mathbb{R}, p = 2, \\ \mathbb{F}_p[\tau^2], & K = \mathbb{R}, p \text{ odd}. \end{cases}$$

Here,  $\rho$  is the Hurewicz image of  $\rho_{\mathbb{R}}$  (and  $\rho_{\mathbb{C}} \simeq 0$ ).

Eilenberg–MacLane spectra are stable under base change — in particular,

$$\zeta^*(H\mathbb{F}_p)_{\mathbb{R}} = (H\mathbb{F}_p)_{\mathbb{C}}$$

and the associated map

$$\pi_{*,*}^{\mathbb{R}}(H\mathbb{F}_p)_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow \pi_{*,*}^{\mathbb{C}}(H\mathbb{F}_p)_{\mathbb{C}}$$

is the quotient by the ideal generated by  $\rho$  if  $p = 2$ , and the evident inclusion if  $p$  is odd.

The  $C_2$ -Betti realization of the mod  $p$  motivic Eilenberg–MacLane spectrum is the  $C_2$ -equivariant Eilenberg–MacLane spectrum  $H\underline{\mathbb{F}}_p$  associated to the constant Mackey functor  $\underline{\mathbb{F}}_p$  [Heller and Ormsby 2016]:

$$\mathrm{Be}^{C_2}(H\mathbb{F}_p)_{\mathbb{R}} \simeq H\underline{\mathbb{F}}_p.$$

For  $p = 2$  we have

$$\pi_{*,*}^{C_2} H\underline{\mathbb{F}}_2 = \mathbb{F}_2[u, a] \oplus \frac{\mathbb{F}_2[u, a]}{(u^\infty, a^\infty)} \{\theta\}$$

where  $a$  is the Hurewicz image of the element  $a \in \pi_{-1,-1}^{C_2}$ ,

$$u = \mathrm{Be}^{C_2}(\tau) \in \pi_{0,-1}^{C_2} H\underline{\mathbb{F}}_2,$$

and

$$\theta \in \pi_{0,2}^{C_2} H\underline{\mathbb{F}}_2.$$

For  $p$  odd we have

$$\pi_{*,*}^{C_2} H\underline{\mathbb{F}}_p = \mathbb{F}_p[u^{\pm 2}]$$

where

$$u^2 = \mathrm{Be}^{C_2}(\tau^2) \in \pi_{0,-2}^{C_2} H\underline{\mathbb{F}}_p.$$

### 7. $\tau$ -self maps

In this section we will construct  $\tau^j$ -self maps on the spectra  $C(\rho^i)_p^\wedge$ . For  $p = 2$ , this will be accomplished in the first three subsections by first constructing the  $C_2$ -Betti realizations of the desired self maps, and then by using a theorem of Dugger and Isaksen [2017b] to lift these equivariant self maps to real motivic self maps. For  $p$  odd, we will observe in the last subsection that the work of Stahn [2016] implies that every  $\rho$ -complete spectrum has a  $\tau^2$ -self map.

*From now until the last subsection of this section, we implicitly assume everything is 2-complete.*

**$\mathbb{R}$ -motivic and  $C_2$ -equivariant homotopy groups of spheres.** For  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ , let  $P_j^\infty$  denote the stunted projective spectrum given as the Thom spectrum

$$P_j^\infty := (\mathbb{R}P^\infty)^{j\xi}$$

where  $\xi$  is the canonical line bundle. The Segal conjecture for the group  $C_2$  (Lin’s theorem [1980]) implies the following:

**Proposition 7.1.** *There are isomorphisms*

$$\pi_{i,j}^{C_2} S^{0,0} \cong \pi_{i-j}([P_j^\infty]^\vee).$$

*Proof.* The Segal conjecture implies that for a finite  $C_2$ -spectrum  $Y$ , the map

$$Y \rightarrow Y^h = F((EC_2)_+, Y)$$

is a (2-adic) equivalence. Using the equivalence

$$P_j^\infty \simeq (S^{j\sigma})_{hC_2},$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_{i,j}^{C_2} &= [S^{i-j} \wedge S^{j\sigma}, S]^{C_2} \\ &\cong [S^{i-j} \wedge S^{j\sigma}, F((EC_2)_+, S)]^{C_2} \\ &\cong [S^{i-j}, F((EC_2)_+ \wedge S^{j\sigma}, S)]^{C_2} \\ &\cong [S^{i-j}, F((EC_2)_+ \wedge_{C_2} S^{j\sigma}, S)] \\ &= \pi_{i-j}([P_j^\infty]^\vee). \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

Applying  $\pi_{*,*}^{C_2}$  to the norm cofiber sequence

$$(EC_2)_+ \rightarrow S^0 \rightarrow \widetilde{EC}_2 \tag{7.2}$$

gives the long exact sequence

$$\dots \rightarrow \pi_{i-j+1}^s \rightarrow \lambda_{i,j} \rightarrow \pi_{i,j}^{C_2} \xrightarrow{\Phi^{C_2}} \pi_{j-i}^s \rightarrow \dots$$

studied by Landweber<sup>8</sup> [1969]. Using the equivalences

$$[P_j^\infty]^\vee \simeq \Sigma P_{-\infty}^{-j-1} \quad [\text{Bruner et al. 1986, Theorem V.2.14(iv)}],$$

$$S^{-1} \simeq P_{-\infty}^\infty \quad [\text{Lin 1980}],$$

there is an isomorphism of long exact sequences

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 \cdots & \longrightarrow & \pi_{i-j+1}^s & \longrightarrow & \lambda_{i,j} & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \pi_{i,j}^{C_2} & \xrightarrow{\Phi^{C_2}} & \pi_{j-i}^s & \longrightarrow & \cdots \\
 & & \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \cong & & \\
 \cdots & \longrightarrow & \pi_{i-j} P_{-\infty}^\infty & \longrightarrow & \pi_{i-j} P_{-j}^\infty & \longrightarrow & \pi_{i-j-1} P_{-\infty}^{-j-1} & \longrightarrow & \pi_{i-j-1} P_{-\infty}^\infty & \longrightarrow & \cdots
 \end{array} \tag{7.3}$$

where the bottom long exact sequence is the sequence obtained by applying  $\pi_*$  to the cofiber sequence

$$P_{-\infty}^{-j-1} \rightarrow P_{-\infty}^\infty \rightarrow P_{-j}^\infty.$$

By (6.5), the geometric fixed points map is the  $a$ -localization map

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \pi_{i,j}^{C_2} \mathcal{S} & \longrightarrow & \pi_{i,j}^{C_2} \mathcal{S}[a^{-1}] \\
 & \searrow \Phi^{C_2} & \downarrow \cong \\
 & & \pi_{i-j} \mathcal{S}
 \end{array}$$

Thus the groups  $\pi_{*,*}^{C_2}$  consist of  $a$ -torsion, and  $a$ -towers, where the latter are in bijective correspondence with the nonequivariant stable stems. The generators of these  $a$ -towers correspond to the Mahowald invariants [Bruner and Greenlees 1995].

As explained in [Dugger and Isaksen 2017b], Landweber [1969] uses James periodicity to show that the  $a$ -torsion in  $\pi_{i,j}^{C_2}$  is periodic in the  $j$  direction outside of a certain conic region.

**Theorem 7.4** (Landweber). *Define*

$$\gamma(m) := \#\{k : 0 < k \leq m, k \equiv 0, 1, 2, 4 \pmod{8}\}. \tag{7.5}$$

*Outside of the region*

$$j - 1 \leq i \leq 2j$$

*there are isomorphisms*

$$(\pi_{i,j}^{C_2})_{a\text{-tors}} \cong (\pi_{i,j+2\gamma(i-1)}^{C_2})_{a\text{-tors}}.$$

<sup>8</sup>Here, we have indexed  $\pi_{i,j}$  and  $\lambda_{i,j}$  with respect to our bigrading convention, not Landweber's.

*Proof.* Outside of the region described, the map

$$\lambda_{i,j} \rightarrow (\pi_{i,j}^{C_2})_{a\text{-tors}}$$

is an isomorphism, and Landweber [1969, Theorem 2.4, Proposition 6.1] observed that James periodicity implies that there is an isomorphism

$$\lambda_{i,j} \cong \lambda_{i,j+2^\gamma(i-1)}. \quad \square$$

Dugger and Isaksen [2017b] prove the following theorem.<sup>9</sup>

**Theorem 7.6** (Dugger and Isaksen).  *$C_2$ -Betti realization induces an isomorphism*

$$\pi_{i,j}^{\mathbb{R}} S^{0,0} \rightarrow \pi_{i,j}^{C_2} S^{0,0}$$

for  $i \geq 3j - 5$ .

Figure 1 depicts the location of the  $a$ -torsion and the  $a$ -towers in  $\pi_{*,*}^{C_2}$ . The dashed line marks the region where Dugger and Isaksen proved these groups coincide with the groups  $\pi_{*,*}^{\mathbb{R}}$  (Theorem 7.6). This cone in Theorem 7.4 is labeled the “nonperiodicity cone” in the figure. Outside of this cone, the map

$$\lambda_{i,j} \rightarrow (\pi_{i,j}^{C_2})_{a\text{-tors}}$$

is an isomorphism.

**$u$ -self maps.** Since the  $C_2$ -spectra  $S^{1,0}$  and  $S^{1,1}$  are nonequivariantly equivalent, the equivalence (6.2) results in a self-equivalence

$$u : \Sigma^{0,-1} Ca \rightarrow Ca.$$

We denote this map  $u$ , and shall refer to it as a  $u$ -self map, because it induces the multiplication by  $u$  map on the homology groups

$$(H\underline{\mathbb{F}}_2)_{*,*}(Ca) \cong \mathbb{F}_2[u^\pm].$$

We invite the reader to think of a  $u$ -self map as analogous to the  $v_n$ -self maps of chromatic homotopy theory [Ravenel 1992]. For instance, the mod  $2^i$  Moore spectrum admits a  $v_1^j$ -self map for certain values of  $j$  which depend on  $i$ . We have the following analog in the present situation.

**Theorem 7.7.** *The  $C_2$ -spectrum  $Ca^i$  admits a  $u$ -self map*


$$u_{2^\gamma(i-1)} : \Sigma^{0,-2^\gamma(i-1)} Ca^i \rightarrow Ca^i$$

and this map is an equivalence.

To prove Theorem 7.7 (and the forthcoming Theorem 7.10) we shall need the following lemma.

---

<sup>9</sup>Belmont, Guillou, and Isaksen [Belmont et al. 2020] have recently improved this isomorphism theorem to the region  $i \geq 2j - 4$ .



**Figure 1.** The structure of  $\pi_{i,j}^{C_2} S^{0,0}$ .

**Lemma 7.8.** *The spectra  $C\rho^i \in \text{SH}(\mathbb{R})$  and  $Ca^i \in \text{Sp}^{C_2}$  are  $E_\infty$ -ring spectra.*

*Proof.* The case of  $C\rho^i$  is explained in [Remark 8.5](#). The case of  $Ca^i$  follows from the fact that  $C_2$ -Betti realization is monoidal. □

*Proof of Theorem 7.7.* Using the equivalence (6.3) and the Adams isomorphism,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \pi_{k,l}^{C_2} Ca^i &= [S^{k-l+\sigma}, \Sigma^{1-i\sigma} S(i\sigma)_+]^{C_2} \\
 &\cong [S^{k-l}, \Sigma S(i\sigma)_+ \wedge S^{(-l-i)\sigma}]^{C_2} \\
 &\cong [S^{k-l}, \Sigma S(i\sigma)_+ \wedge_{C_2} S^{(-l-i)\sigma}] \\
 &\cong \pi_{k-l} \Sigma P_{-l-i}^{-l-1}
 \end{aligned}$$

and a similar argument yields

$$(H\underline{\mathbb{F}}_2)_{k,l}Ca^i \cong (H\underline{\mathbb{F}}_2)_{k-l} \Sigma P_{-l-i}^{-l-1}. \tag{7.9}$$

It follows that

$$(H\underline{\mathbb{F}}_2)_{*,*}Ca^i \cong \mathbb{F}_2[u^\pm, a]/(a^i)$$

where, under the isomorphism (7.9), the monomial  $u^s a^t$  is the homology class coming from the  $(s - 1)$ -cell of  $P_{s+t-i}^{s+t-1}$ .

By Lemma 7.8, to prove the theorem it suffices to prove that there is an element

$$u_{2^{2\gamma(i-1)}} \in \pi_{0, -2^{2\gamma(i-1)}}^{C_2} Ca^i$$

whose Hurewicz image is

$$u^{2^{2\gamma(i-1)}} \in H_{0, -2^{2\gamma(i-1)}}^{C_2} Ca^i.$$

Using the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \pi_{0, -2^{2\gamma(i-1)}}^{C_2} Ca^i & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \pi_{2^{2\gamma(i-1)}} \Sigma P_{2^{2\gamma(i-1)}-i}^{2^{2\gamma(i-1)}-1} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ H_{0, -2^{2\gamma(i-1)}}^{C_2} Ca^i & \xrightarrow{\cong} & H_{2^{2\gamma(i-1)}} \Sigma P_{2^{2\gamma(i-1)}-i}^{2^{2\gamma(i-1)}-1} \end{array}$$

relating equivariant and nonequivariant Hurewicz homomorphisms, the result follows from the fact [Bruner et al. 1986, Theorem V.2.14(v)] that  $P_{2^{2\gamma(i-1)}-i}^{2^{2\gamma(i-1)}-1}$  is reducible.

The resulting self map  $u_{2^{2\gamma(i-1)}}$  induces multiplication by  $u^{2^{2\gamma(i-1)}}$  on homology, and therefore is a homology isomorphism, and hence is a equivalence.  $\square$

Note that we make no claims that these  $u$ -self maps have any uniqueness or compatibility properties.

**$\tau$ -self maps.**

**Theorem 7.10.** *The  $\mathbb{R}$ -motivic spectrum  $C\rho^i$  admits a  $\tau$ -self map*

$$\tau_{2^{2\gamma(i-1)}} : \Sigma^{0, -2^{2\gamma(i-1)}} C\rho^i \rightarrow C\rho^i.$$

*Proof.* By Lemma 7.8, it suffices to prove that there is an element

$$\tau_{2^{2\gamma(i-1)}} \in \pi_{0, -2^{2\gamma(i-1)}}^{C_2} C\rho^i$$

whose Hurewicz image is

$$\tau^{2^{2\gamma(i-1)}} \in (H\underline{\mathbb{F}}_2)_{0, -2^{2\gamma(i-1)}} C\rho^i \cong \mathbb{F}_2[\tau, \rho]/(\rho^i).$$

By [Theorem 7.6](#), there are isomorphisms in the map of long exact sequences

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
 \pi_{i, i-2\gamma(i-1)}^{\mathbb{R}} & \xrightarrow{\rho^i} & \pi_{0, -2\gamma(i-1)}^{\mathbb{R}} & \longrightarrow & \pi_{0, -2\gamma(i-1)}^{\mathbb{R}} C\rho^i & \longrightarrow & \pi_{i-1, i-2\gamma(i-1)}^{\mathbb{R}} & \xrightarrow{\rho^i} & \pi_{-1, -2\gamma(i-1)}^{\mathbb{R}} \\
 \cong \downarrow & & \cong \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \cong \downarrow & & \cong \downarrow \\
 \pi_{i, i-2\gamma(i-1)}^{C_2} & \xrightarrow{a^i} & \pi_{0, -2\gamma(i-1)}^{C_2} & \longrightarrow & \pi_{0, -2\gamma(i-1)}^{C_2} C a^i & \longrightarrow & \pi_{i-1, i-2\gamma(i-1)}^{C_2} & \xrightarrow{a^i} & \cdots \pi_{-1, -2\gamma(i-1)}^{C_2}
 \end{array}$$

which, by the 5-lemma, allow us to deduce that there is an isomorphism

$$\pi_{0, -2\gamma(i-1)}^{\mathbb{R}} C\rho^i \xrightarrow{\cong} \pi_{0, -2\gamma(i-1)}^{C_2} C a^i.$$

The desired element  $\tau_{2\gamma(i-1)}$  can be taken to be an element which corresponds, under this isomorphism, to the element  $u_{2\gamma(i-1)}$  of [Theorem 7.7](#). □

**$\tau$ -self maps at an odd prime.** *In this subsection, everything is implicitly  $p$ -complete for a fixed odd prime  $p$ .*

Consider the homotopy complete ( $p$ -complete)  $C_2$ -equivariant sphere  $S^h$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \pi_{0,k}^{C_2} S^h &= [S^{k\sigma-k}, F((EC_2)_+, S)]^{C_2} \\
 &\cong [(EC_2)_+ \wedge S^{k\sigma}, S^k]^{C_2} \\
 &\cong [(EC_2)_+ \wedge_{C_2} S^{k\sigma}, S^k] \\
 &\cong [P_k^\infty, S^k] \\
 &\cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}_p, & k \text{ even,} \\ 0, & k \text{ odd,} \end{cases}
 \end{aligned}$$

where the last isomorphism comes from the fact that  $P_k^\infty$  is  $p$ -adically contractible if  $k$  is odd, and inclusion of the bottom cell

$$S^k \hookrightarrow P_k^\infty$$

is a  $p$ -adic equivalence if  $k$  is even. Define  $u^2$  to be a generator of  $\pi_{0,-2}^{C_2} S^h$ . Then the above calculation implies that

$$\pi_{0,*}^{C_2} S^h \cong \mathbb{Z}_p[u^{\pm 2}].$$

Thus the homotopy groups of any  $p$ -complete homotopy complete  $C_2$ -equivariant spectrum are  $u^2$ -periodic.

**Proposition 7.11.** *We have*

$$\pi_{0,*}^{\mathbb{R}} S_\rho^\wedge \cong \mathbb{Z}_p[\tau^2]$$

and every ( $p$ -complete)  $\rho$ -complete real motivic spectrum has a  $\tau^2$ -self map. Moreover, we have

$$\widehat{\text{Be}}_p^{C_2}(\tau^2) = u^2.$$

*Proof.* Let  $BPGL$  be the odd primary real motivic Brown–Peterson spectrum constructed in [Stahn 2016]. By [Stahn 2016, Proposition 2.5], we have

$$\pi_{*,*}^{\mathbb{R}} BPGL = \mathbb{Z}_p[\tau^2, v_1, v_2, \dots]$$

with  $|v_i| = (2p^i - 2, p^i - 1)$ . Consider the associated ( $p$ -complete) real motivic Adams–Novikov spectral sequence<sup>10</sup>

$$\text{Ext}_{BPGL_{*,*}, BPGL}(BPGL_{*,*}, BPGL_{*,*}) \Rightarrow \pi_{*,*}^{\mathbb{R}} S_{\eta}^{\wedge}.$$

Stahn [2016] explains the odd primary analog of a recipe of Dugger and Isaksen [2010], which allows one to completely construct the motivic Adams–Novikov spectral sequence from the classical Adams–Novikov spectral sequence. In particular, using Proposition 6.7, we are able to deduce the first statement. The second statement follows by considering the composite (arising from the Hurewicz homomorphism, the map of [Hoyois 2015], and Betti realization)

$$\mathbb{Z}_p[\tau^2] = \pi_{0,*}^{\mathbb{R}} S_{\rho}^{\wedge} \rightarrow \pi_{0,*}^{\mathbb{R}} BPGL \rightarrow \pi_{0,*}^{\mathbb{R}} (H\mathbb{F}_p)_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow \pi_{0,*}^{C_2} H\underline{\mathbb{F}}_p = \mathbb{F}_p[u^{\pm 2}].$$

Theorem 4.18 of [Heller and Ormsby 2016] implies that  $C_2$ -Betti realization maps  $\tau^2$  to  $u^2$ . We deduce that

$$\widehat{\text{Be}}_p^{C_2}(\tau^2) = \lambda u^2$$

with  $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}_p^{\times}$ . Without loss of generality, we may choose the generator  $\tau^2$  so that  $\lambda = 1$ . □

### 8. The equivariant-motivic situation

**The monoidal Barr–Beck theorem for étale base change.** For a subgroup  $H \leq G$ , the restriction-induction adjunction

$$\text{Res}_H^G : \text{Sp}^G \rightleftarrows \text{Sp}^H : \text{Ind}_H^G$$

satisfies the hypotheses of Theorem 3.6 (cf. [Mathew et al. 2017, Theorem 5.32]).

Let  $\zeta$  denote the map

$$\zeta : \text{Spec } \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \text{Spec } \mathbb{R}$$

and consider the induced adjunction

$$\zeta^* : \text{SH}(\mathbb{R}) \rightleftarrows \text{SH}(\mathbb{C}) : \zeta_*$$

---

<sup>10</sup>For convergence, the argument of [Dugger and Isaksen 2010, §8] shows that this spectral sequence converges to the  $(H\mathbb{F}_p)_{\mathbb{R}}$ -completion of the motivic sphere spectrum, which by [Hu et al. 2011], is the  $(p, \eta)$ -completion.

Note that since the adjunction  $\zeta^* \dashv \zeta_*$  is monoidal, we have

$$\mathrm{Spec} \mathbb{C}_+ = \zeta_* 1 \in \mathrm{CAlg}(\mathrm{SH}(\mathbb{R})).$$

With the adjunction  $\zeta^* \dashv \zeta_*$  being our main situation of interest, we now make the analogous observation in the motivic context.

**Proposition 8.1.** *If  $f : T \rightarrow S$  is finite étale, then  $f^* \dashv f_*$  satisfies the hypotheses of Theorem 3.6, and we have*

$$\mathrm{SH}(T) \simeq \mathrm{Mod}_{\mathrm{SH}(S)}(f_* 1).$$

*Proof.* In view of the properties of the base change functors outlined in Section 6, it only remains to show that  $f_*$  is conservative, so suppose  $X \in \mathrm{SH}(T)$  such that  $f_* X \simeq 0$ . Consider the pullback square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} T \times_S T & \xrightarrow{g} & T \\ \downarrow g & & \downarrow f \\ T & \xrightarrow{f} & S \end{array}$$

Then  $f^* f_* x \simeq g_* g^* x \simeq 0$ . But  $g_* g^* x$  is a finite coproduct of copies of  $x$ , using that  $f$  is finite étale. Hence,  $x \simeq 0$ . □

**Corollary 8.2.**  $\mathrm{SH}(\mathbb{C}) \simeq \mathrm{Mod}_{\mathrm{SH}(\mathbb{R})}(\mathrm{Spec} \mathbb{C}_+).$

The following is the key calculational observation behind this paper:

**Proposition 8.3.** *There is a noncanonical map*

$$C(\rho) \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec} \mathbb{C}_+$$

*which becomes an equivalence after  $p$ -completion and cellularization.*

*Proof.* Let

$$\xi : S_{\mathbb{R}}^{0,0} \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec} \mathbb{C}_+$$

be the unit map, which is adjoint to the identity in  $\mathrm{SH}(\mathbb{C})$ . By adjunction, we have

$$[S^{-1,-1}, \mathrm{Spec} \mathbb{C}_+]_{\mathbb{R}} \cong [S^{-1,-1}, S^{0,0}]_{\mathbb{C}}.$$

But since  $\rho \simeq 0$  in  $\mathrm{SH}(\mathbb{C})$ ,  $\xi \circ \rho$  is null homotopic. Making a choice of null homotopy, we obtain a comparison map

$$\alpha : C(\rho) \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec} \mathbb{C}_+$$

that we wish to show is a  $p$ -complete cellular equivalence. Using the motivic Adams spectral sequence, it suffices to show that

$$\beta : (H\mathbb{F}_p)_{*,*}^{\mathbb{R}}(C(\rho)) \rightarrow (H\mathbb{F}_p)_{*,*}^{\mathbb{R}}(\mathrm{Spec} \mathbb{C}_+) \cong \pi_{*,*}^{\mathbb{C}}(H\mathbb{F}_p)_{\mathbb{C}}$$

is an isomorphism (for  $p$  odd, the motivic Adams spectral sequence only converges to the  $(p, \eta)$ -completion [Heller and Ormsby 2016], but both  $C(\rho)$  and  $\text{Spec } \mathbb{C}_+$  are  $\eta$ -complete by Proposition 6.7).

For  $p = 2$ ,  $\pi_{*,*}^{\mathbb{R}}$  of the map  $(H\mathbb{F}_2)_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow \zeta_*(H\mathbb{F}_2)_{\mathbb{C}}$  is computed to be the surjection  $\mathbb{F}_2[\tau, \rho] \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_2[\tau]$ , which identifies  $\beta$  as the isomorphism  $\mathbb{F}_2[\tau, \rho]/\rho \cong \mathbb{F}_2[\tau]$ .

For  $p$  odd,  $\pi_{*,*}^{\mathbb{R}}$  of the map  $(H\mathbb{F}_p)_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow \zeta_*(H\mathbb{F}_p)_{\mathbb{C}}$  is computed [Stahn 2016, Proposition 1.1] to be the injection  $\mathbb{F}_p[\tau^2] \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_p[\tau]$ . Using the fact that  $\rho$  acts trivially, we deduce

$$(H\mathbb{F}_p)_{*,*}^{\mathbb{R}} C\rho \cong \mathbb{F}_p[\tau^2]\{1, \tau\}$$

and we conclude that  $\beta$  is an isomorphism. □

**Remark 8.4.** We claim that  $\text{Spec } \mathbb{C}_+$  is not cellular in  $\text{SH}(\mathbb{R})$ . Indeed, upon applying  $\zeta^*$ , the cofiber sequence

$$S^{-1,-1} \xrightarrow{\rho} S^{0,0} \rightarrow C(\rho)$$

becomes

$$S^{-1,-1} \xrightarrow{0} S^{0,0} \rightarrow \zeta^*(C(\rho))$$

and thus we have

$$\zeta^*(C\rho) \simeq S^{0,0} \vee S^{0,-1}.$$

But

$$\zeta^* \text{Spec } \mathbb{C}_+ = \zeta^* \zeta_* 1 = S^{0,0} \vee S^{0,0}.$$

In effect, the presence of the motivic weight forbids  $\text{Spec } \mathbb{C}_+$  from being cellular.

**Remark 8.5.** Via Proposition 8.3 and Cell being lax monoidal,  $C(\rho)_p^\wedge$  and therefore  $C(\rho^n)_p^\wedge$  obtain the structure of  $E_\infty$ -algebras in  $\text{SH}(\mathbb{R})_p^\wedge$ .

**Corollary 8.6.** *There is an equivalence*

$$\text{SH}_{\text{cell}}(\mathbb{C})_p^\wedge \simeq \text{Mod}_{\text{SH}_{\text{cell}}(\mathbb{R})_p^\wedge}(C(\rho))$$

and we have a diagram of commuting left adjoints

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{SH}_{\text{cell}}(\mathbb{R})_p^\wedge & \hookrightarrow & \text{SH}(\mathbb{R})_p^\wedge \\ \downarrow \zeta^* & & \downarrow \zeta^* \\ \text{SH}_{\text{cell}}(\mathbb{C})_p^\wedge & \hookrightarrow & \text{SH}(\mathbb{C})_p^\wedge \\ \downarrow \simeq & & \downarrow \simeq \\ \text{Mod}_{\text{SH}_{\text{cell}}(\mathbb{R})_p^\wedge}(C(\rho)) & \hookrightarrow & \text{Mod}_{\text{SH}(\mathbb{R})_p^\wedge}(\text{Spec } \mathbb{C}_+) \end{array}$$

where the horizontal right adjoints are given by the cellularization functor. In particular, for  $X \in \mathrm{Sp}(\mathbb{R})_p^\wedge$ , we have an induced isomorphism

$$\pi_{*,*}^{\mathbb{R}} X \wedge C(\rho) \cong \pi_{*,*}^{\mathbb{C}} \zeta^* X.$$

*Proof.* Combine Proposition 8.3, Proposition 8.1, Lemma 4.4 with  $A = \mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{C})_+$ , and Lemma 4.3 for the  $p$ -completion.  $\square$

**Warning 8.7.** Cell is not strong monoidal, and indeed one may show that

$$\mathrm{Cell}(\mathrm{Spec} \mathbb{C}_+ \wedge \mathrm{Spec} \mathbb{C}_+) \not\cong C(\rho)^{\wedge 2}.$$

Therefore, we don't have an induced adjunction between  $\mathrm{Spec} \mathbb{C}_+$ -local objects in  $\mathrm{SH}(\mathbb{R})_p^\wedge$  and  $C(\rho)$ -local objects in  $\mathrm{SH}_{\mathrm{cell}}(\mathbb{R})_p^\wedge$ .

**Betti realization.** We next relate the motivic to the  $C_2$ -equivariant situation. We begin by recalling the Betti realization and constant functors, for which an  $\infty$ -categorical reference is [Bachmann and Hoyois 2018, §10.2, §11].

**Definition 8.8.** The complex Betti realization functor

$$\mathrm{Be} : \mathrm{SH}(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Sp}$$

is the unique colimit preserving functor that sends the complex motivic spectrum  $\Sigma_+^\infty X$  to  $\Sigma_+^\infty X(\mathbb{C})$  for  $X$  a smooth quasiprojective  $\mathbb{C}$ -variety, where  $X(\mathbb{C})$  is endowed with the analytic topology. Likewise, the  $C_2$ -Betti realization functor

$$\mathrm{Be}^{C_2} : \mathrm{SH}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Sp}^{C_2}$$

is the unique colimit preserving functor that sends the real motivic spectrum  $\Sigma_+^\infty X$  to  $\Sigma_+^\infty X(\mathbb{C})$  for  $X$  a smooth quasiprojective  $\mathbb{R}$ -variety, where  $X(\mathbb{C})$  has  $C_2$ -action given by complex conjugation. We define  $p$ -complete Betti realization functors by

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\mathrm{Be}}_p(-) &:= \mathrm{Be}(-)_p^\wedge, \\ \widehat{\mathrm{Be}}_p^{C_2}(-) &:= \mathrm{Be}^{C_2}(-)_p^\wedge. \end{aligned}$$

Both  $\mathrm{Be}$  and  $\mathrm{Be}^{C_2}$  are symmetric monoidal functors. Let  $\mathrm{Sing}$  and  $\mathrm{Sing}^{C_2}$  denote their respective right adjoints, so we have the following diagram of adjoint functors:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{SH}(\mathbb{R}) & \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Be}^{C_2}} \\ \xleftarrow{\mathrm{Sing}^{C_2}} \end{array} & \mathrm{Sp}^{C_2} \\ \zeta^* \updownarrow \zeta_* & \begin{array}{c} \mathrm{Res}_e^{C_2} \\ \mathrm{Ind}_e^{C_2} \end{array} & \updownarrow \\ \mathrm{SH}(\mathbb{C}) & \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Be}} \\ \xleftarrow{\mathrm{Sing}} \end{array} & \mathrm{Sp} \end{array} \tag{8.9}$$

We also have the real Betti realization functor

$$\mathrm{Be}_{\mathbb{R}} : \mathrm{SH}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Sp}$$

that sends  $\Sigma^{\infty} X_+$  to  $\Sigma_+^{\infty} X(\mathbb{R})$ . By definition,  $\Phi^{C_2} \mathrm{Be}^{C_2} \simeq \mathrm{Be}_{\mathbb{R}}$ . Bachmann [2018, §10] has also identified his real-étale localization functor

$$\mathrm{SH}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Sp}$$

with  $\mathrm{Be}_{\mathbb{R}}$ . If we let

$$i_* : \mathrm{Sp} \rightarrow \mathrm{Sp}^{C_2}$$

denote the right adjoint to geometric fixed points  $(-)^{\Phi^{C_2}}$ , then it follows that  $\mathrm{Sing}^{C_2} i_*$  is fully faithful.

Consider the  $\rho$ -inverted motivic sphere  $S^{0,0}[\rho^{-1}]$  and the associated localization  $\mathrm{SH}(S)[\rho^{-1}]$ . The following main theorem of [Bachmann 2018] is essential.

**Theorem 8.10** [Bachmann 2018]. *There is an equivalence of  $\infty$ -categories*

$$\mathrm{SH}(S)[\rho^{-1}] \simeq \mathrm{Sp}(\mathrm{Shv}(\mathrm{Sper}(S))),$$

where  $\mathrm{Sper}(S)$  is the real spectrum of  $S$  [Bachmann 2018, §3]. In particular, we have

$$\mathrm{SH}(\mathbb{R})[\rho^{-1}] \simeq \mathrm{Sp}$$

and the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{SH}(\mathbb{R}) & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Be}_{\mathbb{R}}} & \mathrm{Sp} \\ & \searrow & \nearrow \simeq \\ & \mathrm{SH}(\mathbb{R})[\rho^{-1}] & \end{array}$$

Thus, real Betti realization is localization with respect to  $\rho$ .

We recall the definition of the constant functor, and Heller and Ormsby’s equivariant generalization [2016].

**Definition 8.11.** The constant functor

$$c_{\mathbb{C}}^* : \mathrm{Sp} \rightarrow \mathrm{SH}(\mathbb{C})$$

is the unique colimit preserving functor that sends  $S^0$  to  $S^{0,0}$ . The  $C_2$ -equivariant constant functor

$$c_{\mathbb{R}}^* : \mathrm{Sp}^{C_2} \rightarrow \mathrm{SH}(\mathbb{R})$$

is the unique colimit preserving functor that sends  $S^0 = C_2/C_{2+}$  to  $S^{0,0} = \mathrm{Spec} \mathbb{R}_+$  and  $C_2/1_+$  to  $\mathrm{Spec} \mathbb{C}_+$ .

**Lemma 8.12.** *Betti realization splits the constant functor. In other words, we have equivalences*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Be} \circ c_{\mathbb{C}}^* &\simeq \mathrm{id}, \\ \mathrm{Be}^{C_2} \circ c_{\mathbb{R}}^* &\simeq \mathrm{id}. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* The functors in question preserve colimits, so it suffices to observe that:

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathrm{Be} \, c_{\mathbb{C}}^*)(S^0) &= S^0, \\ (\mathrm{Be}^{C_2} \, c_{\mathbb{R}}^*)(S^0) &= S^0, \\ (\mathrm{Be}^{C_2} \, c_{\mathbb{C}}^*)(C_2/1_+) &= C_2/1_+. \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

**Lemma 8.13.** *The monoidal adjunctions*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Be} : \mathrm{SH}(\mathbb{C}) &\rightleftarrows \mathrm{Sp} : \mathrm{Sing}, \\ \mathrm{Be}^{C_2} : \mathrm{SH}(\mathbb{R}) &\rightleftarrows \mathrm{Sp}^{C_2} : \mathrm{Sing}^{C_2} \end{aligned}$$

satisfy the hypotheses of Theorem 3.6. Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Sp} &\simeq \mathrm{Mod}_{\mathrm{SH}(\mathbb{C})}(\mathrm{Sing} \, S^0), \\ \mathrm{Sp}^{C_2} &\simeq \mathrm{Mod}_{\mathrm{SH}(\mathbb{R})}(\mathrm{Sing}^{C_2} \, S^0). \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* We verify the second statement; the first will follow by a similar argument. Let us consider the hypotheses in turn:

(1) In view of Lemma 8.12,  $\mathrm{Sing}^{C_2}$  is conservative as it is split by the right adjoint to the constant functor  $c_{\mathbb{R}}^*$ .

(2) Note that for  $X$  a smooth quasiprojective  $\mathbb{R}$ -variety,  $X(\mathbb{R})$  and  $X(\mathbb{C})$  have the homotopy types of finite CW-complexes; hence,  $\mathrm{Be}^{C_2}(\Sigma_+^\infty X)$  is compact in  $\mathrm{Sp}^{C_2}$ . Because the collection of motivic spectra  $\{\Sigma_+^\infty X\}$  furnish a set of compact generators for  $\mathrm{SH}(\mathbb{R})$ , we deduce that  $\mathrm{Sing}^{C_2}$  preserves colimits. To verify the projection formula

$$\mathrm{Sing}^{C_2}(A) \wedge B \simeq \mathrm{Sing}^{C_2}(A \wedge \mathrm{Be}^{C_2} B),$$

because both sides preserve colimits in the  $B$  variable, it suffices to check for  $B = \Sigma_+^\infty X$ . In this case, we need to show that for any  $W \in \mathrm{SH}(\mathbb{R})$ , the comparison map

$$[W, \mathrm{Sing}^{C_2}(A) \wedge B]_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow [W, \mathrm{Sing}^{C_2}(A \wedge \mathrm{Be}^{C_2} B)]_{\mathbb{R}}$$

is an isomorphism. Using that  $B$  is dualizable, under adjunction this is equivalent to

$$[\mathrm{Be}^{C_2}(W) \wedge \mathrm{Be}^{C_2}(B^\vee), A]^{C_2} \rightarrow [\mathrm{Be}^{C_2}(W), A \wedge \mathrm{Be}^{C_2} B]^{C_2}$$

where the conclusion follows because  $\mathrm{Be}^{C_2} B$  is also dualizable with dual given by  $\mathrm{Be}^{C_2}(B^\vee)$ .  $\square$

Using [Lemma 3.7](#), we deduce the following  $p$ -complete variant.

**Corollary 8.14.** *For a prime  $p$ , we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Sp}_p^\wedge &\simeq \mathrm{Mod}_{\mathrm{SH}(\mathbb{C})_p^\wedge}([\mathrm{Sing} S^0]_p^\wedge), \\ [\mathrm{Sp}^{C_2}]_p^\wedge &\simeq \mathrm{Mod}_{\mathrm{SH}(\mathbb{R})_p^\wedge}([\mathrm{Sing}^{C_2} S^0]_p^\wedge). \end{aligned}$$

We may also deduce the following cellular variant, which highlights an important difference between the  $\mathbb{R}$ - and  $\mathbb{C}$ -motivic settings.

**Corollary 8.15.** *The adjunction*

$$\mathrm{Be} : \mathrm{SH}_{\mathrm{cell}}(\mathbb{C}) \rightleftarrows \mathrm{Sp} : \mathrm{Cell} \mathrm{Sing}$$

*satisfies the hypotheses of [Theorem 3.6](#), and therefore Betti realization gives an equivalence*

$$\mathrm{Mod}_{\mathrm{SH}_{\mathrm{cell}}(\mathbb{C})}(\mathrm{Cell} \mathrm{Sing} S^0) \simeq \mathrm{Sp}.$$

*In particular, we have an equivalence*

$$\mathrm{Mod}_{\mathrm{SH}_{\mathrm{cell}}(\mathbb{C})}(\mathrm{Cell} \mathrm{Sing} S^0) \simeq \mathrm{Mod}_{\mathrm{SH}(\mathbb{C})}(\mathrm{Sing} S^0).$$

*In the real case, the adjunction*

$$\mathrm{Be}^{C_2} : \mathrm{SH}_{\mathrm{cell}}(\mathbb{R}) \rightleftarrows \mathrm{Sp}^{C_2} : \mathrm{Cell} \mathrm{Sing}^{C_2}$$

*satisfies these hypotheses after  $p$ -completion, giving*

$$\mathrm{Mod}_{\mathrm{SH}_{\mathrm{cell}}(\mathbb{R})_p^\wedge}([\mathrm{Cell} \mathrm{Sing}^{C_2} S^0]_p^\wedge) \simeq (\mathrm{Sp}^{C_2})_p^\wedge. \tag{8.16}$$

*Proof.* [Lemma 4.5](#) implies every hypotheses of [Theorem 3.6](#) holds for the cellular adjunctions except for the conservativity hypothesis. In the complex case, because  $c_{\mathbb{C}}^*$  has essential image in  $\mathrm{SH}_{\mathrm{cell}}(\mathbb{C})$ ,  $\mathrm{Cell} \mathrm{Sing}$  is conservative. However, in the real case,

$$c_{\mathbb{R}}^*(C_2/1_+) = \mathrm{Spec} \mathbb{C}_+$$

is not cellular. Nonetheless, because

$$(\mathrm{Cell} \mathrm{Spec} \mathbb{C}_+)_p^\wedge \simeq C(\rho)_p^\wedge \rightarrow (\mathrm{Spec} \mathbb{C}_+)_p^\wedge$$

is sent to an equivalence in  $(\mathrm{Sp}^{C_2})_p^\wedge$ , it follows that  $\mathrm{Cell} \mathrm{Sing}^{C_2}$  is conservative after  $p$ -completion.  $\square$

**Remark 8.17.** The observation (8.16) is not new — Ricka [2017, Theorem 2.4] proves this. However, Ricka’s version does not have the  $p$ -completion. We believe the subtlety mentioned in the proof above may have been overlooked in his proof, however, and we do not know if (8.16) holds without the  $p$ -completion.

**Betti realization as a localization.** We will now show that in the  $p$ -complete setting, both  $\text{Cell Sing}$  and  $\text{Cell Sing}^{C_2}$  are fully faithful, implying  $\widehat{\text{Be}}_p$  and  $\widehat{\text{Be}}_p^{C_2}$  are localizations when restricted to  $p$ -complete cellular motivic spectra.

The complex case, summarized in the following theorem, was essentially proven by Dugger and Isaksen [2010] (in the case of  $p = 2$ ) and Stahn [2016] (in the case of  $p$  odd).

**Theorem 8.18.** *The functor*

$$\text{Cell Sing} : \text{Sp}_p^\wedge \rightarrow \text{SH}_{\text{cell}}(\mathbb{C})_p^\wedge$$

is fully faithful with essential image consisting of those objects in  $\text{SH}_{\text{cell}}(\mathbb{C})_p^\wedge$  on which multiplication by  $\tau$  is an equivalence. Therefore, given  $X \in \text{SH}_{\text{cell}}(\mathbb{C})_p^\wedge$ , 2-complete Betti realization induces an isomorphism

$$\pi_{i,j}^{\mathbb{C}} X[\tau^{-1}] \xrightarrow{\cong} \pi_i \widehat{\text{Be}}_p(X).$$

*Proof.* Because we already know that  $\text{Be} \dashv \text{Cell Sing}$  satisfies the hypotheses of Theorem 3.6, it suffices to compute  $(S^{0,0})_p^\wedge[\tau^{-1}] \simeq \text{Cell Sing}(S^0)_p^\wedge$ . But the natural map

$$(S^{0,0})_p^\wedge[\tau^{-1}] \rightarrow \text{Sing}(S^0)_p^\wedge$$

is a cellular equivalence by the results of [Dugger and Isaksen 2010; Stahn 2016].  $\square$

Our strategy will be to formally derive the real case from this, by lifting this localization up the  $\rho$ -completion tower, and combining with Bachmann’s Theorem 8.10.

To this end, we consider the isotropy separation recollement on  $\text{Sp}^{C_2}$  given by

$$\text{Sp}^{hC_2} \begin{array}{c} \xleftarrow{(-)^h} \\ \xrightarrow{j_*} \end{array} \text{Sp}^{C_2} \begin{array}{c} \xleftarrow{(-)^{\Phi C_2}} \\ \xrightarrow{i_*} \end{array} \text{Sp}.$$

**Lemma 8.19.** *We have equivalences of functors*

$$\begin{aligned} (\text{Be}^{C_2} \text{Sing}^{C_2} i_*(-))^h &\simeq 0, \\ (\text{Be}^{C_2} \text{Cell Sing}^{C_2} i_*(-))^h &\simeq 0. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* Because  $S^{0,0}[\rho^{-1}]$  is cellular, the essential image of

$$\text{Sing}^{C_2} i_* : \text{Sp} \rightarrow \text{SH}(\mathbb{R})$$

is cellular as it is generated as a localizing subcategory by  $S^{0,0}[\rho^{-1}]$ . Therefore,

$$\text{Cell Sing}^{C_2} i_* \simeq \text{Sing}^{C_2} i_*,$$

so we may ignore cellularization in the proof. Because for  $E \in \mathrm{Sp}^{C_2}$ ,  $E^h \simeq 0$  if and only if  $\mathrm{Res}_e^{C_2} E \simeq 0$ , it suffices to show that

$$\mathrm{Res}_e^{C_2} \mathrm{Be}^{C_2} \mathrm{Sing}^{C_2} i_* \simeq 0.$$

Because  $\mathrm{Res}_e^{C_2} \mathrm{Be}^{C_2} \simeq \mathrm{Be} \zeta^*$  for

$$\zeta : \mathrm{Spec} \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec} \mathbb{R},$$

this follows from the observation that

$$\zeta^* : \mathrm{SH}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathrm{SH}(\mathbb{C})$$

vanishes on  $\rho$ -inverted objects. □

**Lemma 8.20.** *The natural transformations*

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathrm{Be}^{C_2} \mathrm{Sing}^{C_2} j_*(-))^{\Phi_{C_2}} &\rightarrow (j_*(-))^{\Phi_{C_2}}, \\ (\mathrm{Be}^{C_2} \mathrm{Cell} \mathrm{Sing}^{C_2} j_*(-))^{\Phi_{C_2}} &\rightarrow (j_*(-))^{\Phi_{C_2}} \end{aligned}$$

induced by the counits of the adjunctions

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon : \mathrm{Be}^{C_2} \mathrm{Sing}^{C_2} &\rightarrow id, \\ \epsilon' : \mathrm{Cell} \mathrm{Be}^{C_2} \mathrm{Sing}^{C_2} &\rightarrow id \end{aligned}$$

are equivalences.

*Proof.* We first consider the noncellular assertion. Let  $X \in \mathrm{Sp}^{hC_2}$  and  $Y = j_* X$ . Since  $i_*$  is fully faithful, it suffices to prove that

$$i_*([\mathrm{Be}^{C_2} \mathrm{Sing}^{C_2} Y]^{\Phi_{C_2}}) = \widetilde{EC}_2 \wedge \mathrm{Be}^{C_2} \mathrm{Sing}^{C_2} Y \rightarrow i_*(Y^{\Phi_{C_2}}) = \widetilde{EC}_2 \wedge Y$$

is an equivalence. For this, first note that because  $\widetilde{EC}_2 = \mathrm{Be}^{C_2}(S^{0,0}[\rho^{-1}])$ , using that  $\mathrm{Be}^{C_2}$  is strong monoidal and the projection formula we have equivalences

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{EC}_2 \wedge \mathrm{Be}^{C_2} \mathrm{Sing}^{C_2} j_*(X) &\simeq \mathrm{Be}^{C_2}(\mathrm{Sing}^{C_2}(X) \wedge S^{0,0}[\rho^{-1}]) \\ &\simeq \mathrm{Be}^{C_2} \mathrm{Sing}^{C_2}(X \wedge \widetilde{EC}_2) \end{aligned}$$

under which  $\widetilde{EC}_2 \wedge \epsilon_Y$  is identified with  $\epsilon_{Y \wedge \widetilde{EC}_2}$ . Next, by [Lemma 8.19](#) and the fact that  $\mathrm{Sing}^{C_2} i_*$  is fully faithful, for any  $Z \in \mathrm{Sp}$  the fiber sequence of functors

$$(EC_2)_+ \wedge - \rightarrow id \rightarrow \widetilde{EC}_2 \wedge -$$

applied to  $\mathrm{Be}^{C_2} \mathrm{Sing}^{C_2} i_* Z$  yields the equivalence

$$\mathrm{Be}^{C_2} \mathrm{Sing}^{C_2} i_* Z \rightarrow \widetilde{EC}_2 \wedge \mathrm{Be}^{C_2} \mathrm{Sing}^{C_2} i_* Z \simeq i_* Z.$$

In particular, the counit

$$\mathrm{Be}^{C_2} \mathrm{Sing}^{C_2}(X \wedge \widetilde{EC}_2) \rightarrow X \wedge \widetilde{EC}_2$$

is an equivalence.

Finally, the cellular assertion is proven in the same way, using now that  $S^{0,0}[\rho^{-1}]$  is cellular and  $\text{Be}^{C_2} \dashv \text{Cell Sing}^{C_2}$  is a monoidal adjunction that satisfies the projection formula by [Lemma 4.5](#).  $\square$

We have almost assembled all of the ingredients needed to prove [Theorem 8.22](#). In view of [Lemma 5.1](#), it only remains to prove the fully faithfulness of  $\text{Sing}^{C_2}$  on the Borel part of the recollement, which we turn to now.

Because we have  $\text{Be}^{C_2}(C(\rho)) = C(a)$  for the Euler class

$$a : S^{-\sigma} \rightarrow S^0$$

and  $(\text{Sp}^{C_2})_a^\wedge \simeq \text{Sp}^{hC_2}$  ([6.4](#)), we obtain the induced adjunction

$$\widehat{\text{Be}}_p^{hC_2} : \text{SH}_{\text{cell}}(\mathbb{R})_{p,\rho}^\wedge \rightleftarrows (\text{Sp}^{hC_2})_p^\wedge : \text{Cell Sing}^{hC_2}$$

as in [Lemma 3.7](#).

**Corollary 8.21.** *The functor  $\text{Cell Sing}^{hC_2}$  is fully faithful.*

*Proof.* Combine [Theorem 8.18](#), [Corollary 8.6](#), and [Proposition 3.10](#).  $\square$

We may now deduce the categorical half of our main theorem, which states that  $C_2$ -equivariant Betti realization, when restricted to  $p$ -complete cellular real motivic spectra, is a localization.

**Theorem 8.22.**  $\text{Cell Sing}^{C_2} : (\text{Sp}^{C_2})_p^\wedge \rightarrow \text{SH}_{\text{cell}}(\mathbb{R})_p^\wedge$  is fully faithful.

*Proof.* The conditions of [Lemma 5.1](#) apply in view of [Lemma 8.19](#), [Lemma 8.20](#), Bachmann’s [Theorem 8.10](#), and [Corollary 8.21](#).  $\square$

**Computing Betti localization.** In the complex case, [Theorem 8.18](#) implies that Betti realization can be computed on  $p$ -complete cellular complex motivic spectra by inverting  $\tau \in \pi_{0,-1}^{\mathbb{C}}(S^{0,0})_p^\wedge$ .

We would like a similar result for the  $C_2$ -Betti realization of a  $p$ -complete cellular real motivic spectrum. In the real case, for  $X \in \text{SH}(\mathbb{R})$ , the isotropy separation recollement implies that the homotopy type of the  $p$ -complete  $C_2$ -equivariant Betti realization can then be recovered by the pullback:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \widehat{\text{Be}}_p^{C_2}(X) & \longrightarrow & \widehat{\text{Be}}_p^{C_2}(X)^\Phi \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \widehat{\text{Be}}_p^{C_2}(X)^h & \longrightarrow & \widehat{\text{Be}}_p^{C_2}(X)^t \end{array} \tag{8.23}$$

Therefore, it suffices to compute  $\widehat{\text{Be}}_p^{C_2}(X)^\Phi$ ,  $\widehat{\text{Be}}_p^{C_2}(X)^h$ ,  $\widehat{\text{Be}}_p^{C_2}(X)^t$ , and the map

$$\widehat{\text{Be}}_p^{C_2}(X)^\Phi \rightarrow \widehat{\text{Be}}_p^{C_2}(X)^t.$$

For the geometric localization  $\widehat{\text{Be}}_p^{C_2}(X)^\Phi$ , Bachmann’s [Theorem 8.10](#) has the following immediate consequence (which does not require  $p$ -completion or cellularization).

**Theorem 8.24.** *For  $X \in \text{SH}(\mathbb{R})$ , equivariant Betti realization induces an isomorphism*

$$\pi_{*,*}^{\mathbb{R}} X[\rho^{-1}] \xrightarrow{\cong} \pi_{*,*}^{C_2} \text{Be}^{C_2}(X)^\Phi.$$

*Proof.* Using Bachmann’s [Theorem 8.10](#), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_{i,j}^{\mathbb{R}} X[\rho^{-1}] &\cong \pi_{i-j} \text{Be}_{\mathbb{R}}(X) \\ &\cong \pi_{i-j} \text{Be}^{C_2}(X)^{\Phi_{C_2}} \\ &\cong \pi_{i,j}^{C_2} \text{Be}^{C_2}(X)^\Phi. \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

We will now show that if  $X$  is  $p$ -complete and cellular, the  $p$ -complete homotopy completion  $\widehat{\text{Be}}_p^{C_2}(X)^h$  can be computed by inverting  $\tau$  on the  $\rho$ -completion tower. The Tate spectrum  $\widehat{\text{Be}}_p^{C_2}(X)^t$  may then be computed by inverting  $\rho$  on the  $\tau$ -inverted  $\rho$ -completion.

Let us now describe in detail how to invert  $\tau$  on the  $\rho$ -completion tower. For every  $n$ , we have adjunctions

$$\widehat{\text{Be}}_p^{C_{2,n}} : \text{Mod}_{\text{SH}_{\text{cell}}(\mathbb{R})_p^\wedge}(C(\rho^n)) \rightleftarrows \text{Mod}_{(\text{Sp}^{C_2})_p^\wedge}(C(a^n)) : \text{Sing}^{C_{2,n}}$$

where  $\text{Sing}^{C_{2,n}}$  is fully faithful by [Theorem 8.18](#) and [Proposition 3.10](#). The self map  $\tau_N$  of  $C(\rho^n)_p^\wedge$  constructed in [Section 7](#) (where we take  $\tau_N := \tau^2$  there in the case of  $p$  odd) allows us to explicitly compute the resulting localization functor in terms of  $\tau_N$ -localization, as stated in the next lemma.

**Lemma 8.25.** *For  $X \in \text{Mod}_{\text{SH}_{\text{cell}}(\mathbb{R})_p^\wedge}(C(\rho^n))$ , we have*

$$\text{Sing}^{C_{2,n}} \widehat{\text{Be}}_p^{C_{2,n}} X \simeq X[\tau_N^{-1}].$$

*Thus, the image of the fully faithful right adjoint*

$$\text{Sing}^{C_{2,n}} : \text{Mod}_{(\text{Sp}^{C_2})_p^\wedge}(C(a^n)) \rightarrow \text{Mod}_{\text{SH}_{\text{cell}}(\mathbb{R})_p^\wedge}(C(\rho^n))$$

*consists of those  $p$ -complete cellular  $C(\rho^n)$ -modules on which multiplication by  $\tau_N$  is an equivalence.*

*Proof.* For brevity, we implicitly assume everything is  $p$ -complete in this proof. We claim the self maps  $\tau_N$  satisfy

- (1)  $\widehat{\text{Be}}_p^{C_{2,n}}(\tau_N) = u_N$  is a self-equivalence of  $C(a^n)$ ,
- (2)  $C(\rho^n)[\tau_N^{-1}] \wedge_{C(\rho^n)} C(\rho) \simeq C(\rho)[\tau^{-1}]$ .

Statement (1) is proven in [Theorem 7.7](#) (for  $p = 2$ ) and [Proposition 7.11](#) (for  $p$  odd). For statement (2), it suffices to show that the composite

$$\Sigma^{0,-N} C(\rho) \simeq \Sigma^{0,-N} C(\rho^n) \wedge_{C(\rho^n)} C(\rho) \xrightarrow{\tau_N \wedge 1} C(\rho^n) \wedge_{C(\rho^n)} C(\rho) \simeq C(\rho)$$

is equal to  $\tau^N$ , up to multiplication by a unit. However, by [Corollary 8.6](#), we have

$$\pi_{0,*}^{\mathbb{R}} C(\rho) \cong \pi_{0,*}^{\mathbb{C}} (S^{0,0})_p^\wedge \cong \mathbb{Z}_p[\tau].$$

In particular, the Hurewicz homomorphism

$$\pi_{0,*}^{\mathbb{R}} C(\rho) \rightarrow (H\mathbb{F}_p)_{0,*}^{\mathbb{R}} C\rho \cong \mathbb{F}_p[\tau]$$

is given by the obvious surjection, and the result follows from the fact that  $\tau_N$  induces multiplication by  $\tau^N$  on homology.

By (1), we have a comparison map

$$C(\rho^n)[\tau_N^{-1}] \rightarrow \text{Sing}^{C_2,n}(C(a^n))$$

adjoint to the equivalence

$$C(a^n)[u_N^{-1}] \simeq C(a^n).$$

After base change to  $C(\rho)$ , this map is an equivalence by (2), hence is an equivalence as  $-\wedge_{C(\rho^n)} C(\rho)$  is conservative. Because the adjunctions in question also satisfy the hypotheses of [Theorem 3.6](#), we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Sing}^{C_2,n} \widehat{\text{Be}}_p^{C_2,n} X &\simeq \text{Sing}^{C_2,n} ((\widehat{\text{Be}}_p^{C_2,n} X) \wedge_{C(a^n)} C(a^n)) \\ &\simeq \text{Sing}^{C_2,n}(C(a^n)) \wedge_{C(\rho^n)} X \\ &\simeq X[\tau_N^{-1}]. \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

For  $p$  odd, every  $X \in \text{SH}(\mathbb{R})_p^\wedge$  has a  $\tau^2$ -self map on its  $\rho$ -completion, and we can therefore form the telescope

$$X_\rho^\wedge[\tau^{-1}] := X_\rho^\wedge[\tau^{-2}].$$

For  $p = 2$ , because the periodicity of the elements  $\tau_N$  increases as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we do not have an analogous construction. Nevertheless, given  $X \in \text{SH}_{\text{cell}}(\mathbb{R})_p^\wedge$ , the equivalences of [Lemma 8.25](#) allow us to define maps

$$\begin{aligned} X \wedge C(\rho^n)[\tau_N^{-1}] &\simeq \text{Sing}^{C_2,n} \widehat{\text{Be}}_p^{C_2,n} X \wedge C(\rho^n) \\ &\rightarrow \text{Sing}^{C_2,n-1} \widehat{\text{Be}}_p^{C_2,n-1} X \wedge C(\rho^{n-1}) \\ &\simeq X \wedge C(\rho^{n-1})[\tau_{N'}^{-1}]. \end{aligned}$$

We may therefore define

$$X_\rho^\wedge[\tau^{-1}] := \lim_n X \wedge C(\rho^n)[\tau_N^{-1}].$$

We are now ready to deduce the computational half of our main theorem.

**Theorem 8.26.** *For  $X \in \mathrm{SH}_{\mathrm{cell}}(\mathbb{R})_\rho^\wedge$ , we have*

$$\mathrm{Cell\,Sing}^{hC_2} \widehat{\mathrm{Be}}_p^{hC_2} X_\rho^\wedge \simeq X_\rho^\wedge[\tau^{-1}]$$

and  $C_2$ -Betti realization induces an isomorphism

$$\pi_{*,*}^{\mathbb{R}} X_\rho^\wedge[\tau^{-1}] \xrightarrow{\cong} \pi_{*,*}^{C_2} \widehat{\mathrm{Be}}_p^{C_2}(X)^h.$$

*Proof.* Since

$$\mathrm{Cell\,Sing}^{hC_2} \widehat{\mathrm{Be}}_p^{hC_2} X_\rho^\wedge \simeq \lim_n \mathrm{Sing}^{C_2,n} \widehat{\mathrm{Be}}_p^{C_2,n} X \wedge C(\rho^n),$$

we deduce the first statement from [Lemma 8.25](#). The second statement follows from the adjunction

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_{i,j}^{C_2}(\widehat{\mathrm{Be}}_p^{C_2}(X)^h) &= [\mathrm{Be}^{C_2} S^{i,j}, \widehat{\mathrm{Be}}_p^{C_2}(X)^h]^{C_2} \\ &\cong [S^{i,j}, \mathrm{Cell\,Sing}^{C_2} \widehat{\mathrm{Be}}_p^{C_2}(X)^h]_{\mathbb{R}} \\ &\cong \pi_{i,j}^{\mathbb{R}} X_\rho^\wedge[\tau^{-1}]. \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

## 9. Examples

We now demonstrate the effectiveness of our theory by computing the  $C_2$ -equivariant homotopy groups of the  $C_2$ -Betti realizations of some  $p$ -complete cellular real motivic spectra from their motivic homotopy groups.

For  $p$  odd, the computational implementation of our theory is straightforward. Given  $X \in \mathrm{SH}_{\mathrm{cell}}(\mathbb{R})_\rho^\wedge$ , we have [\(6.8\)](#)

$$X \simeq X[\rho^{-1}] \vee X_\rho^\wedge$$

and we have

$$\pi_{*,*}^{C_2} \widehat{\mathrm{Be}}_p^{C_2}(X) \simeq \pi_{*,*}^{\mathbb{R}} X[\rho^{-1}] \oplus \pi_{*,*}^{\mathbb{R}} X_\rho^\wedge[\tau^{-2}].$$

In the case of  $p = 2$ , the computations are more interesting, and we illustrate this with some examples. In each of these cases, the motivic homotopy groups are less complicated than the corresponding  $C_2$ -equivariant homotopy groups.<sup>11</sup>

<sup>11</sup>It is worth pointing out that in each of these examples the actual determination of these motivic homotopy groups is often the result of deep results in motivic homotopy theory, whereas the corresponding equivariant computations do not depend on similarly deep input.

We point out that the use of isotropy separation to organize the equivariant homotopy of the examples in this section is not new — see, for example, [Greenlees 2018].

**mod 2 motivic cohomology.** Let  $(H\mathbb{F}_2)_{\mathbb{R}} \in \text{SH}(\mathbb{R})$  denote the mod 2 real motivic Eilenberg–MacLane spectrum. Dugger and Isaksen [2005] proved that the motivic complex cobordism spectrum  $MGL$  is cellular. Work of Hopkins and Morel and of Hoyois [2015] implies that  $(H\mathbb{Z})_{\mathbb{R}}$  (and hence  $(H\mathbb{F}_2)_{\mathbb{R}}$ ) is a regular quotient of  $MGL$ , and is therefore cellular. Finally, Heller and Ormsby [2016, Theorem 4.17] prove that for any abelian group, the  $C_2$ -Betti realization of  $(HA)_{\mathbb{R}}$  is  $H\underline{A}$ , the  $C_2$ -equivariant Eilenberg–MacLane spectrum associated to the constant Mackey functor  $\underline{A}$ , so we have

$$\text{Be}^{C_2}(H\mathbb{F}_2)_{\mathbb{R}} \simeq H\underline{\mathbb{F}_2}.$$

We may therefore apply our theory to compute  $\pi_*^{C_2} H\underline{\mathbb{F}_2}$ .

Recall again that we have [Voevodsky 2003]

$$\pi_{*,*}^{\mathbb{R}}(H\mathbb{F}_2)_{\mathbb{R}} = \mathbb{F}_2[\tau, \rho].$$

Using Theorem 8.10, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_{*,*}^{C_2} H\underline{\mathbb{F}_2}^{\Phi} &\cong \pi_{*,*}^{\mathbb{R}}(H\mathbb{F}_2)_{\mathbb{R}}[\rho^{-1}] \\ &= \mathbb{F}_2[\tau, \rho^{\pm}]. \end{aligned}$$

Using Theorem 8.26, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_{*,*}^{C_2} H\underline{\mathbb{F}_2}^h &\cong \pi_{*,*}^{\mathbb{R}}(H\mathbb{F}_2)_{\mathbb{R}}[\tau^{-1}] \\ &= \mathbb{F}_2[\tau^{\pm}, \rho]. \end{aligned}$$

Because the Tate spectrum is the geometric localization of the homotopy completion, we may apply Theorem 8.10 to the above to deduce

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_{*,*}^{C_2} H\underline{\mathbb{F}_2}^t &\cong \pi_{*,*}^{\mathbb{R}}(H\mathbb{F}_2)_{\mathbb{R}}[\tau^{-1}][\rho^{-1}] \\ &= \mathbb{F}_2[\tau^{\pm}, \rho^{\pm}]. \end{aligned}$$


We may then use the Mayer–Vietoris sequence

$$\cdots \rightarrow \pi_{*,*+1}^{C_2} H\underline{\mathbb{F}_2}^t \xrightarrow{\partial} \pi_{*,*}^{C_2} H\underline{\mathbb{F}_2} \rightarrow \pi_{*,*}^{C_2} H\underline{\mathbb{F}_2}^h \oplus \pi_{*,*}^{C_2} H\underline{\mathbb{F}_2}^{\Phi} \rightarrow \cdots$$

associated to the isotropy separation square (8.23) to deduce

$$\pi_{*,*}^{C_2} H\underline{\mathbb{F}_2} = \mathbb{F}_2[\tau, \rho] \oplus \frac{\mathbb{F}_2[\tau, \rho]}{(\tau^{\infty}, \rho^{\infty})} \{\partial\rho^{-1}\tau^{-1}\}.$$

The calculation is displayed in Figure 2. The motivic homotopy  $\pi_{*,*}^{\mathbb{R}}(H\mathbb{F}_2)_{\mathbb{R}}$  is displayed in the shaded region. In this figure, a dot represents a factor of  $\mathbb{F}_2$ ,



**Figure 2.** Computing  $\pi_{*,*}^{C_2} \underline{HF}_2$  from  $\pi_{*,*}^R(\underline{HF}_2)_R$ .

and a line represents multiplication by the element  $\rho$ . The other three quadrants are then obtained from this motivic homotopy by inverting  $\tau$ ,  $\rho$ , or both  $\tau$  and  $\rho$ . The resulting equivariant homotopy, deduced from the Mayer–Vietoris sequence, is displayed in the upper-left-hand chart (the combination of the shaded and unshaded regions).

**2-adic motivic cohomology.** The discussion of the previous subsection also establishes that the 2-adic real motivic Eilenberg–MacLane spectrum  $(H\mathbb{Z}_2)_{\mathbb{R}}$  is cellular (and it is clearly 2-complete). The coefficients of  $(H\mathbb{Z}_2)_{\mathbb{R}}$  are given by (see, for example [Hill 2011]<sup>12</sup>)

$$\pi_{*,*}^{\mathbb{R}}(H\mathbb{Z}_2)_{\mathbb{R}} = \frac{\mathbb{Z}_2[\rho, \tau^2]}{(2\rho)}.$$

Again, [Heller and Ormsby 2016, Theorem 4.17] implies that

$$\mathrm{Be}^{C_2}(H\mathbb{Z}_2)_{\mathbb{R}} \simeq H\underline{\mathbb{Z}}_2.$$

We therefore deduce

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_{*,*}^{C_2} H\underline{\mathbb{Z}}_2^{\Phi} &\cong \pi_{*,*}^{\mathbb{R}}(H\mathbb{Z}_2)_{\mathbb{R}}[\rho^{-1}] \\ &= \mathbb{F}_2[\tau^2, \rho^{\pm}], \\ \pi_{*,*}^{C_2} H\underline{\mathbb{Z}}_2^h &\cong \pi_{*,*}^{\mathbb{R}}(H\mathbb{Z}_2)_{\mathbb{R}}[\tau^{-2}] \\ &= \frac{\mathbb{Z}_2[\tau^{\pm 2}, \rho]}{(2\rho)}, \\ \pi_{*,*}^{C_2} H\underline{\mathbb{Z}}_2^t &\cong \pi_{*,*}^{\mathbb{R}}(H\mathbb{Z}_2)_{\mathbb{R}}[\tau^{-2}][\rho^{-1}] \\ &= \mathbb{F}_2[\tau^{\pm 2}, \rho^{\pm}]. \end{aligned}$$

We therefore deduce from the Mayer–Vietoris sequence


$$\pi_{*,*}^{C_2} H\underline{\mathbb{Z}}_2 \cong \frac{\mathbb{Z}_2[\tau^2, \rho, 2\tau^{-2k}]}{(2\rho)} \oplus \frac{\mathbb{F}_2[\tau^2, \rho]}{(\tau^{\infty}, \rho^{\infty})} \{\partial\rho^{-1}\tau^{-2}\}.$$

Note that there are implicitly defined relations in the above presentation, such as  $\tau^2(2\tau^{-2k}) = 2\tau^{-2k+2}$  and  $\rho(2\tau^{-2k}) = 0$ .

The calculation is displayed in Figure 3. Everything is analogous to the notation of Figure 2, except that there are now boxes in addition to solid dots, which represent factors of  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ .

---

<sup>12</sup>Hill computes the homotopy of  $B\mathrm{PGL}(0)_2^{\wedge}$ , which, by the work of Hopkins and Morel and of Hoyois [2015] is equivalent to  $H\mathbb{Z}_2$ .



**Figure 3.** Computing  $\pi_{*,*}^{C_2} H\underline{\mathbb{Z}}_2$  from  $\pi_{*,*}^{\mathbb{R}}(H\underline{\mathbb{Z}}_2)_{\mathbb{R}}$ .

**The effective cover of 2-adic algebraic K-theory.** We now turn our attention to the spectrum  $kgL$ , the effective cover of  $KGL$ , the algebraic  $K$ -theory spectrum for the reals. Hill [2011] computes the 2-adic homotopy groups of this spectrum through the identification

$$kgL_2^\wedge \simeq BPGL(1)_2^\wedge.$$

In particular,  $kgL_2^\wedge$  is cellular. We have

$$\pi_{*,*}^{\mathbb{R}} kgL_2^\wedge \cong \frac{\mathbb{Z}_2[\rho, 2\tau^2, \tau^4, v_1]}{(2\rho, v_1\rho^3)}$$

with

$$|v_1| = (2, 1).$$

Note that, just as in the previous subsection, our presentation has implicitly defined relations, such as  $(2\tau^2)^2 = 4\tau^4$ .

It is clear from the definition of  $KGL$  that we have

$$\mathrm{Be}^{C_2} KGL = KR$$

where  $KR$  is Atiyah’s Real  $K$ -theory spectrum, and from [Heard 2019, Corollary 5.9] we deduce the connective analog

$$\mathrm{Be}^{C_2} kgL \simeq kR.$$


We deduce

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_{*,*}^{C_2}(kR_2^\wedge)^\Phi &\cong \pi_{*,*}^{\mathbb{R}} kgL_2^\wedge[\rho^{-1}] \\ &= \mathbb{F}_2[\tau^4, \rho^\pm], \\ \pi_{*,*}^{C_2}(kR_2^\wedge)^h &\cong \pi_{*,*}^{\mathbb{R}} kgL_2^\wedge[\tau^{-4}] \\ &= \frac{\mathbb{Z}_2[\rho, 2\tau^2, \tau^{\pm 4}, v_1]}{(2\rho, v_1\rho^3)}, \\ \pi_{*,*}^{C_2}(kR_2^\wedge)^t &\cong \pi_{*,*}^{\mathbb{R}}(kgL_2^\wedge)[\tau^{-4}][\rho^{-1}] \\ &= \mathbb{F}_2[\tau^{\pm 4}, \rho^\pm]. \end{aligned}$$

We therefore deduce

$$\pi_{*,*}^{C_2} kR_2^\wedge \cong \frac{\mathbb{Z}_2[\rho, 2\tau^2, \tau^4, v_1, 2\tau^{-2k}, v_1\tau^{-4k}]}{(2\rho, v_1\rho^3)} \oplus \frac{\mathbb{F}_2[\tau^4, \rho]}{(\tau^\infty, \rho^\infty)} \{\partial\rho^{-1}\tau^{-4}\}.$$

The calculation is displayed in Figure 4. In this figure, dotted lines represent  $v_1$ -multiplication.



**Figure 4.** Computing  $\pi_{*,*}^{C_2} kR_2^{\wedge}$  from  $\pi_{*,*}^{\mathbb{R}} (kg l_2^{\wedge})_{\mathbb{R}}$ .

## Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank Dan Dugger, Elden Elmanto, Bert Guillou, Jeremiah Heller, Dan Isaksen, Kyle Ormsby, James Quigley, Guozhen Wang, and Zhouli Xu for helpful conversations related to the subject matter of this paper. The authors would also like to thank the referee for their very helpful corrections and comments. Behrens was supported by NSF grant DMS-1611786, and Shah was supported by NSF grant DMS-1547292.

## References

- [Bachmann 2018] T. Bachmann, “Motivic and real étale stable homotopy theory”, *Compos. Math.* **154**:5 (2018), 883–917. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Bachmann and Hoyois 2018] T. Bachmann and M. Hoyois, “Norms in motivic homotopy theory”, preprint, 2018. [arXiv](#)
- [Barthel et al. 2018] T. Barthel, D. Heard, and G. Valenzuela, “Local duality in algebra and topology”, *Adv. Math.* **335** (2018), 563–663. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Barwick and Glasman 2016] C. Barwick and S. Glasman, “A note on stable recollements”, preprint, 2016. [arXiv](#)
- [Belmont et al. 2020] E. Belmont, B. J. Guillou, and D. C. Isaksen, “C<sub>2</sub>-equivariant and  $\mathbb{R}$ -motivic stable stems, II”, preprint, 2020. [arXiv](#)
- [Bruner and Greenlees 1995] R. Bruner and J. Greenlees, “The Bredon–Löffler conjecture”, *Experiment. Math.* **4**:4 (1995), 289–297. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Bruner et al. 1986] R. R. Bruner, J. P. May, J. E. McClure, and M. Steinberger, *H<sub>∞</sub> ring spectra and their applications*, Lecture Notes in Math. **1176**, Springer, 1986. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Dugger and Isaksen 2005] D. Dugger and D. C. Isaksen, “Motivic cell structures”, *Algebr. Geom. Topol.* **5** (2005), 615–652. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Dugger and Isaksen 2010] D. Dugger and D. C. Isaksen, “The motivic Adams spectral sequence”, *Geom. Topol.* **14**:2 (2010), 967–1014. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Dugger and Isaksen 2017a] D. Dugger and D. C. Isaksen, “Low-dimensional Milnor–Witt stems over  $\mathbb{R}$ ”, *Ann. K-Theory* **2**:2 (2017), 175–210. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Dugger and Isaksen 2017b] D. Dugger and D. C. Isaksen, “ $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -equivariant and  $\mathbb{R}$ -motivic stable stems”, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **145**:8 (2017), 3617–3627. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Elmanto and Kolderup 2020] E. Elmanto and H. Kolderup, “On modules over motivic ring spectra”, *Ann. K-Theory* **5**:2 (2020), 327–355. [MR](#)
- [Greenlees 2018] J. P. C. Greenlees, “Four approaches to cohomology theories with reality”, pp. 139–156 in *An alpine bouquet of algebraic topology*, edited by C. Ausoni et al., Contemp. Math. **708**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2018. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Greenlees and May 1995] J. P. C. Greenlees and J. P. May, *Generalized Tate cohomology*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **543**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1995. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Heard 2019] D. Heard, “On equivariant and motivic slices”, *Algebr. Geom. Topol.* **19**:7 (2019), 3641–3681. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Heller and Ormsby 2016] J. Heller and K. Ormsby, “Galois equivariance and stable motivic homotopy theory”, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **368**:11 (2016), 8047–8077. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)

- [Heller and Ormsby 2018] J. Heller and K. Ormsby, “The stable Galois correspondence for real closed fields”, pp. 1–9 in *New directions in homotopy theory*, edited by N. Kitchloo et al., *Contemp. Math.* **707**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2018. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Hill 2011] M. A. Hill, “Ext and the motivic Steenrod algebra over  $\mathbb{R}$ ”, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **215**:5 (2011), 715–727. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Hoyois 2015] M. Hoyois, “From algebraic cobordism to motivic cohomology”, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **702** (2015), 173–226. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Hoyois 2017] M. Hoyois, “The six operations in equivariant motivic homotopy theory”, *Adv. Math.* **305** (2017), 197–279. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Hu et al. 2011] P. Hu, I. Kriz, and K. Ormsby, “Convergence of the motivic Adams spectral sequence”, *J. K-Theory* **7**:3 (2011), 573–596. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Landweber 1969] P. S. Landweber, “On equivariant maps between spheres with involutions”, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **89** (1969), 125–137. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Lin 1980] W. H. Lin, “On conjectures of Mahowald, Segal and Sullivan”, *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* **87**:3 (1980), 449–458. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Lurie 2009] J. Lurie, *Higher topos theory*, *Annals of Math. Studies* **170**, Princeton Univ., 2009. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Lurie 2017] J. Lurie, “Higher algebra”, preprint, 2017, Available at <https://www.math.ias.edu/~lurie/papers/HA.pdf>.
- [Mathew et al. 2017] A. Mathew, N. Naumann, and J. Noel, “Nilpotence and descent in equivariant stable homotopy theory”, *Adv. Math.* **305** (2017), 994–1084. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Morel and Voevodsky 1999] F. Morel and V. Voevodsky, “ $A^1$ -homotopy theory of schemes”, *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.* **90** (1999), 45–143. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Ravenel 1992] D. C. Ravenel, *Nilpotence and periodicity in stable homotopy theory*, *Annals of Math. Studies* **128**, Princeton Univ., 1992. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Ricka 2017] N. Ricka, “Motivic modular forms from equivariant stable homotopy theory”, preprint, 2017. [arXiv](#)
- [Stahn 2016] S.-T. Stahn, “The motivic Adams–Novikov spectral sequence at odd primes over  $\mathbb{C}$  and  $\mathbb{R}$ ”, preprint, 2016. [arXiv](#)
- [Voevodsky 2003] V. Voevodsky, “Motivic cohomology with  $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -coefficients”, *Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci.* **98** (2003), 59–104. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Voevodsky 2011] V. Voevodsky, “On motivic cohomology with  $\mathbb{Z}/l$ -coefficients”, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **174**:1 (2011), 401–438. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)

Received 12 Sep 2019. Revised 26 Mar 2020. Accepted 12 Apr 2020.

MARK BEHRENS: [mbehren1@nd.edu](mailto:mbehren1@nd.edu)

*Department of Mathematics, University of Notre Dame, Notre Dame, IN, United States*

JAY SHAH: [jay.h.shah@gmail.com](mailto:jay.h.shah@gmail.com)

*Department of Mathematics, University of Notre Dame, Notre Dame, IN, United States*

# ANNALS OF K-THEORY

[msp.org/akt](http://msp.org/akt)

## EDITORIAL BOARD

Joseph Ayoub	ETH Zürich, Switzerland <a href="mailto:joseph.ayoub@math.uzh.ch">joseph.ayoub@math.uzh.ch</a>
Paul Balmer	University of California, Los Angeles, USA <a href="mailto:balmer@math.ucla.edu">balmer@math.ucla.edu</a>
Guillermo Cortiñas	Universidad de Buenos Aires and CONICET, Argentina <a href="mailto:gcorti@dm.uba.ar">gcorti@dm.uba.ar</a>
Hélène Esnault	Freie Universität Berlin, Germany <a href="mailto:liveesnault@math.fu-berlin.de">liveesnault@math.fu-berlin.de</a>
Eric Friedlander	University of Southern California, USA <a href="mailto:ericmf@usc.edu">ericmf@usc.edu</a>
Max Karoubi	Institut de Mathématiques de Jussieu – Paris Rive Gauche, France <a href="mailto:max.karoubi@imj-prg.fr">max.karoubi@imj-prg.fr</a>
Moritz Kerz	Universität Regensburg, Germany <a href="mailto:moritz.kerz@mathematik.uni-regensburg.de">moritz.kerz@mathematik.uni-regensburg.de</a>
Huaxin Lin	University of Oregon, USA <a href="mailto:livehlin@uoregon.edu">livehlin@uoregon.edu</a>
Alexander Merkurjev	University of California, Los Angeles, USA <a href="mailto:merkurev@math.ucla.edu">merkurev@math.ucla.edu</a>
Birgit Richter	Universität Hamburg, Germany <a href="mailto:birgit.richter@uni-hamburg.de">birgit.richter@uni-hamburg.de</a>
Jonathan Rosenberg	(Managing Editor) University of Maryland, USA <a href="mailto:jmr@math.umd.edu">jmr@math.umd.edu</a>
Marco Schlichting	University of Warwick, UK <a href="mailto:schlichting@warwick.ac.uk">schlichting@warwick.ac.uk</a>
Charles Weibel	(Managing Editor) Rutgers University, USA <a href="mailto:weibel@math.rutgers.edu">weibel@math.rutgers.edu</a>
Guoliang Yu	Texas A&M University, USA <a href="mailto:guoliangyu@math.tamu.edu">guoliangyu@math.tamu.edu</a>

## PRODUCTION

Silvio Levy (Scientific Editor)  
[production@msp.org](mailto:production@msp.org)

Annals of K-Theory is a journal of the [K-Theory Foundation](http://ktheoryfoundation.org) ([ktheoryfoundation.org](http://ktheoryfoundation.org)). The K-Theory Foundation acknowledges the precious support of [Foundation Compositio Mathematica](http://foundationcompositio.com), whose help has been instrumental in the launch of the Annals of K-Theory.

---

See inside back cover or [msp.org/akt](http://msp.org/akt) for submission instructions.

---

The subscription price for 2020 is US \$510/year for the electronic version, and \$575/year (+\$25, if shipping outside the US) for print and electronic. Subscriptions, requests for back issues and changes of subscriber address should be sent to MSP.

---

Annals of K-Theory (ISSN 2379-1681 electronic, 2379-1683 printed) at Mathematical Sciences Publishers, 798 Evans Hall #3840, c/o University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720-3840 is published continuously online. Periodical rate postage paid at Berkeley, CA 94704, and additional mailing offices.

---

AKT peer review and production are managed by EditFlow<sup>®</sup> from MSP.

PUBLISHED BY

 **mathematical sciences publishers**  
nonprofit scientific publishing  
<http://msp.org/>

© 2020 Mathematical Sciences Publishers

# ANNALS OF K-THEORY

2020

vol. 5

no. 3

Coassembly is a homotopy limit map	373
CARY MALKIEWICH and MONA MERLING	
Rational equivalence of cusps	395
SHOUHEI MA	
$C_2$ -equivariant stable homotopy from real motivic stable homotopy	411
MARK BEHRENS and JAY SHAH	
Groups with Spanier–Whitehead duality	465
SHINTARO NISHIKAWA and VALERIO PROIETTI	
Homotopy equivalence in unbounded $KK$ -theory	501
KOEN VAN DEN DUNGEN and BRAM MESLAND	
The $p$ -completed cyclotomic trace in degree 2	539
JOHANNES ANSCHÜTZ and ARTHUR-CÉSAR LE BRAS	
Nisnevich topology with modulus	581
HIROYASU MIYAZAKI	
The Topological Period-Index Conjecture for $\text{spin}^c$ 6-manifolds	605
DIARMUID CROWLEY and MARK GRANT	
Weibel’s conjecture for twisted $K$ -theory	621
JOEL STAPLETON	
Positive scalar curvature metrics via end-periodic manifolds	639
MICHAEL HALLAM and VARGHESE MATHAI	