

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

**SUMS OF ALGEBRAIC TRACE FUNCTIONS
TWISTED BY ARITHMETIC FUNCTIONS**

MAXIM KOROLEV AND IGOR SHPARLINSKI

SUMS OF ALGEBRAIC TRACE FUNCTIONS TWISTED BY ARITHMETIC FUNCTIONS

MAXIM KOROLEV AND IGOR SHPARLINSKI

We obtain new bounds for short sums of isotypic trace functions associated to some sheaf modulo prime p of bounded conductor, twisted by the Möbius function and also by the generalised divisor function. These trace functions include Kloosterman sums and several other classical number theoretic objects. Our bounds are nontrivial for intervals of length of at least $p^{1/2+\varepsilon}$ with an arbitrary fixed $\varepsilon > 0$, which is shorter than the required length of at least $p^{3/4+\varepsilon}$ in the case of the Möbius function and of at least $p^{2/3+\varepsilon}$ in the case of the divisor function required in recent results of É. Fouvry, E. Kowalski and P. Michel (2014) and E. Kowalski, P. Michel and W. Sawin (2018), respectively.

1. Background and motivation

For a prime p and arbitrary integers m, n , we define the s -dimensional Kloosterman sums

$$K_{s,p}(n) = \sum_{\substack{x_1, \dots, x_s=1 \\ x_1 \cdots x_s \equiv n \pmod{p}}}^{p-1} e\left(\frac{x_1 + \dots + x_s}{p}\right),$$

where for a real z we denote

$$e(z) = e^{2\pi iz}.$$

The classical Deligne bound yields the estimate

$$(1-1) \quad |K_{s,p}(n)| \leq sp^{(s-1)/2};$$

see [Iwaniec and Kowalski 2004, Equation (11.58)] and the follow-up discussion.

Since the bound (1-1) is essentially optimal, it is natural to study cancellations between Kloosterman sums in various families of pairs (n, p) of parameters, with and without some weights attached.

Studying cancellations for fixed m and n and varying n is related to the Linnik conjecture [1963], and thus to the groundbreaking results of Kuznetsov [1980]; see also [Iwaniec and Kowalski 2004, Chapter 16]. We refer to [Andersen and

MSC2010: primary 11L05, 11N25; secondary 11T23.

Keywords: Kloosterman sum, trace function, Möbius function, divisor function.

Duke 2018; Blomer and Milićević 2015; Drappeau 2017; Kırıl 2016; Sarnak and Tsimmerman 2009] for some recent developments and applications.

Recently, the dual question about cancellations between Kloosterman sums $K_s(n; p)$, and more general functions (see below) has attracted quite a lot of attention due to its applications to several other problems; see [Blomer et al. 2017a; 2017b; Fouvry et al. 2004; 2014a; 2015; 2017; Kowalski et al. 2017; 2018; Liu et al. 2018; 2019; Shparlinski 2019; Shparlinski and Zhang 2016; Wu and Xi 2016; Xi 2017].

Furthermore, it turns out that Kloosterman sums are representatives of a much richer class of *isotypic trace functions* $\mathcal{K}(n)$ which are associated with isotypic trace sheaves $\mathcal{F}$ modulo p of bounded conductor; we refer to [Fouvry et al. 2014a; 2014b] for precise definitions and properties of trace functions.

For our purposes we do not need to know any specific deep properties of trace functions, it is quite enough to use the facts which are summarised, for example, in [Fouvry et al. 2014a; 2014b]. We also note that this class of functions includes

- normalised Kloosterman sums $p^{-(s-1)/2} K_{s,p}(n)$;
- traces of Frobenius of elliptic curves modulo p ;
- exponential functions of the form $e(\psi(n)/p)$ with a rational function $\psi(Z) \in \mathbb{Q}(Z)$, and similar values of multiplicative characters $\chi(\psi(n))$, as well as their products (excluding for the exceptional function $e(an/p)\chi(n)$ with $a \in \mathbb{Z}$);

see, for example, [Fouvry et al. 2014a, Remark 1.4].

Here, for a positive integer N , a prime p , and an isotypic trace function $\mathcal{K}(n)$ modulo p we consider the sum

$$(1-2) \quad M_p(\mathcal{K}, N) = \sum_{n \leq N} \mu(n) \mathcal{K}(n)$$

with the Möbius function, which is given by $\mu(n) = 0$ if an integer m is divisible by a prime square and $\mu(n) = (-1)^r$ if m is a product of r distinct primes.

We note that some of the motivation to consider the sums (1-2) comes from the program devised by Sarnak [2012] to establish the pseudorandomness of the Möbius function and in particular, to show that it is not correlated with other arithmetic sequences. Generally, the goal is to improve the bound

$$(1-3) \quad M_p(\mathcal{K}, N) = O(N)$$

which trivially holds for many trace functions.

In particular, Fouvry, Kowalski and Michel [Fouvry et al. 2014a, Theorem 1.7], have given a bound on $M_p(\mathcal{K}, N)$ for a wide class of isotypic trace functions $\mathcal{K}(n)$ of bounded conductor with a power saving against the trivial bound (1-3) assuming that

$$(1-4) \quad N \geq p^{3/4+\varepsilon}$$

for some fixed $\varepsilon > 0$. Furthermore, it is natural to expect that [Blomer et al. 2017b, Theorem 1.8], which gives a nontrivial bound for sums of $\mathcal{K}(\ell)$ over prime

arguments ℓ , can also be extended to the sums (1-2) and thus improve the bound of [Fouvry et al. 2014a, Theorem 1.7]; however it is not obvious how to extend the range (1-4).

Here we use a different approach to obtain a nontrivial bound on the sums (1-2) in a shorter range

$$(1-5) \quad N \geq p^{1/2+\varepsilon}.$$

On the other hand, the saving now is only logarithmic. We remark that Fouvry, Kowalski and Michel [Fouvry et al. 2014a, Remark 1.9] mention the possibility of a nontrivial bound in the range (1-5) via the method of Bourgain, Sarnak and Ziegler [Bourgain et al. 2013, Theorem 2]. We however obtain an explicit bound on the saving, which seems to be stronger than the one achievable via the approach of [Bourgain et al. 2013]. More precisely, using a version of [Bourgain et al. 2013, Theorem 2] seems to lead to a saving which is about a square-root of our saving.

Furthermore, for a fixed integer $v \geq 1$ we also consider the sums

$$\mathsf{T}_{p,v}(\mathcal{K}, N) = \sum_{n \leq N} \tau_v(n) \mathcal{K}(n)$$

with the generalised divisor function $\tau_v(n)$, which is defined as the number of ordered representations $n = d_1 \dots d_v$ with integer numbers $d_1, \dots, d_v \geq 1$. Using the bound

$$(1-6) \quad \sum_{n \leq z} \tau_v(n) = O(z(\log z)^{v-1})$$

for any real $z \geq 2$, see [Iwaniec and Kowalski 2004, Equation (1.80)], we see that

$$\mathsf{T}_{p,v}(\mathcal{K}, N) = O(N(\log N)^{v-1}),$$

with the implied constant which depends only on v .

As in the case of $\mathsf{M}_p(\mathcal{K}, N)$, we also give nontrivial bounds on the sums $\mathsf{T}_{p,v}(\mathcal{K}, N)$ under the condition (1-5). We remark that our treatment of the sums $\mathsf{T}_{p,v}(\mathcal{K}, N)$ follows the same pattern as for the sums $\mathsf{M}_p(\mathcal{K}, N)$ but is more involved in the case of the divisor function since only its average values admit good bounds (see (1-6) or (8-1) below), while individual values can be quite large.

In the case of $v = 2$ and normalised s -dimensional Kloosterman sums $\mathcal{K}(n) = p^{-(s-1)/2} K_{s,p}(n)$, a nontrivial bound on $\mathsf{T}_{p,2}(\mathcal{K}, N)$, with a power saving, has recently been given by Kowalski, Michel and Sawin [Kowalski et al. 2018] under the condition

$$N \geq p^{2/3+\varepsilon}.$$

Here we also extend this range to (1-5).

We also remark, that at least in the case of Kloosterman sums, one can use the results and ideas of Liu, Shparlinski and Zhang [Liu et al. 2019] to obtain a power saving bounds for analogues of $M_p(\mathcal{K}, N)$ and $T_{p,v}(\mathcal{K}, N)$ modulo prime powers $q = p^k$, in a much shorter range, namely, for $N \geq q^\varepsilon$.

2. Our approach and main results

To estimate $M_p(\mathcal{K}, N)$ and $T_{p,v}(\mathcal{K}, N)$ we employ the method of [Korolëv 2018], also used in [Gong et al. 2017]. This is then combined with some results of Fouvry, Kowalski and Michel [Fouvry et al. 2014a]; see Lemma 4.1 below.

Before we formulate our results we need to recall that the notations $F \ll G$ and $F = O(G)$ are equivalent to $|F| \leq cG$ for some constant $c > 0$, which throughout the paper may occasionally depend on the integer parameters v and the conductor of the trace function $\mathcal{K}$.

Following [Fouvry et al. 2014a], we say that $\mathcal{K}(n)$ is a *nonexceptional* function modulo p if it is not proportional to a function of the form $e(an/p)\chi(n)$ with an integer a and a multiplicative character χ modulo p .

Theorem 2.1. *For any fixed real $\varepsilon > 0$, if a prime p and an integer N satisfy (1-5), then for any nonexceptional isotypic trace function $\mathcal{K}$ associated to some sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ modulo p we have*

$$M_p(\mathcal{K}, N) \ll \varepsilon^{-1} N \frac{\log \log p}{\log p}.$$

We also have a similar result for $T_{p,v}(\mathcal{K}, N)$.

Theorem 2.2. *For any fixed integer $v \geq 2$ and real $\varepsilon > 0$, if a prime p and an integer N satisfy (1-5), then for any nonexceptional isotypic trace function $\mathcal{K}$ associated to some sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ modulo p we have*

$$T_{p,v}(\mathcal{K}, N) \ll \varepsilon^{-v} N \frac{(\log \log p)^v}{\log p}.$$

We recall our convention that in Theorems 2.1 and 2.2 the implied constants may depend on the conductor of $\mathcal{K}$.

3. Some applications

As an application of Theorem 2.1, we now consider the correlation of the Möbius function $\mu(n)$ with the characteristic function $\chi_{\alpha,\beta}(\varphi(n))$ of angles

$$\varphi(n) = \arccos\left(\frac{1}{2p^{1/2}} K_p(n)\right)$$

of regular Kloosterman sums $K_p(n) = K_{2,p}(n)$ being contained in a given interval $[\alpha, \beta] \subseteq [0, \pi]$. Indeed, a combination of Theorem 2.1 with a result of Katz [1988]

which says that

$$\mathcal{K} : n \mapsto \text{sym}_k \varphi(n),$$

where

$$\text{sym}_k \varphi = \frac{\sin((k+1)\varphi)}{\sin \varphi},$$

is a nonexceptional trace function for every integer $k \geq 1$, instantly yields the following result:

Corollary 3.1. *For any fixed real $\varepsilon > 0$, if a prime p and an integer N satisfy (1-5), then for any continuous function $f : [0, \pi] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ we have*

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n \leq N} \mu(n) f(\varphi(n)) = 0.$$

We can now approximate $\chi_{\alpha, \beta}$ by a sequence of continuous functions to obtain the desired noncorrelation statement.

Similarly, Theorem 2.2 implies that under the condition (1-5), the angles $\varphi(n)$, $n = 1, \dots, N$, are asymptotically distributed with the *Sato–Tate density*

$$\lambda_{\text{ST}}(\alpha) = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^\alpha \sin^2 \vartheta \, d\vartheta,$$

when counted with weights given by the generalised divisor function $\tau_\nu(n)$.

This is immediate from the following analogue of Corollary 3.1, which follows from Theorem 2.2 and [Katz 1988].

Corollary 3.2. *For any fixed real $\varepsilon > 0$, if a prime p and an integer N satisfy (1-5), then for any continuous function $f : [0, \pi] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ we have*

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T_\nu(N)} \sum_{n \leq N} \tau_\nu(n) f(\varphi(n)) = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^\alpha f(\vartheta) \sin^2 \vartheta \, d\vartheta,$$

where

$$T_\nu(N) = \sum_{n \leq N} \tau_\nu(n).$$

Again, approximating $\chi_{\alpha, \beta}$ by a sequence of continuous functions we obtain the desired distribution result.

4. Correlations of trace functions

We recall the following bound which is combination of [Fouvry et al. 2014a, Proposition 6.2 and Theorem 6.3]; see also [Fouvry et al. 2004; 2015; 2017] for several other variations of this result.

Lemma 4.1. *For any nonexceptional isotypic trace function $\mathcal{K}$ associated to some sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ modulo a prime p of bounded conductor, there exists a set $\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{F}} \subseteq \mathbb{F}_p$ of cardinality $\#\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{F}} \ll 1$, such that uniformly over $a \in \mathbb{F}_p \setminus \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{F}}$ we have*

$$\sum_{n=1}^p \mathcal{K}(n) \overline{\mathcal{K}(an)} e(hn/p) \ll p^{1/2}.$$

Now using a standard reduction between complete and incomplete sums, see [Iwaniec and Kowalski 2004, Section 12.2], we immediately derive a bound on a sum of products of $\mathcal{K}(an)\mathcal{K}(bn)$, $i = 1, \dots, v$, with n running over an interval $[1, N]$.

Corollary 4.2. *For any nonexceptional isotypic trace function $\mathcal{K}$ associated to some sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ modulo a prime p of bounded conductor, there exists a set $\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{F}} \subseteq \mathbb{F}_p$ of cardinality $\#\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{F}} \ll 1$, such that uniformly over $a, b \in \mathbb{F}_p$ with $a/b \notin \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{F}}$ and an arbitrary integer $N \leq p$ we have*

$$\sum_{n=1}^N \mathcal{K}(an) \overline{\mathcal{K}(bn)} \ll p^{1/2} \log p.$$

5. Integers avoiding some prime divisors

Given two real numbers $y \geq x > 2$ and an integer $N \geq 1$ we denote by $\mathcal{A}_0(N; x, y)$ the set of positive integers $n \leq N$ that do not have a prime divisor in the half-open interval $(x, y]$. We need the following upper bound on the cardinality $\#\mathcal{A}_0(N; x, y)$, which follows instantly from the so called *fundamental lemma* of combinatorial sieve; see, for example, [Friedlander and Iwaniec 2010, Lemma 6.8] or [Tenenbaum 2015, Part I, Theorem 4.4].

Lemma 5.1. *Uniformly over integers N and real x, y with $N \geq y \geq x \geq 2$, we have*

$$\#\mathcal{A}_0(N; x, y) \ll N \frac{\log x}{\log y}.$$

For $N \geq y \geq 2$, we use $\Psi(N, y)$ to denote the set of positive integers $n \leq N$ whose prime divisors are at most y .

The following bound on the cardinality $\#\Psi(N, y)$ is well-known; see, for example, [Tenenbaum 2015, Part III, Theorem 5.1].

Lemma 5.2. *For any N and y with $N \geq y \geq 2$, we have*

$$\#\Psi(N, y) \ll N \exp\left(-\frac{\log N}{2 \log y}\right).$$

6. Sums of the divisor function over integers without small prime divisors

For $N \geq y \geq 2$, we use $\Phi(N, y)$ to denote the set containing 1 and all integers $n \leq N$ whose prime divisors are at least y .

Suppose that $N \geq y \geq 2$. For an integer $\nu \geq 1$, we set

$$S_\nu(N; y) = \sum_{n \in \Phi(N, y)} \tau_\nu(n).$$

Since $\tau_1(n) = 1$, by [Lemma 5.1](#) taken with $x = 2$, we have

$$(6-1) \quad S_1(N, y) = \#\Phi(N, y) \ll \frac{N}{\log y}.$$

Lemma 6.1. *For a fixed integer $\nu \geq 2$, for any N and y with $N \geq y \geq 2$, we have*

$$S_\nu(N; y) \ll \frac{N(\log N)^{\nu-1}}{(\log y)^\nu}.$$

Proof. If $\sqrt{N} < y \leq N$, then the desired estimate follows from prime number theorem, since in this case one has

$$S_\nu(N; y) = \sum_{\sqrt{N} < p \leq N} \tau_\nu(p) \ll \frac{N}{\log N} \ll N \frac{(\log N)^{\nu-1}}{(\log y)^\nu}.$$

Thus, in the following discussion we assume that $2 \leq y \leq \sqrt{N}$.

We now establish the bound by induction on ν . The bound (6-1) treats the case where $\nu = 1$.

Assume that the result holds for $S_{\nu-1}(N; y)$. We now derive it for $\nu \geq 2$. First, we write

$$\begin{aligned} S_\nu(N; y) &= \sum_{d \in \Phi(N, y)} \sum_{m \in \Phi(N/d, y)} \tau_{\nu-1}(m) = \sum_{d \in \Phi(N, y)} S_{\nu-1}(N/d; y) \\ &\leq \sum_{d \in \Phi(N/y, y)} S_{\nu-1}(N/d; y) + S_{\nu-1}(N; y). \end{aligned}$$

By the induction assumption, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} S_\nu(N; y) &\leq \sum_{d \in \Phi(N/y, y)} \frac{N(\log(N/d))^{k-2}}{d(\log y)^{k-1}} + \frac{N(\log N)^{\nu-2}}{(\log y)^{k-1}} \\ &\leq \frac{N(\log N)^{\nu-2}}{d(\log y)^{k-1}} \sum_{d \in \Phi(N/y, y)} \frac{1}{d} + \frac{N(\log N)^{\nu-2}}{(\log y)^{k-1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Using (6-1) by partial summation one easily derives that for any $Z \geq 1$

$$\sum_{d \in \Phi(Z, y)} \frac{1}{d} \leq \frac{\log Z}{\log y}$$

and the result follows. □

7. Proof of Theorem 2.1

We can certainly assume that

$$N < p,$$

because for larger values of N the result of Fouvry, Kowalski and Michel [Fouvry et al. 2014a, Theorem 1.7] is stronger.

We fix some real x and y and for an integer $r \geq 0$ we denote by $\mathcal{A}_r(N; x, y)$ the set of positive integers $n \leq N$ which have exactly r prime divisors (counted with multiplicities) in the half-open interval $\mathcal{I} = (x, y]$.

In particular, the cardinality of $\mathcal{A}_0(N; x, y)$ has been estimated in Lemma 5.1. Let R be the largest value of r for which $\mathcal{A}_r(N; x, y) \neq \emptyset$. In particular, we have the trivial bound

$$(7-1) \quad R \ll \log N.$$

We now write

$$(7-2) \quad M_p(\mathcal{K}, N) = \sum_{r=0}^R U_r,$$

where

$$U_r = \sum_{n \in \mathcal{A}_r(N; x, y)} \mu(n) \mathcal{K}(n).$$

Note that any trace function $\mathcal{K}(n)$ of the type we consider is bounded pointwise by its conductor, that is, for a trace function $\mathcal{K}(n)$ of bounded conductor we have

$$(7-3) \quad \mathcal{K}(n) \ll 1.$$

In particular using (7-3) and estimating U_0 trivially as

$$U_0 \ll \#\mathcal{A}_0(N; x, y) \ll N \frac{\log x}{\log y},$$

where have also recalled Lemma 5.1, we obtain

$$(7-4) \quad M_p(\mathcal{K}, N) \ll \sum_{r=1}^R |U_r| + N \frac{\log x}{\log y}.$$

Clearly, every square-free integer $n \in \mathcal{A}_r(N; x, y)$ has exactly r representations as $n = \ell m$ with a prime $\ell \in \mathcal{I}$ and integer $m \in \mathcal{A}_{r-1}(N/\ell; x, y)$. Hence, for $r = 1, \dots, R$,

$$U_r = \frac{1}{r} \sum_{\ell \in \mathcal{I}} \sum_{\substack{m \in \mathcal{A}_{r-1}(N/\ell; x, y) \\ \gcd(\ell, m) = 1}} \mu(\ell m) \mathcal{K}(\ell m).$$

Throughout the proof, ℓ always denotes a prime number.

Changing the order of summation and using the multiplicativity of the Möbius function, we now write

$$(7-5) \quad U_r = \frac{1}{r} V_r,$$

where, using $\mu(\ell) = -1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} V_r &= \sum_{m \in \mathcal{A}_{r-1}(N/x; x, y)} \mu(m) \sum_{\substack{\ell \in \mathcal{I} \cap [1, N/m] \\ \gcd(\ell, m) = 1}} \mu(\ell) \mathcal{K}(\ell m) \\ &= - \sum_{m \in \mathcal{A}_{r-1}(N/x; x, y)} \mu(m) \sum_{\substack{\ell \in \mathcal{I} \cap [1, N/m] \\ \gcd(\ell, m) = 1}} \mathcal{K}(\ell m). \end{aligned}$$

Let us define the integer K by the inequality

$$(7-6) \quad x2^K \leq \lfloor y \rfloor + 1 < x2^{K+1}.$$

We now partition the interval $\mathcal{I}$ into at most $K+1 = O(\log y)$ intervals

$$(7-7) \quad \mathcal{I}_k = (x_k, y_k]$$

with

$$x_k = 2^k \lceil x \rceil \quad \text{and} \quad y_k = \min\{2x_k, \lfloor y \rfloor\}, \quad k = 0, \dots, K.$$

Thus

$$(7-8) \quad |V_r| \leq \sum_{k=0}^K |V_{k,r}|,$$

where

$$V_{k,r} = \sum_{m \in \mathcal{A}_{r-1}(N/x_k; x, y)} \mu(m) \sum_{\substack{\ell \in \mathcal{I}_k \cap [1, N/m] \\ \gcd(\ell, m) = 1}} \mathcal{K}(\ell m).$$

Clearly for each $m \in \mathcal{A}_{r-1}(N/x_k; x, y)$, there are at most $r-1$ primes $\ell \in \mathcal{I}$ with $\gcd(\ell, m) > 1$. Hence, using (7-3), at the cost of the error term $O(rN/x_k)$ we can discard the co-primality condition $\gcd(\ell, m) = 1$ and write

$$(7-9) \quad V_{k,r} \ll W_{k,r} + rN/x_k,$$

where

$$W_{k,r} = \sum_{m \in \mathcal{A}_{r-1}(N/x_k; x, y)} \left| \sum_{\ell \in \mathcal{I}_k \cap [1, N/m]} \mathcal{K}(\ell m) \right|.$$

By the Cauchy inequality, we obtain

$$(7-10) \quad W_{k,r}^2 \leq \#\mathcal{A}_{r-1}(N/x_k; x, y) \sum_{m \in \mathcal{A}_{r-1}(N/x_k; x, y)} \left| \sum_{\ell \in \mathcal{I}_k \cap [1, N/m]} \mathcal{K}(\ell m) \right|^2.$$

We now also use the trivial estimate

$$\#A_{r-1}(N/x_k; x, y) \leq N/x_k$$

(more precise estimates are available but do not improve the final result) and extend the summation to all positive integer $m \leq N/x_k$, which yields

$$W_{k,r}^2 \leq Nx_k^{-1} \sum_{m \leq N/x_k} \left| \sum_{\ell \in \mathcal{I}_k \cap [1, N/m]} \mathcal{K}(\ell m) \right|^2.$$

Finally, squaring out and changing the order of summation, we obtain

$$(7-11) \quad W_{k,r}^2 \leq Nx_k^{-1} \sum_{\ell_1, \ell_2 \in \mathcal{I}_k} \sum_{m \leq N/\max\{x_k, \ell_1, \ell_2\}} \mathcal{K}(\ell_1 m) \overline{\mathcal{K}(\ell_2 m)}.$$

For at most $O(y_k)$ pairs (ℓ_1, ℓ_2) with $\ell_1/\ell_2 \in \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{F}}$, where the set $\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{F}}$ is as in [Lemma 4.1](#), we estimate the inner sum trivially as Nx_k^{-1} . For the remaining $O(y_k^2)$ pairs (ℓ_1, ℓ_2) we recall that $N \leq p$ and apply [Corollary 4.2](#) with $\nu = 2$. Therefore,

$$(7-12) \quad \begin{aligned} W_{k,r}^2 &\ll Nx_k^{-1}(y_k Nx_k^{-1} + y_k^2 p^{1/2} \log p) \\ &\ll N^2 x_k^{-1} + N p^{1/2} x_k \log p. \end{aligned}$$

Recalling the definition $x_k = 2^k \lceil x \rceil$ we obtain

$$(7-13) \quad W_{k,r} \ll Nx^{-1/2} 2^{-k/2} + N^{1/2} p^{1/4} x^{1/2} 2^{k/2} (\log p)^{1/2}.$$

Substituting [\(7-13\)](#) into [\(7-9\)](#), we obtain

$$V_{k,r} \ll Nx^{-1/2} 2^{-k/2} + N^{1/2} p^{1/4} x^{1/2} 2^{k/2} (\log p)^{1/2} + r Nx^{-1} 2^{-k}.$$

Thus, substituting this bound into [\(7-8\)](#) we derive

$$\begin{aligned} V_r &\ll \sum_{k=0}^K (Nx^{-1/2} 2^{-k/2} + N^{1/2} p^{1/4} x^{1/2} 2^{k/2} (\log p)^{1/2} + r Nx^{-1} 2^{-k}) \\ &\ll Nx^{-1/2} + N^{1/2} p^{1/4} x^{1/2} 2^{K/2} (\log p)^{1/2} + r N/x. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, recalling the definition of K given by [\(7-6\)](#), we obtain

$$V_r \ll Nx^{-1/2} + N^{1/2} p^{1/4} y^{1/2} (\log p)^{1/2} + r N/x,$$

and thus substituting this bound into [\(7-5\)](#) we obtain

$$(7-14) \quad U_r \ll \frac{1}{r} (Nx^{-1/2} + N^{1/2} p^{1/4} y^{1/2} (\log p)^{1/2} + r N/x).$$

Using the bound (7-14) in (7-4), we see that

$$\begin{aligned} M_p(\mathcal{K}, N) &\ll \sum_{r=1}^R \frac{1}{r} (Nx^{-1/2} + N^{1/2} p^{1/4} y^{1/2} (\log p)^{1/2} + rN/x) + N \frac{\log x}{\log y} \\ &\ll Nx^{-1/2} \log R + N^{1/2} p^{1/4} y^{1/2} (\log p)^{1/2} \log R + NR/x + N \frac{\log x}{\log y}. \end{aligned}$$

We choose $x = (\log p)^4$, $y = p^{\varepsilon/3}$ and recall that by (7-1) we have $\log R \ll \log \log p$. The result now follows.

8. Proof of Theorem 2.2

We fix some real x and y and define the sets $\mathcal{A}_r(N; x, y)$ and the integer R as in the proof of Theorem 2.1. In particular, the bound (7-1) still holds.

Instead of (7-2), we now have

$$T_{p,v}(\mathcal{K}, N) = \sum_{r=0}^R U_r,$$

where we define

$$U_r = \sum_{n \in \mathcal{A}_r(N; x, y)} \tau_v(n) \mathcal{K}(n).$$

As in the proof of Theorem 2.1, we estimate the sums U_0 and U_r , with $r \geq 1$, separately. We note that now estimating U_0 takes slightly more care than the corresponding bound on U_0 in the proof of Theorem 2.1.

We observe that any $n \in \mathcal{A}_0(N; x, y)$ can be uniquely expressed in the form $n = uv$ or $n = u$ where $u \in \Psi(N, x)$ has no prime divisors greater than x and $v \in \Phi^*(N, y)$, where

$$\Phi^*(N, y) = \Phi(N, y) \setminus \{1\}.$$

Recalling (7-3), we see that

$$|U_0| \ll U_{0,1} + U_{0,2},$$

where

$$U_{0,1} = 2 \sum_{u \in \Psi(N, x)} \tau_v(u) \quad \text{and} \quad U_{0,2} = \sum_{\substack{u \in \Psi(N, x), v \in \Phi^*(N, y) \\ uv \leq N}} \tau_v(uv).$$

We estimate $U_{0,1}$ rather crudely. Namely, using the bound

$$(8-1) \quad \sum_{n \leq z} \tau_v^2(n) \ll z(\log z)^{v^2-1},$$

which holds for any real $z \geq 2$; see [Iwaniec and Kowalski 2004, Equation (1.80)], by the Cauchy inequality and Lemma 5.2 we see that

$$(8-2) \quad U_{0,1} \leq \left(\# \Psi(N, x) \sum_{n \leq N} \tau_v^2(n) \right)^{1/2} \ll N \exp \left(-\frac{\log N}{4 \log x} \right) (\log N)^{(v^2-1)/2}.$$

Next, recalling the multiplicativity of $\tau_v(n)$ and using the notations and the bound of Lemma 6.1, we find

$$\begin{aligned} U_{0,2} &\leq \sum_{u \in \Psi(N/y, x)} \tau_v(u) \sum_{v \in \Phi^*(N/u, y)} \tau_v(v) \leq \sum_{u \in \Psi(N/y, x)} \tau_v(u) S_v(Nu^{-1}; y) \\ &\ll \frac{N(\log N)^{v-1}}{(\log y)^v} \sum_{u \leq N/y} \frac{\tau_v(u)}{u} \\ &\ll \frac{N(\log N)^{v-1}}{(\log y)^v} \prod_{\ell \leq x} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\ell^j} \binom{j+v-1}{j}, \end{aligned}$$

where, as in the proof of Theorem 2.1, ℓ always denotes a prime number.

Clearly

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\ell^j} \binom{j+v-1}{j} = \left(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\ell^i} \right)^v = \left(1 - \frac{1}{\ell} \right)^{-v}.$$

Hence, by the Mertens theorem, see [Iwaniec and Kowalski 2004, Equation (2.16)],

$$\begin{aligned} (8-3) \quad U_{0,2} &\ll \frac{N(\log N)^{v-1}}{(\log y)^v} \prod_{\ell \leq x} \left(1 - \frac{1}{\ell} \right)^{-v} \\ &\ll \frac{N(\log N)^{v-1} (\log x)^v}{(\log y)^v}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, combining (8-2) and (8-3), we see that instead of (7-4) we now have

$$(8-4) \quad \begin{aligned} \tau_{p,v}(\mathcal{K}, N) &\ll \sum_{r=1}^R |U_r| + N \exp \left(-\frac{\log N}{4 \log x} \right) (\log N)^{(v^2-1)/2} \\ &\quad + \frac{N(\log N)^{v-1} (\log x)^v}{(\log y)^v}. \end{aligned}$$

Then writing $n = \ell m \in \mathcal{A}_r(N; x, y)$ with a prime $\ell \in \mathcal{I}$ and integer $m \in \mathcal{A}_{r-1}(N/\ell; x, y)$ we have

$$U_r = U_{r,1} + U_{r,2},$$

where $U_{r,1}$, $U_{r,2}$ denote the contributions coming from n with the conditions $\gcd(m, \ell) = 1$ and $\gcd(m, \ell) > 1$, respectively. We estimate $U_{r,2}$ rather crudely.

Namely, using the bound (1-5), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 |U_{r,2}| &\leq \sum_{x < \ell \leq y} \sum_{\substack{m \leq N/\ell \\ \ell | m}} \tau_v(\ell m) \leq 2 \sum_{x < \ell \leq y} \sum_{s \leq N/\ell^2} \tau_v(\ell^2 s) \\
 &\leq 2 \sum_{x < \ell \leq y} \tau_v(\ell^2) \sum_{s \leq N/\ell^2} \tau_v(s) \ll N(\log N)^{v-1} \sum_{\ell > x} \frac{1}{\ell^2} \ll \frac{N(\log N)^{v-1}}{x \log x}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, any $n \in \mathcal{A}_r(N; x, y)$ from the sum $U_{r,1}$ has exactly r representations of the form $n = \ell m$ where $\ell \in \mathcal{I}$ and $m \in \mathcal{A}_{r-1}(N/\ell; x, y)$ with $\gcd(m, \ell) = 1$. Therefore, since $\tau_v(\ell) = v$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 U_{r,1} &= \frac{1}{r} \sum_{x < \ell \leq y} \sum_{\substack{m \in \mathcal{A}_{r-1}(N/\ell; x, y) \\ \gcd(m, \ell) = 1}} \tau_v(\ell m) \mathcal{K}(\ell m) \\
 &= \frac{v}{r} \sum_{x < \ell \leq y} \sum_{\substack{m \in \mathcal{A}_{r-1}(N/\ell; x, y) \\ \gcd(m, \ell) = 1}} \tau_v(m) \mathcal{K}(\ell m).
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, defining the intervals $\mathcal{I}_k$, $k = 0, \dots, K$ as in (7-7), we have the inequality

$$|U_{r,1}| \leq \frac{v}{r} \sum_{k=0}^K |V_{k,r}|,$$

where

$$V_{k,r} = \sum_{x_k < \ell \leq y_k} \sum_{\substack{m \in \mathcal{A}_{r-1}(N/\ell; x, y) \\ \gcd(m, \ell) = 1}} \tau_v(m) \mathcal{K}(\ell m).$$

If we drop the condition $\gcd(m, \ell) = 1$ in the above inner sum then we see that it introduces an error

$$\sum_{x_k < \ell \leq y_k} \sum_{\substack{s \in \mathcal{A}_{r-2}(N/\ell^2; x, y) \\ \gcd(m, \ell) = 1}} \tau_v(\ell s) \mathcal{K}(\ell^2 s) \ll \sum_{x_k < \ell \leq y_k} \sum_{s \leq N/\ell^2} \tau_v(\ell s) \ll \frac{N(\log N)^{v-1}}{x_k \log x_k}.$$

Thus, we have the following analogue of (7-9)

$$(8-5) \quad |V_{k,r}| \ll |W_{k,r}| + \frac{N(\log N)^{v-1}}{x_k \log x_k},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 W_{k,r} &= \sum_{x_k < \ell \leq y_k} \sum_{m \in \mathcal{A}_{r-1}(N/\ell; x, y)} \tau_v(m) \mathcal{K}(\ell m) \\
 &= \sum_{m \in \mathcal{A}_{r-1}(N/x_k; x, y)} \tau_v(m) \sum_{\ell \in \mathcal{I}_k \cap [1, N/m]} \mathcal{K}(\ell m).
 \end{aligned}$$

Now, by the Cauchy inequality and also recalling (8-1), we obtain

$$|W_{k,r}|^2 \leq \frac{N}{x_k} (\log N)^{v^2-1} \sum_{m \leq N/x_k} \left| \sum_{\ell \in \mathcal{I}_k \cap [1, N/m]} \mathcal{K}(\ell m) \right|^2.$$

We now proceed exactly as in the proof of Theorem 2.1 except that we have an extra factor of $(\log N)^{v^2-1}$ in analogues of the bounds (7-11)–(7-13). Hence recalling (8-5) and using very crude estimates

$$v-1 < (v^2-1)/2 < v^2-1 < v^2$$

(using more accurate bounds does not change the final result), instead of (7-14) we obtain

$$U_r \ll \frac{1}{r} (Nx^{-1/2} + N^{1/2} p^{1/4} y^{1/2} (\log p)^{1/2} + rNx^{-1}) (\log N)^{v^2}.$$

Using this bound in (8-4), we see that

$$\begin{aligned} T_{p,v}(\mathcal{K}, N) &\ll (\log N)^{v^2} \sum_{r=1}^R \frac{1}{r} \left(Nx^{-1/2} + N^{1/2} p^{1/4} y^{1/2} (\log p)^{1/2} + rNx/x \right) \\ &\quad + N \exp \left(-\frac{\log N}{4 \log x} \right) (\log N)^{(v^2-1)/2} + \frac{N (\log N)^{v-1} (\log x)^v}{(\log y)^v} \\ &\ll (Nx^{-1/2} \log R + N^{1/2} p^{1/4} y^{1/2} (\log p)^{1/2} \log R + NR/x) (\log N)^{v^2} \\ &\quad + N \exp \left(-\frac{\log N}{4 \log x} \right) (\log N)^{(v^2-1)/2} + \frac{N (\log N)^{v-1} (\log x)^v}{(\log y)^v}. \end{aligned}$$

We now choose $x = (\log p)^{2(v^2+1)}$, $y = p^{\varepsilon/3}$ and recall that by (7-1) we have $\log R \ll \log \log p$. The result follows.

9. Comments

Examining [Fouvry et al. 2014a, Proposition 6.2 and Theorem 6.3], one can easily see that the dependence of implied constants on the conductor of $\mathcal{K}$ in the assumptions of Theorems 2.1 and 2.2 is polynomial.

It is easy to see that our approach also applies to the sums

$$\sum_{n \leq N} \mu(n) \mathbf{e}(ag^n/q) \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{n \leq N} \mu(n) \chi(ag^n + 1)$$

with some integer g of multiplicative order t modulo q ; see [Banks et al. 2004, Theorem 5.1]. Using the techniques and results from [Banks et al. 2012; Bourgain 2005] one is likely to be able to improve [Banks et al. 2004, Theorem 5.1], however our approach leads to nontrivial bounds in a wider range of parameters N and t .

One can also obtain similar results for sums with the divisor function $\tau_v(n)$ instead of the Möbius function and in fact with many other multiplicative functions. Certainly Corollaries 3.1 and 3.2 can be extended to angles

$$\varphi(n) = \arccos\left(\frac{1}{sp^{(s-1)/2}} K_{s,p}(n)\right)$$

of multidimensional Kloosterman sums and of many other trace functions, for which results on nondegenerality of the functions $\text{sym}_k \varphi(n)$ can be established.

However, in order to obtain quantitative bounds on the rate of convergence, one needs to work out the explicit dependence of our results, and thus the results of [Fouvry et al. 2014a], on the conductor of the trace function $\mathcal{K}$, which we pose as an open question.

We also note that for a wide class of trace functions, including Kloosterman sums, a very broad extension of Lemma 4.1 has been given in [Fouvry et al. 2015]. To formulate this we consider the actions

$$\gamma(n) = \frac{an + b}{cn + d}$$

of matrices

$$\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \text{PGL}_2(\mathbb{F}_p).$$

Then for a wide class of trace functions $\mathcal{K}(n)$, under some natural *normality* conditions of the matrices $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_m$ we have

$$(9-1) \quad \sum_{n=1}^p \prod_{j=1}^m \mathcal{K}(\gamma_j(n)) e(hn/p) \ll p^{1/2};$$

see [Fouvry et al. 2015, Corollary 1.6]. In the most interesting case when $\mathcal{K}(n)$ is given by Kloosterman sums $\mathcal{K}(n) = p^{-(s-1)/2} K_{s,p}(n)$, these normality conditions reduce to the constraint that at least one matrix γ in the sequence $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_m$ appears an odd number of times.

Combining (9-1) (which we need only for linear transformations $n \mapsto an + b$) with the argument of [Ostafe and Shparlinski 2012] one can obtain nontrivial bounds on sums of trace functions $\mathcal{K}(n)$ over integers n whose sum of binary digits is given. More precisely, let $\sigma(n)$ denote the sum of binary digits of n . For any integers $0 \leq s \leq r$, we define $\mathcal{G}_s(r)$ as the set of integers with r binary digits such that the sum of the digits is equal to s , that is,

$$\mathcal{G}_s(r) = \{0 \leq n < 2^r \mid \sigma(n) = s\} \quad \text{and} \quad \#\mathcal{G}_s(r) = \binom{r}{s}.$$

Then, the bound (9-1) implies an analogue of bounds of [Ostafe and Shparlinski 2012, Theorems 1 and 2] for a wide class of trace functions $\mathcal{K}(n)$. In particular,

as in [Ostafe and Shparlinski 2012] we see that if $2^r = p^{1+o(1)}$ then for any $\delta > 0$ there exists some $\eta > 0$ such that for $r/2 \geq s \geq (\rho_0 + \delta)r$ we have

$$(9-2) \quad \sum_{n \in \mathcal{G}_s(r)} \mathcal{K}(n) \ll \binom{r}{s}^{1-\eta},$$

where $\rho_0 = 0.11002786 \dots$ is the root of the equation

$$H(\vartheta) = 1/2, \quad 0 \leq \vartheta \leq 1/2,$$

with the *binary entropy function*

$$H(\gamma) = \frac{-\gamma \log \gamma - (1-\gamma) \log(1-\gamma)}{\log 2}.$$

In particular, the bound (9-2) holds for sums with Kloosterman sums $\mathcal{K}(n) = p^{-(s-1)/2} K_{s,p}(n)$.

It is also interesting to consider sums of trace functions over integers with other digit restrictions. For example, for integers with fixed binary digits at s prescribed positions; see [Dietmann et al. 2017] for some relevant results.

Acknowledgements

The authors are very grateful to Emmanuel Kowalski and Philippe Michel for their comments and suggestions, and to the referee for the very careful reading of the manuscript and many useful suggestions.

The authors also would like to thank Gérald Tenenbaum for outlining the argument of the proof of Lemma 6.1 which significantly simplified the original treatment.

During the preparation of this work Korolev was supported by the Russian Science Foundation Grant 19-11-00001 and Shparlinski was supported in part by the Australian Research Council Grants DP170100786 and DP180100201.

References

- [Andersen and Duke 2018] N. Andersen and W. Duke, “Modular invariants for real quadratic fields and Kloosterman sums”, preprint, 2018. [arXiv](#)
- [Banks et al. 2004] W. D. Banks, A. Conflitti, J. B. Friedlander, and I. E. Shparlinski, “Exponential sums over Mersenne numbers”, *Compos. Math.* **140**:1 (2004), 15–30. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Banks et al. 2012] W. D. Banks, J. B. Friedlander, M. Z. Garaev, and I. E. Shparlinski, “Exponential and character sums with Mersenne numbers”, *J. Aust. Math. Soc.* **92**:1 (2012), 1–13. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Blomer and Milićević 2015] V. Blomer and D. Milićević, “Kloosterman sums in residue classes”, *J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS)* **17**:1 (2015), 51–69. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Blomer et al. 2017a] V. Blomer, E. Fouvry, E. Kowalski, P. Michel, and D. Milićević, “On moments of twisted L -functions”, *Amer. J. Math.* **139**:3 (2017), 707–768. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)

- [Blomer et al. 2017b] V. Blomer, E. Fuvri, E. Kowalski, F. Mishel, and D. Milichevich, “Some applications of smooth bilinear forms with Kloosterman sums”, *Tr. Mat. Inst. Steklova* **296** (2017), 24–35. In Russian; translated in *Proc. Steklov Inst. Math.* **296**:1 (2017), 18–29. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Bourgain 2005] J. Bourgain, “Estimates on exponential sums related to the Diffie–Hellman distributions”, *Geom. Funct. Anal.* **15**:1 (2005), 1–34. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Bourgain et al. 2013] J. Bourgain, P. Sarnak, and T. Ziegler, “Disjointness of Moebius from horocycle flows”, pp. 67–83 in *From Fourier analysis and number theory to Radon transforms and geometry*, edited by H. M. Farkas et al., *Dev. Math.* **28**, Springer, New York, 2013. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Dietmann et al. 2017] R. Dietmann, C. Elsholtz, and I. E. Shparlinski, “Prescribing the binary digits of squarefree numbers and quadratic residues”, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **369**:12 (2017), 8369–8388. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Drappeau 2017] S. Drappeau, “Sums of Kloosterman sums in arithmetic progressions, and the error term in the dispersion method”, *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc.* (3) **114**:4 (2017), 684–732. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Fouvry et al. 2004] E. Fouvry, P. Michel, J. Rivat, and A. Sárközy, “On the pseudorandomness of the signs of Kloosterman sums”, *J. Aust. Math. Soc.* **77**:3 (2004), 425–436. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Fouvry et al. 2014a] E. Fouvry, E. Kowalski, and P. Michel, “Algebraic trace functions over the primes”, *Duke Math. J.* **163**:9 (2014), 1683–1736. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Fouvry et al. 2014b] E. Fouvry, E. Kowalski, and P. Michel, “Trace functions over finite fields and their applications”, pp. 7–35 in *Colloquium De Giorgi 2013 and 2014*, edited by U. Zannier, *Colloquia* **5**, Ed. Norm., Pisa, 2014. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Fouvry et al. 2015] E. Fouvry, E. Kowalski, and P. Michel, “A study in sums of products”, *Philos. Trans. Roy. Soc. A* **373**:2040 (2015), art. id. 20140309, 26 pp. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Fouvry et al. 2017] E. Fouvry, E. Kowalski, P. Michel, C. S. Raju, J. Rivat, and K. Soundararajan, “On short sums of trace functions”, *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)* **67**:1 (2017), 423–449. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Friedlander and Iwaniec 2010] J. Friedlander and H. Iwaniec, *Opera de cribro*, American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications **57**, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2010. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Gong et al. 2017] K. Gong, C. Jia, and M. A. Korolev, “Shifted character sums with multiplicative coefficients, II”, *J. Number Theory* **178** (2017), 31–39. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Iwaniec and Kowalski 2004] H. Iwaniec and E. Kowalski, *Analytic number theory*, American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications **53**, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2004. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Katz 1988] N. M. Katz, *Gauss sums, Kloosterman sums, and monodromy groups*, *Annals of Mathematics Studies* **116**, Princeton University Press, 1988. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Kiral 2016] E. M. Kiral, “Opposite-sign Kloosterman sum zeta function”, *Mathematika* **62**:2 (2016), 406–429. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Korolëv 2018] M. A. Korolëv, “Kloosterman sums with multiplicative coefficients”, *Izv. Ross. Akad. Nauk Ser. Mat.* **82**:4 (2018), 3–17. In Russian; translated in *Izv. Math.* **82**:4 (2018), 647–661. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Kowalski et al. 2017] E. Kowalski, P. Michel, and W. Sawin, “Bilinear forms with Kloosterman sums and applications”, *Ann. of Math.* (2) **186**:2 (2017), 413–500. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Kowalski et al. 2018] E. Kowalski, P. Michel, and W. Sawin, “Stratification and averaging for exponential sums: bilinear forms with generalized Kloosterman sums”, preprint, 2018. To appear in *Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa Cl. Sci.* [arXiv](#)

- [Kuznecov 1980] N. V. Kuznecov, “The Petersson conjecture for cusp forms of weight zero and the Linnik conjecture: sums of Kloosterman sums”, *Mat. Sb. (N.S.)* **111(153)**:3 (1980), 334–383. In Russian; translated in *Math. USSR-Sb.* **39**:3 (1981), 299–342. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Linnik 1963] J. V. Linnik, “Additive problems and eigenvalues of the modular operators”, pp. 270–284 in *Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians* (Stockholm, 1962), Inst. Mittag-Leffler, Djursholm, Sweden, 1963. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Liu et al. 2018] K. Liu, I. E. Shparlinski, and T. Zhang, “Divisor problem in arithmetic progressions modulo a prime power”, *Adv. Math.* **325** (2018), 459–481. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Liu et al. 2019] K. Liu, I. E. Shparlinski, and T. Zhang, “Cancellations between Kloosterman sums modulo a prime power with prime arguments”, *Mathematika* **65**:3 (2019), 475–487. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Ostafe and Shparlinski 2012] A. Ostafe and I. E. Shparlinski, “Multiplicative character sums and products of sparse integers in residue classes”, *Period. Math. Hungar.* **64**:2 (2012), 247–255. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Sarnak 2012] P. Sarnak, “Möbius randomness and dynamics”, *Not. S. Afr. Math. Soc.* **43**:2 (2012), 89–97. [MR](#)
- [Sarnak and Tsimmerman 2009] P. Sarnak and J. Tsimmerman, “On Linnik and Selberg’s conjecture about sums of Kloosterman sums”, pp. 619–635 in *Algebra, arithmetic, and geometry*, vol. II, edited by Y. Tschinkel and Y. Zarhin, *Progr. Math.* **270**, Birkhäuser, Boston, 2009. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Shparlinski 2019] I. E. Shparlinski, “On sums of Kloosterman and Gauss sums”, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **371**:12 (2019), 8679–8697. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Shparlinski and Zhang 2016] I. E. Shparlinski and T. Zhang, “Cancellations amongst Kloosterman sums”, *Acta Arith.* **176**:3 (2016), 201–210. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Tenenbaum 2015] G. Tenenbaum, *Introduction to analytic and probabilistic number theory*, 3rd ed., *Graduate Studies in Mathematics* **163**, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2015. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Wu and Xi 2016] J. Wu and P. Xi, “Arithmetic exponent pairs for algebraic trace functions and applications”, preprint, 2016. [arXiv](#)
- [Xi 2017] P. Xi, “Large sieve inequalities for algebraic trace functions”, *Int. Math. Res. Not.* **2017**:16 (2017), 4840–4881. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)

Received May 1, 2018. Revised June 9, 2019.

MAXIM KOROLEV
DEPARTMENT OF NUMBER THEORY
STEKLOV MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE
MOSCOW
RUSSIA
hardy_ramanujan@mail.ru

IGOR SHPARLINSKI
SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS
THE UNIVERSITY OF NEW SOUTH WALES
SYDNEY
AUSTRALIA
igor.shparlinski@unsw.edu.au

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

Founded in 1951 by E. F. Beckenbach (1906–1982) and F. Wolf (1904–1989)

msp.org/pjm

EDITORS

Don Blasius (Managing Editor)
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
blasius@math.ucla.edu

Matthias Aschenbrenner
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
matthias@math.ucla.edu

Daryl Cooper
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Santa Barbara, CA 93106-3080
cooper@math.ucsb.edu

Jiang-Hua Lu
Department of Mathematics
The University of Hong Kong
Pokfulam Rd., Hong Kong
jhlu@maths.hku.hk

Paul Balmer
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
balmer@math.ucla.edu

Wee Teck Gan
Mathematics Department
National University of Singapore
Singapore 119076
matgwt@nus.edu.sg

Sorin Popa
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
popa@math.ucla.edu

Paul Yang
Department of Mathematics
Princeton University
Princeton NJ 08544-1000
yang@math.princeton.edu

Vyjayanthi Chari
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Riverside, CA 92521-0135
chari@math.ucr.edu

Kefeng Liu
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
liu@math.ucla.edu

Jie Qing
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Santa Cruz, CA 95064
qing@cats.ucsc.edu

PRODUCTION

Silvio Levy, Scientific Editor, production@msp.org

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

ACADEMIA SINICA, TAIPEI
CALIFORNIA INST. OF TECHNOLOGY
INST. DE MATEMÁTICA PURA E APLICADA
KEIO UNIVERSITY
MATH. SCIENCES RESEARCH INSTITUTE
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIV.
OREGON STATE UNIV.

STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIV. OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, BERKELEY
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, DAVIS
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, LOS ANGELES
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, RIVERSIDE
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, SAN DIEGO
UNIV. OF CALIF., SANTA BARBARA

UNIV. OF CALIF., SANTA CRUZ
UNIV. OF MONTANA
UNIV. OF OREGON
UNIV. OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
UNIV. OF UTAH
UNIV. OF WASHINGTON
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY

These supporting institutions contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its contents or policies.

See inside back cover or msp.org/pjm for submission instructions.

The subscription price for 2020 is US \$520/year for the electronic version, and \$705/year for print and electronic.
Subscriptions, requests for back issues and changes of subscriber address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 4163, Berkeley, CA 94704-0163, U.S.A. The Pacific Journal of Mathematics is indexed by [Mathematical Reviews](#), [Zentralblatt MATH](#), [PASCAL CNRS Index](#), [Referativnyi Zhurnal](#), [Current Mathematical Publications](#) and [Web of Knowledge \(Science Citation Index\)](#).

The Pacific Journal of Mathematics (ISSN 1945-5844 electronic, 0030-8730 printed) at the University of California, c/o Department of Mathematics, 798 Evans Hall #3840, Berkeley, CA 94720-3840, is published twelve times a year. Periodical rate postage paid at Berkeley, CA 94704, and additional mailing offices. POSTMASTER: send address changes to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 4163, Berkeley, CA 94704-0163.

PJM peer review and production are managed by EditFLOW® from Mathematical Sciences Publishers.

PUBLISHED BY

 **mathematical sciences publishers**
nonprofit scientific publishing

<http://msp.org/>

© 2020 Mathematical Sciences Publishers

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

Volume 304 No. 2 February 2020

Graphs admitting only constant splines	385
KATIE ANDERS, ALISSA S. CRANS, BRIANA FOSTER-GREENWOOD, BLAKE MELLOR and JULIANNA TYMOCZKO	
Centers of disks in Riemannian manifolds	401
IGOR BELEGRADEK and MOHAMMAD GHOMI	
The geometry of the flex locus of a hypersurface	419
LAURENT BUSÉ, CARLOS D'ANDREA, MARTÍN SOMBRA and MARTIN WEIMANN	
Morse inequalities for Fourier components of Kohn–Rossi cohomology of CR covering manifolds with S^1 -action	439
RUNG-TZUNG HUANG and GUOKUAN SHAO	
On Seifert fibered spaces bounding definite manifolds	463
AHMAD ISSA and DUNCAN MCCOY	
Regularity of quotients of Drinfeld modular schemes	481
SATOSHI KONDO and SEIDAI YASUDA	
Sums of algebraic trace functions twisted by arithmetic functions	505
MAXIM KOROLEV and IGOR SHPARLINSKI	
Twisted calculus on affinoid algebras	523
BERNARD LE STUM and ADOLFO QUIRÓS	
Symplectic (-2) -spheres and the symplectomorphism group of small rational 4-manifolds	561
JUN LI and TIAN-JUN LI	
Addendum to the article Contact stationary Legendrian surfaces in S^5	607
YONG LUO	
The Hamiltonian dynamics of magnetic confinement in toroidal domains	613
GABRIEL MARTINS	
Gluing Bartnik extensions, continuity of the Bartnik mass, and the equivalence of definitions	629
STEPHEN MCCORMICK	
Decomposable Specht modules indexed by bihooks	655
LIRON SPEYER and LOUISE SUTTON	
On the global well-posedness of one-dimensional fluid models with nonlocal velocity	713
ZHUAN YE	
Hopf cyclic cohomology for noncompact G -manifolds with boundary	753
XIN ZHANG	