

# Tunisian Journal of Mathematics

an international publication organized by the Tunisian Mathematical Society

## On log motives

Tetsushi Ito, Kazuya Kato, Chikara Nakayama and Sampei Usui

2020 vol. 2 no. 4



# On log motives

Tetsushi Ito, Kazuya Kato, Chikara Nakayama and Sampei Usui

We define the categories of log motives and log mixed motives. The latter gives a new formulation for the category of mixed motives. We prove that the former is a semisimple abelian category if and only if the numerical equivalence and homological equivalence coincide, and that it is also equivalent to the latter being a Tannakian category. We discuss various realizations, formulate Tate and Hodge conjectures, and verify them in the curve case.

1. Introduction	733
2. Preparations on log geometry	736
3. Log motives	757
4. Log mixed motives	764
5. Formulation with various realizations	773
6. Examples	777
Acknowledgments	787
References	787

## 1. Introduction

**1.1.** In this paper, we define:

- (1) the category of log motives over an fs log scheme, and
- (2) the category of log mixed motives over an fs log scheme.

(1) is a generalization of the category of Grothendieck motives over a field with respect to the homological equivalence. The category (2) has  $\oplus$ ,  $\otimes$ , dual, kernel and cokernel. We prove that the following (i), (ii), and (iii) are equivalent.

- (i) The numerical equivalence and homological equivalence coincide in the category (1).
- (ii) The category (1) is a semisimple abelian category.
- (iii) The category (2) is a Tannakian category.

The equivalence of (i) and (ii) is the log version of the famous theorem of Jannsen [1992].

*MSC2010:* primary 14C15; secondary 14A20, 14F20.

*Keywords:* motive, mixed motive, log geometry.

**1.2.** We explain the organization briefly. In this paper, except in 2.1, an fs log scheme means an fs log scheme which has charts Zariski locally.

Let  $S$  be an fs log scheme. We fix a prime number  $\ell$  and assume that  $\ell$  is invertible over  $S$ .

After we give preparations in Section 2, we define in Section 3 the category of log motives over  $S$ , which is the log version of the category of motives of Grothendieck. In Section 4, we define the category of log mixed motives over  $S$  using the theory in Section 3.

Here we work modulo homological equivalence using  $\ell$ -adic log étale cohomology theory.

In the case where the log structure of  $S$  is trivial, our construction gives a category of mixed motives over  $S$  modulo homological equivalence. This does not use the theory of Voevodsky [2000], though we hope our theory is connected to it. In the case  $S = \text{Spec}(k)$  for a field  $k$  of characteristic 0 with trivial log structure, our definition of the category of mixed motives over  $S$  is different from the definition of the category of mixed motives over  $k$  given by Jannsen [1990]. The difference lies in the definition of morphisms. We use  $K$ -theory whereas he uses absolute Hodge cycles.

Vologodsky [2015] and Park [2016] also defined log motives, respectively. They work with the formalism of triangulated categories à la Voevodsky. Our approach is more elementary to define the category of log mixed motives directly without defining its derived category. One can ask to compare our theory with theirs.

In Section 5, we introduce realizations that are not  $\ell$ -adic. In Section 6, we discuss examples.

We explain each section of this paper more.

**1.3.** In Section 2, we give preparations on log geometry. We review results on log étale cohomology, log Betti cohomology, log de Rham cohomology, and log Hodge theory in 2.1, and then review or prove results on fans (2.2), on log modifications (2.3), and on the Grothendieck group of vector bundles on log schemes (2.4).

**1.4.** We explain more about Section 3.

Fix a prime number  $\ell$  and let  $S$  be an fs log scheme on which  $\ell$  is invertible. We define the category of log motives over  $S$  by imitating the definition of motive by Grothendieck modulo homological equivalence.

Recall that for a field  $k$  whose characteristic is not  $\ell$ , the category of motives over  $k$  modulo ( $\ell$ -adic) homological equivalence is defined as follows (see [Scholl 1994]). For a projective smooth scheme  $X$  over  $k$  and for  $r \in \mathbb{Z}$ , consider a symbol  $h(X)(r)$ . For projective smooth schemes  $X, Y$  over  $k$  and for  $r, s \in \mathbb{Z}$ , by a morphism  $h(X)(r) \rightarrow h(Y)(s)$ , we mean a homomorphism  $\bigoplus_i H^i(X)_\ell(r) \rightarrow$

$\bigoplus_i H^i(Y)_\ell(s)$  which comes from  $\text{CH}(X \times Y)_\mathbb{Q}$ . Here  $H^i(X)_\ell$  is the étale cohomology group  $H_{\text{ét}}^i(X \otimes_k \bar{k}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)$  with  $\bar{k}$  a fixed separable closure of  $k$ ,  $(r)$  denotes the  $r$ -th Tate twist, the same for  $Y$  and  $s$ , and where  $\text{CH} = \bigoplus_i \text{CH}^i$  is the Chow group and  $(\cdot)_\mathbb{Q}$  means  $\otimes \mathbb{Q}$ . A motive over  $k$  is a pair  $(h(X)(r), e)$ , where  $X$  is a projective smooth scheme over  $k$ ,  $r \in \mathbb{Z}$ , and  $e$  is an idempotent of the ring of endomorphisms of  $h(X)(r)$ .

Imitating this, we define the category of log motives over  $S$  is as follows. (See 3.1 for details.) For a projective vertical log smooth fs log scheme  $X$  over  $S$  and for  $r \in \mathbb{Z}$ , consider a symbol  $h(X)(r)$ . For projective vertical log smooth fs log schemes  $X, Y$  over  $S$  and for  $r, s \in \mathbb{Z}$ , by a morphism  $h(X)(r) \rightarrow h(Y)(s)$ , we mean a homomorphism  $h : \bigoplus_i H^i(X)_\ell(r) \rightarrow \bigoplus_i H^i(Y)_\ell(s)$  satisfying the condition (C) below. Here  $H^i(X)_\ell$  is the smooth  $\mathbb{Q}_\ell$ -sheaf on the log étale site on  $S$  defined to be the  $i$ -th relative log étale cohomology of  $X$  over  $S$ ,  $(r)$  denotes the  $r$ -th Tate twist, and the same for  $Y$  and  $s$ .

(C) For any geometric standard log point  $p$  (2.1.11) over  $S$ , the pullback of  $h$  to  $p$  comes from an element of  $\bigoplus_i \text{gr}^i K(Z)_\mathbb{Q}$  for some log modification  $Z$  of  $X_p \times_p Y_p$ , where  $K(Z)$  denotes the Grothendieck group of the category of vector bundles on  $Z$  and  $\text{gr}^i$  denotes the  $i$ -th graded quotient for the  $\gamma$ -filtration [SGA 6 1971].

A log motive over  $S$  is a pair  $(h(X)(r), e)$ , where  $X$  over  $S$  and  $r$  are as above and  $e$  is an idempotent of the ring of endomorphisms of  $h(X)(r)$  (3.1.7).

The reason we need log modifications is explained in 3.1.5.

In the case where  $S = \text{Spec}(k)$  for a field  $k$  with the trivial log structure we have  $\text{gr}^i K(Z)_\mathbb{Q} = \text{CH}^i(Z)_\mathbb{Q}$  for any smooth scheme  $Z$  over  $k$  and our category of log motives over  $S$  coincides with the category of motives over  $k$  modulo homological equivalence due to Grothendieck.

We will also define the category of log motives over  $S$  modulo numerical equivalence by taking the quotient of the set of morphisms by numerical equivalence. We prove the following log version of the theorem of Jannsen.

**Theorem (Theorem 3.4.1).** (1) *The category of log motives over  $S$  modulo numerical equivalence is a semisimple abelian category.*

(2) *The category of log motives over  $S$  (defined in 3.1) is a semisimple abelian category if and only if the numerical equivalence for morphisms of this category is trivial.*

**1.5.** We explain more about Section 4. Let  $S$  and  $\ell$  be as in 1.4. Roughly speaking, we follow the method of Deligne [1971; 1974], who constructed mixed Hodge structures of geometric origin by using only projective smooth schemes over  $\mathbb{C}$ .

Our definition of log mixed motives is rather simple and is easily obtained by using the category of log (pure) motives in Section 3. This may seem strange

because usually it is impossible to take care of mixed objects by using only pure objects. The reason why such a simple definition works is explained in 4.3.

We will prove the following result, which is a part of [Theorem 4.4.2](#).

**Theorem.** *Assume that the category of log motives over  $S$  is semisimple; that is, the numerical equivalence coincides with the homological equivalence for this category (see (2) of the previous theorem). Then the category of log mixed motives over  $S$  is a Tannakian category. In particular, it is an abelian category.*

**1.6.** In Sections 2–4, our discussion only uses  $\ell$ -adic étale realization. We consider in [Section 5](#) more realizations, and formulate Tate conjecture and Hodge conjecture for log mixed motives. In the final section, [Section 6](#), we prove that these conjectures are true in certain cases ([Propositions 6.3.2, 6.3.4, 6.4.3](#)). To prove the results on morphisms between  $H^1$  of log curves ([Propositions 6.3.4 and 6.4.3](#)), we use the theory of log abelian varieties in [[Kajiwara et al. 2008b](#)] and the theory of log Jacobian varieties [[Kajiwara 1993](#)].

## 2. Preparations on log geometry

Basic references on log geometry are [[Kato 1989](#); [Illusie 1994](#)]. Basic references on log étale cohomology are [[Nakayama 1997](#); [1998](#); [2017b](#); [Illusie 2002](#)]. Basic references on algebraic cycles and  $K$ -groups are [[SGA 6 1971](#); [Fulton 1998](#)].

In this paper, except in [2.1](#), for technical reasons, we consider only fs log schemes which have charts Zariski locally. (We hope that a generalization of our theory can be developed without such a restriction, but we guess that the resulting categories are not very different from the current ones.) A *monoid* means a commutative semigroup with a unit element which is usually denoted by 1.

Let  $X$  be an fs log scheme over an fs log scheme  $S$ . We say that  $X$  is *projective* if the underlying scheme of  $X$  is projective over the underlying scheme of  $S$ . We say that  $X$  is *vertical* if for any point  $x$  of  $X$ , whose image in  $S$  is denoted by  $s$ , the face of  $M_{X, \bar{x}}$  spanned by the image of  $M_{S, \bar{s}}$  is the whole  $M_{X, \bar{x}}$ . See [[Nakayama 1997](#), Definition and Notation (7.3)].

A morphism  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  of integral log schemes is *exact* if for any  $x \in X$ , an element of  $M_{Y, \overline{f(x)}}^{\text{gp}}$  whose image in  $M_{X, \bar{x}}^{\text{gp}}$  belongs to  $M_{X, \bar{x}}$  belongs to  $M_{Y, \overline{f(x)}}$ . See [[Kato 1989](#), Definition (4.6)].

**2.1. Log cohomology theories.** We review some theorems on log étale cohomology, log Betti cohomology, and log de Rham cohomology.

First we discuss the theorems on log étale cohomology. There are two versions of étale cohomology in log geometry. One is obtained using the Kummer étale (két) site, while the other is obtained using the full log étale (lét) site. In this paper we mainly use log étale cohomology defined using the full log étale site.

Let  $f : X \rightarrow S$  be a morphism of fs log schemes. Let  $\ell$  be a prime number which is invertible on  $S$ . Let  $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}/\ell^n\mathbb{Z}$  ( $n \geq 1$ ).

**Proposition 2.1.1.** *Assume that  $f : X \rightarrow S$  is proper and log smooth. Then  $R^q f_{1\acute{e}t*} \Lambda$  (the higher direct image for the full log étale topology) is locally constant and constructible (see [Nakayama 2017b, 8.1] for the definition) for all  $q \in \mathbb{Z}$ .*

*Proof.* This follows from [Nakayama 2017b, Theorem 13.1(1)]. □

**2.1.2.** As in the classical case, we define a *constructible  $\mathbb{Z}_\ell$ -sheaf* as an inverse system  $(F_n)_n$ , where  $F_n$  is a constructible sheaf of  $\mathbb{Z}/\ell^{n+1}\mathbb{Z}$ -modules such that  $\mathbb{Z}/\ell^n\mathbb{Z} \otimes F_n \xrightarrow{\cong} F_{n-1}$ . A *smooth  $\mathbb{Z}_\ell$ -sheaf* is a constructible  $\mathbb{Z}_\ell$ -sheaf  $(F_n)_n$  with each  $F_n$  locally constant. The smooth  $\mathbb{Z}_\ell$ -sheaves form an abelian category. We define the category of *constructible  $\mathbb{Q}_\ell$ -sheaves* as the localization of this abelian category by torsion objects, that is, those killed by some power of  $\ell$ . By the above proposition, we have, under the assumption there, a smooth  $\mathbb{Q}_\ell$ -sheaf on  $S_{1\acute{e}t}$ , which we denote by  $R^q f_{1\acute{e}t*} \mathbb{Q}_\ell$ .

**Proposition 2.1.3** (Poincaré duality). *Let  $d \geq 0$ . Assume that  $f : X \rightarrow S$  is proper, log smooth, vertical, and, full log étale locally on  $S$ , all fibers are of equi- $d$ -dimensional. Then there is a natural isomorphism*

$$R^{2d-i} f_{1\acute{e}t*} \Lambda(d) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathcal{H}om(R^i f_{1\acute{e}t*} \Lambda, \Lambda)$$

for any  $i$ .

*Proof.* This is by [Nakayama 2017b, Theorem 14.2(3)]. □

**Corollary 2.1.4.** *Under the same assumptions, suppose further that  $S$  is noetherian. Then, there is a natural isomorphism*

$$R^{2d-i} f_{1\acute{e}t*} \mathbb{Q}_\ell(d) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathcal{H}om(R^i f_{1\acute{e}t*} \mathbb{Q}_\ell, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)$$

for any  $i$ .

**Proposition 2.1.5** (Künneth formula). *Assume that  $S$  is quasicompact and that  $f : X \rightarrow S$  is proper. Let  $g : Y \rightarrow S$  be another proper morphism of fs log schemes. Let  $h$  be the induced morphism  $X \times_S Y \rightarrow S$ . Then there is a natural isomorphism*

$$Rf_{1\acute{e}t*} \Lambda \otimes_{\Lambda}^L Rg_{1\acute{e}t*} \Lambda \xrightarrow{\cong} Rh_{1\acute{e}t*} \Lambda.$$

*Proof.* This is by [Nakayama 2017b, Theorem 9.1]. □

As a corollary, we have:

**Corollary 2.1.6.** *Assume that  $S$  is quasicompact and that  $f : X \rightarrow S$  is proper and log smooth. Let  $g : Y \rightarrow S$  be another proper and log smooth morphism of fs log*

schemes. Let  $h$  be the induced morphism  $X \times_S Y \rightarrow S$ . Then, for each  $n \geq 0$ , there is a natural isomorphism

$$\bigoplus_{p+q=n} R^p f_{1\acute{e}t*} \mathbb{Q}_\ell \otimes R^q g_{1\acute{e}t*} \mathbb{Q}_\ell \xrightarrow{\cong} R^n h_{1\acute{e}t*} \mathbb{Q}_\ell.$$

*Proof.* The natural homomorphism is seen to be bijective at stalks by the previous proposition.  $\square$

Next the theorems on log Betti cohomology are as follows. Let  $f : X \rightarrow S$  be a morphism of fs log analytic spaces.

**Proposition 2.1.7.** *Assume that  $f : X \rightarrow S$  is proper (i.e., the underlying map is universally closed and separated) and log smooth. Then  $R^q f_*^{\log} \mathbb{Z}$  is a locally constant sheaf of finitely generated abelian groups for all  $q \in \mathbb{Z}$ .*

*Proof.* This is [Kajiwara and Nakayama 2008, Corollary 0.3].  $\square$

**Proposition 2.1.8** (Poincaré duality). *Let  $d \geq 0$ . Assume that  $f : X \rightarrow S$  is proper, log smooth, vertical, and all fibers are of equi- $d$ -dimensional. Then there is a natural isomorphism*

$$R^{2d-i} f_*^{\log} \mathbb{Q} \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathcal{H}om(R^i f_*^{\log} \mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{Q})$$

for any  $i$ .

*Proof.* The case where  $f$  is exact is by [Nakayama and Ogus 2010, Theorem 5.10(3)]. The general case is reduced to this case by exactification as follows. First, we assume that  $S$  has a chart by an fs monoid and fix such a chart. Then, by exactification [Illusie et al. 2005, Proposition (A.4.4)], there is a log blow-up [Illusie et al. 2005, Definition (6.1.1)]  $p : S' \rightarrow S$  such that the base-changed morphism  $f' : X' := X \times_S S' \rightarrow S'$  is exact. By the exact case, we have the natural isomorphism

$$R^{2d-i} f_*'^{\log} \mathbb{Q} \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathcal{H}om(R^i f_*'^{\log} \mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{Q}) \quad (*)$$

on  $S'^{\log}$ . Below we will prove that sending this by  $p_*^{\log}$  gives us an isomorphism  $R^{2d-i} f_*^{\log} \mathbb{Q} \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathcal{H}om(R^i f_*^{\log} \mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{Q})$  on  $S^{\log}$ . To see that the last isomorphism is independent of the choices of log blow-ups, we can argue as in [Nakayama 2017b, (14.10)], where the  $\ell$ -adic analogue of the same problem is treated. Then, it implies that the isomorphism is independent also of the choices of charts, and is glued into the desired isomorphism.

Now we calculate  $p_*^{\log}$  of each side of (\*). Since  $R^j f_*'^{\log} \mathbb{Q}$  is locally constant for any  $j$  (Proposition 2.1.7), by [Kajiwara and Nakayama 2008, Proposition 5.3(2)], we have

$$p_*^{\log} R f_*'^{\log} \mathbb{Q} = R p_*^{\log} R f_*'^{\log} \mathbb{Q} = R f_*^{\log} p_*^{\log} \mathbb{Q} = R f_*^{\log} \mathbb{Q},$$

where we denote the base-changed morphism of  $p$  by the same symbol and the last equality is by [Kajiwara and Nakayama 2008, Proposition 5.3(1)]. Hence,

$$p_*^{\log} R^{2d-i} f_*^{\prime \log} \mathbb{Q} = R^{2d-i} f_*^{\log} \mathbb{Q}.$$

On the other hand, as for the right-hand-side of (\*), again by [loc. cit., Proposition 5.3(2)], we have

$$R^i f_*^{\prime \log} \mathbb{Q} = p^{\log-1} p_*^{\log} R^i f_*^{\prime \log} \mathbb{Q},$$

and it is isomorphic to  $p^{\log-1} R^i f_*^{\log} \mathbb{Q}$  by the same argument for the left-hand-side. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} p_*^{\log} \mathcal{H}om(R^i f_*^{\prime \log} \mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{Q}) &= p_*^{\log} \mathcal{H}om(p^{\log-1} R^i f_*^{\prime \log} \mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{Q}) \\ &= \mathcal{H}om(R^i f_*^{\log} \mathbb{Q}, p_*^{\log} \mathbb{Q}) = \mathcal{H}om(R^i f_*^{\log} \mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{Q}), \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality is again by [loc. cit., Proposition 5.3(1)]. Thus we have an isomorphism

$$R^{2d-i} f_*^{\log} \mathbb{Q} \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathcal{H}om(R^i f_*^{\log} \mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{Q}). \quad \square$$

**Proposition 2.1.9.** *Let  $f : X \rightarrow S$  be a proper and log smooth morphism of fs log analytic spaces. Let  $g : S' \rightarrow S$  be any morphism of fs log analytic spaces. Let  $f' : X' := X \times_S S' \rightarrow S'$  and  $g' : X' \rightarrow X$  be the base-changed morphisms. Let  $L$  be a locally constant sheaf of abelian groups on  $X^{\log}$ . Then the base change homomorphism*

$$g^{\log-1} Rf_*^{\log} L \rightarrow Rf_*^{\prime \log} g'^{\log-1} L$$

*is an isomorphism.*

*Proof.* We may assume that  $S$  has a chart. By exactification [Illusie et al. 2005, Proposition (A.4.4)], we take a log blow-up  $p : S_1 \rightarrow S$  such that the base-changed morphism  $f_1 : X_1 := X \times_S S_1 \rightarrow S_1$  is exact. Then, by proper log smooth base change theorem in log Betti cohomology [Kajiwara and Nakayama 2008, Theorem 0.1], the cohomologies of  $Rf_{1*}^{\log} p_X^{\log-1} L$  are locally constant, where  $p_X$  is the base-changed morphism  $X_1 \rightarrow X$ . Hence, by the invariance of cohomology under log blow-up [loc. cit., Proposition 5.3], to prove Proposition 2.1.9, we can replace  $f$  and  $g$  by the base-changed ones with respect to  $p$ , and  $L$  by its pullback  $p_X^{\log-1} L$ . Thus we may assume that  $f$  is exact. Then the conclusion follows from the log proper base change theorem [loc. cit., Proposition 5.1] (see [loc. cit., Remark 5.1.1]).  $\square$

**Proposition 2.1.10** (Künneth formula). *Let the notation and assumption be as in the previous proposition. Assume that  $g$  is proper. Let  $h : X' \rightarrow S$  be the induced*

morphism. Then there is a natural isomorphism

$$Rf_{\text{an}*}^{\log} \mathbb{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}}^L Rg_{\text{an}*}^{\log} \mathbb{Q} \xrightarrow{\cong} Rh_{\text{an}*}^{\log} \mathbb{Q}.$$

*Proof.* This is by [Proposition 2.1.9](#) and the usual projection formula.  $\square$

Next is a comparison between log Betti cohomology and log étale cohomology.

**2.1.11.** A *standard log point* means the fs log scheme  $\text{Spec}(k)$  for a field  $k$  endowed with the log structure associated to  $\mathbb{N} \rightarrow k; 1 \mapsto 0$ . If we like to present  $k$ , we call it a *standard log point associated to  $k$* . The standard log point associated to an algebraically closed field is called a *geometric standard log point*.

**Proposition 2.1.12.** *Let  $f : X \rightarrow S$  be a proper, log smooth and vertical morphism of fs log schemes with  $S$  being of finite type over  $\mathbb{C}$ . Let*

$$X_{\text{an}}^{\log} \xrightarrow{\eta} X_{\text{két}} \xleftarrow{\kappa} X_{\text{ét}}$$

*be natural morphisms of topoi (for  $\eta$ , see [\[Kato and Nakayama 1999, Remark \(2.7\)\]](#)). Let  $n \geq 1$  and  $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}/\ell^n \mathbb{Z}$ . Then we have*

$$\eta^* Rf_{\text{két}*} \Lambda = Rf_{\text{an}*}^{\log} \Lambda, \quad \kappa^* Rf_{\text{két}*} \Lambda = Rf_{\text{ét}*} \Lambda.$$

*Proof.* The second one is shown in 13.4 of [\[Nakayama 2017b\]](#). We prove the first one. First, note that the cohomologies of the left-hand-side are locally constant and constructible by [\[loc. cit., Theorem 13.1\(2\)\]](#) and those of the right-hand-side are locally constant by [Proposition 2.1.7](#).

We reduce to the case where  $f$  is exact. We may assume that  $S$  has a chart by an fs monoid and fix such a chart. Then, by [\[loc. cit., Lemma 3.10\]](#), there is a log blow-up  $p : S' \rightarrow S$  such that the base-changed morphism  $f' : X' := X \times_S S' \rightarrow S'$  is exact. By [\[loc. cit., Theorems 5.5\(1\) and 5.8\(1\)\]](#), we have

$$p_{\text{két}}^* Rf_{\text{két}*} \Lambda = p_{\text{két}}^* Rf_{\text{két}*} R p_{\text{két}*} \Lambda = p_{\text{két}}^* R p_{\text{két}*} R f'_{\text{két}*} \Lambda = R f'_{\text{két}*} \Lambda,$$

where we denote the base-changed morphism of  $p$  by the same symbol.

Similarly, by [\[Kajiwara and Nakayama 2008, Proposition 5.3\]](#), we have

$$p^{\log *} Rf_*^{\log} \Lambda = p^{\log *} Rf_*^{\log} R p_*^{\log} \Lambda = p^{\log *} R p_*^{\log} R f_*'^{\log} \Lambda = R f_*'^{\log} \Lambda.$$

Thus we may and will assume that  $f$  is exact.

Since the cohomologies of both sides are locally constant, we can work at stalks. Let  $s_0$  be a point of  $S$ . By the following [Proposition 2.1.13](#), there are a morphism  $s \rightarrow S$  from the standard log point  $s$  over  $\mathbb{C}$  whose image is  $s_0$ , and a log blow-up  $X'$  of  $X_s := X \times_S s$  such that the composition  $X' \rightarrow X_s \rightarrow s$  is strict semistable, i.e., a log deformation with smooth irreducible components. It is enough to show that the homomorphism at a stalk over a point of  $s_0^{\log}$  is bijective. Then by the exact proper base change theorem ([\[Nakayama 1997, Theorem \(5.1\) and Remark \(5.1.1\)\]](#))

for the log étale cohomology, [Kajiwara and Nakayama 2008, Proposition 5.1, Remark 5.1.1], and the usual proper base change theorem for topological spaces for the log Betti cohomology), we may assume that  $S = s$ , and further, by [Kajiwara and Nakayama 2008, Proposition 5.3(1); Nakayama 2017b, Theorem 5.5(1)], we may assume that  $X = X'$ , that is, in the original setting, we may assume that  $S$  is the standard log point over  $\mathbb{C}$  and  $X$  is strict semistable over  $S$ .

Here we use the Steenbrink–Rapoport–Zink (SRZ, for short) spectral sequences as follows. In the proof of [Fujisawa and Nakayama 2003, Theorem 7.1], it is shown that there is a natural isomorphism between the  $\ell$ -adic SRZ spectral sequence and the Betti SRZ spectral sequence. Since these converge to the stalk of  $\ell$ -adic log étale cohomologies and that of log Betti cohomologies, respectively, we have the desired isomorphism.  $\square$

**Proposition 2.1.13.** *Let  $s = (\text{Spec } k, \mathbb{N})$  be a standard log point. Let  $X \rightarrow s$  be a quasicompact, vertical, and log smooth morphism of fs log schemes. Then there are a positive integer  $n$  and a log blow-up [Nakayama 2017b, 2.2]  $X' \rightarrow X \times_s s_n$ , where  $s_n := (\text{Spec } k, \frac{1}{n}\mathbb{N})$ , such that the composition  $X' \rightarrow s_n$  is strict semistable.*

This is a variant of the semistable reduction theorem of D. Mumford. The statement here is due to [Vidal 2004, Proposition 2.4.2.1]. (See [Kajiwara et al. 2008c, Remark after Assumption 8.1].) Another reference is [Saito 2004, Theorem 2.9]. Both papers based on the method of [Yoshioka 1995]. (Actually, [Yoshioka 1995; Saito 2004] treat the case of log smooth fs log schemes over a discrete valuation ring, but the proof is in the same way. [Saito 2004] treats the nonvertical case also.) See 2.3.14 for a variant of Proposition 2.1.13.

Finally, we discuss log de Rham cohomology and log Hodge theory.

**Proposition 2.1.14.** *Let  $k$  be a field of characteristic zero. Let  $f : X \rightarrow S$  be a projective, log smooth and vertical morphism of fs log schemes with  $S$  being log smooth over  $k$ . Let  $q \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Then we have the following.*

- (1)  $H_{\text{dR}}^q(X/S) := R^q f_{\text{két}*} \omega_{X/S}^{\cdot, \text{két}}$  is a vector bundle endowed with a natural quasi-nilpotent integrable connection with log poles, and, for all  $p$ , the Hodge filters  $R^q f_{\text{két}*} \omega_{X/S}^{\geq p, \text{két}}$  are subbundles of  $H_{\text{dR}}^q(X/S)$ .
- (2) When  $k = \mathbb{C}$ , we have a natural log Hodge structure on  $S_{\text{két}}$  of weight  $q$  which is underlain by  $H_{\text{dR}}^q(X/S)$  with the Hodge filter.

*Proof.* We may assume  $k = \mathbb{C}$ , and (1) is deduced from (2). We obtain (2) by [Kato et al. 2002, Theorem 8.1], the main theorem there.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.1.15.** *Let  $f : X \rightarrow S$  be a proper, log smooth and vertical morphism of fs log analytic spaces with  $S$  being ideally log smooth over  $\mathbb{C}$  [Illusie et al. 2005, Definition (1.5)]. Assume that for any  $x$ , the cokernel of  $(M_S/\mathcal{O}_S^\times)_{f(x)}^{\text{gp}} \rightarrow (M_X/\mathcal{O}_X^\times)_x^{\text{gp}}$*

is torsion-free. Assume also that either  $S$  is log smooth or  $f$  is exact. Then we have a canonical isomorphism

$$R^q f_* \omega_{X/S}^{\cdot, \text{két}} = \varepsilon^* R^q f_* \omega_{X/S}^{\cdot}$$

for any  $q \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Here  $\varepsilon$  is the forgetting-log morphism, i.e., the projection from the két site to the usual site.

*Proof.* By [Illusie et al. 2005, Theorems (6.2) and (6.3)], the local system  $R^q f_*^{\log} \mathbb{C}$  corresponds to  $R^q f_* \omega_{X/S}^{\cdot, \text{két}}$  by the két log Riemann–Hilbert correspondence, and it does to  $R^q f_* \omega_{X/S}^{\cdot}$  by the nonkét log Riemann–Hilbert correspondence, respectively. Hence the desired isomorphism follows from the compatibility of the both Riemann–Hilbert correspondences [Illusie et al. 2005, Theorem (4.4)].  $\square$

**Lemma 2.1.16.** *Let the notation and the assumption be as in the previous lemma. Let  $X' \rightarrow X$  be a log blow-up and  $f' : X' \rightarrow X \rightarrow S$  the composite. Then the canonical homomorphism*

$$R^q f_* \omega_{X/S}^{\cdot} \rightarrow R^q f'_* \omega_{X'/S}^{\cdot}$$

is an isomorphism.

*Proof.* By [Illusie et al. 2005, Theorem (6.3)], this homomorphism corresponds by the log Riemann–Hilbert correspondence to the homomorphism  $R^q f_*^{\log} \mathbb{C} \rightarrow R^q f'^{\log} \mathbb{C}$  of local systems, which is an isomorphism by [Kajiwara and Nakayama 2008, Proposition 5.3(1)].  $\square$

**Proposition 2.1.17.** *Let  $k$  be a field of characteristic zero. Let  $f : X \rightarrow s$  be a projective, log smooth and vertical morphism of fs log schemes with  $s$  being the standard log point associated to  $k$ . Let  $q \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Then we have the following.*

- (1)  $H_{\text{dR}}^q(X/s) := R^q f_{\text{két}*} \omega_{X/s}^{\cdot, \text{két}}$  is a vector bundle with a natural quasiniptent integrable connection with log poles.
- (2) When  $k = \mathbb{C}$ ,  $H_{\text{dR}}^q(X/s)$  carries a natural log Hodge structure on  $s_{\text{két}}$  of weight  $q$ .

*Proof.* We may assume  $k = \mathbb{C}$ , and (1) is deduced from (2). We prove (2). For this, we can use a general result in [Fujisawa and Nakayama 2018]. Here we give a direct proof, which is essentially a part of the arguments in [loc. cit.]. In [Fujisawa and Nakayama 2015], the nonkét version of the case of (2) where  $f$  is strict semistable is proved with the Hodge filter  $R^q f_* \omega_{X/S}^{\cdot, \geq p}$ . We reduce (2) to this result as follows. To prove (2), we slightly generalized the statement to the case where  $s$  is the spectrum of a log Artin ring  $\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{N}]/(x^n)$  for some  $n \geq 1$ , where  $x$  is the generator of log. In the rest of this proof, (2) means this generalized statement. We may assume that  $f$  satisfies the assumptions in Lemma 2.1.15 by két localization of the base  $s$ . By a variant of Proposition 2.1.13, we may assume

further that there exists a log blow-up  $X' \rightarrow X$  such that the special fiber of  $X' \rightarrow s$  is strict semistable. By [Lemma 2.1.15](#), we see that it is enough to show the nonkét version of (2). By the argument in [[Illusie et al. 2007](#)] and the strict semistable case in [[Fujisawa and Nakayama 2015](#)],  $R^q f'_* \omega'_{X'/s}$  with the Hodge filters gives a log Hodge structure. The nonkét version of (2) is reduced to this by [Lemma 2.1.16](#) and the induced Hodge filtration on  $R^q f_* \omega_{X/s}$  from  $R^q f'_* \omega'_{X'/s}$  does not depend on the choice of  $X'$ . □

**Proposition 2.1.18.** *Let  $f : X \rightarrow S$  be a projective, log smooth and vertical morphism of fs log schemes with  $S$  being log smooth over  $\mathbb{C}$ . Let  $s \rightarrow S$  be a standard log point associated to  $\mathbb{C}$  over  $S$ . Let  $f_s : X_s \rightarrow s$  be the base-changed morphism. Let  $q \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Then the pullback of the log Hodge structure  $H_{\text{dR}}^q(X/S)$  is naturally isomorphic to the log Hodge structure  $H_{\text{dR}}^q(X_s/s)$ .*

*Proof.* Since there is a natural base change map, it is enough to show that the local system can be base-changed, which is by [Proposition 2.1.9](#). □

**2.2. Fans in log geometry.** Let (fs) be the category of fs log schemes which have charts Zariski locally. From now on, in the rest of this paper, an fs log scheme means an object of this (fs).

We review the formulation of fans in [[Kato 1994](#)] as unions of Spec of monoids. This is a variant of the theory of polyhedral cone decompositions in [[Kempf et al. 1973](#); [Oda 1988](#)].

The material in Paragraphs [2.2.16](#) and [2.2.17](#) is new and was not discussed in [[Kato 1994](#)].

**2.2.1.** For a monoid  $P$ , an *ideal* of  $P$  means a subset  $I$  of  $P$  such that  $ab \in I$  for any  $a \in P$  and  $b \in I$ . A *prime ideal* of  $P$  means an ideal  $\mathfrak{p}$  of  $P$  such that the complement  $P \setminus \mathfrak{p}$  is a submonoid of  $P$ . We denote the set of all prime ideals of  $P$  by  $\text{Spec}(P)$ .

**2.2.2.** For a monoid  $P$  and for a submonoid  $S$  of  $P$ , we have the monoid  $S^{-1}P = \{s^{-1}a \mid a \in P, s \in S\}$  obtained from  $P$  by inverting elements of  $S$ . Here  $s_1^{-1}a_1 = s_2^{-1}a_2$  if and only if there is an  $s_3 \in S$  such that  $s_3s_2a_1 = s_3s_1a_2$ .

In the case where  $S = \{f^n \mid n \geq 0\}$  for  $f \in P$ ,  $S^{-1}P$  is denoted also by  $P_f$ .

**2.2.3.** By a *monoidal space*, we mean a topological space  $T$  endowed with a sheaf of monoids  $\mathcal{P}$  such that  $(\mathcal{P}_t)^\times = \{1\}$  for any  $t \in T$ . Here  $\mathcal{P}_t$  denotes the stalk of  $\mathcal{P}$  at  $t$  and  $(\cdot)^\times$  means the subgroup consisting of all invertible elements.

**2.2.4.** For a monoid  $P$ ,  $\text{Spec}(P)$  is regarded as a monoidal space in the following way.

We endow  $\text{Spec}(P)$  with the topology for which the sets

$$D(f) = \{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(P) \mid f \notin \mathfrak{p}\} \quad \text{with } f \in P$$

form a basis of open sets.

The sheaf  $\mathcal{P}$  of monoids on  $\text{Spec}(P)$  is characterized by the property that for  $f \in P$ ,  $\mathcal{P}(D(f)) = P_f/P_f^\times$ .

The stalk of  $\mathcal{P}$  at  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(P)$  is identified with  $P_{\mathfrak{p}}/(P_{\mathfrak{p}})^\times$ , where  $P_{\mathfrak{p}} = (P \setminus \mathfrak{p})^{-1}P$ .

**2.2.5.** For a monoidal space  $\Sigma$  with the structure sheaf  $\mathcal{P}$  of monoids and for a monoid  $P$ , the natural map  $\text{Mor}(\Sigma, \text{Spec}(P)) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(P, \mathcal{P}(\Sigma))$  is bijective.

**2.2.6.** A monoidal space is called a *fan* if it has an open covering  $(U_\lambda)_\lambda$  such that each  $U_\lambda$  is isomorphic, as a monoidal space, to  $\text{Spec}(P_\lambda)$  for some monoid  $P_\lambda$ .

A fan which is isomorphic to  $\text{Spec}(P)$  for some monoid  $P$  is called an *affine fan*. The functor  $P \mapsto \text{Spec}(P)$  is an antiequivalence from the category of monoids  $P$  such that  $P^\times = \{1\}$  to the category of affine fans. The converse functor is given by  $\Sigma \mapsto \mathcal{P}(\Sigma)$ , where  $\mathcal{P}$  is the structure sheaf of  $\Sigma$ .

**2.2.7.** For a fan  $\Sigma$ , let

$$[\Sigma] : (\text{fs}) \rightarrow (\text{Sets})$$

be the contravariant functor which sends  $X \in (\text{fs})$  to the set of all morphisms  $(X, M_X/\mathcal{O}_X^\times) \rightarrow \Sigma$  of monoidal spaces.

If  $\Sigma = \text{Spec}(P)$ , we have  $[\Sigma](X) = \text{Hom}(P, \Gamma(X, M_X/\mathcal{O}_X^\times))$ .

**Lemma 2.2.8.** *The functor  $\Sigma \mapsto [\Sigma]$  from the category of fans to the category of contravariant functors  $(\text{fs}) \rightarrow (\text{Sets})$  is fully faithful.*

*Proof.* Let  $\Sigma, \Sigma'$  be fans. We have to prove that

$$\text{Mor}(\Sigma, \Sigma') \rightarrow \text{Mor}([\Sigma], [\Sigma']) \quad (\dagger)$$

is bijective.

First, we prove the case where both  $\Sigma$  and  $\Sigma'$  are affine, that is, we prove that the contravariant functor  $P \mapsto [\text{Spec}(P)]$  from the category of monoids  $P$  such that  $P^\times = \{1\}$  to the category of contravariant functors  $(\text{fs}) \rightarrow (\text{Sets})$  is fully faithful. For monoids  $P$  and  $Q$  such that  $P^\times = \{1\}$  and  $Q^\times = \{1\}$  and for  $X = \text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}[Q])$ , we have  $[\text{Spec}(P)](X) = \text{Hom}(P, \Gamma(X, M_X/\mathcal{O}_X^\times)) = \text{Hom}(P, Q)$ . From this, we obtain easily that the map  $\text{Hom}(P, Q) \rightarrow \text{Mor}([\text{Spec}(Q)], [\text{Spec}(P)])$  is bijective.

Next, we prove the case where  $\Sigma = \text{Spec}(Q)$  ( $Q^\times = \{1\}$ ) is affine and  $\Sigma'$  is any. We prove that  $(\dagger)$  is surjective. Let  $f : [\Sigma] \rightarrow [\Sigma']$  be a morphism. Let  $x$  be an fs log point lying over  $X = \text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}[Q])$  such that the homomorphism  $Q \rightarrow (M_X/\mathcal{O}_X^\times)_{X, \bar{x}}$  is bijective. Let  $((x, M_X/\mathcal{O}_X^\times) \rightarrow \Sigma') \in [\Sigma'](x)$  be the image by  $f(x)$  of  $((x, M_X/\mathcal{O}_X^\times) \rightarrow (X, M_X/\mathcal{O}_X^\times) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(Q)) \in [\Sigma](x)$ . Let  $U'$  be the smallest neighborhood in  $\Sigma'$  of the image  $s'$  of this morphism  $(x, M_X/\mathcal{O}_X^\times) \rightarrow \Sigma'$ . Then  $f$  factors through  $[U']$ , which is by the fact that any morphism  $(X, M_X/\mathcal{O}_X^\times) \rightarrow \Sigma'$  sending  $x$  to  $s'$  factors through  $U'$ . Since  $U'$  is affine, the surjectivity of  $(\dagger)$  is reduced to the previous case.

The injectivity of  $(\dagger)$  is also reduced to the previous case as follows. Let  $a, b$  be two morphisms from  $\Sigma$  to  $\Sigma'$  and assume that the induced morphisms from  $[\Sigma]$  to  $[\Sigma']$  coincide. Considering an fs log point lying over each point of  $\Sigma$ , we see that the underlying maps of sets of  $a$  and  $b$  coincide. Then both  $a$  and  $b$  factor through the smallest neighborhood  $U'$  in  $\Sigma'$  of the image of the closed point. Since  $[U'] \rightarrow [\Sigma']$  is injective, we reduce to the previous case. Alternatively, we use, instead of the previous case, the fact that  $(X, M_X/\mathcal{O}_X^\times) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(Q)$  is an epimorphism in the category of monoidal spaces.

Finally, the bijectivity of  $(\dagger)$  for any  $\Sigma$  and any  $\Sigma'$  is reduced to the case where  $\Sigma$  is affine because  $\Sigma$  is the limit of an inductive system of affine fans and open immersions. □

**2.2.9.** According to Lemma 2.2.8, we will often identify a fan  $\Sigma$  with the functor  $[\Sigma]$ .

For an fs log scheme  $X$  and for a fan  $\Sigma$ , we will regard a morphism

$$(X, M_X/\mathcal{O}_X^\times) \rightarrow \Sigma$$

of monoidal spaces as a morphism  $X \rightarrow [\Sigma]$  from the functor  $X$  on (fs) represented by  $X$  to the functor  $[\Sigma]$ . We sometimes also denote a morphism  $X \rightarrow [\Sigma]$  simply by  $X \rightarrow \Sigma$ .

**Lemma 2.2.10.** *For an fs log scheme  $X$ , a fan  $\Sigma$ , and a morphism  $X \rightarrow \Sigma$ , the following conditions (i) and (ii) are equivalent.*

- (i) *The corresponding morphism  $(X, M_X/\mathcal{O}_X^\times) \rightarrow \Sigma$  of monoidal spaces is strict. Here we say that a morphism  $f : (T, \mathcal{P}) \rightarrow (T', \mathcal{P}')$  of monoidal spaces is **strict** if  $f^{-1}(\mathcal{P}') \rightarrow \mathcal{P}$  is an isomorphism.*
- (ii) *Locally on  $X$ , there is an open set  $\text{Spec}(P)$  of  $\Sigma$  with  $P$  a monoid such that  $X \rightarrow \Sigma$  factors as  $X \rightarrow \text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}[P]) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(P) \subset \Sigma$ , where  $\text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}[P])$  is endowed with the standard log structure and the homomorphism  $P \rightarrow M_X$  corresponding to the first arrow is a chart of  $X$  (that is, the first morphism is strict, where we say a morphism of log schemes  $X \rightarrow Y$  is **strict** if the log structure of  $X$  coincides with the inverse image of the log structure of  $Y$ ).*

*Proof.* (ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i). Since the projection  $\text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}[P]) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(P)$  satisfies the condition (i), (ii) implies (i).

(i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii). Let  $x \in X$  and we work around  $x$ . First, localizing  $X$ , we may assume that  $X$  has a chart  $P$  such that  $P \rightarrow (M_X/\mathcal{O}_X^\times)_{\bar{x}}$  is bijective. Next, localizing  $\Sigma$ , we may assume  $\Sigma = \text{Spec}(Q)$  with  $Q \rightarrow (M_X/\mathcal{O}_X^\times)_{\bar{x}}$  being bijective. Then  $P$  is isomorphic to  $Q$  and, after further localizing  $X$ , we may replace  $Q$  with  $P$ . □

**2.2.11.** We will say  $X \rightarrow \Sigma$  is *strict* if the equivalent conditions in Lemma 2.2.10 are satisfied.

**2.2.12.** Polyhedral cone decompositions which appear in toric geometry [Kempf et al. 1973; Oda 1988] are related to the above notion of fan (2.2.6) as follows.

Let  $N$  be a free  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module of finite rank, and let  $N_{\mathbb{R}} := \mathbb{R} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} N$ . A *rational polyhedral cone* in  $N_{\mathbb{R}}$  is a subset of the form

$$\sigma = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^r x_i N_i \mid x_i \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \right\}$$

for some  $N_1, \dots, N_r \in N$ . A rational polyhedral cone  $\sigma$  is called *strongly convex* if it does not contain a line, i.e.,  $\sigma \cap (-\sigma) = \{0\}$ . A subset  $\tau \subset \sigma$  is called a *face* of  $\sigma$  if there exists an element  $h \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{R}}(N_{\mathbb{R}}, \mathbb{R})$  such that  $\sigma \subset \{x \in N_{\mathbb{R}} \mid h(x) \geq 0\}$  and  $\tau = \sigma \cap \{x \in N_{\mathbb{R}} \mid h(x) = 0\}$ . A face of  $\sigma$  is also a rational polyhedral cone.

A rational polyhedral cone decomposition in  $N_{\mathbb{R}}$  (or a rational fan in  $N_{\mathbb{R}}$ ) is a nonempty set  $\Sigma$  of strongly convex rational polyhedral cones in  $N_{\mathbb{R}}$  satisfying the following two conditions: (i) If  $\sigma \in \Sigma$  and  $\tau$  is a face of  $\sigma$ , then  $\tau \in \Sigma$ ; (ii) If  $\sigma, \tau \in \Sigma$ , the intersection  $\sigma \cap \tau$  is a face of  $\sigma$ .

We regard a rational fan  $\Sigma$  in  $N_{\mathbb{R}}$  as a fan in the sense of 2.2.6 as follows.

We endow  $\Sigma$  with the topology for which the sets  $\text{face}(\sigma)$  of all faces of  $\sigma$  for  $\sigma \in \Sigma$  form a basis of open sets.

We endow  $\Sigma$  with the sheaf  $\mathcal{P}$  of monoids characterized by  $\mathcal{P}(\text{face}(\sigma)) = P_{\sigma}/(P_{\sigma})^{\times}$ , where

$$P_{\sigma} = \{h \in \text{Hom}(N, \mathbb{Z}) \mid h(x) \geq 0 \text{ for all } x \in \sigma\}.$$

The open set  $\text{face}(\sigma)$  of  $\Sigma$  is identified with  $\text{Spec}(P_{\sigma})$ .

**2.2.13.** For a rational fan  $\Sigma$  in  $N_{\mathbb{R}}$ , we have the toric variety

$$\text{Toric}_{\Sigma} = \bigcup_{\sigma \in \Sigma} \text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}[P_{\sigma}])$$

over  $\mathbb{Z}$  corresponding to  $\Sigma$  with the standard log structure, on which the torus  $N \otimes \mathbf{G}_m$  acts naturally. We have

$$[\Sigma] = \text{Toric}_{\Sigma}/(N \otimes \mathbf{G}_m)$$

as a sheaf on (fs), where  $\text{Toric}_{\Sigma}$  is identified with the sheaf on (fs) that it represents.

**2.2.14.** For an fs log scheme  $X$ , in the following Cases (i) and (ii), we can define a fan  $\Sigma_X$  associated to  $X$  and a strict morphism  $X \rightarrow \Sigma_X$  in a canonical way.

Case (i).  $X$  is log regular ([Kato 1994]).

Case (ii).  $X$  is vertical and log smooth over a standard log point.

Case (i) was considered in [Kato 1994]. Case (ii) is explained below.

**2.2.15.** We first review Case (i). See [Kato 1994] for the definition of log regularity. As a set,  $\Sigma_X$  is the set of all points  $x$  of  $X$  such that the maximal ideal  $m_x$  of  $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$  is generated by the image of  $M_{X,x} \setminus \mathcal{O}_{X,x}^\times$ , where  $M_{X,x}$  is the stalk at  $x$  of the direct image of  $M_X$  to the Zariski site. The topology of  $\Sigma_X$  is the restriction of the topology of  $X$ . The structural sheaf  $\mathcal{P}$  of  $\Sigma_X$  is defined as the inverse image of the sheaf  $M_X/\mathcal{O}_X^\times$  on  $X$ . The morphism  $(X, M_X/\mathcal{O}_X^\times) \rightarrow \Sigma_X$  is defined as follows. As a map, it sends  $x \in X$  to the point of  $X$  corresponding to the prime ideal of  $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$  generated by the image of  $M_{X,x} \setminus \mathcal{O}_{X,x}^\times$ . If  $x \in X$  and if  $y \in X$  is the image of  $x$  in  $\Sigma_X$ , there is a chart  $P \rightarrow M_U$  for some open neighborhood  $U$  of  $x$  in  $X$  such that  $P \rightarrow (M_X/\mathcal{O}_X^\times)_x$  is an isomorphism, and via the composite homomorphism  $P \rightarrow (M_X/\mathcal{O}_X^\times)_x \rightarrow (M_X/\mathcal{O}_X^\times)_y$ ,  $\text{Spec}(P)$  is identified with an open neighborhood of  $y$  in  $\Sigma_X$ . The chart defines a morphism  $(U, M_U/\mathcal{O}_U^\times) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(P)$  and hence a morphism  $(U, M_U/\mathcal{O}_U^\times) \rightarrow \Sigma_X$  and these local definitions are glued to a global definition of  $(X, M_X/\mathcal{O}_X^\times) \rightarrow \Sigma_X$ .

**2.2.16.** We consider Case (ii). As a set,  $\Sigma_X$  is the disjoint union  $\Sigma'_X \amalg \{\eta\}$  of the set  $\Sigma'_X$  of all points  $x$  of  $X$  such that the maximal ideal  $m_x$  of  $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$  is generated by the image of  $M_{X,x} \setminus \mathcal{O}_{X,x}^\times$  and the one-point-set  $\{\eta\}$ . The topology on  $\Sigma_X$  is as follows. First define the topology of  $\Sigma'_X$  to be the restriction of the topology of  $X$ . A closed subset of  $\Sigma_X$  is either a closed subset of  $\Sigma'_X$  or  $\Sigma_X$ . The structure sheaf  $\mathcal{P}$  of monoids on  $\Sigma_X$  is defined as follows. First let the sheaf  $\mathcal{P}'$  on  $\Sigma'_X$  be the inverse image of  $M_X/\mathcal{O}_X^\times$ . Let  $\mathcal{P} = i_*\mathcal{P}'$ , where  $i : \Sigma'_X \rightarrow X$  is the inclusion map.

Then  $\Sigma_X$  is a fan. This is reduced to the log regular case as follows. Let  $x \in X$  and let  $P = M_{X,x}/\mathcal{O}_{X,x}^\times = M_{X,\bar{x}}/\mathcal{O}_{X,\bar{x}}^\times$ . Since the problem is local on  $X$ , we can work around  $x$ . Since  $X$  is strict étale over some  $\text{Spec } k[Q]/(q)$ , where  $Q$  is an fs monoid and  $q$  is an interior of  $Q$ ,  $\text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{X,\bar{x}})$  is locally isomorphic to the part  $t = 0$  of a log regular scheme  $Y$ , where  $t$  is a section of log structure  $M_Y$  of  $Y$  such that the part of  $Y$  where  $t$  is invertible coincides with the part where  $M_Y$  is trivial. By Case (i), we have a fan  $\Sigma_Y$ , which is affine and naturally isomorphic to  $\text{Spec}(Q)$ . Let  $\Sigma'_{\text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{X,\bar{x}})}$  be the set of all points  $y$  of  $\text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{X,\bar{x}})$  such that the maximal ideal at  $y$  is generated by the image of  $M_{X,\bar{y}} \setminus \mathcal{O}_{X,\bar{y}}^\times$ . We define a monoidal space  $\Sigma_{\text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{X,\bar{x}})} = \Sigma'_{\text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{X,\bar{x}})} \amalg \{\eta\}$  similarly to  $\Sigma_X$ . Then this is isomorphic to  $\Sigma_Y$ .

On the other hand, since  $X$  has a chart Zariski locally, we may assume that  $X$  has a chart by  $P$  such that  $P \rightarrow M_X \rightarrow M_{X,x}/\mathcal{O}_{X,x}^\times$  is the identity. Then, for any nonempty prime ideal  $\mathfrak{p}$  of  $P$ , the ideal generated by the image of  $\mathfrak{p}$  in  $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$  is a prime ideal because its image generates a prime ideal in the strict localization. Thus we have a map  $f$  from  $\text{Spec}(P) \setminus \{\emptyset\}$  to the set  $\Sigma'_{\text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{X,x})}$  of all points  $y$  of  $\text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{X,x})$  such that the maximal ideal at  $y$  is generated by the image of  $M_{X,y} \setminus \mathcal{O}_{X,y}^\times$ , and we also have a factorization of the above isomorphism  $\text{Spec}(P) \cong$

$\Sigma_Y \cong \Sigma_{\text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{X,\bar{x}})}$  as

$$\text{Spec}(P) \rightarrow \Sigma'_{\text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{X,x})} \coprod \{\eta\} \rightarrow \Sigma_{\text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{X,\bar{x}})},$$

where the first morphism is induced from  $f$ , and the second is by the projection  $\text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{X,\bar{x}}) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{X,x})$ . We see that the second morphism is an isomorphism so that the first is also an isomorphism. Shrinking  $X$  if necessary, we may assume that  $\Sigma'_{\text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{X,x})} \cong \Sigma'_X$  so that  $\text{Spec}(P) \cong \Sigma_X$ .

We define a map  $X \rightarrow \Sigma_X$  in the similar way to Case (i) described above. The proof for the gluing also reduces to Case (i). The resulting map in fact factors through  $X \rightarrow \Sigma'_X$ .

**2.2.17.** Outside Cases (i) and (ii) in 2.2.14, it seems difficult to develop a general theory of fans canonically associated to fs log schemes (see [Abramovich et al. 2016]). We give an example of an fs log scheme  $X$  having the following nice property (1) but such that for any fan  $\Sigma$ , there is no strict morphism  $(X, M_X/\mathcal{O}_X^\times) \rightarrow \Sigma$ .

- (1)  $X$  is locally isomorphic to a closed subscheme of a log regular scheme  $Y$  defined by an ideal of  $\mathcal{O}_Y$  generated by the images of sections of the log structure  $M_Y$  of  $Y$  under  $M_Y \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_Y$  endowed with the log structure induced by the log structure of  $Y$ . As a scheme,  $X$  is a union of two  $\mathbf{P}^1_k$  obtained by identifying 0 of each  $\mathbf{P}^1$  with  $\infty$  of the other  $\mathbf{P}^1$ .

Let  $k$  be a field. Endow  $\text{Spec}(k[x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4])$  with the log structure associated to

$$\mathbb{N}^4 \rightarrow k[x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4], \quad n \mapsto \prod_{i=1}^4 x_i^{n(i)}.$$

Let

$$Z = \text{Spec}(k[x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4]/(x_1x_2, x_3, x_4))$$

with the induced log structure, and let  $Z'$  be a copy of  $Z$ . (Hence as schemes,  $Z$  and  $Z'$  are isomorphic to  $\text{Spec}(k[x, y]/(xy))$ .) Denote the copy of  $x_i$  on  $Z'$  by  $x'_i$ . Let  $U$  be the part of  $Z$  on which  $x_1$  is invertible and let  $V$  be the part of  $Z$  on which  $x_2$  is invertible. Let  $U'$  and  $V'$  be the copies of  $U$  and  $V$  in  $Z'$ , respectively. Let  $X$  be the union of  $Z$  and  $Z'$  which we glue by identifying the open set  $U \coprod V$  of  $Z$  and the open set  $U' \coprod V'$  of  $Z'$ , as follows. We identify  $U$  and  $U'$  by identifying  $x'_1$  with  $1/x_1$ ,  $x'_2$  with  $x_1^2x_2$ ,  $x'_3$  with  $x_3$ , and  $x'_4$  with  $x_4$  in the log structure. (Hence  $x_2$  is identified with  $(x'_1)^2x'_2$  in the log structure.) We identify  $V$  and  $V'$  by identifying  $x'_2$  with  $1/x_2$ ,  $x'_1$  with  $x_1x_2^2$ ,  $x'_3$  with  $x_4$ , and  $x'_4$  with  $x_3$  in the log structure. (Hence  $x_1$  is identified with  $x'_1(x'_2)^2$  in the log structure.)

We show that there is no strict morphism  $f : X \rightarrow \Sigma$  to any fan  $\Sigma$ .

Assume  $f$  exists. Let  $p$  be the point of  $Z$  at which all  $x_i$  have value 0, let  $p' \in Z'$  be the copy of  $p$ , let  $u$  be the generic point of  $U$ , and let  $v$  be the generic point of  $V$ . Let  $\mathcal{P}$  be the structure sheaf of monoids of  $\Sigma$ . Then  $\mathcal{P}_{f(p)}$  is identified with

$(M_X/\mathcal{O}_X^\times)_p \cong \mathbb{N}^4$  which is generated by  $x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4$ .  $\Sigma$  has an open neighborhood which is identified with  $\text{Spec}(\mathcal{P}_{f(p)})$ . Since  $p$  belongs to the closure of  $u$  in  $X$ ,  $f(u)$  belongs to  $\text{Spec}(\mathcal{P}_{f(p)})$ . We have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{P}_{f(p)} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{P}_{f(u)} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ (M_X/\mathcal{O}_X^\times)_p & \longrightarrow & (M_X/\mathcal{O}_X^\times)_u \end{array}$$

in which vertical homomorphisms are isomorphisms, and hence  $f(u)$  is the prime ideal of  $\mathcal{P}_{f(p)}$  generated by  $x_2, x_3, x_4$ . The open neighborhood of  $u$  in  $\Sigma$  which is identified with  $\text{Spec}(\mathcal{P}_{f(u)})$  is regarded as an open set of  $\text{Spec}(\mathcal{P}_{f(p)})$ . In this identification, the prime ideal of  $\mathcal{P}_{f(p)}$  generated by  $x_3$  is identified with the prime ideal of  $\mathcal{P}_{f(u)}$  generated by  $x_3$ . Similarly,  $\text{Spec}(\mathcal{P}_{f(u)})$  is identified with an open set of  $\text{Spec}(\mathcal{P}_{f(p')})$  and the prime ideal of  $\mathcal{P}_{f(u)}$  generated by  $x_3$  is identified with the prime ideal of  $\mathcal{P}_{f(p')}$  generated by  $x'_3$ .

Similarly  $\text{Spec}(\mathcal{P}_{f(v)})$  is identified with an open set of  $\text{Spec}(\mathcal{P}_{f(p)})$  and also with an open set of  $\text{Spec}(\mathcal{P}_{f(p')})$ . The prime ideal of  $\mathcal{P}_{f(v)}$  generated by  $x_4$  is identified with the prime ideal of  $\mathcal{P}_{f(p)}$  generated by  $x_4$  and it is also identified with the prime ideal of  $\mathcal{P}_{f(p')}$  generated by  $x'_3$ . This shows that the prime ideal of  $\mathcal{P}_{f(p)}$  generated by  $x_3$  is equal to the prime ideal generated by  $x_4$ . Contradiction.

### 2.3. Subdivisions of fans and log modifications.

**2.3.1.** We shall mainly consider fans  $\Sigma$  (2.2.6) satisfying the following condition (like in [Kato 1994]).

( $S_{\text{fan}}$ ) There exists an open covering  $(U_\lambda)_\lambda$  such that for each  $\lambda$ ,  $U_\lambda \cong \text{Spec}(P_\lambda)$  as a fan for some fs monoid  $P_\lambda$ .

**2.3.2.** Let  $N$  be as in 2.2.12, let  $\sigma$  be a strictly convex rational polyhedral cone in  $N_{\mathbb{R}}$ , and let  $\Sigma$  be the rational fan face( $\sigma$ ) in  $N_{\mathbb{R}}$  consisting of all faces of  $\sigma$ . Then a *finite subdivision* of  $\Sigma$  means a finite rational fan  $\Sigma'$  in  $N_{\mathbb{R}}$  such that  $\sigma = \bigcup_{\tau \in \Sigma'} \tau$ .

**Lemma 2.3.3.** *Let  $\Sigma = (\Sigma, \mathcal{P})$  and  $\Sigma' = (\Sigma', \mathcal{P}')$  be fans satisfying the condition  $S_{\text{fan}}$  and let  $f : \Sigma' \rightarrow \Sigma$  be a morphism of fans. Then the following conditions (i) and (ii) are equivalent.*

(i)  $f$  satisfies:

(i-1) For any  $t \in \Sigma$ , the inverse image  $f^{-1}(t)$  is finite.

(i-2) For any  $t \in \Sigma'$ ,  $\mathcal{P}_{f(t)}^{\text{gp}} \rightarrow (\mathcal{P}'_t)^{\text{gp}}$  is surjective.

(i-3) The map  $\text{Mor}(\text{Spec}(\mathbb{N}), \Sigma') \rightarrow \text{Mor}(\text{Spec}(\mathbb{N}), \Sigma)$  is bijective.

(ii) There exists an open covering  $(U_\lambda)_\lambda$  of  $\Sigma$  such that for each  $\lambda$ , there are a finitely generated free  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module  $N_\lambda$ , a strongly convex rational polyhedral

cone  $\sigma_\lambda$  in  $N_{\lambda, \mathbb{R}}$ , a finite subdivision  $V_\lambda$  of  $\text{face}(\sigma_\lambda)$ , and a commutative diagram of fans

$$\begin{array}{ccc} U'_\lambda & \xrightarrow{\cong} & V_\lambda \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ U_\lambda & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \text{face}(\sigma_\lambda) \end{array}$$

where  $U'_\lambda$  denotes the inverse image of  $U_\lambda$  in  $\Sigma'$ .

*Proof.* This is essentially proved in [Kato 1994, Section 9]. In fact, in (ii), each  $V_\lambda \rightarrow \text{face}(\sigma_\lambda)$  satisfies the condition (i) by [loc. cit., (9.5)]. Hence (ii) implies (i). Conversely, if  $f$  satisfies (i), then any base change of  $f$  by an open immersion from an affine fan  $U_\lambda$  to  $\Sigma$  also satisfies (i). Again by [loc. cit., (9.5)], we can find  $N_\lambda, \sigma_\lambda$  and so on.  $\square$

**2.3.4.** Let  $\Sigma$  be a fan satisfying  $S_{\text{fan}}$ . A *finite subdivision* of  $\Sigma$  (called a proper subdivision of  $\Sigma$  in [Kato 1994]) is a fan  $\Sigma'$  satisfying  $S_{\text{fan}}$  endowed with a morphism  $\Sigma' \rightarrow \Sigma$  satisfying the equivalent conditions (i) and (ii) in Lemma 2.3.3.

**Lemma 2.3.5.** *Let  $\Sigma$  be a fan satisfying the condition  $S_{\text{fan}}$ , let  $X$  be an fs log scheme, let  $X \rightarrow \Sigma$  be a morphism (2.2.9), and let  $\Sigma'$  be a finite subdivision of  $\Sigma$ . Then the functor  $X \times_\Sigma \Sigma' : (\text{fs}) \rightarrow (\text{Sets})$  is represented by an fs log scheme  $X'$  which is proper and log étale over  $X$ . Here  $X \times_\Sigma \Sigma'$  denotes the fiber product of the functors  $X = \text{Mor}(\cdot, X)$  and  $\Sigma' = [\Sigma']$  (2.2.7) on (fs) over the functor  $\Sigma = [\Sigma]$  on (fs) (it does not mean the set theoretic fiber product of  $X$  and  $\Sigma'$  over  $\Sigma$ ).*

*Proof.* We are reduced to the case  $\Sigma = \text{face}(\sigma)$  for a strongly convex rational polyhedral cone  $\sigma$  and  $\Sigma'$  is a finite subdivision of  $\Sigma$ . Locally on  $X$ ,  $X \rightarrow \Sigma$  is the composition  $X \rightarrow \text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}[P_\sigma]) \rightarrow \Sigma$ . Hence we are reduced to the case  $X = \text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}[P_\sigma])$ . Then  $X \times_\Sigma \Sigma'$  is represented by the toric variety  $\bigcup_{\tau \in \Sigma'} \text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}[P_\tau])$  over  $\mathbb{Z}$  associated to  $\Sigma'$ , which is proper and log étale over  $X$ .  $\square$

**2.3.6.** We call a morphism  $X \rightarrow Y$  of fs log schemes a *log modification* if locally on  $Y$ , there exist a fan  $\Sigma$  satisfying  $S_{\text{fan}}$ , a morphism  $Y \rightarrow \Sigma$ , and a finite subdivision  $\Sigma'$  of  $\Sigma$  such that  $X$  represents  $Y \times_\Sigma \Sigma'$ .

Log modifications were studied in [Kato and Usui 2009] for fs log analytic spaces over  $\mathbb{C}$ .

The following lemma is easy to prove.

**Lemma 2.3.7.** (1) *A log modification is proper and log étale.*

(2) *If  $X \rightarrow Y$  is a log modification, the induced morphism of functors  $\text{Mor}(\cdot, X) \rightarrow \text{Mor}(\cdot, Y)$  on (fs) is injective.*

(3) *If  $X_i \rightarrow Y$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ) are log modifications,  $X_1 \times_Y X_2 \rightarrow Y$  is a log modification. Here  $X_1 \times_Y X_2$  denotes the fiber product in the category of fs log schemes.*

(4) If  $X \rightarrow Y$  and  $Y \rightarrow Z$  are log modifications, the composition  $X \rightarrow Z$  is a log modification.

**Proposition 2.3.8.** *Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a log modification of fs log schemes.*

- (1) *Let  $F$  be a torsion sheaf of abelian groups on  $Y_{\text{ét}}$ . Then the natural homomorphism  $F \rightarrow Rf_{\text{ét}*} f_{\text{ét}}^* F$  is an isomorphism.*
- (2) *Let  $\ell$  be a prime number which is invertible on  $Y$ . Then the natural homomorphism  $\mathbb{Q}_\ell \rightarrow Rf_{\text{ét}*} \mathbb{Q}_\ell$  is an isomorphism.*

*Proof.* Assertion (2) is reduced to (1). Assertion (1) is a slight generalization of Theorem 5.5(2) of [Nakayama 2017b], and the proof is similar, which is reduced easily to Lemma 2.3.7(2).  $\square$

- 2.3.9.** (1) Let  $\Sigma$  be a fan with the structure sheaf  $\mathcal{P}$  of monoids. We say  $\Sigma$  is *free* if for any  $t \in \Sigma$ , the stalk  $\mathcal{P}_t$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{N}^{r(t)}$  for some  $r(t) \geq 0$ .
- (2) Let  $X$  be an fs log scheme. We say  $M_X/\mathcal{O}_X^\times$  is *free* if for any  $x \in X$ ,  $(M/\mathcal{O}_X^\times)_x \cong \mathbb{N}^{r(x)}$  for some  $r(x) \geq 0$ .

**Proposition 2.3.10.** *Let  $\Sigma$  be a finite fan satisfying the condition  $S_{\text{fan}}$ . Then there is a finite subdivision  $\Sigma' \rightarrow \Sigma$  which is free (2.3.9(1)).*

This is already explained in [Kato 1994].

**Lemma 2.3.11.** *Let  $\Sigma$  be a finite fan satisfying the condition  $S_{\text{fan}}$  with the structural sheaf  $\mathcal{P}$ , let  $t \in \Sigma$ , and let  $P$  be an fs submonoid of  $\mathcal{P}_t^{\text{gp}}$  containing  $\mathcal{P}_t$ . Then there is a finite subdivision  $\Sigma'$  of  $\Sigma$  such that there is an open immersion  $\text{Spec}(P) \rightarrow \Sigma'$  over  $\Sigma$ .*

*Proof.* Regard  $\Sigma$  as a conical polyhedral complex with an integral structure [Kempf et al. 1973, Chapter II, §1, Definitions 5 and 6, pp. 69–70]. Let  $\sigma$  be its cell corresponding to  $\mathcal{P}_t$  and  $\tau \subset \sigma$  be the subcone corresponding to  $P$ . Take a rational homomorphism  $f : \sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$  such that  $f^{-1}(\{0\})$  is trivial, where  $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$  is the monoid of the nonnegative real numbers with addition. Let  $f_0 : S := \bigcup_{\sigma' \in \Sigma} \text{Sk}^1(\sigma') \cup \text{Sk}^1(\tau) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be the zero extension of the restriction of  $f$  to  $\text{Sk}^1(\tau)$ , that is, for any  $s \in S$ ,  $f_0(s) = f(s)$  if  $s \in \text{Sk}^1(\tau)$  and  $f_0(s) = 0$  otherwise. Here  $\text{Sk}^1$  means the 1-skeleton [loc. cit., Chapter I, §2, p. 29]. Let  $f_1 : |\Sigma| \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$  be the convex interpolation of  $f_0$  [loc. cit., Chapter I, §2, p. 29 and Chapter II, §2, p. 92], where  $|\Sigma|$  is the support of  $\Sigma$ . Then,  $f_1$  coincides with  $f$  on  $\tau$ , and the coarsest subdivision of the conical polyhedral complex  $\Sigma$  on any cell of which  $f_1$  is linear owes  $\tau$  as a cell. Hence the corresponding finite subdivision  $\Sigma'$  of the fan  $\Sigma$  satisfies the desired property.  $\square$

**Proposition 2.3.12.** *Let  $X$  be a quasicompact fs log scheme, let  $\Sigma$  be a finite fan satisfying the condition  $S_{\text{fan}}$  with the structure sheaf  $\mathcal{P}$ , and let  $f : X \rightarrow \Sigma$  be a morphism (2.2.9) such that for any  $x \in X$ , the map  $\mathcal{P}_{f(x)} \rightarrow (M_X/\mathcal{O}_X^\times)_x$  is surjective. Then for a sufficiently fine finite subdivision  $\Sigma'$  of  $\Sigma$ ,  $X \times_\Sigma \Sigma' \rightarrow \Sigma'$  is strict.*

*Proof.* First notice that the problem is local on  $X$  as the category of finite subdivisions of  $\Sigma$  is directed. Let  $x \in X$ , and let  $P$  be the fs submonoid of  $(\mathcal{P}_{f(x)})^{\text{gp}}$  consisting of all elements whose images in  $(M_X^{\text{gp}}/\mathcal{O}_X^\times)_x$  are contained in  $(M_X/\mathcal{O}_X^\times)_x$ . Then  $P/P^\times \rightarrow (M_X/\mathcal{O}_X^\times)_x$  is an isomorphism. Since  $X$  is quasicompact and the problem is local on  $X$ , replacing  $X$  by an open neighborhood of  $x$ , we may assume that  $X \rightarrow \Sigma$  factors as  $X \rightarrow \text{Spec}(P) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(\mathcal{P}_{f(x)}) \rightarrow \Sigma$  and the first arrow is strict. Let  $\Sigma'$  be a finite subdivision of  $\Sigma$  such that there is an open immersion  $\text{Spec}(P) \rightarrow \Sigma'$  over  $\Sigma$  ([Lemma 2.3.11](#)). Then the morphism  $X = X \times_\Sigma \Sigma' \rightarrow \Sigma'$  is strict because it is the composition of strict morphisms  $X \rightarrow \text{Spec}(P) \rightarrow \Sigma'$ .  $\square$

**Remark 2.3.13.** This [Proposition 2.3.12](#) will be used later in [Proposition 3.1.4](#) to make the diagonal of a vertical log smooth fs log scheme over a standard log point a regular immersion, by log modification.

**2.3.14.** In the next section, we will use the following corollary of [Proposition 2.1.13](#).

Let  $X$  be a projective vertical log smooth fs log scheme over a standard log point  $s$ . Then, for some morphism of standard log points  $s' \rightarrow s$  whose underlying extension of the fields is an isomorphism, we have a projective strict semistable fs log scheme  $X'$  over  $s'$  which is a log blow-up of  $X \times_s s'$ .

## 2.4. Grothendieck groups of vector bundles and log geometry.

**2.4.1.** Recall the following theory in [\[SGA 6 1971\]](#) until [2.4.2](#).

For a scheme  $X$ , let  $K(X)$  be the Grothendieck group of the category of locally free  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -modules on  $X$  of finite rank. It is a commutative ring in which the multiplication corresponds to tensor products.

The  $K$ -group  $K(X)$  has a decreasing filtration  $(F^r K(X))_{r \in \mathbb{Z}}$  called the  $\gamma$ -filtration (for details, see [\[SGA 6 1971; Fulton and Lang 1985, Chapter III, V\]](#)). It satisfies  $F^0 K(X) = K(X)$  and  $F^r K(X) \cdot F^s K(X) \subset F^{r+s} K(X)$ . We define

$$\text{gr}^r K(X) := F^r K(X) / F^{r+1} K(X).$$

**2.4.2.** For a morphism  $X \rightarrow Y$  of schemes, the pullback homomorphism  $K(Y) \rightarrow K(X)$  is defined and it respects the  $\gamma$ -filtration.

On the other hand, for a morphism  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  of schemes which is projective and locally of complete intersection (see [\[SGA 6 1971, Exposé VIII, définition 1.1\]](#)), the pushforward homomorphism  $K(X) \rightarrow K(Y)$  is defined (see [\[SGA 6 1971, Exposé IV, 2.12\]](#)). It sends  $F^i K(X)_\mathbb{Q}$  to  $F^{i-d} K(Y)_\mathbb{Q}$ . Here  $d$  is the relative dimension of  $f$  which is a locally constant function on  $X$  characterized as follows. Locally on  $X$ ,  $f$  is a composition  $X \xrightarrow{i} Z \xrightarrow{g} Y$ , where  $i$  is a regular immersion and  $g$  is smooth. The relative dimension of  $f$  is  $d_1 - d_2$ , where  $d_1$  is the relative dimension of  $g$  and  $d_2$  is the codimension of  $i$ .

**2.4.3.** If  $X$  and  $Y$  are projective smooth schemes over a field  $k$ , any morphism  $X \rightarrow Y$  over  $k$  is projective and locally of complete intersection and hence the pushforward homomorphism  $K(X) \rightarrow K(Y)$  is defined. However, in log geometry, we have no such nice property if we replace the smoothness by log smoothness.

We give some preliminaries to treat log smooth situations which we encounter in later sections.

**Proposition 2.4.4.** *Let  $S$  be an fs log scheme of log rank  $\leq 1$  (this means that for any  $s \in S$ ,  $(M_S/\mathcal{O}_S^\times)_s$  is isomorphic to either  $\mathbb{N}$  or  $\{1\}$ ). Let  $f : X \rightarrow S$  be a log smooth morphism. Then the underlying morphism of schemes of  $f$  is flat.*

*Proof.* [Kato 1989, Corollaries (4.4), (4.5)]. □

**Proposition 2.4.5.** *Let  $S$  be an fs log scheme of log rank  $\leq 1$ , and let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a morphism of fs log schemes over  $S$ . Assume that  $X, Y$  are log smooth over  $S$ , and assume that  $M_X/\mathcal{O}_X^\times$  and  $M_Y/\mathcal{O}_Y^\times$  are free (2.3.9). Then the underlying morphism of schemes of  $f$  is locally of complete intersection.*

*Proof.* Working étale locally on  $X$  and on  $Y$ , we may assume that  $f$  is the base change of  $f' : X' \rightarrow Y'$  over  $S' = \text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{N}])$  by a strict morphism  $S \rightarrow S'$ , where  $S'$  is endowed with log by  $\mathbb{N}$  and  $X'$  and  $Y'$  are log smooth over  $S'$ . By the assumption on the log of  $X$  and  $Y$ , we may assume that  $M/\mathcal{O}^\times$  of  $X'$  and that of  $Y'$  are also free (2.3.9) and hence  $X'$  and  $Y'$  are smooth over  $\mathbb{Z}$  as schemes. Hence  $f'$  is locally of complete intersection. Since  $X'$  and  $Y'$  are flat over  $S'$ ,  $f$  is also locally of complete intersection. Here we used the fact that any base change of a morphism  $f' : X' \rightarrow Y'$  of locally complete intersection of schemes which are flat over a scheme is locally of complete intersection. A proof of this fact is as follows. Locally,  $f'$  is the composition of a regular immersion followed by a smooth morphism, and hence we may assume that  $f'$  is a regular immersion. But for a closed immersion defined by an ideal  $I$  being a regular immersion is equivalent to the condition that  $I/I^2$  is locally free and  $I^n/I^{n+1} = \text{Sym}^n(I/I^2)$  for any  $n$ . The last property is stable under any base change. □

**2.4.6.** For an fs log scheme  $X$ , we define

$$K_{\lim}(X) := \varinjlim_{X'} K(X'),$$

where  $X'$  ranges over all log modifications (2.3.6) of  $X$ .

**Lemma 2.4.7.** *Let  $X$  be a quasicompact fs log scheme, let  $\Sigma$  be a finite fan satisfying the condition  $S_{\text{fan}}$  with the structure sheaf  $\mathcal{P}$ , and let  $f : X \rightarrow \Sigma$  be a morphism (2.2.9) such that for any  $x \in X$ , the map  $\mathcal{P}_{f(x)} \rightarrow (M_X/\mathcal{O}_X^\times)_x$  is surjective. Then we have an isomorphism*

$$\varinjlim_{\Sigma'} K(X \times_{\Sigma} \Sigma') \xrightarrow{\cong} K_{\lim}(X),$$

where  $\Sigma'$  ranges over all finite subdivisions of  $\Sigma$ .

*Proof.* Let  $X' \rightarrow X$  be a log modification. Then the composition  $f' : X' \rightarrow X \rightarrow \Sigma$  satisfies the condition that  $\mathcal{P}_{f'(x)} \rightarrow (M_{X'}/\mathcal{O}_{X'}^\times)_x$  is surjective for any  $x \in X'$ . Hence by [Proposition 2.3.12](#), there is a finite subdivision  $\Sigma'$  of  $\Sigma$  such that the morphisms  $X \times_\Sigma \Sigma' \rightarrow \Sigma$  and  $X' \times_\Sigma \Sigma' \rightarrow \Sigma'$  are strict. This shows that the log modification  $X' \times_\Sigma \Sigma' \rightarrow X \times_\Sigma \Sigma'$  is strict and hence  $X' \times_\Sigma \Sigma' \cong X \times_\Sigma \Sigma'$ .  $\square$

**2.4.8.** Let  $s$  be a geometric standard log point [\(2.1.11\)](#), and let  $X$  be an fs log scheme over  $s$ . Let  $\ell$  be a prime number which is different from the characteristic of  $s$  and let  $H^m(X)_\ell := R^m f_* \mathbb{Q}_\ell$ , where  $f$  is the morphism  $X \rightarrow s$  and  $R^m f_*$  is the  $m$ -th higher direct image for the log étale topology [\(2.1.2\)](#). We will identify  $H^m(X)_\ell$  with its stalk.

We have a Chern class map  $\mathrm{gr}^i K(X)_\mathbb{Q} \rightarrow H_{\mathrm{ét}}^{2i}(X, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)(i)$  to the classical étale cohomology, which coincides with the Chern character map. By composing this with the canonical map  $H_{\mathrm{ét}}^{2i}(X, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)(i) \rightarrow H^{2i}(X)_\ell(i)$  and by going to the inductive limit for log modifications using the invariance [Proposition 2.3.8](#) for the log étale cohomology, we obtain the Chern class map

$$\mathrm{gr}^i K_{\mathrm{lim}}(X)_\mathbb{Q} \rightarrow H^{2i}(X)_\ell(i).$$

**Proposition 2.4.9.** *Let  $X$  (resp.  $Y$ ) be a projective and vertical log smooth fs log scheme over a geometric standard log point  $s$  [\(2.1.11\)](#) such that  $M/\mathcal{O}^\times$  of  $X$  and that of  $Y$  are free [\(2.3.9\)](#). Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a morphism over  $s$  of relative dimension  $d$ . ( $d$  can be  $< 0$ . See [2.4.2](#).) Let  $\ell$  be a prime number which is different from the characteristic of  $s$ . Then for any  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ , the following diagram is commutative.*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{gr}^{i+d} K(X)_\mathbb{Q} & \longrightarrow & H^{2(i+d)}(X)_\ell(i+d) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathrm{gr}^i K(Y)_\mathbb{Q} & \longrightarrow & H^{2i}(Y)_\ell(i) \end{array}$$

Here the left vertical arrow is defined by [Proposition 2.4.5](#) and [2.4.2](#) and the right vertical arrow is the pushforward map (the dual of  $H^{2j}(Y)_\ell(j) \rightarrow H^{2j}(X)_\ell(j)$  for Poincaré duality [\(Corollary 2.1.4\)](#), where  $j = \dim(Y) - i$ ).

**Remark.** In the above,  $d$  (resp.  $\dim(Y)$ ) is considered as a locally constant function on  $X$  (resp.  $Y$ ) (see [2.4.2](#)). In general, if  $m$  is a locally constant function on  $X$ ,  $H^m(X)$  means  $\bigoplus_i H^{m(i)}(X_i)$ , where  $X_i$  are connected components of  $X$  and  $m(i)$  is the value of  $m$  on  $X_i$ . The meaning of  $\mathrm{gr}^m K(X)_\mathbb{Q}$  is similar.

*Proof.* Let  $X^\circ$  (resp.  $Y^\circ$ ) be the underlying scheme of  $X$  (resp.  $Y$ ). The morphism  $f$  is the composition of two morphisms  $X \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^n \times Y \rightarrow Y$  in which the underlying morphism of schemes of the first arrow is a closed regular immersion and the second arrow is the projection. It is sufficient to prove [Proposition 2.4.9](#) for each of these two morphisms. The proof for the latter morphism is standard. We consider

the first morphism. It is sufficient to prove the commutativity of the two squares in the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathrm{gr}^i K(X^\circ)_{\mathbb{Q}} & \longrightarrow & H_{\text{ét}}^{2i}(X^\circ, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)(i) & \longrightarrow & H^{2i}(X)_\ell(i) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathrm{gr}^{i+c} K(Y^\circ)_{\mathbb{Q}} & \longrightarrow & H_{\text{ét}}^{2i+2c}(Y^\circ, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)(i+c) & \longrightarrow & H^{2i+2c}(Y)_\ell(i+c) \end{array}$$

assuming that the morphism  $X^\circ \rightarrow Y^\circ$  is a closed regular immersion of codimension  $c$ . Here the central vertical arrow is the Gysin map which is defined as follows. Let  $\xi \in H_{X^\circ}^{2c}(Y^\circ, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)(c)$  be the localized Chern class of the  $\mathcal{O}_Y$ -module  $\mathcal{O}_X$  [Iversen 1976]. By using the cup product

$$H_{\text{ét}}^i(X^\circ, \mathbb{Q}_\ell) \times H_{X^\circ}^j(Y^\circ, \mathbb{Q}_\ell) \rightarrow H_{X^\circ}^{i+j}(Y^\circ, \mathbb{Q}_\ell),$$

let the Gysin map be the product with  $\xi$ . (See [Baum et al. 1975, Section 5.4].)

The left square is commutative by the Riemann–Roch theorem in Corollary 1 in Section 5.3 of [Baum et al. 1975] (see also [Fulton 1998]). We prove that the right square is commutative. By 2.3.14, we may assume that  $X$  and  $Y$  are strict semistable. Let  $X'$  be  $X^\circ$  with the inverse image of the log structure of  $Y$ . Hence  $X \rightarrow Y$  factors as  $X \rightarrow X' \rightarrow Y$ . Consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} H_{\text{ét}}^i(X^\circ, \mathbb{Q}_\ell) & \longrightarrow & H^i(X')_\ell & \longrightarrow & H^i(X)_\ell \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ H_{X^\circ}^{i+2c}(Y^\circ, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)(c) & \longrightarrow & H_{X'}^{i+2c}(Y)_\ell(c) & \longrightarrow & H^{i+2c}(Y)_\ell(c) \end{array}$$

The left square is evidently commutative. The composition

$$H_{\text{ét}}^i(X^\circ, \mathbb{Q}_\ell) \rightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^{i+2c}(Y^\circ, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)(c) \rightarrow H^{i+2c}(Y)_\ell(c)$$

coincides with the composition

$$H_{\text{ét}}^i(X^\circ, \mathbb{Q}_\ell) \rightarrow H_{X^\circ}^{i+2c}(Y^\circ, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)(c) \rightarrow H_{X'}^{i+2c}(Y)_\ell(c) \rightarrow H^{i+2c}(Y)_\ell(c).$$

Hence it is sufficient to prove the commutativity of the right square. Let  $p := \dim(X)$ , so  $\dim(Y) = p + c$ . Let  $j = 2p - i$ . It is sufficient to prove that for  $a \in H^i(X')_\ell$  and  $b \in H^j(Y)_\ell(p)$ , we have  $(a \cup \xi \cup b)_Y = (a \cup b|_X)_X$  in  $\mathbb{Q}_\ell$ . Using  $z = a \cup b|_{X'} \in H^{2p}(X')_\ell(p)$ , we see that it is sufficient to prove that for  $z \in H^{2p}(X')_\ell(p)$ , the image of  $z$  under

$$H^{2p}(X')_\ell(p) \rightarrow H_{X'}^{2p+2c}(Y)_\ell(p+c) \rightarrow H^{2p+2c}(Y)_\ell(p+c) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_\ell$$

(the first arrow is the product with  $\xi$ ) and the image of  $z$  under  $H^{2p}(X')_\ell(p) \rightarrow H^{2p}(X)_\ell(p) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_\ell$  coincide.  $H^{2p}(X')_\ell(p)$  is generated by the Chern classes of the

$\mathcal{O}_X$ -modules  $[\kappa(u)]$ , where  $u$  ranges over all nonsingular closed points of  $X$  and  $\kappa(u)$  is the residue field at  $u$ . For  $z = [\kappa(u)]$ , the image of  $z$  in  $H^{2p+2c}(Y)_\ell(p+c)$  is the Chern class of the  $\mathcal{O}_Y$ -module  $\kappa(u)$ . Hence the image of this  $z$  in  $\mathbb{Q}_\ell$  via  $H^{2p+2c}(Y)_\ell(p+c)$  is 1. On the other hand, the image of this  $z$  in  $\mathbb{Q}_\ell$  via  $H^{2p}(X)_\ell(p)$  is 1. Thus both images coincide.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.4.10.** *Let  $X$  be a projective vertical log smooth fs log scheme over a geometric standard log point  $s$ . Let  $X'$  be a log blow-up of  $X$  such that  $M_{X'}/\mathcal{O}_{X'}^\times$  is free (2.3.9). Then the image of the Chern class map  $\mathrm{gr}^i K_{\mathrm{lim}}(X) \rightarrow H^{2i}(X)_\ell(i)$  coincides with the image of the Chern class map  $\mathrm{gr}^i K(X') \rightarrow H^{2i}(X)_\ell(i)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $Y$  be any log blow-up of  $X$  and let  $a \in \mathrm{gr}^i K(Y)_\mathbb{Q}$ . Take a log blow-up  $Y'$  of  $Y$  such that  $M_{Y'}/\mathcal{O}_{Y'}^\times$  is free and such that  $Y'$  is also a log blow-up of  $X'$ . Let  $a'$  be the image of  $a$  in  $\mathrm{gr}^i K(Y')$  by pullback, and let  $b$  be the image of  $a'$  in  $\mathrm{gr}^i K(X')_\mathbb{Q}$  by pushforward. Then by Proposition 2.4.9, the image of  $a$  in  $H^{2i}(X)_\ell(i)$  coincides with the image of  $b$ .  $\square$

**2.4.11.** The above Proposition 2.4.9 contains the following trace formula in [Kato and Saito 2004]. Let  $X$  be a projective vertical log smooth fs log scheme over a geometric standard log point  $s$ . Assume that  $X$  is purely of dimension  $d$ . Let  $(X \times X)'$  be a log blow-up of  $X \times X$ , let  $\alpha \in \mathrm{gr}^d K((X \times X)')_\mathbb{Q}$ , and let  $f_\alpha$  be the image of  $\alpha$  under the composition

$$\mathrm{gr}^d K_{\mathrm{lim}}(X \times X)_\mathbb{Q} \rightarrow H^{2d}(X \times X)_\ell(d) \cong \bigoplus_i \mathrm{Hom}(H^i(X)_\ell, H^i(X)_\ell),$$

where the last isomorphism is by Poincaré duality (Corollary 2.1.4) and the Künneth formula (Corollary 2.1.6). We consider the trace  $\mathrm{Tr}(f_\alpha)$ . Let  $X'$  be the log blow-up  $X \times_{X \times X} (X \times X)'$  of the diagonal, and let the intersection of  $\alpha$  with the diagonal  $\alpha \cdot \Delta_X \in \mathbb{Q}$  be the image of  $\alpha$  under the composition

$$\mathrm{gr}^d K((X \times X)')_\mathbb{Q} \rightarrow \mathrm{gr}^d K(X')_\mathbb{Q} \rightarrow K(s)_\mathbb{Q} = \mathbb{Q},$$

where the first arrow is the pullback by  $X' \rightarrow (X \times X)'$  and the second arrow is the pushforward. Then we have the trace formula

$$\mathrm{Tr}(f_\alpha) = \alpha \cdot \Delta_X \in \mathbb{Q}.$$

This follows from Proposition 2.4.9 as follows. Consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathrm{gr}^d K_{\mathrm{lim}}(X \times X)_\mathbb{Q} & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{gr}^d K_{\mathrm{lim}}(X)_\mathbb{Q} & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{gr}^0 K(s)_\mathbb{Q} = \mathbb{Q} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ H^{2d}(X \times X)_\ell(d) & \longrightarrow & H^{2d}(X)_\ell(d) & \longrightarrow & H^0(s)_\ell = \mathbb{Q}_\ell \\ \uparrow \cong & & & & \\ \bigoplus_i \mathrm{Hom}(H^i(X)_\ell, H^i(X)_\ell) & & & & \end{array}$$

where the first arrow in the lower row is the pullback by the diagonal. The left square is clearly commutative and, by Proposition 2.4.9, the right square is commutative. The image of  $f_\alpha \in \bigoplus_i \text{Hom}(H^i(X)_\ell, H^i(X)_\ell)$  in  $\mathbb{Q}_\ell$  under the composition of the bottom isomorphism and the lower row is  $\text{Tr}(f_\alpha)$ . This gives a proof of the trace formula.

### 3. Log motives

In this Section 3, let  $S$  be an fs log scheme and let  $\ell$  be a prime number which is invertible on  $S$ . We define and study the category of log (pure) motives.

**3.1. The category of log motives.** We define the category of log motives over  $S$ .

**3.1.1.** For a projective vertical log smooth fs log scheme  $X$  over  $S$  and for  $r \in \mathbb{Z}$ , consider the symbol  $h(X)(r)$ .

Let

$$h(X)(r)_\ell := \bigoplus_m H^m(X)_\ell(r), \quad \text{where } H^m(X)_\ell = R^m f_* \mathbb{Q}_\ell \quad (\text{see 2.4.8})$$

with  $f : X \rightarrow S$  and with  $R^m f_*$  for the log étale topology. This is a smooth  $\mathbb{Q}_\ell$ -sheaf on the log étale site of  $S$  (see 2.1.2).

**3.1.2.** Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be projective vertical log smooth fs log schemes over a geometric standard log point (2.1.11). Let  $r, s \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

An element  $\alpha$  of  $\text{gr}^i K_{\text{lim}}(X \times Y)_\mathbb{Q}$  with  $i = d + s - r$ , where  $d = \dim(X)$  induces a homomorphism  $h(X)(r)_\ell \rightarrow h(Y)(s)_\ell$  as follows.

Let  $\beta$  be the image of  $\alpha$  under the Chern class map

$$\text{gr}^i K_{\text{lim}}(X \times Y)_\mathbb{Q} \rightarrow H^{2i}(X \times Y)_\ell(i).$$

Then for  $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $m - 2r = n - 2s$ , we have the composition

$$\begin{aligned} H^m(X)_\ell(r) &\rightarrow H^m(X \times Y)_\ell(r) \rightarrow H^{m+2i}(X \times Y)_\ell(r+i) \\ &\rightarrow H^{m+2i-2d}(Y)_\ell(r+i-d) = H^n(Y)_\ell(s). \end{aligned}$$

Here the first arrow is the pullback, the second arrow is the cup product with  $\beta$ , the third arrow is the pushforward by the projection  $X \times Y \rightarrow Y$ . This gives a map  $h(X)(r)_\ell \rightarrow h(Y)(s)_\ell$ .

**3.1.3.** Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be projective vertical log smooth fs log schemes over  $S$  and let  $r, s \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

By definition, a morphism  $f : h(X)(r) \rightarrow h(Y)(s)$  is a homomorphism  $f : h(X)(r)_\ell \rightarrow h(Y)(s)_\ell$  of  $\mathbb{Q}_\ell$ -sheaves such that for any geometric standard log point  $p$  over  $S$ , the pullback  $h(X_p)(r)_\ell \rightarrow h(Y_p)(s)_\ell$  of  $f$  is induced by an element of  $\text{gr}^{d+s-r} K_{\text{lim}}(X_p \times_p Y_p)_\mathbb{Q}$  with  $d = \dim(X_p)$  in the above way.

**Proposition 3.1.4.** (1) *The identity morphism  $h(X)(r)_\ell \rightarrow h(X)(r)_\ell$  is a morphism  $h(X)(r) \rightarrow h(X)(r)$ .*

(2) *More generally, for a morphism  $Y \rightarrow X$  over  $S$ , the induced map  $h(X)(r)_\ell \rightarrow h(Y)(r)_\ell$  is a morphism  $h(X)(r) \rightarrow h(Y)(r)$ .*

*Proof.* We may and do assume that  $S$  is a geometric standard log point  $s$ . Let  $d$  be the dimension of  $X$ .

We prove (1). Let  $Z = X \times X$  (the fiber product over  $S = s$ ) and consider the fan  $\Sigma := \Sigma_Z$  associated to  $Z$  (2.2.16). By Proposition 2.3.12, there is a finite subdivision  $\Sigma' \rightarrow \Sigma$  such that  $X' := X \times_{\Sigma} \Sigma' \rightarrow \Sigma'$  and  $Z' := Z \times_{\Sigma} \Sigma' \rightarrow \Sigma'$  are strict. Hence the morphism  $X' \rightarrow Z'$  is a strict closed immersion. Since a strict closed immersion between log smooth schemes is a regular immersion as is seen as in the classical case (see [Kato 1989, Proposition (3.10)]), this morphism  $X' \rightarrow Z'$  is a regular immersion. Consider the  $\mathcal{O}_{Z'}$ -module  $\mathcal{O}_{X'}$  and its class  $[\mathcal{O}_{X'}] \in \mathrm{gr}^d K(Z')_{\mathbb{Q}}$  with  $d = \dim(X)$ . By Poincaré duality (Corollary 2.1.4) and by the Künneth formula (Corollary 2.1.6), this class induces the identity map  $h(X)_\ell(r) \rightarrow h(X)_\ell(r)$ .

Assertion (2) follows from (1). The homomorphism  $h(X)_\ell(r) \rightarrow h(Y)_\ell(r)$  associated to  $f$  is induced by an element of  $\mathrm{gr}^d K_{\mathrm{lim}}(X \times Y)_{\mathbb{Q}}$  with  $d = \dim(X)$  which is obtained from the above element of  $\mathrm{gr}^d K_{\mathrm{lim}}(X \times X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$  giving the identity morphism, by pulling back by  $1 \times f$ .  $\square$

**3.1.5.** The above Proposition 3.1.4 explains the reason why we must use  $K_{\mathrm{lim}}$  (not just  $K$ ) in the definition of morphism of the category of log motives. For a projective vertical log smooth fs log scheme  $X$  over a geometric standard log point  $s$ , the diagonal  $X \rightarrow X \times X$  is usually not a regular immersion and cannot define an element of  $K(X \times X)$ . We need a log modification  $Z \rightarrow X \times X$  to have an element of  $K(Z)$  corresponding to the diagonal, which gives the identity morphism  $h(X) \rightarrow h(X)$ .

**Proposition 3.1.6.** *For morphisms*

$$f : h(X_1)(r_1) \rightarrow h(X_2)(r_2) \quad \text{and} \quad g : h(X_2)(r_2) \rightarrow h(X_3)(r_3),$$

*the composition  $g \circ f : h(X_1)(r_1) \rightarrow h(X_3)(r_3)$  is a morphism.*

*Proof.* We may assume that  $S$  is a geometric standard log point. If  $f$  is induced by  $\alpha \in \mathrm{gr} K_{\mathrm{lim}}(X_1 \times X_2)_{\mathbb{Q}}$  and  $g$  is induced by  $\alpha' \in \mathrm{gr} K_{\mathrm{lim}}(X_2 \times X_3)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ ,  $g \circ f$  is induced by the following element  $\alpha''$  of  $\mathrm{gr} K_{\mathrm{lim}}(X_1 \times X_3)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ . Let  $u \in \mathrm{gr} K_{\mathrm{lim}}(X_1 \times X_2 \times X_3)_{\mathbb{Q}}$  be the product of the pullbacks of  $\alpha$  and  $\alpha'$ . Let  $(X_1 \times X_3)'$  be a log blow-up of  $X_1 \times X_3$  having free  $M/\mathcal{O}^\times$  (2.3.9), and let  $(X_1 \times X_2 \times X_3)'$  be a log blow-up of  $X_1 \times X_2 \times X_3$  having free  $M/\mathcal{O}^\times$  such that  $u$  comes from an element  $v$  of  $\mathrm{gr} K((X_1 \times X_2 \times X_3)')_{\mathbb{Q}}$  and such that we have a morphism  $(X_1 \times X_2 \times X_3)' \rightarrow$

$(X_1 \times X_3)'$  which is compatible with the projection  $X_1 \times X_2 \times X_3 \rightarrow X_1 \times X_3$ . Let  $\alpha''$  be the pushforward of  $v$  by the morphism  $(X_1 \times X_2 \times X_3)' \rightarrow (X_1 \times X_3)'$ . Then  $g \circ f$  is induced by  $\alpha''$  by [Proposition 2.4.9](#).  $\square$

**3.1.7.** Imitating the definition of motives by Grothendieck, we define the *category*  $\text{LM}(S)$  of log motives over  $S$  as the category of the symbols  $(h(X)(r), e)$ , where  $e$  is an idempotent in the endomorphism ring of  $h(X)(r)$ . The set of morphisms is defined as

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hom}((h(X_1)(r_1), e_1), (h(X_2)(r_2), e_2)) \\ := e_2 \circ \text{Hom}(h(X_1), h(X_2)) \circ e_1 \subset \text{Hom}(h(X_1), h(X_2)). \end{aligned}$$

The identity morphism of  $(h(X), e)$  is  $e$ .

The  $\ell$ -adic realization  $M_\ell$  of the log motive  $M = (h(X), e)$  is defined to be  $eh(X)_\ell$ .

**3.1.8.** In the case where the underlying scheme of  $S$  is  $\text{Spec}(k)$  for a field  $k$ , there is a natural functor from the category of motives over  $k$  modulo homological equivalence defined by Grothendieck to our category  $\text{LM}(S)$  sending the motive defined by a projective smooth scheme  $X$  over  $k$  to the log motive defined by  $X$  endowed with the pullback log structure from  $S$ . This is because  $\text{CH}^r(X \times Y)_\mathbb{Q} = \text{gr}^r K(X \times Y)_\mathbb{Q}$ .

Further, when the log structure of  $S$  is trivial, this functor is an equivalence. This is because, in this case, we have  $\text{gr}^r K(X \times Y)_\mathbb{Q} = \text{gr}^r K_{\text{lim}}(X \times Y)_\mathbb{Q}$ .

**3.1.9.** For a morphism  $S' \rightarrow S$  of fs log schemes, we have the evident pullback functor  $\text{LM}(S) \rightarrow \text{LM}(S')$ .

**3.1.10.** For a k et morphism  $p' \rightarrow p$  of standard log points whose underlying extension of fields is Galois, we have

$$\text{Hom}_{\text{LM}(p)}(h(X)(r), h(Y)(s)) \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{Hom}_{\text{LM}(p')} (h(X')(r), h(Y')(s))^G,$$

where  $X'$  and  $Y'$  are the base-changed objects from  $X$  and  $Y$ ,  $r, s \in \mathbb{Z}$ , and  $(\cdot)^G$  denotes the  $G$ -invariant part for  $G = \text{Aut}_p(p')$ .

**3.2. Basic things.**

**3.2.1.** Direct sums and direct products exist in  $\text{LM}(S)$ , and they coincide.

In fact, we have  $h(X) \oplus h(Y) := h(X \coprod Y)$ , and if  $r \leq s$ ,  $h(X)(r) \oplus h(Y)(s) = (h((X \times \mathbb{P}^n) \coprod Y)(s), e)$  for  $n \geq s - r$  and for some  $e$ .

**Conjecture 3.2.2.** For a projective vertical log smooth fs log scheme  $X$  of relative dimension  $d$  over  $S$ ,  $h(X)$  has a decomposition

$$h(X) = h^0(X) \oplus h^1(X) \oplus \cdots \oplus h^{2d}(X)$$

in the category  $\text{LM}(S)$  of log motives such that  $h^i(X)_\ell = H^i(X)_\ell$ .

Note that such a decomposition is unique if it exists.

**3.2.3.** We have the following:  $h(\mathbf{P}^n) = \bigoplus_{i=0}^n h^{2i}(\mathbf{P}^n)$ . Canonically,  $h^{2i}(\mathbf{P}^n) \cong \mathbb{Q}(-i)$  for  $0 \leq i \leq n$ . Here  $\mathbb{Q} = h(S)$ .

**3.2.4.** We define the category  $\mathrm{LM}^{\mathrm{spl}}(S)$  as follows. For a projective vertical log smooth fs log scheme  $X$  over  $S$  and for  $m, r \in \mathbb{Z}$ , consider the symbol  $h^m(X)(r)$ .

For projective vertical log smooth fs log schemes  $X$  and  $Y$  over  $S$  and for  $m, n, r, s \in \mathbb{Z}$ , a morphism  $h : h^m(X)(r) \rightarrow h^n(Y)(s)$  means a homomorphism  $H^m(X)_\ell(r) \rightarrow H^n(Y)_\ell(s)$  of smooth  $\mathbb{Q}_\ell$ -sheaves on  $S$  satisfying the following condition. If  $m - 2r \neq n - 2s$ , then  $h = 0$ . If  $m - 2r = n - 2s$ , then for any geometric standard log point  $p$  over  $S$ , the pullback of  $h$  to  $p$  comes from an element of  $\mathrm{gr}^{d+s-r} K_{\mathrm{lim}}(X_p \times_p Y_p)$ , where  $d = \dim(X_0)$ .

An object of  $\mathrm{LM}^{\mathrm{spl}}(S)$  is  $(h^m(X)(r), e)$ , where  $X$  is a projective vertical log smooth fs log scheme over  $S$ ,  $m, r \in \mathbb{Z}$ , and  $e$  is an idempotent of the ring of endomorphism of  $h^m(X)(r)$ . Morphisms are defined like the case of  $\mathrm{LM}(S)$ .

**3.2.5.** Similarly to the case of  $\mathrm{LM}(S)$  (3.2.1), direct sums exist in  $\mathrm{LM}^{\mathrm{spl}}(S)$ . We have a functor

$$\mathrm{LM}(S) \rightarrow \mathrm{LM}^{\mathrm{spl}}(S), \quad h(X)(r) \mapsto \bigoplus_m h^m(X)(r).$$

**Conjecture 3.2.2** is that this functor is an equivalence of categories.

**3.2.6.** Tensor products are defined in  $\mathrm{LM}(S)$  as follows:

$$(h(X)(r), e) \otimes (h(X')(s), e') := (h(X \times X')(r+s), e \otimes e').$$

For a log motive  $M$  over  $S$ , the Tate twist  $M(-r)$  ( $r \geq 0$ ) is identified with  $M \otimes h^{2r}(\mathbf{P}^n)$  with  $n \geq r$ .

**3.2.7.** Compared with  $\mathrm{LM}(S)$ , a disadvantage of the category  $\mathrm{LM}^{\mathrm{spl}}(S)$  is that the tensor products cannot be defined.

**3.2.8.** Duals are defined in  $\mathrm{LM}(S)$  as follows:

$$(h(X)(r), e)^* = (h(X)(d-r), e(d-2r)),$$

where  $d$  is the relative dimension of  $X$  over  $S$ .

Note that, by Poincaré duality (Corollary 2.1.4), any morphism  $h(X)(r) \rightarrow h(Y)(s)$  induces a homomorphism  $(h(Y)(s)^*)_\ell \rightarrow (h(X)(r)^*)_\ell$  of  $\mathbb{Q}_\ell$ -sheaves. We can easily check that this homomorphism gives a morphism

$$h(Y)(s)^* = h(Y)(d' - s) \rightarrow h(X)(d - r) = h(X)(r)^*$$

of motives, where  $d'$  is the relative dimension of  $Y$  over  $S$  by using the same elements of  $\mathrm{gr}^i K_{\mathrm{lim}}(X_p \times_p Y_p)_\mathbb{Q}$ , where  $p$  is a geometric standard log point over  $S$  and  $i = d + s - r = d' + (d - r) - (d' - s)$ .

**3.2.9.** Let  $X$  be a projective vertical log smooth fs log scheme over  $S$ . We conjecture that, for any morphism  $s \rightarrow S$  from a standard log point associated to some finite field and for each  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ , the filtration (the monodromy filtration) on the stalk over  $s$  of  $H^m(X)_\ell$  determined by the monodromy operator coincides with the Frobenius weight filtration. We call this the *monodromy-weight conjecture* for  $X$ .

**Proposition 3.2.10.** *Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be projective vertical log smooth fs log schemes over  $S$ . Assuming the monodromy-weight conjecture for  $X$  and  $Y$ , we have the following:*

*If  $m - 2r > n - 2s$  and if  $S$  is of finite type over  $\mathbb{Z}$ , there is no nonzero homomorphism  $H^m(X)_\ell(r) \rightarrow H^n(Y)_\ell(s)$ .*

*Proof.* This is reduced to the case where  $S$  is a standard log point associated to a finite field  $k$ . Let  $w = m - 2r$ ,  $w' = n - 2s$ . The monodromy-weight conjecture asserts that as a finite-dimensional  $\mathbb{Q}_\ell$ -vector space with actions of  $\text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k)$  and the monodromy operator  $\mathcal{N}$ , the stalk of  $H^m(X)_\ell(r)$  (resp.  $H^n(Y)_\ell(s)$ ) is isomorphic to a direct sum of subobjects  $Q$  (resp.  $R$ ) being isomorphic to  $\text{Sym}^i H^1(E)_\ell \otimes V$ , where the action of  $\text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k)$  on  $V$  is of weight  $w - i$  (resp.  $w' - i$ ) and the action of  $\mathcal{N}$  on  $V$  is trivial. Hence, it is enough to show that there is no nonzero  $\mathbb{Q}_\ell$ -linear map  $Q \rightarrow R$  which is compatible with the actions of  $\text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k)$  and  $\mathcal{N}$ . Let  $Q \rightarrow R$  be such a map. For any nonzero element  $x \in R$  of weight  $u \geq w'$ , we have  $\mathcal{N}^{u-w'}(x) \neq 0$ . But as a  $\mathbb{Q}_\ell$ -vector space with an action of  $\mathcal{N}$ ,  $Q$  is generated by an element  $y$  of weight  $u \geq w$  such that  $\mathcal{N}^{u-w+1}(y) = 0$ . The image  $x$  of this  $y$  in  $R$  is of weight  $u \geq w'$  and  $\mathcal{N}^{u-w'}(x) = 0$  because  $u - w' \geq u - w + 1$ . Hence  $x = 0$ . Therefore the map  $Q \rightarrow R$  is the zero map.  $\square$

**Remark 3.2.11.** On the other hand, a nontrivial homomorphism  $H^m(X)_\ell(r) \rightarrow H^n(Y)_\ell(s)$  can exist even if  $m - 2r < n - 2s$  and even if  $S$  is of finite type over  $\mathbb{Z}$ . In fact, let  $S$  be a standard log point,  $X = S$ , and  $Y$  the log Tate curve. Then we have an exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_\ell \rightarrow H^1(Y)_\ell \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_\ell(-1) \rightarrow 0$ . Hence a nontrivial homomorphism  $H^0(X)_\ell \rightarrow H^1(Y)_\ell$  exists.

**3.2.12.** For an  $X$  strict semistable over a standard log point,  $H^1(X_{\text{Zar}}, M_X^{\text{gp}}/\mathcal{O}_X^\times) = 0$  because  $M_X^{\text{gp}}/\mathcal{O}_X^\times \cong p_*\mathbb{Z}$ , where  $p : X' \rightarrow X$  is a normalization, is a flasque sheaf, which implies that  $\text{Pic}(X) = H^1(X_{\text{Zar}}, \mathcal{O}_X^\times) \rightarrow H^1(X_{\text{Zar}}, M_X^{\text{gp}})$  is surjective. Hence by 2.3.14, we have:

Let  $X, Y$  be projective vertical log smooth fs log schemes over an fs log scheme  $S$ . Then an element of  $H^1((X \times Y)_{\text{Zar}}, M_{X \times Y}^{\text{gp}})$  gives a homomorphism  $h(X)(r) \rightarrow h(Y)(r + 1 - d)$ , where  $r \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $d$  is the relative dimension of  $X$  over  $S$ .

To see this, it is enough to show that the induced homomorphism  $h(X)(r)_\ell \rightarrow h(Y)(r + 1 - d)_\ell$  comes from an element of the  $K$ -group after the base change to any

geometric standard log point. We assume that the base  $S$  is a geometric standard log point over a field  $k$ . Apply 2.3.14 to  $X \times Y$ , and find a strict semistable  $X'$  over  $X \times Y$  after the base change by the morphism  $S' = (\text{Spec } k, \mathbb{N}) \rightarrow S = (\text{Spec } k, \mathbb{N})$  induced by the multiplication by  $n$  for some  $n \geq 1$ . If  $n = 1$ , since  $\text{Pic}(X') = \text{gr}^1 K(X')$ , we have a desired element of  $\text{gr}^1 K_{\text{lim}}(X \times Y)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ . For a general  $n$ , after the base change, take a desired element  $a$  of  $\text{gr}^1 K_{\text{lim}}(X \times Y \times_S S')_{\mathbb{Q}}$ . Then the  $1/n$  times of  $\text{Tr}(a)$  is a desired element.

### 3.3. Numerical equivalence.

**Proposition 3.3.1.** *For any log motive  $M$  over  $S$  and for any morphism  $f : M \rightarrow M$ ,  $\text{Tr}(f) \in \mathbb{Q}_{\ell}$  belongs to  $\mathbb{Q}$ . (Precisely speaking,  $\text{Tr}(f)$  is a locally constant function  $S \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$ . It is constant if  $S$  is connected.)*

*Proof.* We are reduced to the case where  $S$  is a geometric standard log point. Then the result follows from the trace formula 2.4.11.  $\square$

**Definition 3.3.2** (numerical equivalence). For objects  $M$  and  $M'$  of  $\text{LM}(S)$  and for a morphism  $f : M \rightarrow M'$ , we say that  $f$  is *numerically equivalent to 0* if for any morphism  $g : M' \rightarrow M$ , we have  $\text{Tr}(gf) = 0$ , that is,  $\text{Tr}(fg) = 0$ . (Note that when  $S$  is the spectrum of a field endowed with the trivial log structure, it coincides with the usual definition; see [Jannsen 1992, Lemma 1].)

Morphisms  $f, g : M \rightarrow M'$  are said to be *numerically equivalent* if  $f - g$  is numerically equivalent to 0.

**Lemma 3.3.3.** *Let  $\sim$  be the numerical equivalence. Let  $f, g : M \rightarrow N$  be morphisms in  $\text{LM}(S)$ . Assume  $f \sim g$ . Then*

- (1)  $fh \sim gh$  for any morphism  $h : L \rightarrow M$  from a log motive  $L$  over  $S$ .
- (2)  $hf \sim hg$  for any morphism  $h : N \rightarrow L$  to a log motive  $L$  over  $S$ .

*Proof.* We may assume that  $g$  is 0.

- (1) Let  $k : N \rightarrow L$  be any morphism. Then  $\text{Tr}(fhk) = \text{Tr}(f(hk)) = 0$ . Hence  $fh \sim 0$ .
- (2) Let  $k : L \rightarrow M$  be any morphism. Then  $\text{Tr}(khf) = \text{Tr}((kh)f) = 0$ . Hence  $hf \sim 0$ .  $\square$

**3.3.4.** By Lemma 3.3.3, we have the category  $\text{LM}_{\text{num}}(S)$  of log motives over  $S$  modulo numerical equivalence.

**Conjecture 3.3.5.** In  $\text{LM}(S)$ ,  $f \sim g$  implies  $f = g$ . That is,  $\text{LM}(S) = \text{LM}_{\text{num}}(S)$ .

**3.3.6.** When  $S$  is a geometric standard log point, the category  $\text{LM}_{\text{num}}(S)$  is independent of the choice of  $\ell$ . This is a consequence of Proposition 3.3.1 since in this case, the group  $\text{Hom}(h(X)(r), h(Y)(s))$  is identified with a quotient of  $\text{gr}^{d+s-r} K_{\text{lim}}(X \times_S Y)_{\mathbb{Q}}$  in the notation in 3.1.3.

**3.4. Semisimplicity.**

**Theorem 3.4.1.** (1) *The category  $\text{LM}_{\text{num}}(S)$  is a semisimple abelian category.*  
 (2) *The category  $\text{LM}(S)$  is a semisimple abelian category if and only if the numerical equivalence for morphisms of this category is trivial.*

To prove this, we imitate the method of U. Jannsen [1992].

**3.4.2.** The following fact is known: A pseudoabelian category  $\mathcal{C}$  is a semisimple abelian category if the following (i) and (ii) are satisfied for any objects  $X$  and  $Y$ .

- (i)  $\text{Hom}(X, Y)$  is a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -vector space, the composition of morphisms is bilinear, and any idempotent of  $\text{End}(X)$  has a kernel.
- (ii)  $\text{End}(X)$  is a finite-dimensional semisimple  $\mathbb{Q}$ -algebra.

**Lemma 3.4.3.** *Let  $F$  be a field,  $A, B$  finite-dimensional  $F$ -vector spaces,  $(\cdot, \cdot) : A \times B \rightarrow F$  an  $F$ -bilinear map,  $F_0$  a subfield of  $F$ ,  $A_0$  an  $F_0$ -subspace of  $A$ , and  $B_0$  an  $F_0$ -subspace of  $B$ . Assume that  $A$  is generated by  $A_0$  over  $F$ ,  $B$  is generated by  $B_0$  over  $F$ , and  $(a, b) \in F_0$  for any  $a \in A_0$  and  $b \in B_0$ . Let*

$$K = \{a \in A \mid (a, b) = 0 \text{ for any } b \in B\},$$

$$K_0 = \{a \in A_0 \mid (a, b) = 0 \text{ for any } b \in B_0\}.$$

Then:

$$F \otimes_{F_0} A_0 / K_0 \xrightarrow{\cong} A / K.$$

In particular,  $A_0 / K_0$  is finite-dimensional over  $F_0$ .

*Proof.* Take an  $F_0$ -subspace  $A'_0$  of  $A_0$  such that  $F \otimes_{F_0} A'_0 \xrightarrow{\cong} A$  and an  $F_0$ -subspace  $B'_0$  of  $B_0$  such that  $F \otimes_{F_0} B'_0 \xrightarrow{\cong} B$ . Then  $A'_0$  and  $B'_0$  are finite-dimensional over  $F_0$ . Let  $K'_0 = \{a \in A'_0 \mid (a, b) = 0 \text{ for any } b \in B\} = \{a \in A'_0 \mid (a, b) = 0 \text{ for any } b \in B'_0\}$ . Let  $L'_0 = \{b \in B'_0 \mid (a, b) = 0 \text{ for any } a \in A\} = \{b \in B'_0 \mid (a, b) = 0 \text{ for any } a \in A'_0\}$ . The composition

$$A'_0 / K'_0 \rightarrow A_0 / K_0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}(B'_0 / L'_0, F_0)$$

is an isomorphism and the two arrows here are injective. Hence we have

$$A'_0 / K'_0 \rightarrow A_0 / K_0 \quad \text{is an isomorphism.} \tag{*}$$

On the other hand, the pairing  $A \times B \rightarrow F$  is identified with  $F \otimes_{F_0}$  of the pairing  $A'_0 \times B'_0 \rightarrow F_0$ . Hence we have

$$F \otimes_{F_0} A'_0 / K'_0 \rightarrow A / K \quad \text{is an isomorphism.} \tag{**}$$

By (\*) and (\*\*), we have that  $F \otimes_{F_0} A_0 / K_0 \rightarrow A / K$  is an isomorphism. □

**Lemma 3.4.4.** *Let  $F$  be a field of characteristic 0,  $V$  a finite-dimensional  $F$ -vector space, and  $A$  an  $F$ -subalgebra of  $\text{End}_F(V)$ . Let  $J$  be the Jacobson radical of  $A$ , that is,  $J$  is the largest nilpotent two-sided ideal of  $A$ . Let*

$$I = \{a \in A \mid \text{Tr}(ab) = 0 \text{ for any } b \in A\}.$$

Here  $\text{Tr}$  is the trace of an  $F$ -linear map  $V \rightarrow V$ . Then  $I = J$ .

*Proof.* Let  $a \in J$ . Then for any  $b \in A$ ,  $ab$  is nilpotent and hence  $\text{Tr}(ab) = 0$ . Hence  $a \in I$ . Next we prove  $I \subset J$ . We may assume that  $F$  is algebraically closed. It is sufficient to prove that all elements of  $I$  are nilpotent. Let  $a \in I$ . Let  $(\alpha_i)_{1 \leq i \leq n}$  ( $n = \dim_F(V)$ ) be the eigenvalues of  $a$  counted with multiplicity. We have  $0 = \text{Tr}(a^n) = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i^n$  for any  $n \geq 1$ . This proves that  $\alpha_i = 0$  for all  $i$ . Hence  $a$  is nilpotent.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.4.5.** *Let  $F$  be a field of characteristic 0,  $V$  a finite-dimensional  $F$ -vector space,  $A$  an  $F$ -subalgebra of  $\text{End}_F(V)$ ,  $F_0$  a subfield of  $F$ , and  $A_0$  an  $F_0$ -subalgebra of  $A$ . Assume that  $A_0$  generates the  $F$ -vector space  $A$  and assume that  $\text{Tr}(a) \in F_0$  for any  $a \in A_0$ . Let  $I_0 = \{a \in A_0 \mid \text{Tr}(ab) = 0 \text{ for any } b \in A_0\}$ . Then  $I_0$  is a two-sided ideal of  $A_0$ ,  $A_0/I_0$  is a finite-dimensional semisimple  $F_0$ -algebra, and all elements of  $I_0$  are nilpotent.*

*Proof.* The fact that  $I_0$  is a two-sided ideal of  $A_0$  is shown easily. Let

$$I = \{a \in A \mid \text{Tr}(ab) = 0 \text{ for any } b \in A\}.$$

Then  $I$  is nilpotent and  $A/I$  is a semisimple algebra by Lemma 3.4.4. Hence all elements of  $I_0$  are nilpotent. By Lemma 3.4.3,  $A_0/I_0$  is finite-dimensional and  $F \otimes_{F_0} A_0/I_0$  is isomorphic to  $A/I$ . Hence  $A_0/I_0$  is semisimple.  $\square$

**3.4.6.** We prove Theorem 3.4.1(1). Let  $M$  be a log motive over  $S$ . In Lemma 3.4.5, take  $F = \mathbb{Q}_\ell$ ,  $F_0 = \mathbb{Q}$ , and let  $A$  be the  $\mathbb{Q}_\ell$ -subalgebra of  $\text{End}_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}(M_\ell)$  generated by  $A_0 := \text{End}_{\text{LM}(S)}(M)$ . Then the endomorphism ring of  $M$  in the category of log motives over  $S$  modulo numerical equivalence is  $A/I_0$ , where  $I_0$  is as in Lemma 3.4.5. By Lemma 3.4.5,  $A/I_0$  is a finite-dimensional semisimple  $\mathbb{Q}$ -algebra. This proves (1) of Theorem 3.4.1.

We prove Theorem 3.4.1(2). The if part follows from (1). We prove the only if part. Let  $F = \mathbb{Q}_\ell$ ,  $F_0 = \mathbb{Q}$ , and  $A, A_0, I_0$  be as in the proof of (1). By Lemma 3.4.5, all elements of  $I_0$  are nilpotent. Assume that  $A_0$  is semisimple. Since  $I_0$  is a two-sided ideal of  $A_0$  and all elements of  $I_0$  are nilpotent, we have  $I_0 = 0$ . That is, the numerical equivalence is trivial.

#### 4. Log mixed motives

We define the category of log mixed motives.

**4.1. The category  $\mathcal{C}_S$ .**

**4.1.1.** Let  $\ell$  be a prime number. Let  $S$  be an fs log scheme over  $\mathbb{Z}[1/\ell]$  of finite type.

Let  $\mathcal{C}_S$  be the following category.

$$\text{Objects: } (\mathcal{F}, W, (X_w)_{w \in \mathbb{Z}}, (V_{w,1})_{w \in \mathbb{Z}}, (V_{w,2})_{w \in \mathbb{Z}}, (\iota_w)_{w \in \mathbb{Z}}).$$

Here  $\mathcal{F}$  is a smooth  $\mathbb{Q}_\ell$ -sheaf on the log étale site of  $S$ .  $W$  is an increasing filtration on  $\mathcal{F}$  by smooth  $\mathbb{Q}_\ell$ -subsheaves. The  $X_w$  are projective vertical log smooth fs log schemes over  $S$ . For each  $w \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $V_{w,1}$  and  $V_{w,2}$  are smooth  $\mathbb{Q}_\ell$ -subsheaves of  $\bigoplus_{r \in \mathbb{Z}} H^{w+2r}(X_w)_\ell(r)$  such that  $V_{w,1} \subset V_{w,2}$ . The  $\iota_w$  are isomorphisms  $\text{gr}_w^W \mathcal{F} \cong V_{w,2}/V_{w,1}$ .

$W$  is called the *weight filtration*.

A morphism

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{F}, W, (X_w)_{w \in \mathbb{Z}}, (V_{w,1})_{w \in \mathbb{Z}}, (V_{w,2})_{w \in \mathbb{Z}}, (\iota_w)_{w \in \mathbb{Z}}) \\ \rightarrow (\mathcal{F}', W', (X'_w)_{w \in \mathbb{Z}}, (V'_{w,1})_{w \in \mathbb{Z}}, (V'_{w,2})_{w \in \mathbb{Z}}, (\iota'_w)_{w \in \mathbb{Z}}) \end{aligned}$$

in  $\mathcal{C}_S$  is a homomorphism of  $\mathbb{Q}_\ell$ -sheaves  $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}'$  which respects the weight filtrations such that for each  $w \in \mathbb{Z}$ , the pullback of  $\text{gr}_w^W \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \text{gr}_w^{W'} \mathcal{F}'$  to any geometric standard log point  $s$  over  $S$  is induced from the sum of morphisms  $h(X_w \times_S s)(r) \rightarrow h(X'_w \times_S s)(r')$  for various  $r, r' \in \mathbb{Z}$  which sends  $V_{w,i}$  to  $V'_{w,i}$  over  $s$  for  $i = 1, 2$ .

**4.1.2.** The category  $\mathcal{C}_S$  has  $\oplus$ , kernels, and cokernels. Furthermore,  $\otimes$ , the dual, and Tate twists are defined in  $\mathcal{C}_S$ . These are explained in 4.1.3–4.1.7.

**4.1.3.** We have

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{F}, W, (X_w)_{w \in \mathbb{Z}}, (V_{w,1})_{w \in \mathbb{Z}}, (V_{w,2})_{w \in \mathbb{Z}}, (\iota_w)_{w \in \mathbb{Z}}) \\ \oplus (\mathcal{F}', W', (X'_w)_{w \in \mathbb{Z}}, (V'_{w,1})_{w \in \mathbb{Z}}, (V'_{w,2})_{w \in \mathbb{Z}}, (\iota'_w)_{w \in \mathbb{Z}}) \\ = (\mathcal{F} \oplus \mathcal{F}', W \oplus W', (X_w \amalg X'_w)_{w \in \mathbb{Z}}, (V_{w,1} \oplus V'_{w,1})_{w \in \mathbb{Z}}, (V_{w,2} \oplus V'_{w,2})_{w \in \mathbb{Z}}, \\ (\iota_w \oplus \iota'_w)_{w \in \mathbb{Z}}). \end{aligned}$$

**4.1.4.** The kernel of a morphism

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{F}, W, (X_w)_{w \in \mathbb{Z}}, (V_{w,1})_{w \in \mathbb{Z}}, (V_{w,2})_{w \in \mathbb{Z}}, (\iota_w)_{w \in \mathbb{Z}}) \\ \rightarrow (\mathcal{F}', W', (X'_w)_{w \in \mathbb{Z}}, (V'_{w,1})_{w \in \mathbb{Z}}, (V'_{w,2})_{w \in \mathbb{Z}}, (\iota'_w)_{w \in \mathbb{Z}}) \end{aligned}$$

is  $(\mathcal{F}'', W'', (X''_w)_{w \in \mathbb{Z}}, (V''_{w,1})_{w \in \mathbb{Z}}, (V''_{w,2})_{w \in \mathbb{Z}}, (\iota''_w)_{w \in \mathbb{Z}})$ , where  $\mathcal{F}''$  is the kernel of  $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}'$ ,  $W''$  is induced from  $W$ ,  $X''_w = X_w$ ,  $V''_{w,2}$  is the kernel of  $V_{w,2} \rightarrow V'_{w,2}/V'_{w,1}$ ,  $V''_{w,1} = V''_{w,2} \cap V_{w,1}$ , and  $\iota''_w$  is induced from  $\iota_w$ .

**4.1.5.** The cokernel of the above morphism is

$$(\mathcal{F}'', W'', (X''_w)_{w \in \mathbb{Z}}, (V''_{w,1})_{w \in \mathbb{Z}}, (V''_{w,2})_{w \in \mathbb{Z}}, (t''_w)_{w \in \mathbb{Z}}),$$

where  $\mathcal{F}''$  is the cokernel of  $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}'$ ,  $W''$  is induced from  $W'$ ,  $X''_w = X'_w$ ,

$$V''_{w,2} = V'_{w,2} + \text{Image}(V_{w,2}), \quad V''_{w,1} = V'_{w,1} + \text{Image}(V_{w,2}),$$

and  $t''_w$  is induced by  $t'_w$ .

**4.1.6.**

$$(\mathcal{F}, W, (X_w)_{w \in \mathbb{Z}}, (V_{w,1})_{w \in \mathbb{Z}}, (V_{w,2})_{w \in \mathbb{Z}}, (t_w)_{w \in \mathbb{Z}})$$

$$\otimes (\mathcal{F}', W', (X'_w)_{w \in \mathbb{Z}}, (V'_{w,1})_{w \in \mathbb{Z}}, (V'_{w,2})_{w \in \mathbb{Z}}, (t'_w)_{w \in \mathbb{Z}})$$

is defined as

$$(\mathcal{F}'', W'', (X''_w)_{w \in \mathbb{Z}}, (V''_{w,1})_{w \in \mathbb{Z}}, (V''_{w,2})_{w \in \mathbb{Z}}, (t''_w)_{w \in \mathbb{Z}}),$$

where  $\mathcal{F}'' = \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{F}'$ ,  $W''$  is the convolution of  $W$  and  $W'$ ,  $X''_w = \coprod_{i+j=w} X_i \times X'_j$ ,  $V''_{w,2} = \bigoplus_{i+j=w} V_{i,2} \otimes V'_{j,2}$ ,  $V''_{w,1} = \bigoplus_{i+j=w} (V_{i,1} \otimes V'_{j,2} + V_{i,2} \otimes V'_{j,1})$ ,  $t''_w = \bigoplus_{i+j=w} t_i \otimes t'_j$ .

**4.1.7.** The definition of the dual and the Tate twists are the evident ones.

**4.2. The category of log mixed motives.** Deligne [1971; 1974], showed how we can obtain mixed Hodge structures of geometric origin basing on the theory of pure Hodge structures. We imitate his method to formulate objects of  $\mathcal{C}_S$  of geometric origin.

In this 4.2,  $S$  denotes an fs log scheme and  $\ell$  denotes a prime number which is invertible on  $S$ .

For an fs log scheme  $X$  over  $S$ ,  $H^m(X)(r)_\ell$  denotes  $R^m f_* \mathbb{Q}_\ell(r)$ , where  $f$  is the morphism  $X \rightarrow S$ .

**4.2.1.** Consider  $(U, X, D)$ , where  $X$  is a projective vertical log smooth fs log scheme over  $S$ ,  $D = (D_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$  is a finite family of Cartier divisors on  $X$ , and  $U$  is the open subscheme of  $X$  defined as the complement of  $\bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} D_\lambda$  in  $X$  satisfying the following condition:

For any subset  $\Lambda'$  of  $\Lambda$ ,  $D_{\Lambda'} := \bigcap_{\lambda \in \Lambda'} D_\lambda$  with the inverse image of the log structure of  $X$  is log smooth over  $S$ , and of codimension  $\sharp(\Lambda')$  in  $X$  at each point of it.

To describe a typical example, let  $X$  be a projective and strict semistable family over a trait  $S = \text{Spec } A$  endowed with natural log structures. Let  $D = (D_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$  be a finite family of Cartier divisors on  $X$ . Assume that strict étale locally on  $X$ ,  $X$  is strict étale over  $\text{Spec}(A[T_1, \dots, T_n]/(T_1 \cdots T_n - \pi))$ , where  $i \leq n$ ,  $\pi$  is a prime element of  $A$  and the log of  $X$  is given by  $T_1, \dots, T_i$ , and that for some  $i \leq j \leq n$ ,

each of the  $T_{i+1}, \dots, T_j$  gives some  $D_\lambda$  and the other  $D_\lambda$  are empty there. Then these satisfy the above condition.

**4.2.2.** Let the notation and the assumptions be as in 4.2.1. For  $i \geq 0$ , let  $D^{(i)}$  be the disjoint union of  $D_{\Lambda'}$  for all  $\Lambda' \subset \Lambda$  such that  $\sharp(\Lambda') = i$ . In particular,  $D^{(0)} = X$ . For  $i \geq 0$ , we have a smooth  $\mathbb{Q}_\ell$ -sheaf  $H^m(D^{(i)})_\ell$  on the log étale site of  $S$  (see 2.1.2).

**4.2.3.** Let the notation and the assumptions be as in 4.2.1. Endow  $U$  with the inverse image of the log structure of  $X$ .

Then  $H^m(U)_\ell$  is a smooth  $\mathbb{Q}_\ell$ -sheaf on the log étale site of  $S$  and we have a spectral sequence

$$E_1^{i,j} = H^{2i+j}(D^{(-i)})_\ell(i) \Rightarrow E_\infty^m = H^m(U)_\ell$$

in the category of smooth  $\mathbb{Q}_\ell$ -sheaves. In fact, first, by relative purity in log étale cohomology [Higashiyama and Kamiya 2017], we have a spectral sequence with finite coefficients. By Proposition 2.1.1, the  $E_1$ -terms of this spectral sequence determines a smooth  $\mathbb{Q}_\ell$ -sheaves, which implies the above facts.

**4.2.4.** Consider a simplicial system  $(U_\bullet, X_\bullet, D_\bullet)$  of objects  $(U, X, D)$  of 4.2.1 (here we follow [Deligne 1974]). Let  $\mathbf{H}^m(U_\bullet)_\ell$  be the smooth  $\mathbb{Q}_\ell$ -sheaf on  $S$  defined to be the  $m$ -th hypercohomology (relative to  $S$ ) of the simplicial system. The spectral sequence in 4.2.3 is generalized to the spectral sequence

$$E_1^{i,j} = \bigoplus_{s \geq 0} H^{j-2s}(D_{s+i}^{(s)})_\ell(-s) \Rightarrow E_\infty^m = \mathbf{H}^m(U_\bullet)_\ell.$$

**4.2.5.** Let the notation be as in 4.2.4. Let  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ . We define an increasing filtration  $W$  on  $\mathbf{H}^m(U_\bullet)_\ell$ , which we call the *weight filtration*, as the filtration defined by the spectral sequence in 4.2.4.

**4.2.6.** If  $S$  is of finite type over  $\mathbb{Z}[1/\ell]$ , let  $\mathcal{C}_S^{\text{mot}}$  be the full subcategory of  $\mathcal{C}_S$  consisting of objects which are obtained from the following standard objects in 4.2.7 below by taking  $\oplus$ , kernels, cokernels,  $\otimes$ , the duals, and Tate twists.

**4.2.7.** In the above, a standard object means:

Consider  $(U_\bullet, X_\bullet, D_\bullet, m)$ , where  $(U_\bullet, X_\bullet, D_\bullet)$  is as in 4.2.4 and  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

The associated standard object is as follows:

Let  $\mathcal{F} = \mathbf{H}^m(U_\bullet)_\ell$  on  $S$ .

Let  $W$  be the filtration on  $\mathbf{H}^m(U_\bullet)_\ell$  defined by the spectral sequence in 4.2.5. Then, for  $w \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\text{gr}_w^W \mathbf{H}^m(U_\bullet)_\ell = V'_{w,2}/V'_{w,1}$  for some  $\mathbb{Q}_\ell$ -subsheaves  $V'_{w,1}, V'_{w,2}$  of  $\bigoplus_{s \geq 0} H^{w-2s}(D_{s+m-w}^{(s)})_\ell(-s)$  such that  $V'_{w,1} \subset V'_{w,2}$ .

Let  $X_w = \bigsqcup_{s \geq 0} D_{s+m-w}^{(s)}$ . Consider the natural projection

$$\bigoplus_{r \in \mathbb{Z}} H^{w+2r}(X_w)_\ell(r) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{s \geq 0} H^{w-2s}(D_{s+m-w}^{(s)})_\ell(-s).$$

For  $i = 1, 2$ , let  $V_{w,i}$  be the pullbacks of  $V'_{w,i}$  by this natural projection. Then we have the isomorphism  $\iota_w : \mathrm{gr}_w^W \mathcal{F} \cong V_{w,2}/V_{w,1}$ .

**4.2.8.** If  $S$  is affine and is the inverse limit of the  $S_\lambda$  which are of finite type over  $\mathbb{Z}[1/\ell]$ , we define  $\mathcal{C}_S^{\mathrm{mot}}$  as the inductive limit of the categories  $\mathcal{C}_{S_\lambda}^{\mathrm{mot}}$ . This does not depend on the choice of limits.

**4.2.9.** We define the category of log mixed motives  $\mathrm{LMM}(S)$  over  $S$  as the Zariski sheafification of the categories  $\mathcal{C}_S^{\mathrm{mot}}$  in 4.2.8. More precisely, to give a log mixed motive  $M$  over  $S$  is to give an affine covering  $(S_i)_{i \in I}$  of  $S$ , objects  $M_i$  of  $\mathcal{C}_{S_i}^{\mathrm{mot}}$ , affine coverings  $(S_{ij\lambda})_\lambda$  of  $S_i \cap S_j$  for each  $i, j \in I$ , and isomorphisms between the restrictions of  $M_i$  and  $M_j$  to each  $S_{ij\lambda}$  which are compatible to each other. The set of morphisms is similarly defined as the quotient of the set of compatible local morphisms over affine open sets under an appropriate equivalence.

**4.2.10.** For a morphism  $S' \rightarrow S$  of fs log schemes, we have the pullback functor  $\mathrm{LMM}(S) \rightarrow \mathrm{LMM}(S')$ .

**4.2.11.** We have a fully faithful functor

$$\mathrm{LM}^{\mathrm{spl}}(S) \rightarrow \mathrm{LMM}(S)$$

which sends  $H^m(X)(r)$  to the object associated to  $(U_\bullet, X_\bullet, D_\bullet, m)(r)$  with  $X_\bullet$  determined by  $X$ ,  $U_\bullet = X_\bullet$ ,  $D_\bullet$  empty.

**4.2.12.** If the log structure of  $S$  is trivial, we define the category  $\mathrm{MM}(S)$  of mixed motives to be the category of log mixed motives over  $S$ .

**4.3. *Justifications of our definition.*** Here we explain the reason why we think our definition of log mixed motives is reasonable.

**4.3.1.** The reader may feel strange that in our definition of a morphism of log mixed motives (4.1.1), we do not put much conditions other than the condition that its  $\mathrm{gr}^W$  is motivic, though it is usually impossible to take care of mixed objects by using only pure objects.

We hope that the following [Proposition 4.3.4](#) (resp. [Proposition 4.3.5](#)) justifies our definition of log mixed motive (resp. of morphism of log mixed motives) in 4.2 (resp. 4.1.1).

We hope that if  $S$  is of finite type over  $\mathbb{Z}$  and if we take the category of log mixed motives over  $S$  and the category of smooth  $\mathbb{Q}_\ell$ -sheaves on the log étale site of  $S$  as  $\mathcal{C}_1$  and  $\mathcal{C}_2$ , respectively, the conditions in 4.3.2 below are satisfied. (Especially we hope that the finiteness assumption on  $S$  assures that the condition (v) in 4.3.2 below is satisfied.)

**4.3.2.** Let  $\mathcal{C}_1$  and  $\mathcal{C}_2$  be abelian categories. Assume that we have exact subfunctors  $W_w : \mathcal{C}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_1$  ( $w \in \mathbb{Z}$ ) of the identity functor  $\mathcal{C}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_1$  such that  $W_w \circ W_w = W_w$

and such that  $W_{w'} \subset W_w$  if  $w' \leq w$ . Assume that we have a functor  $F : \mathcal{C}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_2$ . Assume that these satisfy the following six conditions.

- (i) For each object  $M$  of  $\mathcal{C}_1$ ,  $W_w M = M$  if  $w \gg 0$  and  $W_w M = 0$  if  $w \ll 0$ .
- (ii) The functor  $F$  is exact.
- (iii) Let  $w \in \mathbb{Z}$  and let  $M$  and  $N$  be objects of  $\mathcal{C}_1$ . Assume that  $M$  and  $N$  are pure of weight  $w$  (that is,  $W_w M = M$ ,  $W_{w-1} M = 0$ ,  $W_w N = N$ ,  $W_{w-1} N = 0$ ). Then the canonical map  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_1}(M, N) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_2}(F(M), F(N))$  is injective.
- (iv) Let  $w, w' \in \mathbb{Z}$  and assume  $w > w'$ . Let  $M$  and  $N$  be objects of  $\mathcal{C}_1$  and assume that  $M$  is pure of weight  $w$  and  $N$  is pure of weight  $w'$ . Then  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_1}(M, N) = 0$  and  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_2}(F(M), F(N)) = 0$ .
- (v) Let  $w, w' \in \mathbb{Z}$  and assume  $w \geq w'$ . Let  $M$  and  $N$  be objects of  $\mathcal{C}_1$  and assume that  $M$  is pure of weight  $w$  and  $N$  is pure of weight  $w'$ . Then the canonical map  $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{C}_1}^1(M, N) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{C}_2}^1(F(M), F(N))$  is injective.
- (vi) Let  $w \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Then the full subcategory of  $\mathcal{C}_1$  consisting of all objects which are pure of weight  $w$  is semisimple.

**Remark.** By Proposition 3.2.10,  $\text{Hom}(F(M), F(N)) = 0$  in the condition (iv) is reasonable. (This is clearly reasonable if the log structure of  $S$  is trivial, but not trivial otherwise.) Further, the condition (v) is related to Tate conjecture. In fact, it means that an extension of motives splits if the  $\ell$ -adic realization splits; two extensions are isomorphic if their  $\ell$ -adic realizations are isomorphic. These are analogues of Tate conjectures.

**Lemma 4.3.3.** *Let the notation and the assumptions be as in 4.3.2 and let  $M$  and  $N$  be objects of  $\mathcal{C}_1$ .*

- (1) *The morphism  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_1}(M, N) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_2}(F(M), F(N))$  is injective.*
- (2) *If there is a  $w \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $W_w M = 0$  and  $W_w N = N$ , then  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_1}(M, N) = 0$ ,  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_2}(F(M), F(N)) = 0$ , and the map  $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{C}_1}^1(M, N) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{C}_2}^1(F(M), F(N))$  is injective.*

*Proof.* By the induction on the lengths of the weight filtrations of  $M$  and  $N$  together with the assumptions (i) and (ii), both statements reduce to the case where  $M$  and  $N$  are pure. Let  $w$  (resp.  $w'$ ) be the weight of  $M$  (resp.  $N$ ).

(1) If  $w = w'$  (resp.  $w > w'$ ), (1) is by (iii) (resp. (iv)). If  $w < w'$ ,  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_1}(M, N) = 0$ , and (1) holds.

(2) Since  $w > w'$ , (iv) and (v) imply (2). □

**Proposition 4.3.4.** *Let the notation and the assumptions be as in 4.3.2. Let  $M$  be an object of  $\mathcal{C}_1$  and let  $V$  be a subobject of  $F(M)$  in  $\mathcal{C}_2$  such that for any  $w \in \mathbb{Z}$ , the subobject  $\text{gr}_w^W V := (V \cap F(W_w M)) / (V \cap F(W_{w-1} M))$  of  $F(\text{gr}_w^W M)$  is  $F(N_w)$  for*

some subobject  $N_w$  of  $\text{gr}_w^W M$  in  $\mathcal{C}_1$ . Then there is a unique subobject  $N$  of  $M$  in  $\mathcal{C}_1$  such that  $V$  coincides with  $F(N)$ .

*Proof.* By downward induction on  $w$ , we may assume that  $W_{w-1}M = 0$  and that if we denote  $V \cap F(W_w M)$  by  $V'$ , the subobject  $V'' := V/V'$  of  $F(M/W_w M)$  coincides with  $F(N'')$  for some subobject  $N''$  of  $M/W_w M$ . By the assumption, the subobject  $V'$  of  $F(W_w M) = F(\text{gr}_w^W M)$  coincides with  $F(N')$  for some subobject  $N'$  of  $W_w M$ .

Let the exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow W_w M \rightarrow U \rightarrow N'' \rightarrow 0$  be the pullback of the exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow W_w M \rightarrow M \rightarrow M/W_w M \rightarrow 0$  by  $N'' \rightarrow M/W_w M$ . Then  $\text{class}(F(U)) \in \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{C}_2}^1(F(N''), F(W_w M))$  coincides with the image of  $\text{class}(V) \in \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{C}_2}^1(F(N''), F(N'))$  under the homomorphism

$$\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{C}_2}^1(F(N''), F(N')) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{C}_2}^1(F(N''), F(W_w M))$$

induced by the morphism  $N' \rightarrow W_w M$ .

**Claim 4.3.4.1.** *There are an object  $N$  of  $\mathcal{C}_1$  and an exact sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow N' \rightarrow N \rightarrow N'' \rightarrow 0$$

*such that*

$$\text{class}(F(N)) \in \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{C}_2}^1(F(N''), F(N')) \quad \text{and} \quad \text{class}(V) \in \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{C}_2}^1(F(N''), F(N'))$$

*coincide.*

We prove **Claim 4.3.4.1**. By the condition (vi) in 4.3.2 on semisimplicity, there is a morphism  $W_w M = \text{gr}_w^W M \rightarrow N'$  such that the composition  $N' \rightarrow W_w M \rightarrow N'$  is the identity morphism. Let the exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow N' \rightarrow N \rightarrow N'' \rightarrow 0$  be the pushforward of  $0 \rightarrow W_w M \rightarrow U \rightarrow N'' \rightarrow 0$  under  $W_w M \rightarrow N'$ . Then this satisfies the condition in **Claim 4.3.4.1**.

**Claim 4.3.4.2.**  $\text{class}(U) \in \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{C}_1}^1(N'', W_w M)$  coincides with the image of  $\text{class}(N) \in \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{C}_1}^1(N'', N')$  under the homomorphism induced by  $N' \rightarrow W_w M$ .

This follows from the injectivity of

$$\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{C}_1}^1(N'', W_w M) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{C}_2}^1(F(N''), F(W_w M))$$

(**Lemma 4.3.3**) and the fact that  $\text{class}(F(U)) \in \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{C}_2}^1(F(N''), F(W_w M))$  coincides with the image of  $\text{class}(V) \in \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{C}_2}^1(F(N''), F(N'))$  under the homomorphism induced by the morphism  $N' \rightarrow W_w M$ .

By **Claim 4.3.4.2**, there is a morphism  $N \rightarrow M$  such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & N' & \longrightarrow & N & \longrightarrow & N'' & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & W_w M & \longrightarrow & M & \longrightarrow & M/W_w M & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

is commutative. This proves [Proposition 4.3.4](#). □

**Proposition 4.3.5.** *Let the notation and the assumptions be as in [4.3.2](#) (actually the condition (vi) is not used for this proposition). Let  $M$  and  $N$  be objects of  $\mathcal{C}_1$ . Then we have a bijection from  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_1}(M, N)$  to the set of pairs  $(h, (h_w)_{w \in \mathbb{Z}})$ , where  $h$  is a morphism  $F(M) \rightarrow F(N)$  and  $h_w$  is a morphism  $\text{gr}_w^W M \rightarrow \text{gr}_w^W N$  satisfying the following conditions (i) and (ii).*

- (i)  $h$  sends  $F(W_w M)$  to  $F(W_w N)$  for any  $w \in \mathbb{Z}$ .
- (ii) For any  $w \in \mathbb{Z}$ , the morphism  $F(\text{gr}_w^W M) \rightarrow F(\text{gr}_w^W N)$  induced by  $h$  coincides with  $F(h_w)$ .

*Proof.* We first prove:

**Claim 4.3.5.1.** *Let  $M$  and  $N$  be objects of  $\mathcal{C}_1$ . Let  $w \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Then we have a bijection from  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_1}(M, N)$  to the set of pairs  $(a, b)$ , where  $a$  is a morphism  $W_w M \rightarrow W_w N$  and  $b$  is a morphism  $M/W_w M \rightarrow N/W_w N$  satisfying the following condition (\*).*

(\*) *The image of*

$$\text{class}(M) \in \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{C}_1}^1(M/W_w M, W_w M) \quad \text{in} \quad \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{C}_1}^1(M/W_w M, W_w N)$$

*under the map induced by  $a$  coincides with the image of*

$$\text{class}(N) \in \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{C}_1}^1(N/W_w N, W_w N) \quad \text{in} \quad \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{C}_1}^1(M/W_w M, W_w N)$$

*under the map induced by  $b$ .*

*Proof of Claim 4.3.5.1.* Let  $a : W_w M \rightarrow W_w N$  and  $b : M/W_w M \rightarrow N/W_w N$  be morphisms. Let the exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow W_w N \rightarrow X \rightarrow M/W_w M \rightarrow 0$  be the pushforward of  $0 \rightarrow W_w M \rightarrow M \rightarrow M/W_w M \rightarrow 0$  under  $a$ , and let the exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow W_w N \rightarrow Y \rightarrow M/W_w M \rightarrow 0$  be the pullback of  $0 \rightarrow W_w N \rightarrow N \rightarrow N/W_w N \rightarrow 0$  under  $b$ . Then the condition (\*) is that the extension classes of  $X$  and  $Y$  coincide. On the other hand, a morphism  $M \rightarrow N$  which induces  $a$  and  $b$  corresponds bijectively to a morphism  $X \rightarrow Y$  which induces identity morphisms of  $W_w N$  and  $M/W_w M$ . By the first part of (2) of [Lemma 4.3.3](#), we have  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_1}(M/W_w M, W_w N) = 0$ . Hence we have the bijection in [Claim 4.3.5.1](#) □

We can prove similarly:

**Claim 4.3.5.2.** *Let  $M$  and  $N$  be objects of  $\mathcal{C}_1$ . Let  $w \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Then we have a bijection from  $\{h \in \text{Hom}(F(M), F(N)) \mid h(F(W_w M)) \subset F(W_w N)\}$  to the set of pairs  $(a, b)$ , where  $a$  is a morphism  $F(W_w M) \rightarrow F(W_w N)$  and  $b$  is a morphism  $F(M/W_w M) \rightarrow F(N/W_w N)$  satisfying the following condition (\*\*).*

(\*\*) *The image of*

$$\text{class}(F(M)) \in \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{C}_2}^1(F(M/W_w M), F(W_w M))$$

in  $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{C}_2}^1(F((W_w M), F(W_w N))$  under the map induced by  $a$  coincides with the image of

$$\text{class}(F(N)) \in \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{C}_2}^1(F(N/W_w N), F(W_w N))$$

in  $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{C}_2}^1(F(M/W_w M), F(W_w N))$  under the map induced by  $b$ .

Now we prove [Proposition 4.3.5](#). By downward induction on  $w$ , we may assume that there is a  $w \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $W_{w-1}M = M$ ,  $W_{w-1}N = N$  and such that [Proposition 4.3.5](#) is true if we replace  $M$  and  $N$  by  $M/W_w M$  and  $N/W_w N$ , respectively. By [Claims 4.3.5.1](#) and [4.3.5.2](#), we have a commutative diagram with exact rows

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & A & \longrightarrow & B & \longrightarrow & C \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & A' & \longrightarrow & B' & \longrightarrow & C' \end{array}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} A &= \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_1}(M, N), & A' &= \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_2, W}(F(M), F(N)), \\ B &= \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_1}(W_w M, W_w N) \times \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_1}(M/W_w M, N/W_w N), \\ B' &= \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_2}(F(W_w M), F(W_w N)) \times \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_2, W}(F(M/W_w M), F(N/W_w N)), \\ C &= \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{C}_1}^1(M/W_w M, W_w N), & C' &= \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{C}_2}^1(F(M/W_w M), F(W_w N)). \end{aligned}$$

Here  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_2, W}$  means the set of homomorphisms of  $\mathcal{C}_2$  which respect the filtrations  $W$ . The vertical arrows are injective by [Lemma 4.3.3](#). This proves

$$A \xrightarrow{\cong} \{x \in A' \mid \text{the image of } x \text{ in } B' \text{ comes from } B\},$$

which proves [Proposition 4.3.5](#) by downward induction on  $w$ . □

#### 4.4. Main theorem.

**4.4.1.** Recall that the following (i) and (ii) are equivalent ([Theorem 3.4.1\(2\)](#)).

- (i) In the category of log motives, homological equivalence (i.e., the trivial equivalence) coincides with the numerical equivalence.
- (ii) The category of log motives is a semisimple abelian category.

**Theorem 4.4.2.** (i) and (ii) are equivalent to the following (iii).

- (iii) *The category of log mixed motives is a Tannakian category* [[Saavedra Rivano 1972](#); [Deligne 1990](#)].

**4.4.3.** We prove (ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii). It is sufficient to prove that a morphism  $f$  is an isomorphism if it induces an isomorphism  $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}'$ . By (ii), there is a morphism  $h(X'_w) \rightarrow h(X_w)$  which induces the inverse map  $V'_{w,2}/V'_{w,1} \rightarrow V_{w,2}/V_{w,1}$ . Thus the inverse map  $\mathcal{F}' \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$  is a morphism of log mixed motives.

We prove (iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i). Let  $X$  be a projective vertical log smooth fs log scheme over  $S$ . Consider a morphism  $f : h(X) \rightarrow h(X)$  which is numerically equivalent to 0. We prove  $f = 0$ . Let  $V_1$  be the kernel of  $f : h(X)_\ell \rightarrow h(X)_\ell$  and let  $V_2 = h(X)_\ell$ . On the other hand, let  $V'_1 = 0$  and  $V'_2$  be the image of  $f : h(X)_\ell \rightarrow h(X)_\ell$ . Then  $f$  induces an isomorphism  $f : V_2/V_1 \xrightarrow{\cong} V'_2/V'_1$ . By (iii), there is a morphism  $g : h(X) \rightarrow h(X)$  which induces the inverse map  $V'_2/V'_1 \rightarrow V_2/V_1$ . Then  $fg : h(X)_\ell \rightarrow h(X)_\ell$  is a projection to  $V'_2$ . Hence  $\text{Tr}(fg) = \dim(V'_2)$ . Hence  $\text{Tr}(fg) = 0$  implies  $V'_2 = 0$  and hence  $f = 0$ .

**4.4.4.** One can consider the following unconditional variant of the above statement (iii).

Let  $\text{LMM}_{\text{num}}(S)$  be the category of log mixed motives over  $S$  modulo numerical equivalence. Here morphisms  $f, g : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}'$  of log mixed motives are said to be *numerically equivalent* if  $\text{gr}(f)$  and  $\text{gr}(g)$  are numerically equivalent. Then one can ask if  $\text{LMM}_{\text{num}}(S)$  is a Tannakian category.

This is a mixed analogue of [Theorem 3.4.1\(1\)](#).

### 5. Formulation with various realizations

In Sections 3 and 4, we considered  $\ell$ -adic realizations of log mixed motives fixing a prime number  $\ell$ . Here we consider various realizations.

#### 5.1. Log motives and log mixed motives with many realizations.

**5.1.1.** Let  $\mathcal{R}$  be the union of the set of all prime numbers and the set of three letters  $\{B, D, H\}$ :  $B$  means Betti realization;  $D$  means de Rham realization;  $H$  means Hodge realization.

Let  $S$  be an fs log scheme. Let  $R$  be a nonempty subset of  $\mathcal{R}$ . If a prime number  $\ell$  is contained in  $R$ , assume that  $S$  is over  $\mathbb{Z}[1/\ell]$ . If  $B \in R$ , assume that  $S$  is locally of finite type over  $\mathbb{C}$ . If  $D \in R$ , assume that  $S$  is log smooth over a field of characteristic 0 or  $S$  is a standard log point associated to a field of characteristic 0. If  $H \in R$ , assume that  $S$  is log smooth over  $\mathbb{C}$  or  $S$  is the standard log point associated to  $\mathbb{C}$ .

**5.1.2.** We define the categories

$$\text{LM}_R(S), \quad \text{LMM}_R(S)$$

of log motives over  $S$  and of log mixed motives over  $S$ , respectively, with respect to realizations in  $R$ .

The definition of  $\text{LM}_R(S)$  is similar to [Section 3](#). For a projective vertical log smooth fs log scheme  $f : X \rightarrow S$  over  $S$  and for  $r \in \mathbb{Z}$ , consider the symbol  $h_R(X)(r)$ .

When a prime  $\ell$  belongs to  $R$ , let

$$h_R(X)(r)_\ell := \bigoplus_m H^m(X)_\ell(r).$$

When  $B \in R$ , let

$$h_R(X)(r)_B := \bigoplus_m H^m(X)_B(r), \quad \text{where } H^m(X)_B = R^m f_*^{\log} \mathbb{Q}.$$

This is a locally constant sheaf of finite dimensional  $\mathbb{Q}$ -vector spaces on  $S^{\log}$  (see [Proposition 2.1.7](#)).

When  $D \in R$ , let

$$h_R(X)(r)_D := \bigoplus_m H^m(X)_D(r), \quad \text{where } H^m(X)_D = R^m f_{\text{két}*} \omega_{X/S}^{\cdot, \text{két}}.$$

This is a locally free sheaf of  $\mathcal{O}_{\text{két}}$ -modules of finite rank with a quasiniptent integrable connection with log poles on  $S_{\text{két}}$  (see [Propositions 2.1.14\(1\)](#) and [2.1.17\(1\)](#)).

When  $H \in R$ , let

$$h_R(X)(r)_H := \bigoplus_m H^m(X)_H(r), \quad \text{where } H^m(X)_H = R^m f_{\text{két}*} \omega_{X/S}^{\cdot, \text{két}}$$

endowed with the natural log Hodge structures. This is a log mixed Hodge structure on  $S_{\text{két}}$  (see [Propositions 2.1.14\(2\)](#) and [2.1.17\(2\)](#)).

A morphism  $h_R(X)(r) \rightarrow h_R(Y)(s)$  is defined as a family of morphisms between realizations for each element of  $R$  satisfying, for any geometric standard log point  $p$  over  $S$ , the pull-backed morphism is induced by a common element of  $\text{gr}$  of the  $K$ -group. Note that we do not impose any comparison isomorphism between different realizations. The rest is the same as in [3.1](#), and we have the category  $\text{LM}_R(S)$ . Here we use the Poincaré duality ([Proposition 2.1.8](#)) and the Künneth formula ([Proposition 2.1.10](#)) in log Betti cohomology, which implies the necessary corresponding theorems in log de Rham and log Hodge theory via log Riemann–Hilbert correspondence [[Illusie et al. 2005](#), Theorem (6.2)]. We also use the Riemann–Roch theorems.

**5.1.3.** The definition of  $\text{LMM}_R(S)$  is also similar to the case where  $R$  consists of one prime. We first define  $\mathcal{C}_{S,R}$  as follows.

First, for an  $R$  consisting of one prime  $\ell$ ,  $\mathcal{C}_{S,R}$  is  $\mathcal{C}_S$  in [4.1.1](#).

Second, for  $R = \{B\}$ , we define  $\mathcal{C}_{S,R}$  as the following category.

Objects:  $(\mathcal{F}, W, (X_w)_{w \in \mathbb{Z}}, (V_{w,1})_{w \in \mathbb{Z}}, (V_{w,2})_{w \in \mathbb{Z}}, (t_w)_{w \in \mathbb{Z}})$ .

Here  $\mathcal{F}$  is a locally constant sheaf of finite dimensional  $\mathbb{Q}$ -vector spaces on  $S_{\text{an}}^{\text{log}}$ . The  $W$  is an increasing filtration on  $\mathcal{F}$  by locally constant  $\mathbb{Q}$ -subsheaves. The  $X_w$  is a projective vertical log smooth fs log scheme over  $S$ . For each  $w \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $V_{w,1}$  and  $V_{w,2}$  are locally constant  $\mathbb{Q}$ -subsheaves of  $\bigoplus_{r \in \mathbb{Z}} H^{w+2r}(X_w)_B(r)$  such that  $V_{w,1} \subset V_{w,2}$ . The  $\iota_w$  is an isomorphism  $\text{gr}_w^W \mathcal{F} \cong V_{w,2}/V_{w,1}$ .

A morphism

$$(\mathcal{F}, W, (X_w)_{w \in \mathbb{Z}}, (V_{w,1})_{w \in \mathbb{Z}}, (V_{w,2})_{w \in \mathbb{Z}}, (\iota_w)_{w \in \mathbb{Z}}) \\ \rightarrow (\mathcal{F}', W', (X'_w)_{w \in \mathbb{Z}}, (V'_{w,1})_{w \in \mathbb{Z}}, (V'_{w,2})_{w \in \mathbb{Z}}, (\iota'_w)_{w \in \mathbb{Z}})$$

is a homomorphism of  $\mathbb{Q}$ -sheaves  $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}'$  which respects the weight filtrations such that for each  $w \in \mathbb{Z}$ , the pullback of  $\text{gr}_w^W \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \text{gr}_w^{W'} \mathcal{F}'$  to any geometric standard log point  $s$  associated to  $\mathbb{C}$  over  $S$  is induced from the sum of morphisms  $h_{\{B\}}(X_w \times_S s)(r) \rightarrow h_{\{B\}}(X'_w \times_S s)(r')$  for various  $r, r'$  which sends  $V_{w,i}$  to  $V'_{w,i}$  over  $s$  for  $i = 1, 2$ .

Third, for  $R = \{D\}$ , we define  $\mathcal{C}_{S,R}$  as the following category.

$$\text{Objects: } (\mathcal{F}, W, (X_w)_{w \in \mathbb{Z}}, (V_{w,1})_{w \in \mathbb{Z}}, (V_{w,2})_{w \in \mathbb{Z}}, (\iota_w)_{w \in \mathbb{Z}}).$$

Here  $\mathcal{F}$  is a locally free  $\mathcal{O}_{S_{\text{két}}}$ -modules of finite rank endowed with a quasiniptent integrable connection with log poles. The  $W$  is an increasing filtration on  $\mathcal{F}$  by locally free  $\mathcal{O}_{S_{\text{két}}}$ -submodules with the compatible connections such that the graded quotients are also locally free. The  $X_w$  is a projective vertical log smooth fs log scheme over  $S$ . For each  $w \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $V_{w,1}$  and  $V_{w,2}$  are locally free  $\mathcal{O}_{S_{\text{két}}}$ -submodules with the compatible connections of  $\bigoplus_{r \in \mathbb{Z}} H^{w+2r}(X_w)_D(r)$  such that  $V_{w,1} \subset V_{w,2}$ . The  $\iota_w$  is an isomorphism  $\text{gr}_w^W \mathcal{F} \cong V_{w,2}/V_{w,1}$ .

A morphism

$$(\mathcal{F}, W, (X_w)_{w \in \mathbb{Z}}, (V_{w,1})_{w \in \mathbb{Z}}, (V_{w,2})_{w \in \mathbb{Z}}, (\iota_w)_{w \in \mathbb{Z}}) \\ \rightarrow (\mathcal{F}', W', (X'_w)_{w \in \mathbb{Z}}, (V'_{w,1})_{w \in \mathbb{Z}}, (V'_{w,2})_{w \in \mathbb{Z}}, (\iota'_w)_{w \in \mathbb{Z}})$$

is a homomorphism of  $\mathcal{O}_{S_{\text{két}}}$ -modules  $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}'$  which respects the weight filtrations such that for each  $w \in \mathbb{Z}$ , the pullback of  $\text{gr}_w^W \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \text{gr}_w^{W'} \mathcal{F}'$  to any geometric standard log point  $s$  over  $S$  is induced from the sum of morphisms

$$h_{\{D\}}(X_w \times_S s)(r) \rightarrow h_{\{D\}}(X'_w \times_S s)(r')$$

for various  $r, r'$  which sends  $V_{w,i}$  to  $V'_{w,i}$  over  $s$  for  $i = 1, 2$ .

Fourth, for  $R = \{H\}$ , we define  $\mathcal{C}_{S,R}$  as the following category.

$$\text{Objects: } (\mathcal{F}, W, (X_w)_{w \in \mathbb{Z}}, (V_{w,1})_{w \in \mathbb{Z}}, (V_{w,2})_{w \in \mathbb{Z}}, (\iota_w)_{w \in \mathbb{Z}}).$$

Here  $(\mathcal{F}, W)$  is a log mixed Hodge structure on  $S_{\text{két}}$ . The  $X_w$  is a projective vertical log smooth fs log scheme over  $S$ . For each  $w \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $V_{w,1}$  and  $V_{w,2}$  are sub-log

Hodge structures of  $\bigoplus_{r \in \mathbb{Z}} H^{w+2r}(X_w)_H(r)$  such that  $V_{w,1} \subset V_{w,2}$ . The  $\iota_w$  is an isomorphism  $\text{gr}_w^W \mathcal{F} \cong V_{w,2}/V_{w,1}$ .

A morphism

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{F}, W, (X_w)_{w \in \mathbb{Z}}, (V_{w,1})_{w \in \mathbb{Z}}, (V_{w,2})_{w \in \mathbb{Z}}, (\iota_w)_{w \in \mathbb{Z}}) \\ \rightarrow (\mathcal{F}', W', (X'_w)_{w \in \mathbb{Z}}, (V'_{w,1})_{w \in \mathbb{Z}}, (V'_{w,2})_{w \in \mathbb{Z}}, (\iota'_w)_{w \in \mathbb{Z}}) \end{aligned}$$

is a homomorphism of log mixed Hodge structures  $(\mathcal{F}, W) \rightarrow (\mathcal{F}', W')$  such that for each  $w \in \mathbb{Z}$ , the pullback of  $\text{gr}_w^W \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \text{gr}_w^{W'} \mathcal{F}'$  to any standard log point  $s$  associated to  $\mathbb{C}$  over  $S$  is induced from the sum of morphisms  $h_{\{H\}}(X_w \times_S s)(r) \rightarrow h_{\{H\}}(X'_w \times_S s)(r')$  for various  $r, r'$  which sends  $V_{w,i}$  to  $V'_{w,i}$  over  $s$  for  $i = 1, 2$ .

Lastly, for any  $R$ , we define  $\mathcal{C}_{S,R}$  as follows.

Objects:  $(Y_\rho)_{\rho \in R}$ , where  $Y_\rho$  is an object of  $\mathcal{C}_{S,\{\rho\}}$ , satisfying the condition that for any  $w \in \mathbb{Z}$ , the  $X_w$  of  $Y_\rho$  is common.

A morphism  $(Y_\rho)_{\rho \in R} \rightarrow (Y'_\rho)_{\rho \in R}$  is  $(f_\rho)_{\rho \in R}$ , where  $f_\rho : Y_\rho \rightarrow Y'_\rho$  is a morphism of  $\mathcal{C}_{S,\{\rho\}}$ , satisfying the condition that for any  $w, r, r' \in \mathbb{Z}$  and any  $s \rightarrow S$ , the element of  $\text{gr}$  of the  $K$ -group inducing the morphism

$$h_\rho(X_w \times_S s)(r) \rightarrow h_\rho(X'_w \times_S s)(r')$$

is common.

Note that in this definition, we do not impose any comparison isomorphism between different realizations.

**5.1.4.** We define  $\mathcal{C}_{S,R}^{\text{mot}} \subset \mathcal{C}_{S,R}$  and  $\text{LMM}_R(S)$  imitating 4.2. Here the objects associated to standard objects for  $B, D$ , and  $H$  are defined by virtue of Propositions 2.1.7, 2.1.14, and 2.1.17.

**5.2. Conjectures and results.** We state the conjecture that our categories  $\text{LM}_R(S)$  and  $\text{LMM}_R(S)$  are independent of the choices of the family  $R$  of realizations. We also state Tate conjecture and Hodge conjecture. For the latter, we explain in Section 6 that they hold in a simple case. In there, we use the theories of log abelian varieties and log Jacobian varieties.

**Conjecture 5.2.1.** Let  $R'$  be a nonempty subset of  $R$ . Then the restriction of realizations give an equivalence of categories

$$\text{LM}_R(S) \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{LM}_{R'}(S), \quad \text{LMM}_R(S) \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{LMM}_{R'}(S).$$

**Theorem 5.2.2.** *The following (i)–(iii) are equivalent.*

- (i) *In the category  $\text{LM}_R(S)$ , homological equivalence (i.e., the trivial equivalence) coincides with the numerical equivalence.*
- (ii) *The category  $\text{LM}_R(S)$  is a semisimple abelian category.*
- (iii) *The category  $\text{LMM}_R(S)$  is a Tannakian category.*

*Proof.* Similar to Theorems 3.4.1(2) and 4.4.2. □

For  $\rho \in R$ , we denote the realization for  $\rho$  of  $M \in \text{LMM}(S)$  by  $M_\rho$ .

**Conjecture 5.2.3** (Tate conjecture for log mixed motives). Assume that  $\ell$  is invertible over  $S$ . Assume that either one of the following (i) and (ii) is satisfied.

- (i)  $S$  is of finite type over some field which is finitely generated over the prime field.
- (ii)  $S$  is of finite type over  $\mathbb{Z}$ .

Then for any objects  $M$  and  $N$  of  $\text{LMM}_{\{\ell\}}(S)$ , we have

$$\mathbb{Q}_\ell \otimes \text{Hom}(M, N) \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{Hom}_W(M_\ell, N_\ell).$$

Here the right-hand-side denotes the set of homomorphisms of  $\mathbb{Q}_\ell$ -sheaves which respect the weight filtrations.

**Remark.** If either the log structure of  $S$  is trivial or  $M$  and  $N$  are pure, “ $W$ ” on the right-hand-side in this conjecture can be eliminated (for the weight filtrations are automatically respected).

**Conjecture 5.2.4** (the second Tate conjecture). Assume that  $S$  is of finite type over  $\mathbb{Q}$  and let  $M, N$  be objects of  $\text{LMM}_{\{\ell, B\}}(S)$ . Then we have a bijection from  $\text{Hom}(M, N)$  to the set of all pairs  $(a, b)$ , where  $a$  is a morphism  $M_\ell \rightarrow N_\ell$ , and  $b$  is a homomorphism  $M_B \rightarrow N_B$  defined on  $(S \otimes \mathbb{C})_{\text{an}}^{\log}$ , such that the pullback of  $a$  on  $(S \otimes \mathbb{C})_{\text{an}}^{\log}$  is induced from  $b$  (see Proposition 2.1.12).

**5.2.5.** The above second Tate conjecture follows from Tate conjecture. In fact, in  $\mathbb{Q}_\ell \otimes \text{Hom}(M, N) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_\ell \otimes \{(a, b)\} \rightarrow \text{Hom}(M_\ell, N_\ell)$ , the composition is an isomorphism if Tate conjecture is true and the second map is an injection.

**Conjecture 5.2.6** (Hodge conjecture for log mixed motives). Assume that  $S$  is log smooth over  $\mathbb{C}$  or is the standard log point over  $\mathbb{C}$ . Let  $M$  and  $N$  be objects of  $\text{LMM}_{\{H\}}(S)$ . Then we have

$$\text{Hom}(M, N) \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{Hom}(M_H, N_H).$$

By Proposition 2.1.18, the Conjecture 5.2.6 is reduced to the case where  $S$  is the standard log point associated to  $\mathbb{C}$ .

## 6. Examples

**6.1. Log abelian varieties.** This 6.1 and 6.2 are preparations for 6.3 and 6.4. In this 6.1, we review the theory of log abelian varieties [Kajiwara et al. 2008b] and supply some results. See [Nakayama 2017a] for a survey of the theory. We only consider log abelian varieties over a standard log point, for we need only this case in 6.3 and 6.4.

**6.1.1.** For an fs log scheme  $S$ , let  $(\text{fs}/S)$  be the category of fs log schemes over  $S$ , and let  $(\text{fs}/S)_{\acute{\text{e}}\text{t}}$  be the site  $(\text{fs}/S)$  endowed with the classical étale topology. A log abelian variety over  $S$  is a sheaf of abelian groups on  $(\text{fs}/S)_{\acute{\text{e}}\text{t}}$  satisfying certain conditions. If  $s$  is the standard log point associated to a field  $k$ , a log abelian variety over  $s$  is described as in 6.1.2–6.1.5 below.

**6.1.2.** Let  $\mathbf{G}_{m,\log}$  be the sheaf  $U \mapsto \Gamma(U, M_U^{\text{gp}})$  on  $(\text{fs}/s)_{\acute{\text{e}}\text{t}}$ .

For a semiabelian variety  $G$  over  $k$  with the exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow T \rightarrow G \rightarrow B \rightarrow 0$ , where  $T$  is a torus over  $k$  and  $B$  is an abelian variety over  $k$ , let  $G_{\log}$  be the pushout of  $G \leftarrow T \rightarrow \mathcal{H}om(X(T), \mathbf{G}_{m,\log})$  in the category of sheaves of abelian groups on  $(\text{fs}/s)_{\acute{\text{e}}\text{t}}$ . Here  $X(T) := \mathcal{H}om(T, \mathbf{G}_m)$  is the character group of  $T$ . We have  $G \subset G_{\log}$ .

Let  $\mathcal{M}_1$  be the category of systems  $(\Gamma, G, h)$ , where  $\Gamma$  is a locally constant sheaf of free  $\mathbb{Z}$ -modules of finite rank on  $(\text{fs}/s)_{\acute{\text{e}}\text{t}}$ ,  $G$  is a semiabelian variety over  $k$ , and  $h$  is a homomorphism  $\Gamma \rightarrow G_{\log}$ .

An object of  $\mathcal{M}_1$  was called a log 1-motif in [Kajiwara et al. 2008b].

**6.1.3.** For an object  $(\Gamma, G, h)$  of  $\mathcal{M}_1$  with  $T$  the torus part of  $G$ , we have the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -bilinear pairing

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : X(T) \times \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$$

(called the *monodromy pairing*) defined as follows. The map  $h$  induces  $\Gamma \rightarrow G_{\log} \rightarrow G_{\log}/G \cong T_{\log}/T$  and hence  $X(T) \times \Gamma \rightarrow X(T) \times T_{\log}/T \rightarrow \mathbf{G}_{m,\log}/\mathbf{G}_m$ . Since  $\mathbf{G}_{m,\log}/\mathbf{G}_m$  restricted to the small étale site of the underlying scheme  $\text{Spec}(k)$  of  $s$  is  $\mathbb{Z}$ , we have the above monodromy pairing.

**6.1.4.** Let  $E = (\Gamma, G, h)$  be an object of  $\mathcal{M}_1$ . The dual  $E^* = (\Gamma^*, G^*, h^*)$  of  $E$  is an object of  $\mathcal{M}_1$  defined as in [Kajiwara et al. 2008b]. We have  $\Gamma^* = X(T)$ , the torus part  $T^*$  of  $G^*$  is  $\mathcal{H}om(\Gamma, \mathbf{G}_m)$ , and the abelian variety  $G^*/T^*$  is the dual abelian variety  $B^*$  of  $B = G/T$ .

Let  $E = (\Gamma, G, h)$  be an object of  $\mathcal{M}_1$ .

A *polarization* on  $E$  is a homomorphism  $p : E \rightarrow E^*$  satisfying the following conditions (i)–(iv).

- (i) The homomorphism  $B \rightarrow B^*$  induced by  $p$  is a polarization of the abelian variety  $B$ .
- (ii) The homomorphism  $\Gamma \otimes \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow \Gamma^* \otimes \mathbb{Q}$  induced by  $p$  is an isomorphism.
- (iii) The pairing  $\Gamma \times \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $(a, b) \mapsto \langle p(a), b \rangle$  is a positive definite symmetric bilinear form, where  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  denotes the monodromy pairing (6.1.3) and  $p$  denotes the homomorphism  $\Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma^* = X(T)$  induced by  $p$ .
- (iv) The homomorphism  $T_{\log} \rightarrow (T^*)_{\log}$  induced by  $p$  comes from

$$T \rightarrow T^* = \mathcal{H}om(\Gamma, \mathbf{G}_m)$$

which is dual to the homomorphism  $\Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma^* = X(T)$  induced by  $p$ .

Let  $\mathcal{M}_0$  be the full subcategory of  $\mathcal{M}_1$  consisting of objects which have polarizations after base change to  $\bar{k}$ .

**6.1.5.** For an object  $(\Gamma, G, h)$  of  $\mathcal{M}_1$ , we have a subgroup sheaf  $G_{\log}^{(\Gamma)}$  of  $G_{\log}$  containing  $G$  and  $h(\Gamma)$  defined as in [Kajiwara et al. 2008b].

A *log abelian variety over  $s$*  is a sheaf of abelian groups  $A$  on  $(\text{fs}/s)_{\text{ét}}$  such that  $A = G_{\log}^{(\Gamma)}/h(\Gamma)$  for some object  $(\Gamma, G, h)$  of  $\mathcal{M}_0$ . Let  $\text{LAV}(s)$  be the category of log abelian varieties over  $s$ . We have an equivalence of categories

$$\mathcal{M}_0 \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{LAV}(s), \quad (\Gamma, G, h) \mapsto G_{\log}^{(\Gamma)}/h(\Gamma)$$

by [Kajiwara et al. 2008b, Theorem 3.4] (see [loc. cit., Proposition 4.5 and Theorem 4.6(2)]).

**6.1.6.** Let  $E$  be an object of  $\mathcal{M}_0$  and let  $A$  be the corresponding log abelian variety. Then the log abelian variety  $A^*$  corresponding to the dual  $E^*$  of  $E$  is called the *dual log abelian variety* of  $A$ . We have an embedding  $A^* \subset \mathcal{E}xt^1(A, \mathbf{G}_{m, \log})$ . A polarization of  $A$  gives a homomorphism  $A \rightarrow A^*$ .

**6.1.7.** For an additive category  $\mathcal{C}$ , let  $\mathcal{C} \otimes \mathbb{Q}$  be the following category. Objects of  $\mathcal{C} \otimes \mathbb{Q}$  are the same as those of  $\mathcal{C}$ . For objects  $E, E'$  of  $\mathcal{C}$ ,  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C} \otimes \mathbb{Q}}(E, E') = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(E, E') \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ .

**6.1.8.** The category  $\mathcal{M}_1 \otimes \mathbb{Q}$  is an abelian category as is seen easily.  $\mathcal{M}_0 \otimes \mathbb{Q}$  is stable in  $\mathcal{M}_1 \otimes \mathbb{Q}$  under taking kernels, cokernels, and direct sums (see [Zhao 2017]), and hence, it is an abelian category. Hence  $\text{LAV}(s) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$  is an abelian category.

**6.1.9.** Let  $A$  be a log abelian variety over  $s$  corresponding to an object  $(\Gamma, G, h)$  of  $\mathcal{M}_0$ . Let  $\ell$  be a prime number which is different from the characteristic of  $k$ . Then the  $\ell$ -adic Tate module  $T_{\ell}A$  is defined in the natural way as a smooth  $\mathbb{Z}_{\ell}$ -sheaf on the log étale site of  $s$  (see [Kajiwara et al. 2015, 18.9]). Let  $V_{\ell}A = \mathbb{Q}_{\ell} \otimes T_{\ell}A$ .

We have an exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow T_{\ell}G \rightarrow T_{\ell}A \rightarrow \Gamma \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{\ell} \rightarrow 0$  (see [loc. cit., 18.10]).

We have  $T_{\ell}(A^*) = \mathcal{H}om(T_{\ell}A, \mathbb{Z}_{\ell}(1))$ .

If  $T$  is the torus part of  $G$ , the monodromy operator  $\mathcal{N} : T_{\ell}A \rightarrow T_{\ell}A(-1)$  coincides with the composition  $T_{\ell}A \rightarrow \Gamma \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{\ell} \rightarrow T_{\ell}T(-1) \rightarrow T_{\ell}A(-1)$ , where the second arrow  $\Gamma \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{\ell} \rightarrow T_{\ell}T(-1) = \text{Hom}(X(T), \mathbb{Z}_{\ell})$  is the map induced by the monodromy pairing  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : X(T) \times \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  (6.1.3).

**6.1.10.** Let  $A$  be a polarizable log abelian variety over  $s$ . Fix a polarization  $p : A \rightarrow A^*$ . Then  $p$  is an isomorphism in  $\text{LAV}(s) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ . For  $f \in \text{End}_{\text{LAV}(s) \otimes \mathbb{Q}}(A)$ , let  $f^{\sharp} := p^{-1} f^* p \in \text{End}_{\text{LAV}(s) \otimes \mathbb{Q}}(A)$ , where  $f^* : A^* \rightarrow A^*$  is the dual of  $f$ .

**Proposition 6.1.11.** *Let  $A$  and  $p$  be as above and let  $f \in \text{End}_{\text{LAV}(s) \otimes \mathbb{Q}}(A)$ ,  $f \neq 0$ . Then  $\text{Tr}(ff^\sharp) > 0$ . Here  $\text{Tr}$  is the trace of the induced  $\mathbb{Q}_\ell$ -linear map  $V_\ell A \rightarrow V_\ell A$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $E = (\Gamma, G, h)$  be an object of  $\mathcal{M}_0$  corresponding to  $A$ , let  $T$  be the torus part of  $G$ , and let  $B = G/T$  be the quotient abelian variety of  $G$ . Let  $f_0, f_0^\sharp : \Gamma \otimes \mathbb{Q}_\ell \rightarrow \Gamma \otimes \mathbb{Q}_\ell$ ,  $f_1, f_1^\sharp : V_\ell B \rightarrow V_\ell B$ , and  $f_2, f_2^\sharp : V_\ell T \rightarrow V_\ell T$  be the map induced by  $f, f^\sharp$ , respectively. Then

$$\text{Tr}(ff^\sharp) = \sum_{i=0}^2 \text{Tr}(f_i f_i^\sharp).$$

By the usual theory of abelian varieties,  $\text{Tr}(f_1 f_1^\sharp) \geq 0$  and it is nonzero if  $f_1 \neq 0$ .  $\text{Tr}(f_0 f_0^\sharp) \geq 0$  and this is nonzero if  $f_0 \neq 0$ , for we have a positive definite symmetric form. We have  $\text{Tr}(f_2 f_2^\sharp) \geq 0$  and it is nonzero if  $f_2 \neq 0$  by duality. Hence  $\text{Tr}(ff^\sharp) \geq 0$  and this is nonzero unless  $f_0 = f_1 = f_2 = 0$ . If  $f_0 = f_1 = f_2 = 0$ ,  $f = 0$  because any homomorphism  $B \rightarrow T$  is zero.  $\square$

**Corollary 6.1.12.** *The category  $\text{LAV}(s) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$  is semisimple.*

*Proof.* This is deduced from the above proposition by the arguments in 3.4.  $\square$

**6.1.13.** Let  $A$  be a log abelian variety over  $s$ . Assume  $k = \mathbb{C}$ . Then we have the polarizable log Hodge structure over  $s$  of weight  $-1$  corresponding to  $A$  [Kajiwara et al. 2008a], which we denote by  $H_1(A)_H$ . The underlying locally constant sheaf of finite-dimensional  $\mathbb{Q}$ -vector spaces on the topological space  $s_{\text{an}}^{\text{log}}$  (which is homeomorphic to a circle  $S^1$ ) will be denoted by  $H_1(A)_B$ . If  $(\Gamma, G, h)$  denotes the object of  $\mathcal{M}_0$  corresponding to  $A$ , we have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_1(G, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_1(A)_B \rightarrow \Gamma \rightarrow 0.$$

**Proposition 6.1.14.** *Let  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  be log abelian varieties over  $s$ .*

(1) *If  $k$  is finitely generated over a prime field, we have*

$$\mathbb{Z}_\ell \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \text{Hom}(A_1, A_2) \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{Hom}(T_\ell A_1, T_\ell A_2).$$

(2) *If  $k$  is a subfield of  $\mathbb{C}$  which is finitely generated over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , we have a bijection from  $\text{Hom}(A_1, A_2)$  to the set of pairs  $(a, b)$ , where  $a$  is a homomorphism  $T_\ell A_1 \rightarrow T_\ell A_2$  and  $b$  is a homomorphism  $H_1(A_1)_B \rightarrow H_1(A_2)_B$  on  $(s \otimes_k \mathbb{C})^{\text{log}}$  such that the pullback of  $a$  on  $(s \otimes_k \mathbb{C})^{\text{log}}$  is induced by  $b$ .*

(3) *If  $k = \mathbb{C}$ ,  $\text{Hom}(A_1, A_2) \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{Hom}(H_1(A_1)_H, H_1(A_2)_H)$ .*

*Proof.* For an object  $E = (\Gamma, G, h)$  of  $\mathcal{M}_1$ , define the filtration  $W$  on  $E$  by  $W_w E = E$  for  $w \geq 0$ ,  $W_{-1} E = (0, G, 0)$ ,  $W_{-2} E = (0, T, 0)$  with  $T$  the torus part of  $G$ , and  $W_w E = 0$  for  $w \leq -3$ . Then  $\text{gr}_0^W E = (\Gamma, 0, 0)$ ,  $\text{gr}_{-1}^W E = (0, B, 0)$ , where  $B$  is the abelian variety  $G/T$ ,  $\text{gr}_{-2}^W E = (0, T, 0)$ , and  $\text{gr}_w^W E = 0$  for  $w \neq 0, -1, -2$ . Let

$\mathcal{C}_1 = \mathcal{M}_1 \otimes \mathbb{Q}$  and let  $\mathcal{C}_2$  be the category of smooth  $\mathbb{Q}_\ell$ -sheaves on the log étale site of  $s$ . Then (1) and (2) follow from the Tate conjecture on homomorphisms of abelian varieties proved by Faltings [1983] and from the injectivity of  $G(k) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow H^1(k, V_\ell G)$  for a semiabelian variety  $G$  over  $k$ , by the method of 4.3.

Assertion (3) follows from [Kajiwara et al. 2008a].  $\square$

**6.2. Log Jacobian varieties.** We review the theory of log Jacobian varieties of log curves over a standard log point in [Kajiwara 1993], and supply some results. In this subsection and the next, we omit some details of proofs, which will be treated in a forthcoming paper.

**6.2.1.** Let  $s$  be the standard log point associated to a field  $k$ . Let  $X$  be a projective vertical log smooth connected curve over  $s$  which is strict semistable, whose double points are rational and whose components are geometrically irreducible.

Then we have a log abelian variety over  $s$  associated to  $X$  called the *log Jacobian variety* of  $X$ . We will denote it by  $J$ .

This  $J$  is essentially constructed by Kajiwara [1993]. We explain his construction below in 6.2.4.

This  $J$  has the following properties 6.2.2 and 6.2.3.

**6.2.2.** Let  $\mathcal{H}^1(X, M^{\text{gp}})$  be the sheafification of the presheaf  $U \mapsto H^1(X \times_s U, M^{\text{gp}})$  on  $(\text{fs}/s)_{\text{ét}}$ . We have a degree map  $\mathcal{H}^1(X, M^{\text{gp}}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ . Let  $\mathcal{H}^1(X, M^{\text{gp}})^0 \subset \mathcal{H}^1(X, M^{\text{gp}})$  be the kernel of the degree map. Then  $J$  is a subgroup sheaf of  $\mathcal{H}^1(X, M^{\text{gp}})^0$ .

**6.2.3.** Let  $E = (\Gamma, G, h)$  be the object of  $\mathcal{M}_0$  corresponding to  $J$ , let  $T$  be the torus part of  $G$ , and let  $B = G/T$  be the quotient abelian variety of  $G$ . Then  $\Gamma$ ,  $T$ ,  $B$  are described as follows.

Let  $\Gamma$  be the first homology group of the graph of  $X$  as usual, that is,  $\Gamma = \text{Ker}(\bigoplus_{I_1} \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \bigoplus_{I_0} \mathbb{Z})$ , where  $I_0$  is the set of generic points of  $X$ , and  $I_1$  is the set of singular points of  $X$ .  $\text{Hom}(T, \mathbf{G}_m) = \text{Hom}(\Gamma, \mathbb{Z})$ .  $B = \prod_{v \in I_0} J_{D(v)}$ , where  $D(v)$  is the closure of  $v$  in  $X$  which is a projective smooth curve over  $k$  and  $J_{D(v)}$  is the Jacobian variety of  $D(v)$ . We have a canonical isomorphism  $J \cong J^*$  (see 6.2.7) which induces the evident isomorphisms  $\Gamma \cong \Gamma^*$ ,  $T \cong T^*$  and  $B \cong B^*$ .

**6.2.4.** We explain the construction of  $J$ , which is essentially due to Kajiwara. For simplicity, we assume that  $k$  is algebraically closed. By [Kajiwara 1993, (2.18)],

we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & 0 & & 0 & & 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 & & \Gamma & & G_{\log} & & \mathcal{H}^1(X, M^{\text{gp}}) \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \parallel \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & \bigoplus_{I_1} \mathbb{Z} & \longrightarrow & P_{X/s}^{\log} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{H}^1(X, M^{\text{gp}}) \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & \bigoplus_{I_0} \mathbb{Z} & \xlongequal{\quad} & \bigoplus_{I_0} \mathbb{Z} & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & & & \\
 & & \mathbb{Z} & & & & 
 \end{array}$$

with exact rows and columns, where  $G = \text{Ker}(\mathcal{H}^1(X, \mathbf{G}_m) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{I_0} \mathbb{Z})$ , and  $P_{X/s}^{\log}$  is defined in [Kajiwara 1993]. This diagram yields a log 1-motif  $(\Gamma, G, h : \Gamma \rightarrow G_{\log})$  and the degree map  $\mathcal{H}^1(X, M^{\text{gp}}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  whose kernel  $\mathcal{H}^1(X, M^{\text{gp}})^0 \cong G_{\log}/h(\Gamma)$  contains  $G_{\log}^{(\Gamma)}/h(\Gamma)$ . The last sheaf is  $J$ .

**6.2.5.** Let  $Y := X \times_s X$ . We have a  $M_Y^{\text{gp}}$ -torsor on  $Y$  called the *Poincaré torsor*, defined as follows.

Let  $U = Y \setminus \bigcup_{x \in I_1} (\{x\} \times \{x\})$ . Let  $M'_U$  be the pushout over the trivial log structure on  $U$  of the log structure  $M_Y|_U$  and the log structure consisting of the sections of  $\mathcal{O}_U$  which are invertible outside the diagonal  $X$  in  $Y$ . Let  $M'_Y$  be the unique fs log structure on  $Y$  whose restriction to  $U$  coincides with  $M'_U$ . (See the following local description for the existence of such an fs log structure.) We have  $M_Y^{\text{gp}} \subset (M'_Y)^{\text{gp}}$ . There is a unique global section  $t$  of  $(M'_Y)^{\text{gp}}/M_Y^{\text{gp}}$  having the following property: Let  $\pi$  be a generator of the log structure of  $s$ . At any singular point  $x$  of  $X$ , let  $f_1, g_1$  be generators of the log of the left  $X$  in  $X \times_s X$  around  $x$  such that  $f_1 g_1 = \pi$ , and let  $f_2, g_2$  be the copies of them for the right  $X$  in  $X \times_s X$ . Let  $f_1 - f_2$  be the section of  $M'_Y$  around  $\{x\} \times \{x\}$  which is  $f_1 - f_2$  on the locus  $\{g_1 = g_2 = 0\}$ , which is  $f_1$  on the locus  $\{g_1 = f_2 = 0\}$ , which is  $-f_2$  on the locus  $\{f_1 = g_2 = 0\}$ , and which is  $(-\pi g_1^{-1} g_2^{-1})(g_1 - g_2)$  on the locus  $\{f_1 = f_2 = 0\}$ . Define  $g_1 - g_2$  similarly. Then, we have  $g_1 - g_2 = (-\pi f_1^{-1} f_2^{-1})(f_1 - f_2)$  in  $(M'_Y)^{\text{gp}}$  and  $-\pi f_1^{-1} f_2^{-1} \in M_Y^{\text{gp}}$ . The desired  $t$  coincides around  $\{x\} \times \{x\}$  with the class of  $f_1 - f_2$  which is also the class of  $g_1 - g_2$ . Note that the ideal of  $\mathcal{O}_Y$  which defines the diagonal is generated around  $\{x\} \times \{x\}$  by the image of  $f_1 - f_2$  and by the image of  $g_1 - g_2$ .

Let the Poincaré torsor be the inverse image of  $t^{-1}$  in  $(M'_Y)^{\text{gp}}$  under  $(M'_Y)^{\text{gp}} \rightarrow (M'_Y)^{\text{gp}}/M_Y^{\text{gp}}$ . This is an  $M_Y^{\text{gp}}$ -torsor.

If  $X$  is a projective smooth curve over  $k$  endowed with the pullback log structure from  $s$ , this Poincaré torsor comes from the usual Poincaré  $\mathbf{G}_m$ -torsor.

**6.2.6.** We have a morphism  $\varphi : X \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^1(X, M^{\text{gp}})$  which sends  $x$  to the pullback of the Poincaré torsor (6.2.5) with respect to  $X \rightarrow X \times X$ ,  $y \mapsto (x, y)$ .

If  $b$  is a morphism  $s \rightarrow X$  over  $s$ , we have a canonical morphism

$$\varphi_b : X \rightarrow J \subset \mathcal{H}^1(X, M^{\text{gp}}), \quad x \mapsto \varphi(x) - \varphi(b)$$

called the *log Albanese mapping* associated to  $b$ .

**6.2.7** (Self-duality of the log Jacobian). Let  $b$  and  $\varphi_b$  be as above. Then the pulling back via  $\varphi_b$  gives an isomorphism

$$\mathcal{E}xt^1(J, \mathbf{G}_{m,\text{log}}) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathcal{H}^1(X, M^{\text{gp}})^0,$$

which is independent of the choice of  $b$ . Hence the subgroup sheaf  $J$  of  $\mathcal{H}^1(X, M^{\text{gp}})^0$  is regarded as a subgroup sheaf of  $\mathcal{E}xt^1(J, \mathbf{G}_{m,\text{log}})$ . Via this,  $J$  is identified with the dual log abelian variety  $J^*$  of  $J$ . Since this isomorphism  $J \cong J^*$  does not depend on  $b$ , it is defined canonically even if there is no  $b$ .

**Proposition 6.2.8.** *Let  $b : s \rightarrow X$  be a morphism over  $s$ . Let  $A$  be any log abelian variety over  $s$ . Then the map*

$$\text{Hom}(J, A) \rightarrow \text{Mor}(X, A), \quad h \mapsto h \circ \varphi_b$$

*is bijective.*

*Proof.* The inverse map is given as follows. Let  $f : X \rightarrow A$  be a morphism. Then we have  $A^* \rightarrow \mathcal{E}xt^1(A, \mathbf{G}_{m,\text{log}}) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^1(X, M^{\text{gp}})^0$ , where the second arrow is the pullback by  $f$ . This induces  $A^* \rightarrow J$ . Taking the dual log abelian varieties, we have  $J \rightarrow A$ . □

**6.2.9.** Let  $\ell$  be a prime number which is invertible in  $k$ . Then we have canonical isomorphisms

$$V_\ell J \cong H^1(X)_\ell(1) \cong \mathcal{H}om(H^1(X)_\ell, \mathbb{Q}_\ell).$$

**6.3. Examples I.** This subsection Examples I is for the pure case. [Section 6.4](#), Examples II, is for the mixed case.

The following is a part of [Conjecture 3.2.2](#).

**Proposition 6.3.1.** *Let  $X$  be a projective vertical log smooth curve over an fs log scheme  $S$ . Then  $h(X) = h^0(X) \oplus h^1(X) \oplus h^2(X)$ .*

*Proof.* It is enough to show that for  $i = 0, 1, 2$ , the composite of the  $i$ -th projection and the  $i$ -th inclusion

$$h(X)_\ell = \bigoplus_{j=0}^2 H^j(X)_\ell \rightarrow H^i(X)_\ell \rightarrow \bigoplus_{j=0}^2 H^j(X)_\ell = h(X)_\ell$$

comes from an element of  $K$ -group  $\otimes \mathbb{Q}$  after pulling back to any geometric standard log point. So we may assume that  $S$  is a geometric standard log point over a field  $k$ . It is enough to show it for  $i = 0, 2$ . By the duality, the case  $i = 2$  is reduced to  $i = 0$ . We prove the case  $i = 0$ . If there is a section  $S \rightarrow X$ , the composite for  $i = 0$  coincides with  $h(X)_\ell \rightarrow h(S)_\ell \rightarrow h(X)_\ell$  and induced by an element of  $K$ -group. In the general case, there is a section after Kummer log flat localization of the base [Nakayama 2009, Proposition 4.1], so we have the desired element  $a$  of  $K$ -group after the base change by  $(\text{Spec } k, n : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N})$  for some  $n \geq 1$ . Then the  $1/n$  times of  $\text{Tr}(a)$  is a desired element.  $\square$

**Proposition 6.3.2.** *Assume that  $S$  is the standard log point over  $\mathbb{C}$ . Let  $X$  be a connected projective strict semistable curve over  $S$ . Then the Hodge conjecture 5.2.6 for  $\text{Hom}(\mathbb{Q}, h^2(X)(1))$  is true.*

*Proof.* Assume that we are given a homomorphism  $h : \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow H^2(X)_B(1)$ . By invariant cycle theorem, this comes from the classical Betti cohomology  $H^2(X_{\text{an}}, \mathbb{Q}(1))$ . Since  $h(1)$  belongs to  $\text{Fil}^1 H^2(X)_H$ , it vanishes in  $H^2(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ . Hence it comes from the kernel of  $H^2(X_{\text{an}}, \mathbb{Q}(1)) \rightarrow H^2(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ . By the exponential sequence  $0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}(1) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X_{\text{an}}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X_{\text{an}}}^\times \rightarrow 0$ , it comes from  $\text{Pic}(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ .  $\square$

The next proposition will be proved in a forthcoming paper.

**Proposition 6.3.3.** *Let  $s$  be a geometric standard log point of characteristic  $\neq \ell$ . For  $i = 1, 2$ , let  $X_i$  be a projective vertical log smooth curve over  $s$  which is strict semistable, and let  $J_i$  be the log Jacobian variety of  $X_i$ . For a homomorphism  $h : H^1(X_1)_\ell \rightarrow H^1(X_2)_\ell$ , the following two conditions (i) and (ii) are equivalent.*

- (i)  $h$  is a morphism  $H^1(X_1) \rightarrow H^1(X_2)$  of log motives over  $s$ .
- (ii)  $h$  comes from a morphism  $J_1 \rightarrow J_2$  in  $\text{LAV}(s)$  (via the isomorphisms in 6.2.9,  $H^1(X_i)_\ell(1) \cong V_\ell J_i$ ).

**Proposition 6.3.4.** *Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be projective vertical log smooth curves over an fs log scheme  $S$  whose geometric fibers are connected.*

- (1) *Assume that  $S$  is the standard log point over  $\mathbb{C}$  and that  $X$  and  $Y$  are strict semistable over  $S$ . Then the Hodge conjecture 5.2.6 for  $\text{Hom}(h(X), h(Y))$  is true.*
- (2) *Assume that  $S$  is of finite type over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Then the second Tate conjecture 5.2.4 for  $\text{Hom}(h(X), h(Y))$  is true.*

- (3) Assume that  $S$  is a standard log point associated to a finitely generated field over a prime field whose characteristic is different from a prime number  $\ell$ . Then the Tate conjecture 5.2.3 for  $\text{Hom}(h(X), h(Y))$  is true.
- (4) For  $f, g \in \text{Hom}(h(X), h(Y))$ , if  $f$  and  $g$  are numerically equivalent, then  $f = g$ .
- (5) The endomorphism ring of  $h(X)$  is a finite-dimensional semisimple algebra over  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

*Proof.* By 2.3.14, Proposition 2.1.9 and  $\ell$ -adic log proper base change theorem [Kajiwara and Nakayama 2008, Proposition 5.1] (see [loc. cit., Remark 5.1.1]), we may assume that  $S$  is a standard log point and  $X$  and  $Y$  are strict semistable and that their double points are rational and their components are geometrically irreducible. Let  $J$  and  $J'$  be the log Jacobian variety of  $X$  and  $Y$ , respectively. By Propositions 6.3.1, 6.3.3, and the method of 4.3, we can identify  $\text{Hom}(h(X), h(Y))$  with  $\text{Hom}_{\text{LAV}(S) \otimes \mathbb{Q}}(J, J')$ . Then we reduce to the results in 6.1.  $\square$

**6.4. Examples II.**

**6.4.1.** Let  $X$  be a projective vertical log smooth curve over an fs log scheme  $S$ . Let  $n \geq 1$  and  $s_1, \dots, s_n : S \rightarrow X$  be strict morphisms over  $S$  such that  $s_i(S) \cap s_j(S) = \emptyset$  if  $i \neq j$ . Let  $D := \bigcup_{i=1}^n s_i(S)$  and let  $U := X \setminus D$ .

We will denote the log mixed motive corresponding to the standard object associated to  $(U, X, D, 1)$  over  $S$  by  $H^1(U)$ .

Let  $\Gamma = \text{Ker}(\text{sum} : \mathbb{Z}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{Z})$ . We have  $W_0M = M$ ,  $W_{-2}M = 0$ ,  $W_{-1}M = H^1(X)$ ,  $gr_0^W M = \Gamma \otimes \mathbb{Q}(-1)$ , where  $M = H^1(U)$ .

The spectral sequence as in 4.2.4 for each realization degenerates at  $E_2$ .

**6.4.2.** Let the notation be as in 6.4.1.

If  $S$  is over  $\mathbb{Z}[1/\ell]$ , we have an exact sequence

$$(1) \quad 0 \rightarrow H^1(X)_\ell \rightarrow H^1(U)_\ell \rightarrow \Gamma \otimes \mathbb{Q}_\ell(-1) \rightarrow 0$$

of  $\mathbb{Q}_\ell$ -sheaves.

If  $S$  is either log smooth over  $\mathbb{C}$  or the standard log point associated to  $\mathbb{C}$ , we have an exact sequence

$$(2) \quad 0 \rightarrow H^1(X)_H \rightarrow H^1(U)_H \rightarrow \Gamma \otimes \mathbb{Q}(-1) \rightarrow 0$$

of log mixed Hodge structures over  $S$ .

Assume that  $S$  is a standard log point associated to a field  $k$ , and assume that  $X$  is connected and strict semistable and that their double points are rational and their components are geometrically irreducible. Let  $J$  be the log Jacobian variety of  $X$ . Then  $(s_i)_i$  induces a homomorphism  $\psi := \varphi \circ (s_i)_i : \Gamma \rightarrow J$  by the log Albanese mapping  $\varphi$  (6.2.6).

Note that for any log abelian variety  $A$  over  $S$ , we have a canonical homomorphism

$$(3) \quad A(S) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow \text{Ext}^1(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, V_\ell A)$$

by Kummer theory, which is injective if  $k$  is finitely generated over a prime field. If  $k = \mathbb{C}$ , we have also a canonical injective map

$$(4) \quad A(S) \rightarrow \text{Ext}^1(\mathbb{Z}, H_1(A)_H).$$

We have:

- (5) Under the homomorphism  $\text{Hom}(\Gamma, J) \rightarrow \text{Ext}^1(\Gamma \otimes \mathbb{Q}_\ell, V_\ell J)$  induced by (3) (applied to the log abelian variety  $A = \mathcal{H}om(\Gamma, J)$ ), the extension class of (1) coincides with the image of  $\psi : \Gamma \rightarrow J$ .
- (6) If  $k = \mathbb{C}$ , under the homomorphism  $\text{Hom}(\Gamma, J) \rightarrow \text{Ext}^1(\Gamma, H_1(J)_H)$  induced by (4), the extension class of (2) coincides with the image of  $\psi : \Gamma \rightarrow J$ .

**Proposition 6.4.3.** *Let  $U_1, U_2$  be objects as  $U$  in 6.4.1.*

- (1) *Assume that  $S$  is the standard log point over  $\mathbb{C}$  and that  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  are connected and strict semistable. Then for  $\text{Hom}(H^1(U_1), H^1(U_2))$  the Hodge conjecture 5.2.6 is true.*
- (2) *Assume that  $S$  is of finite type over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Then the second Tate conjecture 5.2.4 for  $\text{Hom}(H^1(U_1), H^1(U_2))$  is true.*
- (3) *Assume that  $S$  is the standard log point associated to a finitely generated field over a prime field whose characteristic is different from a prime number  $\ell$ . Then the Tate conjecture 5.2.3 for  $\text{Hom}(H^1(U_1), H^1(U_2))$  is true.*

*Proof.* Similarly as in Proposition 6.3.4, we may assume that  $S$  is a standard log point and  $X_i$  are connected and strict semistable and that their double points are rational and their components are geometrically irreducible. For  $i = 1, 2$ , let  $J_i$  be the log Jacobian variety of  $X_i$ . By (5) in 6.4.2, by the injectivity of the map (3) in 6.4.2, and by Proposition 6.3.3, the method of 4.3 shows that:

- (\*) The set of morphisms  $H^1(U_1) \rightarrow H^1(U_2)$  is identified with the set of pairs  $(a, b)$ , where  $a$  is a homomorphism  $\Gamma_1 \otimes \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow \Gamma_2 \otimes \mathbb{Q}$  and  $b$  is a morphism  $J_1 \rightarrow J_2$  in  $\text{LAV}(s) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$  such that  $\psi_2 \circ a = b \circ \psi_1$ .

Hence by (5) in 6.4.2, by the injectivity of the map (3) in 6.4.2, and by this (\*), the method of 4.3 proves (2) and (3). Similarly, by (6) in 6.4.2, by the injectivity of the map (4) in 6.4.2, and by (\*), the method of 4.3 proves (1).  $\square$

## Acknowledgments

A part of this paper was written while Ito was staying at the Institut des Hautes Études Scientifiques. He would like to thank the IHES for its hospitality. We thank Takeshi Saito and Takeshi Kajiwara for helpful discussions, the referee for careful reading and valuable comments, and Heer Zhao for pointing out an earlier error in 6.2.5. Kato is partially supported by NSF grants DMS 1303421 and DMS 1601861. Nakayama is partially supported by JSPS, KAKENHI (C) No. 22540011, (B) No. 23340008, and (C) No. 16K05093. Usui is partially supported by JSPS, KAKENHI (B) No. 23340008 and (C) No. 17K05200.

## References

- [Abramovich et al. 2016] D. Abramovich, Q. Chen, S. Marcus, M. Ulirsch, and J. Wise, “Skeletons and fans of logarithmic structures”, pp. 287–336 in *Nonarchimedean and tropical geometry*, edited by M. Baker and S. Payne, Springer, 2016. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Baum et al. 1975] P. Baum, W. Fulton, and R. MacPherson, “Riemann–Roch for singular varieties”, *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.* **45** (1975), 101–145. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Deligne 1971] P. Deligne, “Théorie de Hodge, II”, *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.* **40** (1971), 5–57. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Deligne 1974] P. Deligne, “Théorie de Hodge, III”, *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.* **44** (1974), 5–77. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Deligne 1990] P. Deligne, “Catégories tannakiennes”, pp. 111–195 in *The Grothendieck Festschrift, II*, Progr. Math. **87**, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1990. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Faltings 1983] G. Faltings, “Endlichkeitssätze für abelsche Varietäten über Zahlkörpern”, *Invent. Math.* **73**:3 (1983), 349–366. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Fujisawa and Nakayama 2003] T. Fujisawa and C. Nakayama, “Mixed Hodge structures on log deformations”, *Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Padova* **110** (2003), 221–268. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Fujisawa and Nakayama 2015] T. Fujisawa and C. Nakayama, “Geometric log Hodge structures on the standard log point”, *Hiroshima Math. J.* **45**:3 (2015), 231–266. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Fujisawa and Nakayama 2018] T. Fujisawa and C. Nakayama, “Geometric polarized log Hodge structures with a base of log rank one”, preprint, 2018. To appear in *Kodai Math. J.* [arXiv](#)
- [Fulton 1998] W. Fulton, *Intersection theory*, 2nd ed., Ergebnisse der Mathematik (3) **2**, Springer, 1998. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Fulton and Lang 1985] W. Fulton and S. Lang, *Riemann–Roch algebra*, Grundlehren der Math. Wissenschaften **277**, Springer, 1985. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Higashiyama and Kamiya 2017] K. Higashiyama and T. Kamiya, “Relative purity in log étale cohomology”, *Kodai Math. J.* **40**:1 (2017), 178–183. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Illusie 1994] L. Illusie, “Logarithmic spaces (according to K. Kato)”, pp. 183–203 in *Barsotti Symposium in Algebraic Geometry* (Abano Terme, Italy, 1991), edited by V. Cristante and W. Messing, Perspect. Math. **15**, Academic Press, San Diego, CA, 1994. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Illusie 2002] L. Illusie, “An overview of the work of K. Fujiwara, K. Kato, and C. Nakayama on logarithmic étale cohomology”, pp. 271–322 in *Cohomologies  $p$ -adiques et applications arithmétiques, II*, edited by P. Berthelot et al., Astérisque **279**, 2002. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Illusie et al. 2005] L. Illusie, K. Kato, and C. Nakayama, “Quasi-unipotent logarithmic Riemann–Hilbert correspondences”, *J. Math. Sci. Univ. Tokyo* **12**:1 (2005), 1–66. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)

- [Illusie et al. 2007] L. Illusie, K. Kato, and C. Nakayama, “Erratum to: “Quasi-unipotent logarithmic Riemann–Hilbert correspondences” [J. Math. Sci. Univ. Tokyo **12**:1 (2005), 1–66]”, *J. Math. Sci. Univ. Tokyo* **14**:1 (2007), 113–116. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Iversen 1976] B. Iversen, “Local Chern classes”, *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4)* **9**:1 (1976), 155–169. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Jannsen 1990] U. Jannsen, *Mixed motives and algebraic K-theory*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics **1400**, Springer, 1990. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Jannsen 1992] U. Jannsen, “Motives, numerical equivalence, and semi-simplicity”, *Invent. Math.* **107**:3 (1992), 447–452. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Kajiwarara 1993] T. Kajiwarara, “Logarithmic compactifications of the generalized Jacobian variety”, *J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. IA Math.* **40**:2 (1993), 473–502. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Kajiwarara and Nakayama 2008] T. Kajiwarara and C. Nakayama, “Higher direct images of local systems in log Betti cohomology”, *J. Math. Sci. Univ. Tokyo* **15**:2 (2008), 291–323. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Kajiwarara et al. 2008a] T. Kajiwarara, K. Kato, and C. Nakayama, “Logarithmic abelian varieties, I: Complex analytic theory”, *J. Math. Sci. Univ. Tokyo* **15**:1 (2008), 69–193. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Kajiwarara et al. 2008b] T. Kajiwarara, K. Kato, and C. Nakayama, “Logarithmic abelian varieties (II: Algebraic theory)”, *Nagoya Math. J.* **189** (2008), 63–138. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Kajiwarara et al. 2008c] T. Kajiwarara, K. Kato, and C. Nakayama, “Analytic log Picard varieties”, *Nagoya Math. J.* **191** (2008), 149–180. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Kajiwarara et al. 2015] T. Kajiwarara, K. Kato, and C. Nakayama, “Logarithmic abelian varieties, IV: Proper models”, *Nagoya Math. J.* **219** (2015), 9–63. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Kato 1989] K. Kato, “Logarithmic structures of Fontaine–Illusie”, pp. 191–224 in *Algebraic analysis, geometry, and number theory* (Baltimore, MD, 1988), edited by J.-I. Igusa, Johns Hopkins Univ. Press, Baltimore, MD, 1989. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Kato 1994] K. Kato, “Toric singularities”, *Amer. J. Math.* **116**:5 (1994), 1073–1099. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Kato and Nakayama 1999] K. Kato and C. Nakayama, “Log Betti cohomology, log étale cohomology, and log de Rham cohomology of log schemes over  $\mathbb{C}$ ”, *Kodai Math. J.* **22**:2 (1999), 161–186. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Kato and Saito 2004] K. Kato and T. Saito, “On the conductor formula of Bloch”, *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.* **100** (2004), 5–151. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Kato and Usui 2009] K. Kato and S. Usui, *Classifying spaces of degenerating polarized Hodge structures*, Ann. of Math. Stud. **169**, Princeton Univ. Press, 2009. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Kato et al. 2002] K. Kato, T. Matsubara, and C. Nakayama, “Log  $C^\infty$ -functions and degenerations of Hodge structures”, pp. 269–320 in *Algebraic geometry* (Azumino (Hotaka), 2000), edited by S. Usui et al., Adv. Stud. Pure Math. **36**, Math. Soc. Japan, Tokyo, 2002. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Kempf et al. 1973] G. Kempf, F. F. Knudsen, D. Mumford, and B. Saint-Donat, *Toroidal embeddings, I*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics **339**, Springer, 1973. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Nakayama 1997] C. Nakayama, “Logarithmic étale cohomology”, *Math. Ann.* **308**:3 (1997), 365–404. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Nakayama 1998] C. Nakayama, “Nearby cycles for log smooth families”, *Compositio Math.* **112**:1 (1998), 45–75. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Nakayama 2009] C. Nakayama, “Quasi-sections in log geometry”, *Osaka J. Math.* **46**:4 (2009), 1163–1173. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Nakayama 2017a] C. Nakayama, “Log abelian varieties (survey)”, pp. 295–311 in *Algebraic number theory and related topics 2014*, edited by T. Tsuji et al., RIMS Kôkyûroku Bessatsu **B64**, Res. Inst. Math. Sci. (RIMS), Kyoto, 2017. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)

- [Nakayama 2017b] C. Nakayama, “Logarithmic étale cohomology, II”, *Adv. Math.* **314** (2017), 663–725. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Nakayama and Ogus 2010] C. Nakayama and A. Ogus, “Relative rounding in toric and logarithmic geometry”, *Geom. Topol.* **14**:4 (2010), 2189–2241. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Oda 1988] T. Oda, *Convex bodies and algebraic geometry: an introduction to the theory of toric varieties*, *Ergebnisse der Mathematik* (3) **15**, Springer, 1988. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Park 2016] D. Park, *Triangulated categories of motives over fs log schemes*, Ph.D. thesis, University of California, Berkeley, 2016, Available at [http://digitalassets.lib.berkeley.edu/etd/ucb/text/Park\\_berkeley\\_0028E\\_16643.pdf](http://digitalassets.lib.berkeley.edu/etd/ucb/text/Park_berkeley_0028E_16643.pdf). [MR](#)
- [Saavedra Rivano 1972] N. Saavedra Rivano, *Catégories Tannakiennes*, *Lecture Notes in Mathematics* **265**, Springer, 1972. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Saito 2004] T. Saito, “Log smooth extension of a family of curves and semi-stable reduction”, *J. Algebraic Geom.* **13**:2 (2004), 287–321. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Scholl 1994] A. J. Scholl, “Classical motives”, pp. 163–187 in *Motives* (Seattle, WA, 1991), *Proc. Sympos. Pure Math.* **55**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1994. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [SGA 6 1971] P. Berthelot, A. Grothendieck, and L. Illusie, *Théorie des intersections et théorème de Riemann–Roch* (Séminaire de Géométrie Algébrique du Bois Marie 1966–1967), *Lecture Notes in Math.* **225**, Springer, 1971. With the collaboration of D. Ferrand, J. P. Jouanolou, O. Jussila, S. Kleiman, M. Raynaud, and J. P. Serre. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Vidal 2004] I. Vidal, “Monodromie locale et fonctions zêta des log schémas”, pp. 983–1038 in *Geometric aspects of Dwork theory*, vol. II, edited by A. Adolphson et al., de Gruyter, Berlin, 2004. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Voevodsky 2000] V. Voevodsky, “Triangulated categories of motives over a field”, pp. 188–238 in *Cycles, transfers, and motivic homology theories*, edited by V. Voevodsky et al., *Ann. of Math. Stud.* **143**, Princeton Univ. Press, 2000. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Vologodsky 2015] V. Vologodsky, “Motivic homotopy type of a log scheme”, in *A conference in honor of Arthur Ogus on the occasion of his 70th birthday*, 2015. A talk.
- [Yoshioka 1995] H. Yoshioka, *Semistable reduction theorem for logarithmically smooth varieties*, master’s thesis, University of Tokyo, 1995.
- [Zhao 2017] H. Zhao, “Log abelian varieties over a log point”, *Doc. Math.* **22** (2017), 505–550. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)

Received 25 Dec 2017. Revised 12 Apr 2019.

TETSUSHI ITO:

[tetsushi@math.kyoto-u.ac.jp](mailto:tetsushi@math.kyoto-u.ac.jp)

Department of Mathematics, Kyoto University, Kyoto, Japan

KAZUYA KATO:

[kkato@math.uchicago.edu](mailto:kkato@math.uchicago.edu)

Department of Mathematics, University of Chicago, Chicago, IL, United States

CHIKARA NAKAYAMA:

[c.nakayama@r.hit-u.ac.jp](mailto:c.nakayama@r.hit-u.ac.jp)

Department of Economics, Hitotsubashi University, Kunitachi, Tokyo, Japan

SAMPEI USUI:

[usui@math.sci.osaka-u.ac.jp](mailto:usui@math.sci.osaka-u.ac.jp)

Graduate School of Science, Osaka University, Toyonaka, Osaka, Japan

# Tunisian Journal of Mathematics

[msp.org/tunis](http://msp.org/tunis)

## EDITORS-IN-CHIEF

- Ahmed Abbes CNRS & IHES, France  
[abbes@ihes.fr](mailto:abbes@ihes.fr)
- Ali Baklouti Faculté des Sciences de Sfax, Tunisia  
[ali.baklouti@fss.usf.tn](mailto:ali.baklouti@fss.usf.tn)

## EDITORIAL BOARD

- Hajer Bahouri CNRS & LAMA, Université Paris-Est Créteil, France  
[hajer.bahouri@u-pec.fr](mailto:hajer.bahouri@u-pec.fr)
- Arnaud Beauville Laboratoire J. A. Dieudonné, Université Côte d'Azur, France  
[beauville@unice.fr](mailto:beauville@unice.fr)
- Philippe Biane CNRS & Université Paris-Est, France  
[biane@univ-mlv.fr](mailto:biane@univ-mlv.fr)
- Ewa Damek University of Wrocław, Poland  
[edamek@math.uni.wroc.pl](mailto:edamek@math.uni.wroc.pl)
- Bassam Fayad CNRS & Institut de Mathématiques de Jussieu - Paris Rive Gauche, France  
[bassam.fayad@imj-prg.fr](mailto:bassam.fayad@imj-prg.fr)
- Benoit Fresse Université Lille 1, France  
[benoit.fresse@math.univ-lille1.fr](mailto:benoit.fresse@math.univ-lille1.fr)
- Dennis Gaitsgory Harvard University, United States  
[gaitsgde@gmail.com](mailto:gaitsgde@gmail.com)
- Paul Goerss Northwestern University, United States  
[pgoerss@math.northwestern.edu](mailto:pgoerss@math.northwestern.edu)
- Emmanuel Hebey Université de Cergy-Pontoise, France  
[emmanuel.hebey@math.u-cergy.fr](mailto:emmanuel.hebey@math.u-cergy.fr)
- Mohamed Ali Jendoubi Université de Carthage, Tunisia  
[ma.jendoubi@gmail.com](mailto:ma.jendoubi@gmail.com)
- Sadok Kallel Université de Lille 1, France & American University of Sharjah, UAE  
[sadok.kallel@math.univ-lille1.fr](mailto:sadok.kallel@math.univ-lille1.fr)
- Minhyong Kim Oxford University, UK & Korea Institute for Advanced Study, Seoul, Korea  
[minhyong.kim@maths.ox.ac.uk](mailto:minhyong.kim@maths.ox.ac.uk)
- Toshiyuki Kobayashi The University of Tokyo & Kavli IPMU, Japan  
[toshi@kurims.kyoto-u.ac.jp](mailto:toshi@kurims.kyoto-u.ac.jp)
- Patrice Le Calvez Institut de Mathématiques de Jussieu - Paris Rive Gauche & Sorbonne Université, France  
[patrice.le-calvez@imj-prg.fr](mailto:patrice.le-calvez@imj-prg.fr)
- Yanyan Li Rutgers University, United States  
[yyli@math.rutgers.edu](mailto:yyli@math.rutgers.edu)
- Nader Masmoudi Courant Institute, New York University, United States  
[masmoudi@cims.nyu.edu](mailto:masmoudi@cims.nyu.edu)
- Haynes R. Miller Massachusetts Institute of Technology, United States  
[hrm@math.mit.edu](mailto:hrm@math.mit.edu)
- Nordine Mir Texas A&M University at Qatar & Université de Rouen Normandie, France  
[nordine.mir@qatar.tamu.edu](mailto:nordine.mir@qatar.tamu.edu)
- Enrique Pujals City University of New York, United States  
[epujals@gc.cuny.edu](mailto:epujals@gc.cuny.edu)
- Mohamed Sifi Université Tunis El Manar, Tunisia  
[mohamed.sifi@fst.utm.tn](mailto:mohamed.sifi@fst.utm.tn)
- Daniel Tataru University of California, Berkeley, United States  
[tataru@math.berkeley.edu](mailto:tataru@math.berkeley.edu)
- Sundaram Thangavelu Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore, India  
[veluma@math.iisc.ernet.in](mailto:veluma@math.iisc.ernet.in)
- Nizar Touzi Centre de mathématiques appliquées, Institut Polytechnique de Paris, France  
[nizar.touzi@polytechnique.edu](mailto:nizar.touzi@polytechnique.edu)

## PRODUCTION

- Silvio Levy (Scientific Editor)  
[production@msp.org](mailto:production@msp.org)

The Tunisian Journal of Mathematics is an international publication organized by the Tunisian Mathematical Society (<http://www.tms.rnu.tn>) and published in electronic and print formats by MSP in Berkeley.

See inside back cover or [msp.org/tunis](http://msp.org/tunis) for submission instructions.

The subscription price for 2020 is US \$320/year for the electronic version, and \$380/year (+\$20, if shipping outside the US) for print and electronic. Subscriptions, requests for back issues and changes of subscriber address should be sent to MSP.

Tunisian Journal of Mathematics (ISSN 2576-7666 electronic, 2576-7658 printed) at Mathematical Sciences Publishers, 798 Evans Hall #3840, c/o University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720-3840 is published continuously online. Periodical rate postage paid at Berkeley, CA 94704, and additional mailing offices.

# Tunisian Journal of Mathematics

2020

vol. 2

no. 4

On log motives	733
TETSUSHI ITO, KAZUYA KATO, CHIKARA NAKAYAMA and SAMPEI USUI	
Equidistribution and counting of orbit points for discrete rank one isometry groups of Hadamard spaces	791
GABRIELE LINK	
A generalization of a power-conjugacy problem in torsion-free negatively curved groups	841
RITA GITIK	
A simple proof of the Hardy inequality on Carnot groups and for some hypoelliptic families of vector fields	851
FRANÇOIS VIGNERON	
Trigonometric series with a given spectrum	881
YVES MEYER	