

ANALYSIS & PDE

Volume 13

No. 2

2020

DOMINIC BREIT, EDUARD FEIREISL AND MARTINA HOFMANOVÁ

**ON SOLVABILITY AND ILL-POSEDNESS
OF THE COMPRESSIBLE EULER SYSTEM
SUBJECT TO STOCHASTIC FORCES**

ON SOLVABILITY AND ILL-POSEDNESS OF THE COMPRESSIBLE EULER SYSTEM SUBJECT TO STOCHASTIC FORCES

DOMINIC BREIT, EDUARD FEIREISL AND MARTINA HOFMANOVÁ

We consider the barotropic Euler system describing the motion of a compressible inviscid fluid driven by a stochastic forcing. Adapting the method of convex integration we show that the initial value problem is ill-posed in the class of weak (distributional) solutions. Specifically, we find a sequence $\tau_M \rightarrow \infty$ of positive stopping times for which the Euler system admits infinitely many solutions originating from the same initial data. The solutions are weak in the PDE sense but strong in the probabilistic sense, meaning, they are defined on an a priori given stochastic basis and adapted to the driving stochastic process.

1. Introduction

Solutions of nonlinear systems of conservation laws, including the compressible Euler system discussed in the present paper, are known to develop singularities in finite time even for smooth initial data. Weak solutions that can accommodate these singularities provide therefore a suitable framework for studying the behavior of the system in the long run. A delicate and still largely open question is well-posedness of the associated initial value problem in the class of weak solutions. More precisely, a suitable admissibility criterion is needed to select the physically relevant solution. The method of *convex integration*, developed in the context of fluid mechanics in [De Lellis and Székelyhidi 2012], gives rise to several striking results concerning well-/ill-posedness of the Cauchy problem for the Euler system and related models of inviscid fluids; see, e.g., [Chiodaroli 2014; De Lellis and Székelyhidi 2009; 2010]. In particular, the barotropic Euler system in two and three space dimensions is ill-posed in the class of *admissible* entropy solutions (solutions dissipating energy) even for rather regular initial data; see [Chiodaroli, De Lellis, and Kreml 2015; Chiodaroli and Kreml 2014]. In the context of *incompressible* fluids, the method has been used for attacking the celebrated Onsager's conjecture, finally proved in [Isett 2018], accompanied by related results obtained in [Buckmaster, De Lellis, Székelyhidi, and Vicol 2019]. Very recently, the ill-posedness in the class of weak solutions has been extended even for the incompressible Navier–Stokes system in [Buckmaster and Vicol 2019]; see also [Buckmaster, Colombo, and Vicol 2018].

The research of Feireisl leading to these results has received funding from the European Research Council under the European Union's Seventh Framework Programme (FP7/2007-2013)/ ERC Grant Agreement 320078. The Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic is supported by RVO:67985840.

MSC2010: primary 35Q31; secondary 35D30, 60H15.

Keywords: stochastic compressible Euler system, weak solution, compressible Euler system, stochastic forcing, ill-posedness, convex integration.

In the present paper, we show that this difficulty persists even in the presence of a random forcing. As a model example, we consider the barotropic Euler system describing the time evolution of the density ϱ and the velocity \mathbf{u} of a compressible fluid:

$$d\varrho + \operatorname{div}_x(\varrho \mathbf{u}) dt = 0, \quad (1-1)$$

$$d(\varrho \mathbf{u}) + \operatorname{div}_x(\varrho \mathbf{u} \otimes \mathbf{u}) dt + \nabla_x p(\varrho) dt = \varrho \mathbf{G}(\varrho, \varrho \mathbf{u}) dW, \quad (1-2)$$

where $p = p(\varrho)$ is the pressure, and the term $\varrho \mathbf{G}(\varrho, \varrho \mathbf{u}) dW$ represents a random volume force acting on the fluid. A typical example is the so-called isentropic pressure density state equation $p(\varrho) = \varrho^\gamma$. We focus on two iconic examples of forcing, namely,

$$\varrho \mathbf{G}(\varrho, \varrho \mathbf{u}) dW = \varrho \mathbf{G} dW = \varrho \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{G}^i d\beta_i, \quad \mathbf{G}^i = \mathbf{G}^i(x), \quad (1-3)$$

or

$$\varrho \mathbf{G}(\varrho, \varrho \mathbf{u}) dW = \varrho \mathbf{u} d\beta. \quad (1-4)$$

Here $\beta_i = \beta_i(t)$, $\beta = \beta(t)$ are real-valued Wiener processes, whereas the diffusion coefficients \mathbf{G}^i are smooth functions depending only on the spatial variable x . For the sake of simplicity, we consider periodic boundary conditions, meaning the underlying spatial domain can be identified with a flat torus,

$$\mathcal{T}^N = ([0, 1]_{[0,1]})^N, \quad N = 2, 3.$$

Other boundary conditions, in particular the impermeability of the boundary, could be accommodated at the expense of additional technical difficulties.

The problem of solvability of the stochastic compressible Euler system (1-1), (1-2) is very challenging with only a few results available. In space dimension 1, [Berthelin and Vovelle 2013] proved existence of entropy solutions. These solutions are also weak in the probabilistic sense; that is, the underlying stochastic elements are not known in advance and become part of the solution. The only available results in higher space dimensions concern the local well-posedness of strong solutions. To be more precise, given a sufficiently smooth initial condition

$$\varrho(0, \cdot) = \varrho_0, \quad \varrho \mathbf{u}(0, \cdot) = (\varrho \mathbf{u})_0, \quad (1-5)$$

it can be shown that the problem (1-1), (1-2), (1-5) admits a unique local strong solution taking values in the class of Sobolev spaces $W^{m,2}$ of order $m > \frac{1}{2}N + 3$. These solutions are strong in both the PDE and probabilistic sense; i.e., they are constructed on a given stochastic basis with a given Wiener process. Nevertheless, they exist (and are unique in terms of the initial data) only up to a strictly positive maximal stopping time τ . Beyond this time that may be finite, the solutions develop singularities and uniqueness is not known. We refer the reader to [Breit, Feireisl, and Hofmanová 2018], where the stochastic compressible Navier–Stokes system with periodic boundary conditions was treated, and in particular to Remark 2.10 of that work for a discussion of the inviscid case. Let us finally remark that general symmetric hyperbolic systems on the whole space R^N were studied in [Kim 2011].

For completeness, let us mention that (1-4) may be seen as a “damping” term, the regularizing effect of which in the context of *incompressible* fluids has been recognized in [Glatt-Holtz and Vicol 2014],

and for a general symmetric hyperbolic system in [Kim 2011]. To be more precise, in [Kim 2011] it was shown that the probability that the strong solution never blows up can be made arbitrarily close to 1 provided the initial condition is sufficiently small. In [Glatt-Holtz and Vicol 2014] it was proved that the smallness assumption on the initial condition can be replaced by large intensity of the noise. Additionally, in the case of additive noise, which in our setting corresponds to (1-3), that work showed global existence of strong solutions to the incompressible Euler equations in two dimensions.

Our goal in the present paper is to show that the problem (1-1), (1-2) is ill-posed in the class of weak (distributional) solutions. More precisely, we show that there exists an increasing sequence of strictly positive stopping times τ_M , with $\tau_M \rightarrow \infty$ as $M \rightarrow \infty$ a.s., such that problem (1-1), (1-2), (1-3) or (1-4), (1-5) admits infinitely many weak solutions in the time interval $[0, \tau_M \wedge T)$ for any positive T . We emphasize that *weak* is meant only in the PDE sense — the spatial derivatives are understood in the distributional framework — while solutions are *strong* in the probabilistic sense. To be more precise, the stochastic basis together with a driving Wiener process W are given and we construct infinitely many solutions that are stochastic processes adapted to the given filtration. This is particularly interesting in light of the fact that uniqueness is violated. Indeed, without the knowledge of uniqueness it is typically only possible to construct probabilistically weak solutions that are not adapted to the given Wiener process. This already applies on the level of SDEs; see, for instance, the discussion in [Karatzas and Shreve 1988, Chapter 5].

Formally, both (1-3) and (1-4) represent a *multiplicative* noise. Nevertheless, under these assumptions, the system of stochastic PDEs (1-1), (1-2) may be reduced to a system of PDEs with random coefficients by means of a simple transformation. As a consequence, the stochastic integral no longer appears in the system and deterministic methods can be employed pathwise. Such a semideterministic approach was already used in many works; see for instance [Feireisl, Maslowski, and Novotný 2013; Tornatore and Fujita Yashima 1997] for the compressible setting, and the seminal paper [Bensoussan and Temam 1973] for the incompressible case. However, we point out that in all these references, the nontrivial issue of adaptedness of solutions with respect to the underlying stochastic perturbation remained unsolved. Therefore, it was not possible to return to the original formulation of the problem with a well-defined stochastic Itô integral. Even though we employ a similar semideterministic approach to (1-1), (1-2), (1-3) or (1-4), we are able to answer affirmatively the question of adaptedness and accordingly the stochastic Itô integral in the original formulation (1-1), (1-2) is well-defined.

To be more precise, for both (1-3) and (1-4), we rewrite (1-1), (1-2) as an *abstract Euler system* with variable random coefficients in the spirit of [Feireisl 2016]. This relies on the particular structure of the compressible Euler system and its interplay with stochastic perturbations satisfying (1-3) or (1-4). The resulting problem is then solved by an adaptation of the deterministic method of convex integration developed in [De Lellis and Székelyhidi 2010]. The main difficulty is to ensure that the abstract construction based on the concept of subsolutions yields a solution $\varrho, \varrho u$ adapted to the noise W . This is done by a careful analysis of the oscillatory lemma of [De Lellis and Székelyhidi 2010], where adaptedness is achieved by a delicate use of the celebrated Ryll–Nardzewski theorem on the existence of a measurable selection of a multivalued mapping.

The key point is to study a certain nonpositive functional I (see [Section 6D](#)) defined on an appropriate class of subsolutions (see [Section 6A](#)) to the abstract Euler system. These subsolutions capture already all the required (probabilistic) properties expected from the solutions. Similarly to [\[De Lellis and Székelyhidi 2010\]](#), the existence of infinitely many solutions to the original problem is obtained by applying an abstract Baire category argument based on the possibility of augmenting a given subsolution by rapidly oscillating increments. Determining the amplitude as well as the frequency of these oscillatory components at a given time t requires knowing the behavior of a given subsolution up to the time $t + \delta$, $\delta > 0$. The specific value of δ is in general a random variable, the value of which depends on the behavior of the noise W in the interval $[t, t + \delta)$. Consequently, it is not adapted with respect to the natural filtration associated to the noise. The problem can be solved only if $\delta > 0$ is deterministic, specifically if the solution paths belong to a fixed compact set. To ensure this, we replace W by $W_M(t) = W(t \wedge \tau_M)$, where τ_M is a family of suitable stopping times defined in terms of the Hölder norm of W . It is exactly this rather technical difficulty that restricts validity of our main result to the random time interval $[0, \tau_M)$. Note, however, that τ_M can be made arbitrarily large with probability arbitrarily close to 1.

Let us stress that our results apply *mutatis mutandis* to situations when the driving force is given by a more general stochastic process or a deterministic signal of low regularity. Provided a suitable transformation formula to a PDE with random coefficients can be justified, the only ingredient is the one required in [Section 3A](#) for the construction of the corresponding stopping times τ_M . Namely, the trajectories of the driving stochastic process are supposed to be a.s. a -Hölder continuous for some $a \in (0, 1)$. Then existence of infinitely many weak solutions (to the transformed system) adapted to the given stochastic process follows. Whether it is possible to go back to the original formulation then depends on the particular stochastic process at hand, namely, whether a corresponding stochastic integral can be constructed. If the driving signal is a deterministic Hölder continuous path, the stopping times are not needed and we obtain infinitely many weak solutions (to the transformed system) defined on the full time interval $[0, T]$.

It is important to note that the restriction to the multidimensional case $N = 2, 3$ is absolutely essential here and the variant of the method of convex integration presented below does not work for $N = 1$. Indeed, the method leans on the property of the system to admit oscillatory solutions. As observed in the pioneering works [\[DiPerna 1983a; 1983b\]](#), the deterministic counterpart of (1-1), (1-2) appended by suitable admissibility conditions gives rise to a solution set that is precompact in the L^p framework if $N = 1$.

To conclude this introductory part, let us summarize the current state of understanding of a compressible flow of an inviscid fluid under stochastic perturbation. Consider a sufficiently smooth initial condition (1-5) and a fixed stochastic basis. On the one hand, it can be shown that there exists a unique local strong solution. However, in view of our result, there exist infinitely many weak solutions emanating from the same initial datum. The very natural question is therefore whether one can compare these two kinds of solutions. In fluid dynamics, it is often possible to establish a so-called weak-strong uniqueness result: strong solutions coincide with weak solutions satisfying a suitable form of energy inequality. The corresponding result for the stochastic compressible Navier–Stokes system was proved in [\[Breit, Feireisl,](#)

and Hofmanová 2017]. Consequently, it would be interesting to see whether our weak solutions could be constructed to satisfy an energy inequality. In analogy with the deterministic setting, we know this might be possible only for certain initial data and we leave this problem to be addressed in future work.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we introduce a proper definition of a weak solution and state our main results. In Section 3, the problem is rewritten in a semideterministic way that eliminates the explicit presence of stochastic integrals. In Section 4, we rewrite the system as an abstract Euler problem in the spirit of [Feireisl 2016]. Section 5 is the heart of the paper. Here, the apparatus of convex integration developed in [De Lellis and Székelyhidi 2010] is adapted to stochastic framework. The main result is a stochastic variant of the oscillatory lemma (Lemma 5.6) proved via the Ryll–Nardzewski theorem on measurable selection. The proof of the main result is completed in Section 6.

2. Problem formulation and main results

Let $(\Omega, \mathfrak{F}, (\mathfrak{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}, \mathbb{P})$ be a probability space with a complete right-continuous filtration $(\mathfrak{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}$. For the sake of simplicity, we restrict ourselves to the case of a single noise; specifically,

$$\varrho \mathbf{G}(\varrho, \varrho \mathbf{u}) dW = \varrho \mathbf{G}(x) d\beta \quad \text{or} \quad \varrho \mathbf{G}(\varrho, \varrho \mathbf{u}) dW = \varrho \mathbf{u} d\beta, \quad (2-1)$$

where $\beta = \beta(t)$ is a standard Wiener process relative to the filtration $(\mathfrak{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}$. In particular, we may correctly define the stochastic integral (in Itô's sense)

$$\int_0^\tau \left(\int_{\mathcal{T}^N} \varrho \mathbf{G}(\varrho, \varrho \mathbf{u}) \cdot \boldsymbol{\varphi} dx \right) dW$$

as soon as the processes

$$t \mapsto \int_{\mathcal{T}^N} \varrho \phi dx, \quad t \mapsto \int_{\mathcal{T}^N} \varrho \mathbf{u} \cdot \boldsymbol{\varphi} dx \quad (2-2)$$

are (\mathfrak{F}_t) -progressively measurable for any smooth (deterministic) test functions $\phi = \phi(x)$ and $\boldsymbol{\varphi} = \boldsymbol{\varphi}(x)$.

Definition 2.1. We say that $[\varrho, \mathbf{u}, \tau]$ is a weak solution to problem (1-1), (1-2), (1-5) with a stopping time τ provided:

- (i) $\tau \geq 0$ is an (\mathfrak{F}_t) -stopping time.
- (ii) The density ϱ is (\mathfrak{F}_t) -adapted and satisfies

$$\varrho \in C([0, \tau]; W^{1,\infty}(\mathcal{T}^N)), \quad \varrho > 0 \quad \mathbb{P}\text{-a.s.}$$

- (iii) The momentum $\varrho \mathbf{u}$ satisfies $t \mapsto \int_{\mathcal{T}^N} \varrho \mathbf{u} \cdot \boldsymbol{\varphi} dx \in C([0, \tau])$ for any $\boldsymbol{\varphi} \in C_c^\infty(\mathcal{T}^N; \mathbb{R}^N)$, the stochastic process $t \mapsto \int_{\mathcal{T}^N} \varrho \mathbf{u} \cdot \boldsymbol{\varphi} dx$ is (\mathfrak{F}_t) -adapted, and

$$\varrho \mathbf{u} \in C_{\text{weak}}([0, \tau]; L^2(\mathcal{T}^N; \mathbb{R}^N)) \cap L^\infty((0, \tau) \times \mathcal{T}^N; \mathbb{R}^N) \quad \mathbb{P}\text{-a.s.}$$

- (iv) For all $\phi \in C_c^\infty(\mathcal{T}^N)$ and all $t \geq 0$ the following holds \mathbb{P} -a.s.:

$$\int_{\mathcal{T}^N} \varrho(t \wedge \tau, \cdot) \phi dx - \int_{\mathcal{T}^N} \varrho_0 \phi dx = \int_0^{t \wedge \tau} \int_{\mathcal{T}^N} \varrho \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla_x \phi dx dt. \quad (2-3)$$

(v) For all $\boldsymbol{\varphi} \in C_c^\infty(\mathcal{T}^N, \mathbb{R}^N)$ and all $t \geq 0$ the following holds \mathbb{P} -a.s.:

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathcal{T}^N} \varrho \mathbf{u}(t \wedge \tau, \cdot) \cdot \boldsymbol{\varphi} \, dx - \int_{\mathcal{T}^N} (\varrho \mathbf{u})_0 \cdot \boldsymbol{\varphi} \, dx \\ &= \int_0^{t \wedge \tau} \int_{\mathcal{T}^N} [\varrho \mathbf{u} \otimes \mathbf{u} : \nabla_x \boldsymbol{\varphi} + p(\varrho) \operatorname{div}_x \boldsymbol{\varphi}] \, dx \, dt + \int_0^{t \wedge \tau} \left(\int_{\mathcal{T}^N} \varrho \mathbf{G} \cdot \boldsymbol{\varphi} \, dx \right) dW. \end{aligned} \quad (2-4)$$

Remark 2.2. The processes in (2-2) are continuous and (\mathfrak{F}_t) -adapted, whence progressively measurable. Consequently, the stochastic integral in (2-3) is correctly defined as soon as $\mathbf{G} = \mathbf{G}(\varrho, \varrho \mathbf{u})$ satisfies (2-1).

We are ready to formulate our main result.

Theorem 2.3. *Let $T > 0$ and the initial data $\varrho_0, (\varrho \mathbf{u})_0$ be \mathfrak{F}_0 -measurable such that*

$$\varrho_0 \in C^3(\mathcal{T}^N), \quad (\varrho \mathbf{u})_0 \in C^3(\mathcal{T}^N; \mathbb{R}^N), \quad \varrho_0 > 0 \quad \mathbb{P}\text{-a.s.} \quad (2-5)$$

Let the stochastic term satisfy (2-1), where β is a standard Wiener process, and the coefficient $\mathbf{G} \in W^{1,\infty}(\mathcal{T}^N; \mathbb{R}^N)$ is a given deterministic function. Finally, suppose that the pressure function $p = p(\varrho)$ satisfies

$$p \in C^1[0, \infty) \cap C^2(0, \infty), \quad p(0) = 0.$$

Then there exists a family of \mathbb{P} -a.s. strictly positive (\mathfrak{F}_t) -stopping times τ_M satisfying $\tau_M \leq \tau_L$ \mathbb{P} -a.s. for $M \leq L$, and

$$\tau_M \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{as } M \rightarrow \infty \quad \mathbb{P}\text{-a.s.},$$

such that problem (1-1), (1-2), (1-5) admits infinitely many weak solutions with the stopping time $\tau = \tau_M \wedge T$ in the sense of Definition 2.1.

Remark 2.4. Solutions obtained in Theorem 2.3 are “almost global” in the sense that for any $\varepsilon > 0$, problem (1-1), (1-2), (1-5) admits infinitely many (weak) solutions living on a given time interval $(0, T)$ with probability $1 - \varepsilon$ (choosing M large enough). The necessity of considering finite stopping times is explained in detail in Remark 5.13 below.

Remark 2.5. We transform the problem to an abstract Euler system (see (4-7), (4-8) and (4-13), (4-14)) and show the existence of infinitely many solutions to the latter one. It is worth noting that our approach can be applied to other problems in fluid mechanics, in particular to the incompressible stochastic Euler equations. See also Remark 4.2.

The rest of the paper is devoted to the proof of Theorem 2.3. Let us now summarize the key points of our construction. For both (1-3) and (1-4), we rewrite (1-1), (1-2) as an *abstract Euler system* with variable random coefficients in the spirit of [Feireisl 2016]. On the set of subsolutions to this system we define the functional

$$I[\mathbf{v}] = \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^T \int_{\mathcal{T}^N} \left[\frac{1}{2} \frac{|\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{h}|^2}{r} - e \right] dx \, dt \right].$$

Here, \mathbf{h}, r are given functions related to the density ansatz and e is the target energy. The solutions of the problem are represented by the points of continuity of I with respect to \mathbf{v} . The exact definition of

I is given in [Section 6D](#) below. It is rather standard to see that I has infinitely many continuity points and that $I[v] = 0$ implies that v is a solution. The bulk is to show that each continuity point satisfies $I[v] = 0$, which implies the existence of infinitely many solutions. The latter statement can be shown indirectly by augmenting a given continuity point by rapidly oscillating increments. These increments are obtained by an adaptation of the deterministic method of convex integration developed in [\[De Lellis and Székelyhidi 2010\]](#). The main difficulty is to ensure progressive measurability in this construction. Following [\[Donatelli, Feireisl, and Marcati 2015\]](#) we proceed in three steps:

- (i) Assuming the subsolution under consideration is constant in space-time (but random) we gain an oscillator sequence which is a random variable itself by the Ryll–Nardzewski theorem on measurable selection. This is first done on the unit interval with density equal to 1 (see [Lemma 5.6](#)). A more general version follows by scaling (see [Lemma 5.8](#)).
- (ii) The construction from (i) can be extended to piecewise constant subsolutions which are evaluated at the first time-point of each subinterval. This ensures progressive measurability of the oscillatory sequence (see [Lemma 5.10](#)).
- (iii) Finally, we consider the general case of continuous subsolutions (see [Lemma 5.11](#)). They can be approximated by piecewise constant ones and we can apply step (ii). It is important that the modulus of continuity can be controlled. This is where the stopping times in the noise come into play.

3. Transformation to a semideterministic setting

In view of the difficulties mentioned in [Section 1](#), we are forced to replace the original Wiener process β by a suitable truncation and to rewrite the problem in a semideterministic setting.

3A. Stopping times. We start by fixing a family $(\tau_M)_{M \in \mathbb{N}}$ of stopping times enjoying the properties claimed in [Theorem 2.3](#). For a given $0 < a < \frac{1}{2}$ and the Wiener process β , $\beta(0) = 0$ \mathbb{P} -a.s., we introduce

$$O(t) = \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} |\beta(s)| + \sup_{0 \leq t_1 \neq t_2 \leq t} \frac{|\beta(t_1) - \beta(t_2)|}{|t_1 - t_2|^a} \quad \text{for } t > 0, \quad O(0) = 0.$$

Obviously, O is a nondecreasing stochastic process adapted to $(\mathfrak{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}$. Moreover, as β is a Wiener process, it follows from the Kolmogorov continuity criterion that

$$|\beta(t_1) - \beta(t_2)| \leq B(T, b)|t_1 - t_2|^b = B(T, b)|t_1 - t_2|^{b-a}|t_1 - t_2|^a \quad \text{whenever } 0 \leq t_1, t_2 \leq T,$$

for any $0 < a < b < \frac{1}{2}$, $T > 0$, where $B(T, b)$ is random and finite \mathbb{P} -a.s. In particular, we deduce that O is continuous in $[0, \infty)$. As a consequence, for $M \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\tau_M = \inf_{t \geq 0} \{O(t) > M\} \wedge T$$

defines an (\mathfrak{F}_t) -stopping time. Moreover, $\tau_M \leq \tau_L$ \mathbb{P} -a.s. for $M \leq L$, and in particular we get

$$\tau_M \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{as } M \rightarrow \infty \quad \mathbb{P}\text{-a.s.}$$

Finally, as O is continuous and $O(0) = 0$ \mathbb{P} -a.s., we have that $\tau_M > 0$ \mathbb{P} -a.s. for all $M \in \mathbb{N}$.

Next, let us introduce the stopped stochastic process

$$W_M = \beta_M, \quad \beta_M(t) = \beta(t \wedge \tau_M) \quad \text{for } t \geq 0.$$

We recall that, for $\tau = \tau_M$, the stochastic integral in (2-4) can be rewritten as

$$\int_0^{t \wedge \tau_M} \left(\int_{\mathcal{T}^N} \varrho \mathbf{G} \cdot \boldsymbol{\varphi} \, dx \right) dW = \int_0^t \left(\int_{\mathcal{T}^N} \varrho \mathbf{G} \cdot \boldsymbol{\varphi} \, dx \right) dW_M.$$

From now on, we consider problem (1-1), (1-2), (1-5) with β replaced by β_M . Under these circumstances, our task reduces to showing [Theorem 2.3](#) with $\beta = \beta_M$ on the *deterministic* time interval $[0, T]$. Note that the paths of β_M are *uniformly* bounded and *uniformly* Hölder continuous,

$$\|\beta_M\|_{C^a[0, T]} \leq M, \quad 0 < a < \frac{1}{2} \quad \mathbb{P}\text{-a.s.} \quad (3-1)$$

This is the essential property we use to construct probabilistically strong solutions, that is, solutions that are adapted to the given filtration $(\mathfrak{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}$ associated to β .

3B. Problem with additive noise. If the noise is given by (1-3), we may combine Itô's calculus with the equation of continuity (2-3) to rewrite the stochastic integral in the form

$$\int_0^t \left(\int_{\mathcal{T}^N} \varrho \mathbf{G} \cdot \boldsymbol{\varphi} \, dx \right) d\beta_M = \left(\int_{\mathcal{T}^N} \varrho \mathbf{G} \cdot \boldsymbol{\varphi} \, dx \right) \beta_M(t) - \int_0^t \beta_M(s) \int_{\mathcal{T}^N} \varrho \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla_x (\mathbf{G} \cdot \boldsymbol{\varphi}) \, dx \, ds.$$

Consequently, the momentum equation (1-2) can be formally written as

$$d(\varrho \mathbf{u} - \varrho \beta_M \mathbf{G}) + \operatorname{div}_x(\varrho \mathbf{u} \otimes \mathbf{u}) \, dt + \nabla_x p(\varrho) \, dt = \beta_M \mathbf{G} \operatorname{div}_x(\varrho \mathbf{u}) \, dt, \quad (3-2)$$

where no stochastic integration is necessary. Passing to the weak formulation, our task reduces to finding ϱ and $\varrho \mathbf{u}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} t \mapsto \int_{\mathcal{T}^N} \varrho \phi \, dx, \quad t \mapsto \int_{\mathcal{T}^N} \varrho \mathbf{u} \cdot \boldsymbol{\varphi} \, dx & \text{ continuous and } (\mathfrak{F}_t)\text{-adapted,} \\ \int_{\mathcal{T}^N} \varrho(0, \cdot) \phi \, dx = \int_{\mathcal{T}^N} \varrho_0 \phi \, dx, \quad \int_{\mathcal{T}^N} \varrho \mathbf{u}(0, \cdot) \cdot \boldsymbol{\varphi} \, dx = \int_{\mathcal{T}^N} (\varrho \mathbf{u})_0 \cdot \boldsymbol{\varphi} \, dx \end{aligned} \quad (3-3)$$

for any smooth test functions $\phi, \boldsymbol{\varphi}$, satisfying

$$\int_0^T \int_{\mathcal{T}^N} [\varrho \partial_t \phi + \varrho \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla_x \phi] \, dx \, dt = 0 \quad (3-4)$$

for any $\phi \in C_c^\infty((0, T) \times \mathcal{T}^N)$;

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^T \int_{\mathcal{T}^N} [(\varrho \mathbf{u} - \varrho \beta_M \mathbf{G}) \cdot \partial_t \boldsymbol{\varphi} + \varrho \mathbf{u} \otimes \mathbf{u} : \nabla_x \boldsymbol{\varphi} + p(\varrho) \operatorname{div}_x \boldsymbol{\varphi}] \, dx \, dt \\ = \int_0^T \int_{\mathcal{T}^N} [\beta_M \varrho \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla_x \mathbf{G} \cdot \boldsymbol{\varphi} + \beta_M \varrho \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla_x \boldsymbol{\varphi} \cdot \mathbf{G}] \, dx \, dt \end{aligned} \quad (3-5)$$

for any $\boldsymbol{\varphi} \in C_c^\infty((0, T) \times \mathcal{T}^N; \mathbb{R}^N)$.

Remark 3.1. Problem (3-4), (3-5) can be viewed as a system of partial differential equations with random coefficients. We point out that all steps leading from the original problem (2-3), (2-4) to (3-4), (3-5) are reversible as long as ϱ , $\varrho \mathbf{u}$ are weakly continuous (\mathfrak{F}_t) -adapted and Itô's calculus applies. In particular, it is enough to solve (3-3)–(3-5).

3C. Problem with linear multiplicative noise (stochastic “damping”). If the forcing is given by (1-4), we may again use Itô's calculus for $0 \leq t \leq \tau_M$ obtaining

$$d \exp(-\beta_M) = -\exp(-\beta_M) d\beta_M + \frac{1}{2} \exp(-\beta_M) dt,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \exp(-\beta_M) \left[d \left(\int_{\mathcal{T}^N} \varrho \mathbf{u} \cdot \boldsymbol{\varphi} \, dx \right) - \left(\int_{\mathcal{T}^N} \varrho \mathbf{u} \cdot \boldsymbol{\varphi} \, dx \right) d\beta_M \right] \\ = d \left[\exp(-\beta_M) \int_{\mathcal{T}^N} \varrho \mathbf{u} \cdot \boldsymbol{\varphi} \, dx \right] + \frac{1}{2} \exp(-\beta_M) \int_{\mathcal{T}^N} \varrho \mathbf{u} \cdot \boldsymbol{\varphi} \, dx \, dt. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, in accordance with (2-4),

$$d \left(\int_{\mathcal{T}^N} \varrho \mathbf{u} \cdot \boldsymbol{\varphi} \, dx \right) - \left(\int_{\mathcal{T}^N} \varrho \mathbf{u} \cdot \boldsymbol{\varphi} \, dx \right) d\beta_M = \int_{\mathcal{T}^N} [\varrho \mathbf{u} \otimes \mathbf{u} : \nabla_x \boldsymbol{\varphi} + p(\varrho) \operatorname{div}_x \boldsymbol{\varphi}] \, dx \, dt.$$

We therefore conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} d \left[\exp(-\beta_M) \int_{\mathcal{T}^N} \varrho \mathbf{u} \cdot \boldsymbol{\varphi} \, dx \right] \\ = -\frac{1}{2} \exp(-\beta_M) \int_{\mathcal{T}^N} \varrho \mathbf{u} \cdot \boldsymbol{\varphi} \, dx \, dt + \exp(-\beta_M) \int_{\mathcal{T}^N} [\varrho \mathbf{u} \otimes \mathbf{u} : \nabla_x \boldsymbol{\varphi} + p(\varrho) \operatorname{div}_x \boldsymbol{\varphi}] \, dx \, dt. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly to the case of additive noise, we may replace (2-3), (2-4) by a system of partial differential equations with random coefficients, the weak formulation of which reads

$$\int_0^T \int_{\mathcal{T}^N} [\varrho \partial_t \boldsymbol{\varphi} + \varrho \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla_x \boldsymbol{\varphi}] \, dx \, dt = 0 \quad (3-6)$$

for any $\boldsymbol{\varphi} \in C_c^\infty((0, T) \times \mathcal{T}^N)$;

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \int_0^T \int_{\mathcal{T}^N} [\exp(-\beta_M) \varrho \mathbf{u} \cdot \partial_t \boldsymbol{\varphi} + \exp(-\beta_M) \varrho \mathbf{u} \otimes \mathbf{u} : \nabla_x \boldsymbol{\varphi} + \exp(-\beta_M) p(\varrho) \operatorname{div}_x \boldsymbol{\varphi}] \, dx \, dt \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \int_{\mathcal{T}^N} \exp(-\beta_M) \varrho \mathbf{u} \cdot \boldsymbol{\varphi} \, dx \, dt \end{aligned} \quad (3-7)$$

for any $\boldsymbol{\varphi} \in C_c^\infty((0, T) \times \mathcal{T}^N; \mathbb{R}^N)$, where ϱ , $\varrho \mathbf{u}$ are the stochastic processes satisfying (3-3).

4. Abstract Euler problem

Our next goal is to rewrite the problems (3-3), (3-4), (3-5) and (3-3), (3-6), (3-7), respectively, to fit into the abstract framework introduced in [Feireisl 2016]. In addition to (2-5) we suppose that \mathbb{P} -a.s.

$$\|\varrho_0\|_{C^3(\mathcal{T}^N)} + \|(\varrho \mathbf{u})_0\|_{C^3(\mathcal{T}^N; \mathbb{R}^N)} + \|\varrho_0^{-1}\|_{C(\mathcal{T}^N)} \leq D \quad (4-1)$$

for some deterministic constant $D > 0$. We claim that it is enough to show [Theorem 2.3](#) for the initial data satisfying (4-1). Indeed, any initial data $\varrho_0, (\varrho \mathbf{u})_0$ satisfying (2-5) can be written as

$$[\varrho_0, (\varrho \mathbf{u})_0] = \lim_{D \rightarrow \infty} [\varrho_{0,D}, (\varrho \mathbf{u})_{0,D}] \quad \mathbb{P}\text{-a.s.},$$

where

$$[\varrho_{0,D}, (\varrho \mathbf{u})_{0,D}](\omega) = \begin{cases} [\varrho_0, (\varrho \mathbf{u})_0](\omega) & \text{if (4-1) holds,} \\ [1, 0] & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Let $[\varrho_D, (\varrho \mathbf{u})_D]$ be the solution emanating from the data $[\varrho_{0,D}, (\varrho \mathbf{u})_{0,D}]$, the existence of which is guaranteed by [Theorem 2.3](#). We set

$$\Omega_D = \{\omega \in \Omega \mid [\varrho_0, (\varrho \mathbf{u})_0](\omega) \text{ satisfies (4-1)}\}.$$

Note that Ω_D is \mathfrak{F}_0 -measurable for any $D > 0$. Since

$$[\varrho_0, (\varrho \mathbf{u})_0] = 1_{\Omega_1}[\varrho_1, (\varrho \mathbf{u})_1] + \sum_{D=2}^{\infty} 1_{\Omega_D \setminus \Omega_{D-1}}[\varrho_{0,D}, (\varrho \mathbf{u})_{0,D}],$$

the desired solution for arbitrary initial data satisfying (2-5) can be obtained in the form

$$[\varrho, \varrho \mathbf{u}] = 1_{\Omega_1}[\varrho_1, (\varrho \mathbf{u})_1] + \sum_{D=2}^{\infty} 1_{\Omega_D \setminus \Omega_{D-1}}[\varrho_D, (\varrho \mathbf{u})_D].$$

4A. Additive noise. Going back to (3-4), (3-5) we write

$$\varrho \mathbf{u} - \varrho \beta_M \mathbf{G} = \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{V} + \nabla_x \Psi,$$

where

$$\operatorname{div}_x \mathbf{v} = 0, \quad \int_{\mathcal{T}^N} \mathbf{v} \, dx = 0, \quad \mathbf{V} = \mathbf{V}(t) \in R^N \text{ a spatially homogeneous function.}$$

Remark 4.1. Note that $\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{V}$ represents the standard Helmholtz projection Π_H of $\varrho \mathbf{u} - \varrho \beta_M \mathbf{G}$ onto the space of solenoidal functions.

To meet the initial conditions (1-5), we fix

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{v}(0, \cdot) &= \Pi_H[(\varrho \mathbf{u})_0] - \frac{1}{|\mathcal{T}^N|} \int_{\mathcal{T}^N} (\varrho \mathbf{u})_0 \, dx, \\ \mathbf{V}(0) &= \frac{1}{|\mathcal{T}^N|} \int_{\mathcal{T}^N} (\varrho \mathbf{u})_0 \, dx, \quad \nabla_x \Psi(0, \cdot) = \Pi_H^\perp[(\varrho \mathbf{u})_0]. \end{aligned}$$

Accordingly, the equation of continuity (3-4) reads

$$\partial_t \varrho + \Delta_x \Psi + \beta_M \operatorname{div}_x(\varrho \mathbf{G}) = 0, \quad \varrho(0, \cdot) = \varrho_0. \quad (4-2)$$

For given Ψ , β_M , and \mathbf{G} , the density ϱ in (4-2) is uniquely determined by the method of characteristics. Moreover, as β_M satisfies (3-1) and ϱ_0 is strictly positive uniform in Ω , we may fix the potential Ψ and

subsequently the density ϱ in such a way that

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi &\in C^2([0, T]; C^3(\mathcal{T}^N)) \quad \mathbb{P}\text{-a.s.}, \quad \Psi \text{ } (\mathfrak{F}_t)\text{-adapted}, \quad \|\Psi\|_{C^2([0, T]; C^3(\mathcal{T}^N))} \leq c_M \quad \mathbb{P}\text{-a.s.}, \\ \varrho &\in C^1([0, T]; C^1(\mathcal{T}^N)) \quad \mathbb{P}\text{-a.s.}, \quad \varrho(0, \cdot) = \varrho_0, \quad \varrho \text{ } (\mathfrak{F}_t)\text{-adapted}, \\ \|\varrho\|_{C^1([0, T]; C^1(\mathcal{T}^N))} &\leq c_M, \quad \varrho \geq \frac{1}{c_M} \quad \mathbb{P}\text{-a.s.}, \end{aligned} \quad (4-3)$$

where $c_M > 0$ is a deterministic constant depending on the stopping parameter M . Here, we have also used the extra hypothesis (4-1).

Remark 4.2. We would like to point out that Ψ and subsequently ϱ are not uniquely determined. As a matter of fact, there are infinitely many possibilities of how to choose Ψ and ϱ satisfying (4-2), (4-3). In particular, if

$$\operatorname{div}_x(\varrho \mathbf{u})_0 = 0, \quad \int_{\mathcal{T}^N} (\varrho \mathbf{u})_0 = 0, \quad \text{and} \quad \operatorname{div}_x \mathbf{G} = 0$$

we can take the ansatz

$$\varrho \equiv 1, \quad \Psi \equiv 0$$

obtaining $\varrho \mathbf{u} = \mathbf{v}$ — a solution of the *incompressible* Euler system.

Having fixed ϱ and Ψ , we compute \mathbf{V} as the unique solution of the differential equation

$$\frac{d\mathbf{V}}{dt} = -\frac{1}{|\mathcal{T}^N|} \int_{\mathcal{T}^N} [\varrho \beta_M^2 \nabla_x \mathbf{G} \cdot \mathbf{G} + \beta_M \nabla_x \mathbf{G} \cdot \nabla_x \Psi] \, dx, \quad \mathbf{V}(0) = \frac{1}{|\mathcal{T}^N|} \int_{\mathcal{T}^N} (\varrho \mathbf{u})_0 \, dx. \quad (4-4)$$

In view of (4-3) and the assumption $\mathbf{G} \in W^{1,\infty}(\mathcal{T}^N; \mathbb{R}^N)$ we easily deduce that

$$\mathbf{V} \in C^1([0, T]; \mathbb{R}^N) \quad \mathbb{P}\text{-a.s.}, \quad \mathbf{V} \text{ is } (\mathfrak{F}_t)\text{-adapted}, \quad \|\mathbf{V}\|_{C^1([0, T]; \mathbb{R}^N)} \leq c_M \quad \mathbb{P}\text{-a.s.} \quad (4-5)$$

Thus it remains to find \mathbf{v} to satisfy (3-5). It turns out that \mathbf{v} must be a weak solution of the abstract Euler system

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \mathbf{v} + \operatorname{div}_x \left(\frac{(\mathbf{v} + \varrho \beta_M \mathbf{G} + \mathbf{V} + \nabla_x \Psi) \otimes (\mathbf{v} + \varrho \beta_M \mathbf{G} + \mathbf{V} + \nabla_x \Psi)}{\varrho} \right) \\ = -\nabla_x p(\varrho) - \partial_t \nabla_x \Psi + \beta_M \operatorname{div}_x (\varrho \beta_M \mathbf{G} + \nabla_x \Psi) \mathbf{G} - \frac{1}{|\mathcal{T}^N|} \int_{\mathcal{T}^N} \beta_M \operatorname{div}_x (\varrho \beta_M \mathbf{G} + \nabla_x \Psi) \mathbf{G} \, dx, \\ \operatorname{div}_x \mathbf{v} = 0, \quad \mathbf{v}(0, \cdot) = \mathbf{v}_0 = \Pi_H[(\varrho \mathbf{u})_0] - \frac{1}{|\mathcal{T}^N|} \int_{\mathcal{T}^N} (\varrho \mathbf{u})_0 \, dx. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, we solve the elliptic system

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{div}_x \left[\nabla_x \mathbf{m} + \nabla_x^t \mathbf{m} - \frac{2}{N} \operatorname{div}_x \mathbf{m} \mathbb{I} \right] \\ = \nabla_x p(\varrho) + \partial_t \nabla_x \Psi - \beta_M \operatorname{div}_x (\varrho \beta_M \mathbf{G} + \nabla_x \Psi) \mathbf{G} + \frac{1}{|\mathcal{T}^N|} \int_{\mathcal{T}^N} \beta_M \operatorname{div}_x (\varrho \beta_M \mathbf{G} + \nabla_x \Psi) \mathbf{G} \, dx. \end{aligned} \quad (4-6)$$

Note that (4-6) admits a unique solution as the right-hand side is a function of zero mean. Consequently, setting

$$r = \varrho, \quad \mathbf{h} = \varrho \beta_M \mathbf{G} + \mathbf{V} + \nabla_x \Psi, \quad \mathbb{M} = \nabla_x \mathbf{m} + \nabla_x^t \mathbf{m} - \frac{2}{N} \operatorname{div}_x \mathbf{m} \mathbb{I}$$

we may rewrite the problem in a concise form:

$$\partial_t \mathbf{v} + \operatorname{div}_x \left[\frac{(\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{h}) \otimes (\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{h})}{r} + \mathbb{M} \right] = 0, \quad \operatorname{div}_x \mathbf{v} = 0, \quad \mathbf{v}(0, \cdot) = \mathbf{v}_0, \quad (4-7)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{v}_0 &\in C^1(\mathcal{T}^N; \mathbb{R}^N) \text{ } \mathbb{P}\text{-a.s.}, \quad \operatorname{div}_x \mathbf{v}_0 = 0, \quad \int_{\mathcal{T}^N} \mathbf{v}_0 \, dx = 0, \quad \mathbf{v}_0 \text{ is } \mathfrak{F}_0\text{-measurable}, \quad \|\mathbf{v}_0\|_{C^1(\mathcal{T}^N; \mathbb{R}^N)} \leq c_M \text{ } \mathbb{P}\text{-a.s.}, \\ \mathbf{h} &\in C^a([0, T]; C^1(\mathcal{T}^N; \mathbb{R}^N)) \text{ } \mathbb{P}\text{-a.s.}, \quad \mathbf{h} \text{ is } (\mathfrak{F}_t)\text{-adapted}, \quad \|\mathbf{h}\|_{C^a([0, T]; C^1(\mathcal{T}^N; \mathbb{R}^N))} \leq c_M \text{ } \mathbb{P}\text{-a.s.}, \\ r &\in C^a([0, T]; C^1(\mathcal{T}^N)) \text{ } \mathbb{P}\text{-a.s.}, \quad r \text{ is } (\mathfrak{F}_t)\text{-adapted}, \quad \|r\|_{C^a([0, T]; C^1(\mathcal{T}^N))} \leq c_M, \quad \frac{1}{r} \geq \frac{1}{c_M} \text{ } \mathbb{P}\text{-a.s.}, \\ \mathbb{M} &\in C^a([0, T]; C^1(\mathcal{T}^N; \mathbb{R}_{0, \text{sym}}^{N \times N})) \text{ } \mathbb{P}\text{-a.s.}, \quad \mathbb{M} \text{ is } (\mathfrak{F}_t)\text{-adapted}, \quad \|\mathbb{M}\|_{C^a([0, T]; C^1(\mathcal{T}^N; \mathbb{R}_{0, \text{sym}}^{N \times N}))} \leq c_M \text{ } \mathbb{P}\text{-a.s.} \end{aligned} \quad (4-8)$$

are given data. In the following we give a precise definition for solutions to (4-7).

Definition 4.3. Assume that the data $\mathbf{v}_0, \mathbf{h}, r, \mathbb{M}$ satisfy (4-8).¹ We say that \mathbf{v} is a weak solution to problem (4-7) provided:

- (i) We have $t \mapsto \int_{\mathcal{T}^N} \mathbf{v} \cdot \boldsymbol{\varphi} \, dx \in C([0, T])$ for any $\boldsymbol{\varphi} \in C^\infty(\mathcal{T}^N; \mathbb{R}^N)$, the stochastic process $t \mapsto \int_{\mathcal{T}^N} \mathbf{v} \cdot \boldsymbol{\varphi} \, dx$ is (\mathfrak{F}_t) -adapted, and

$$\mathbf{v} \in C_{\text{weak}}([0, T]; L^2(\mathcal{T}^N; \mathbb{R}^N)) \cap L^\infty((0, T) \times \mathcal{T}^N; \mathbb{R}^N) \text{ } \mathbb{P}\text{-a.s.}$$

- (ii) For all $\boldsymbol{\varphi} \in C^\infty(\mathcal{T}^N; \mathbb{R}^N)$ and all $t \in [0, T]$ the following holds \mathbb{P} -a.s.:

$$\int_{\mathcal{T}^N} \mathbf{v}(t, \cdot) \cdot \boldsymbol{\varphi} \, dx - \int_{\mathcal{T}^N} \mathbf{v}_0 \cdot \boldsymbol{\varphi} \, dx = \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{T}^N} \left[\frac{(\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{h}) \otimes (\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{h})}{r} : \nabla_x \boldsymbol{\varphi} + \mathbb{M} : \nabla_x \boldsymbol{\varphi} \right] \, dx \, dt. \quad (4-9)$$

Let us summarize the above discussion in the following proposition.

Proposition 4.4. Let β_M and $\mathbf{G} \in W^{1, \infty}(\mathcal{T}^N; \mathbb{R}^N)$ be given. Let ϱ, Ψ belonging to the class (4-3) satisfy (4-2). Finally, let \mathbf{v} be a weak solution of problem (4-7) in the sense of Definition 4.3, with

$$r = \varrho, \quad \mathbf{h} = \varrho \beta_M \mathbf{G} + \mathbf{V} + \nabla_x \Psi, \quad \mathbb{M} = \nabla_x \mathbf{m} + \nabla_x^t \mathbf{m} - \frac{2}{N} \operatorname{div}_x \mathbf{m} \mathbb{I},$$

where \mathbf{V}, \mathbf{m} solve (4-4), (4-6), respectively.

Then

$$\varrho, \varrho \mathbf{u} = \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{V} + \nabla_x \Psi + \varrho \beta_M \mathbf{G}$$

is a solution of problem (3-3)–(3-5).

Remark 4.5. In view of Proposition 4.4 and Remark 3.1, the proof of Theorem 2.3 in the case of additive noise reduces to showing the existence of infinitely many solutions to problem (4-7).

¹A weak solution could be defined under much less restrictive assumptions on the data.

4B. Multiplicative noise. Mimicking the steps of the previous section we write

$$\exp(-\beta_M)\varrho \mathbf{u} = \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{V} + \nabla_x \Psi$$

in (3-7), where

$$\operatorname{div}_x \mathbf{v} = 0, \quad \int_{\mathcal{T}^N} \mathbf{v} \, dx = 0, \quad \mathbf{V} = \mathbf{V}(t) \in R^N \text{ is a spatially homogeneous function,}$$

and

$$\mathbf{v}(0, \cdot) = \Pi_H[(\varrho \mathbf{u})_0] - \frac{1}{|\mathcal{T}^N|} \int_{\mathcal{T}^N} (\varrho \mathbf{u})_0 \, dx, \quad \mathbf{V}(0) = \frac{1}{|\mathcal{T}^N|} \int_{\mathcal{T}^N} (\varrho \mathbf{u})_0 \, dx, \quad \nabla_x \Psi(0, \cdot) = \Pi_H^\perp[(\varrho \mathbf{u})_0].$$

Accordingly, the equation of continuity reads

$$\partial_t \varrho + \operatorname{div}_x (\exp(\beta_M) \nabla_x \Psi) = 0, \quad \varrho(0, \cdot) = \varrho_0. \quad (4-10)$$

Next, we fix \mathbf{V} as the unique solution of

$$\frac{d\mathbf{V}}{dt} + \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{V} = 0, \quad \mathbf{V}(0) = \frac{1}{|\mathcal{T}^N|} \int_{\mathcal{T}^N} (\varrho \mathbf{u})_0 \, dx. \quad (4-11)$$

Accordingly, the momentum equation can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \mathbf{v} + \exp(\beta_M) \left[\operatorname{div}_x \frac{(\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{V} + \nabla_x \Psi) \otimes (\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{V} + \nabla_x \Psi)}{\varrho} \right] + \exp(-\beta_M) \nabla_x p(\varrho) + \partial_t \nabla_x \Psi + \frac{1}{2} \nabla_x \Psi = -\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{v}, \\ \operatorname{div}_x \mathbf{v} = 0, \quad \mathbf{v}_0 = \Pi_H[(\varrho \mathbf{u})_0] - \frac{1}{|\mathcal{T}^N|} \int_{\mathcal{T}^N} (\varrho \mathbf{u})_0 \, dx. \end{aligned} \quad (4-12)$$

Similarly to the above, we can fix ϱ, Ψ to satisfy (4-10) together with (4-3).

Finally, seeing that $\int_{\mathcal{T}^N} \mathbf{v} \, dx = 0$, we may solve an analogue to the elliptic system (4-6), namely,

$$\operatorname{div}_x \left[\nabla_x \mathbf{m} + \nabla_x^t \mathbf{m} - \frac{2}{N} \operatorname{div}_x \mathbf{m} \right] = \exp(-\beta_M) \nabla_x p(\varrho) + \partial_t \nabla_x \Psi + \frac{1}{2} \nabla_x \Psi + \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{v}. \quad (4-13)$$

Note that, in contrast with (4-6), the solution $\mathbf{m} = \mathbf{m}[\mathbf{v}]$ depends on \mathbf{v} .

Similarly to (4-7) we can write the final problem (setting $\mathbf{h} = \mathbf{V} + \nabla_x \Psi$ and $r = \varrho$):

$$\partial_t \mathbf{v} + \operatorname{div}_x \left[\frac{(\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{h}) \otimes (\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{h})}{r} + \mathbb{M}[\mathbf{v}] \right] = 0, \quad \operatorname{div}_x \mathbf{v} = 0, \quad \mathbf{v}(0, \cdot) = \mathbf{v}_0, \quad (4-14)$$

where

$$\mathbf{v}_0 \in C^1(\mathcal{T}^N; R^N) \text{ } \mathbb{P}\text{-a.s.}, \quad \operatorname{div}_x \mathbf{v}_0 = 0, \quad \int_{\mathcal{T}^N} \mathbf{v}_0 \, dx = 0, \quad \mathbf{v}_0 \text{ is } \mathfrak{F}_0\text{-measurable, } \|\mathbf{v}_0\|_{C^1(\mathcal{T}^N; R^N)} \leq c_M \text{ } \mathbb{P}\text{-a.s.},$$

$$\mathbf{h} \in C^a([0, T]; C^1(\mathcal{T}^N; R^N)) \text{ } \mathbb{P}\text{-a.s.}, \quad \mathbf{h} \text{ is } (\mathfrak{F}_t)\text{-adapted, } \|\mathbf{h}\|_{C^a([0, T]; C^1(\mathcal{T}^N; R^N))} \leq c_M \text{ } \mathbb{P}\text{-a.s.}, \quad (4-15)$$

$$r \in C^a([0, T]; C^1(\mathcal{T}^N)) \text{ } \mathbb{P}\text{-a.s.}, \quad r \text{ is } (\mathfrak{F}_t)\text{-adapted, } \|r\|_{C^a([0, T]; C^1(\mathcal{T}^N))} \leq c_M, \quad \frac{1}{r} \geq \frac{1}{c_M} \text{ } \mathbb{P}\text{-a.s.}, \quad (4-16)$$

and

$$\mathbb{M} = \mathbb{M}[\mathbf{v}] = \nabla_x \mathbf{m} + \nabla_x^t \mathbf{m} - \frac{2}{N} \operatorname{div}_x \mathbf{m} \quad (4-17)$$

is the unique solution of the elliptic system (4-13).

Remark 4.6. Note that \mathbf{h} is actually more regular than in [Section 4A](#).

Similarly to the preceding section we have the following definition.

Definition 4.7. Assume that the data $\mathbf{v}_0, \mathbf{h}, r$ satisfy [\(4-15\)](#) and let $\mathbb{M}[\mathbf{v}]$ be given by [\(4-17\)](#) with ϱ, Ψ satisfying [\(4-3\)](#).² We say that \mathbf{v} is a weak solution to problem [\(4-14\)](#) provided:

- (i) We have $t \mapsto \int_{\mathcal{T}^N} \mathbf{v} \cdot \boldsymbol{\varphi} \, dx \in C([0, T])$ for any $\boldsymbol{\varphi} \in C^\infty(\mathcal{T}^N; \mathbb{R}^N)$, the stochastic process $t \mapsto \int_{\mathcal{T}^N} \mathbf{v} \cdot \boldsymbol{\varphi} \, dx$ is (\mathfrak{F}_t) -adapted,

$$\mathbf{v} \in C_{\text{weak}}([0, T]; L^2(\mathcal{T}^N; \mathbb{R}^N)) \cap L^\infty((0, T) \times \mathcal{T}^N; \mathbb{R}^N) \quad \mathbb{P}\text{-a.s.}$$

- (ii) For all $\boldsymbol{\varphi} \in C^\infty(\mathcal{T}^N, \mathbb{R}^N)$ and all $t \in [0, T]$ the following holds \mathbb{P} -a.s.:

$$\int_{\mathcal{T}^N} \mathbf{v}(t, \cdot) \cdot \boldsymbol{\varphi} \, dx - \int_{\mathcal{T}^N} \mathbf{v}_0 \cdot \boldsymbol{\varphi} \, dx = \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{T}^N} \left[\frac{(\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{h}) \otimes (\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{h})}{r} : \nabla_x \boldsymbol{\varphi} + \mathbb{M}[\mathbf{v}] : \nabla_x \boldsymbol{\varphi} \right] dx \, dt. \quad (4-18)$$

Again similarly to the preceding section, we summarize as follows.

Proposition 4.8. Let β_M be given. Let ϱ, Ψ solve [\(4-10\)](#), and let \mathbf{V} solve [\(4-11\)](#). Let \mathbf{v} be a weak solution of [\(4-14\)](#), with

$$r = \varrho, \quad \mathbf{h} = \mathbf{V} + \nabla_x \Psi, \quad \mathbb{M} = \nabla_x \mathbf{m} + \nabla_x^t \mathbf{m} - \frac{2}{N} \operatorname{div}_x \mathbf{m} \mathbb{I},$$

where $\mathbf{m} = \mathbf{m}[\mathbf{v}]$ is the unique solution of the elliptic system [\(4-13\)](#).

Then

$$\varrho, \varrho \mathbf{u} = \exp(\beta_M)(\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{V} + \nabla_x \Psi) \quad (4-19)$$

is a solution of problem [\(3-6\)](#), [\(3-7\)](#).

Remark 4.9. In view of [Proposition 4.8](#), the proof of [Theorem 2.3](#) in the case of the multiplicative noise reduces to showing the existence of infinitely many solutions to problem [\(4-14\)](#).

5. Convex integration

Problems [\(4-7\)](#) and [\(4-14\)](#) can be solved pathwise using the method of [\[De Lellis and Székelyhidi 2010\]](#), with the necessary modifications developed in [\[Feireisl 2016\]](#). In such a way, we would obtain the existence of (infinitely many) solutions in the semideterministic spirit introduced in [\[Bensoussan and Temam 1973\]](#). More specifically, solutions obtained this way would be random variables, meaning \mathfrak{F} -measurable but not necessarily (\mathfrak{F}_t) -adapted (progressively measurable). Obviously, such a semideterministic result would hold without any restriction imposed by the stopping times. Progressive measurability of $\varrho, \varrho \mathbf{u}$ claimed in [Theorem 2.3](#) represents a nontrivial issue that requires a careful revisiting of the method of convex integration presented in [\[De Lellis and Székelyhidi 2010\]](#). The main ingredient is a stochastic variant of the so-called *oscillatory lemma* shown in the present section.

Definition 5.1. Let $G : \Omega \rightarrow X$ be a (Borelian) random variable ranging in a topological space X . We say that G has a compact range in X if there is a (deterministic) compact set $\mathcal{K} \subset X$ such that $G \in \mathcal{K}$ a.s.

²A weak solution could be defined under much less restrictive assumptions on the data.

5A. Geometric setting. Let $R_{\text{sym}}^{N \times N}$ denote the space of symmetric $N \times N$ matrices and let $R_{0,\text{sym}}^{N \times N}$ be its subspace of traceless matrices. Following the ansatz of [De Lellis and Székelyhidi 2010, Lemma 3] we consider the set

$$\mathcal{S}[e] = \{[\mathbf{w}, \mathbb{H}] \mid \mathbf{w} \in R^N, \mathbb{H} \in R_{0,\text{sym}}^{N \times N}, \frac{1}{2}N\lambda_{\max}[\mathbf{w} \otimes \mathbf{w} - \mathbb{H}] < e\},$$

where $\lambda_{\max}[\mathbb{A}]$ denotes the maximal eigenvalue of a symmetric matrix \mathbb{A} . Thanks to the algebraic inequality

$$\frac{1}{2}N\lambda_{\max}[\mathbf{w} \otimes \mathbf{w} - \mathbb{H}] \geq \frac{1}{2}|\mathbf{w}|^2, \quad \mathbb{H} \in R_{0,\text{sym}}^{N \times N}, \quad (5-1)$$

$\mathcal{S}[e] \neq \emptyset$ only if $e > 0$. In addition, we have

$$\frac{1}{2}(N-1)\lambda_{\max}[\mathbf{w} \otimes \mathbf{w} - \mathbb{H}] \geq \frac{1}{2}|\mathbb{H}|^2, \quad \mathbf{w} \in R^N; \quad (5-2)$$

see [De Lellis and Székelyhidi 2010, Lemma 3(iii)]. Thus, for given $e > 0$, $\mathcal{S}[e]$ is a convex open and bounded subset of $R^N \times R_{0,\text{sym}}^{N \times N}$. Moreover, as shown in [De Lellis and Székelyhidi 2010],

$$\partial\mathcal{S}[e] = \left\{ \left[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{a} \otimes \mathbf{a} - \frac{1}{N}|\mathbf{a}|^2\mathbb{I} \right] \mid \frac{1}{2}|\mathbf{a}|^2 = e \right\}.$$

De Lellis and Székelyhidi [2010, Lemma 6] proved the following result. Given $e > 0$ and $[\mathbf{w}, \mathbb{H}] \in \mathcal{S}[e]$, there exist $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \in R^N$ enjoying the following properties:

- We have

$$\frac{1}{2}|\mathbf{a}|^2 = \frac{1}{2}|\mathbf{b}|^2 = e. \quad (5-3)$$

- There exists $L \geq 0$ such that for $\mathbf{s} = \mathbf{a} - \mathbf{b}$, $\mathbb{M} = \mathbf{a} \otimes \mathbf{a} - \mathbf{b} \otimes \mathbf{b}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & [\mathbf{w} + \lambda\mathbf{s}, \mathbb{H} + \lambda\mathbb{M}] \in \mathcal{S}[e], \\ & \text{dist}[[\mathbf{w} + \lambda\mathbf{s}, \mathbb{H} + \lambda\mathbb{M}]; \partial\mathcal{S}[e]] \geq \frac{1}{2} \text{dist}[[\mathbf{w}, \mathbb{H}]; \partial\mathcal{S}[e]] \end{aligned} \quad (5-4)$$

for all $\lambda \in [-L, L]$.

- There is a universal constant $c(N)$ depending only on the dimension such that

$$L|\mathbf{s}| \geq c(N) \frac{1}{\sqrt{e}} \left(e - \frac{1}{2}|\mathbf{w}|^2 \right). \quad (5-5)$$

- We have

$$|\mathbf{a} \pm \mathbf{b}| \geq \chi(\text{dist}[[\mathbf{w}, \mathbb{H}]; \partial\mathcal{S}[e]]), \quad (5-6)$$

where χ is positive for positive arguments.

Motivated by this result, we consider a set-valued mapping

$$\mathcal{F} : (0, \infty) \times R^N \times R_{0,\text{sym}}^{N \times N} \rightarrow 2^{R^N \times R^N}$$

determined by the following properties:

(1) Whenever $[\mathbf{w}, \mathbb{H}] \notin \overline{\mathcal{S}[e]}$ we have

$$\mathcal{F}(e, \mathbf{w}, \mathbb{H}) = \{[\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{w}]\}. \quad (5-7)$$

(2) If $[\mathbf{w}, \mathbb{H}] \in \overline{\mathcal{S}[e]}$, then $[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}] \in \mathcal{F}(e, \mathbf{w}, \mathbb{H})$ if and only if:

- We have

$$\frac{1}{2}|\mathbf{a}|^2 = \frac{1}{2}|\mathbf{b}|^2 = e. \quad (5-8)$$

- There exists $L \geq 0$ such that for $\mathbf{s} = \mathbf{a} - \mathbf{b}$, $\mathbb{M} = \mathbf{a} \otimes \mathbf{a} - \mathbf{b} \otimes \mathbf{b}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & [\mathbf{w} + \lambda \mathbf{s}, \mathbb{H} + \lambda \mathbb{M}] \in \mathcal{S}[e], \\ & \text{dist}[[\mathbf{w} + \lambda \mathbf{s}, \mathbb{H} + \lambda \mathbb{M}]; \partial \mathcal{S}[e]] \geq \frac{1}{2} \text{dist}[[\mathbf{w}, \mathbb{H}]; \partial \mathcal{S}[e]] \end{aligned} \quad (5-9)$$

for all $\lambda \in [-L, L]$.

- We have

$$L|\mathbf{s}| \geq c(N) \frac{1}{\sqrt{e}} (e - \frac{1}{2}|\mathbf{w}|^2), \quad (5-10)$$

where $c(N)$ is the universal constant from (5-5);

$$|\mathbf{a} \pm \mathbf{b}| \geq \chi(\text{dist}[[\mathbf{w}, \mathbb{H}]; \partial \mathcal{S}[e]]), \quad (5-11)$$

where χ has been introduced in (5-6).

Basic properties of \mathcal{F} are summarized in the following lemma.

Lemma 5.2. *For any $(e, \mathbf{w}, \mathbb{H}) \in (0, \infty) \times R^N \times R_{0, \text{sym}}^{N \times N}$ the set $\mathcal{F}(e, \mathbf{w}, \mathbb{H})$ is nonempty, closed, and contained in a compact set, the size of which depends only on e and $|\mathbf{w}|$. Moreover, the mapping*

$$\mathcal{F} : (0, \infty) \times R^N \times R_{0, \text{sym}}^{N \times N} \rightarrow 2^{R^N \times R^N}$$

has closed graph with respect to the Hausdorff distance on compact sets.

Proof. As shown in [De Lellis and Székelyhidi 2010, Lemma 6], the set $\mathcal{F}(e, \mathbf{w}, \mathbb{H})$ is nonempty for any $[\mathbf{w}, \mathbb{H}] \in \mathcal{S}[e]$ for a certain universal constant $c(N)$. If $[\mathbf{w}, \mathbb{H}] \in \partial \mathcal{S}[e]$, then

$$\frac{1}{2}|\mathbf{w}|^2 = e,$$

and, consequently, $\mathcal{F}(e, \mathbf{w}, \mathbb{H})$ contains at least the trivial point $[\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{w}]$. Obviously, $\mathcal{F}(e, \mathbf{w}, \mathbb{H})$ is closed and bounded, whence compact.

Closedness of the graph follows by the standard compactness argument as the target space is locally compact, and conditions (5-8)–(5-11) are invariant with respect to strong convergence. \square

Remark 5.3. The mapping assigns to any point $[\mathbf{w}, \mathbb{H}] \in \mathcal{S}[e]$ a segment $[\mathbf{w} + \lambda \mathbf{s}, \mathbb{H} + \lambda \mathbb{M}]$, $\lambda \in [-L, L]$, that has “maximal” length and still belongs to the set $\mathcal{S}[e]$. Solutions constructed later by the method of convex integration “oscillate” along segments of this type.

Let $(\Omega, \mathfrak{F}, \mathbb{P})$ be a probability space endowed with a complete σ -algebra of measurable sets \mathfrak{F} . Suppose now that

$[e, \mathbf{w}, \mathbb{H}]$ is an $[\mathfrak{F}, \mathfrak{B}[(0, \infty) \times R^N \times R_{0,\text{sym}}^{N \times N}]]$ -measurable random variable,

where the symbol \mathfrak{B} denotes the σ -algebra of Borel sets. Our goal is to show that the composed mapping $\mathcal{F}(e, \mathbf{w}, \mathbb{H})$, considered now as a (set-valued) random variable, admits an \mathfrak{F} -measurable selection. To this end, we recall the celebrated Kuratowski and Ryll–Nardzewski theorem, see e.g., the survey [Wagner 1977].

Theorem 5.4. *Let (X, \mathcal{A}, μ) be a measure space with a (complete) σ -algebra of measurable sets \mathcal{A} . Let*

$$\mathcal{H} : X \rightarrow 2^Y$$

be a set-valued mapping, where Y is a Polish space with the σ -algebra of Borel sets \mathfrak{B} . Suppose that for all $x \in X$

$$\mathcal{H}(x) \text{ is a nonempty and closed subset of } Y,$$

and that \mathcal{H} is weakly measurable, meaning

$$\{x \mid \mathcal{H}(x) \cap B \neq \emptyset\} \in \mathcal{A}$$

for any open set $B \subset Y$.

Then \mathcal{H} admits an \mathcal{A} - \mathfrak{B} measurable selection, meaning a single valued \mathcal{A} - \mathfrak{B} measurable mapping $H : X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$H(x) \in \mathcal{H}(x), \quad x \in X.$$

As both spaces $(0, \infty) \times R^N \times R_{0,\text{sym}}^{N \times N}$ and $R^N \times R^N$ are finite-dimensional, compactness of the range of \mathcal{F} and closedness of its graph imply that \mathcal{F} is *upper semicontinuous*; specifically,

$$\{[e, \mathbf{w}, \mathbb{H}] \mid \mathcal{F}(e, \mathbf{w}, \mathbb{H}) \cap D \neq \emptyset\} \text{ is closed whenever } D \text{ is closed in } R^N \times R^N.$$

See [Wagner 1977].

As preimages of closed sets are measurable, we get (strong) measurability of \mathcal{F} ; specifically,

$$\{\omega \in \Omega \mid \mathcal{F}(e, \mathbf{w}, \mathbb{H}) \cap D \neq \emptyset\}$$

is measurable for any closed set D in $R^N \times R_{0,\text{sym}}^{N \times N}$. Strong measurability implies weak measurability of \mathcal{F} , namely,

$$\{\omega \in \Omega \mid \mathcal{F}(e, \mathbf{w}, \mathbb{H}) \cap G \neq \emptyset\}$$

is measurable for any open set G in $R^N \times R^N$.

Thus applying Theorem 5.4 we obtain the following conclusion.

Proposition 5.5. *Let*

$$\mathcal{F}(e, \mathbf{w}, \mathbb{H}) : (0, \infty) \times R^N \times R_{0,\text{sym}}^{N \times N} \rightarrow 2^{R^N \times R^N}$$

be a set-valued mapping enjoying the properties (5-7)–(5-11). Let $(\Omega, \mathfrak{F}, \mathbb{P})$ be a probability space endowed with a complete σ -algebra of measurable sets \mathfrak{F} , and let

$$(e, \mathbf{w}, \mathbb{H}) \text{ be an } [\mathfrak{F}, \mathfrak{B}[(0, \infty) \times R^N \times R_{0,\text{sym}}^{N \times N}]]\text{-measurable random variable.}$$

Then the mapping \mathcal{F} admits an $[\mathfrak{F}; \mathfrak{B}[R^N \times R^N]]$ -measurable selection. In particular, there exists an $[\mathfrak{F}; \mathfrak{B}[R^N \times R^N]]$ -measurable mapping

$$F : \Omega \rightarrow R^N \times R^N$$

such that it holds \mathbb{P} -a.s.:

$$\text{if } [\mathbf{w}(\omega), \mathbb{H}(\omega)] \in \mathcal{S}[e(\omega)], \text{ then } F(\omega) = [\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}], \text{ where } [\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}] \text{ satisfy (5-8)–(5-11).} \quad (5-12)$$

5B. Analytic setting. Following [De Lellis and Székelyhidi 2010] we introduce a mapping

$$\begin{aligned} R^{N+1} \ni \xi = [\xi_0, \xi_1, \dots, \xi_n] &\mapsto \mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}}(\xi) \in R_{0, \text{sym}}^{(N+1) \times (N+1)}, \\ \mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}}(\xi) &= \frac{1}{2}((\mathbb{R} \cdot \xi) \otimes (\mathbb{Q}(\xi) \cdot \xi) + (\mathbb{Q}(\xi) \cdot \xi) \otimes (\mathbb{R} \cdot \xi)), \end{aligned} \quad (5-13)$$

where

$$\mathbb{Q} = \xi \otimes e_0 - e_0 \otimes \xi, \quad \mathbb{R} = ([0, \mathbf{a}] \otimes [0, \mathbf{b}]) - ([0, \mathbf{b}] \otimes [0, \mathbf{a}]),$$

and

$$e_0 = [1, 0, \dots, 0], \quad \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \in R^N, \quad \frac{1}{2}|\mathbf{a}|^2 = \frac{1}{2}|\mathbf{b}|^2 = e > 0, \quad \mathbf{a} \neq \pm \mathbf{b}.$$

$\mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}}$ can be seen as a Fourier symbol of a pseudodifferential operator, where $\xi = (\xi_0, \xi_1, \dots, \xi_N)$ corresponds to $\partial = [\partial_t, \partial_{x_1}, \dots, \partial_{x_N}]$.

The following was shown in [De Lellis and Székelyhidi 2010, Section 4.4]:

- If $\phi \in C_c^\infty(R \times R^N)$, and if we define

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & \mathbf{w} \\ \mathbf{w} & \mathbb{H} \end{bmatrix} \equiv \mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}}(\partial)[\phi]$$

then

$$\partial_t \mathbf{w} + \operatorname{div}_x \mathbb{H} = 0, \quad \operatorname{div}_x \mathbf{w} = 0. \quad (5-14)$$

- For

$$\eta_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}} = -\frac{1}{(|\mathbf{a}||\mathbf{b}| + \mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{b})^{2/3}}[[0, \mathbf{a}] + [0, \mathbf{b}] - (|\mathbf{a}||\mathbf{b}| + \mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{b})e_0], \quad \psi \in C^\infty(R), \quad (5-15)$$

we have

$$\mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}}(\partial)[\psi([t, \mathbf{x}] \cdot \eta_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}})] = \psi'''([t, \mathbf{x}] \cdot \eta_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}}) \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \mathbf{a} - \mathbf{b} \\ \mathbf{a} - \mathbf{b} & \mathbf{a} \otimes \mathbf{a} - \mathbf{b} \otimes \mathbf{b} \end{bmatrix}. \quad (5-16)$$

5C. A stochastic version of oscillatory lemma. Let $Q = \{(t, x) \mid t \in (0, 1), x \in (0, 1)^N\}$. Let $(\Omega, \mathfrak{F}, \mathbb{P})$ be a probability space with a complete σ -algebra of measurable sets \mathfrak{F} . Finally, we introduce the metric on the space of weakly continuous functions $C_{\text{weak}}([0, 1]; L^2([0, 1]^N; R^N))$,

$$d[\mathbf{v}; \mathbf{w}] = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^m} \frac{|\int_{[0, 1]^N} (\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{w}) \cdot \boldsymbol{\varphi}_m \, dx|}{1 + |\int_{[0, 1]^N} (\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{w}) \cdot \boldsymbol{\varphi}_m \, dx|}, \quad (5-17)$$

$$\boldsymbol{\varphi}_m \in C_c^\infty((0, 1)^N; R^N), \quad m = 1, 2, \dots, \quad \{\boldsymbol{\varphi}_m\}_{m=1}^\infty \text{ a dense set in } L^2([0, 1]^N; R^N).$$

The following is the main result of the present section.

Lemma 5.6. *Let $\omega \mapsto [e, \mathbf{w}, \mathbb{H}]$ be a $[\mathfrak{F}; \mathfrak{B}[(0, \infty) \times R^N \times R_{0,\text{sym}}^{N \times N}]]$ -measurable mapping such that*

$$[\mathbf{w}, \mathbb{H}] \in \mathcal{S}[e] \quad \mathbb{P}\text{-a.s.} \quad (5-18)$$

Then there exist sequences \mathbf{w}_n and \mathbb{V}_n such that $\mathbf{w}_n \in C_c^\infty(Q; R^N)$ \mathbb{P} -a.s. and $\mathbb{V}_n \in C_c^\infty(Q; R_{0,\text{sym}}^{N \times N})$ \mathbb{P} -a.s., $n \in \mathbb{N}$, enjoying the following properties:

(i) *$t \mapsto [\mathbf{w}_n, \mathbb{V}_n]$ is a stochastic process, meaning*

$$[\mathbf{w}_n(t, \cdot); \mathbb{V}_n(t, \cdot)] \in C([0, 1]^N; R^N \times R_{0,\text{sym}}^{N \times N}) \quad \mathbb{P}\text{-a.s.}$$

$$\text{is } [\mathfrak{F}; \mathfrak{B}[C([0, 1]^N; R^N \times R_{0,\text{sym}}^{N \times N})]]\text{-measurable for any } t \in [0, 1]. \quad (5-19)$$

(ii) *In Q we have \mathbb{P} -a.s.*

$$\partial_t \mathbf{w}_n + \operatorname{div}_x \mathbb{V}_n = 0, \quad \operatorname{div}_x \mathbf{w}_n = 0. \quad (5-20)$$

(iii) *As $n \rightarrow \infty$ we have \mathbb{P} -a.s.*

$$\mathbf{w}_n \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{in } C_{\text{weak}}([0, 1]; L^2([0, 1]^N; R^N)). \quad (5-21)$$

(iv) *In Q we have \mathbb{P} -a.s.*

$$[\mathbf{w} + \mathbf{w}_n, \mathbb{H} + \mathbb{V}_n] \in \mathcal{S}[e]. \quad (5-22)$$

(v) *The following holds \mathbb{P} -a.s.:*

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |\mathbf{w}_n|^2 \, dx \, dt \geq \frac{c(N)}{e} (e - \tfrac{1}{2} |\mathbf{w}|^2)^2. \quad (5-23)$$

If, in addition to (5-18), $e \leq \bar{e}_M$ \mathbb{P} -a.s. for some deterministic constant \bar{e}_M , and

$$[\mathbf{w}, \mathbb{H}] \in \mathcal{S}[e - \delta] \quad \text{for some deterministic constant } \delta > 0, \quad (5-24)$$

then each $\mathbf{w}_n, \mathbb{V}_n$ has compact range in $C(\bar{Q}; R^N)$, $C(\bar{Q}; R_{0,\text{sym}}^{N \times N})$, and

$$[\mathbf{w} + \mathbf{w}_n, \mathbb{H} + \mathbb{V}_n] \in \mathcal{S}[e - \delta_n] \quad \mathbb{P}\text{-a.s.} \quad (5-25)$$

for some deterministic constants $\delta_n > 0$. Moreover, the convergence in (5-21) can be strengthened to

$$\operatorname{ess\,sup}_{\omega \in \Omega} \left(\sup_{t \in [0, T]} d[\mathbf{w}_n(t, \cdot); 0] \right) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (5-26)$$

Remark 5.7. Hypothesis (5-24) is equivalent to saying that

$$\operatorname{ess\,inf}_{\Omega} \left\{ e - \tfrac{1}{2} N \lambda_{\max}[\mathbf{w} \otimes \mathbf{w} - \mathbb{H}] \right\} > 0.$$

Note that if this is the case, we have $e \geq \delta > 0$, whence e is a random variable with a compact range in $(0, \infty)$.

Proof. The proof is given through several steps.

Step 1: Given $[\mathbf{w}, \mathbb{H}]$ and e , we use Proposition 5.5 to identify the measurable selection of vectors $[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}]$ satisfying (5-12).

Step 2: For each $[a, b]$ we construct the operator $\mathbb{A}_{a,b}$ and the vector $\eta_{a,b}$ enjoying (5-14)–(5-16).

Step 3: We consider a deterministic function $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(Q)$ such that

$$0 \leq \varphi \leq 1, \quad \varphi(t, x) = 1 \quad \text{whenever} \quad -\frac{1}{2} \leq t \leq \frac{1}{2}, \quad |x| \leq \frac{1}{2}.$$

Step 4: We identify the functions w_n, \mathbb{V}_n from the relation

$$\mathbb{A}_{a,b}(\partial) \left[\varphi \frac{L}{n^3} \cos(n[t, x] \cdot \eta_{a,b}) \right] = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & w_n \\ w_n & \mathbb{V}_n \end{bmatrix}.$$

In accordance with our construction of the points $[a, b]$, the operator $\mathbb{A}_{a,b}$, and the vector $\eta_{a,b}$, it is easy to check the w_n, \mathbb{V}_n enjoy the required measurability properties (5-19). Moreover, by virtue of (5-14), equations (5-20) are satisfied.

Step 5: As \mathbb{A} is a homogeneous differential operator of third order, we get, in agreement with (5-16),

$$\mathbb{A}_{a,b}(\partial) \left[\varphi \frac{L}{n^3} \cos(n[t, x] \cdot \eta_{a,b}) \right] = \varphi \sin(n[t, x] \cdot \eta_{a,b}) L \begin{bmatrix} 0 & (a-b) \\ (a-b) & a \otimes a - b \otimes b \end{bmatrix} + \frac{1}{n} R_n \quad (5-27)$$

with $|R_n|$ uniformly bounded for $n \rightarrow \infty$. As (5-9), (5-10) holds, we deduce the remaining properties (5-21)–(5-23) provided n is chosen large enough. Note that we have

$$|\varphi \sin(n[t, x] \cdot \eta_{a,b})| \leq 1$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_Q |w_n|^2 dx \, dt &\geq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{c}{e} \left(e - \frac{1}{2} |w|^2 \right)^2 \int_Q \varphi^2 \sin^2(n[t, x] \cdot \eta_{a,b}) dx \, dt - \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{c |R_n|^2}{n^2} \\ &= \frac{c}{e} \left(e - \frac{1}{2} |w|^2 \right)^2 \frac{|Q|}{2} \end{aligned}$$

using [De Lellis and Székelyhidi 2010, Lemma 7] in the last step. Strictly speaking $|R_n|$ is a random variable so we need $n \geq n_0(\omega)$, where the latter is \mathfrak{F} -measurable. Setting $[w_n, \mathbb{V}_n] = [0, 0]$ whenever $n \leq n_0$ yields the desired inclusion (5-22).

Step 6: If $e \leq \bar{e}_M$ for some deterministic constants, then w, \mathbb{H} have compact range in $R^N, R_{0,\text{sym}}^{N \times N}$, respectively. In addition, hypothesis (5-24) implies

$$[w, \mathbb{H}] \in \mathcal{S}[e - \varepsilon] \quad \text{for any } 0 \leq \varepsilon < \delta.$$

Thus the above construction can be therefore repeated with e replaced by $e - \varepsilon$, $\varepsilon > 0$. Moreover, in view of (5-11), the remainder R_n specified in Step 5 above is now bounded uniformly by a deterministic constant depending only on ε . Since

$$\overline{\mathcal{S}[e - \delta]} \subset \mathcal{S}[e - \varepsilon] \subset \overline{\mathcal{S}[e - \varepsilon]} \subset \mathcal{S}[e],$$

compactness of the range of w_n, \mathbb{V}_n follows from their construction and (5-11). Notably relations (5-8) and (5-11) yield deterministic (in terms of ε) upper and lower bounds on the norm of the vector $\eta_{a,b}$ used

in the construction of $\mathbf{w}_n, \mathbb{V}_n$. More specifically,

$$0 < \underline{\eta} \leq |\mathbf{a}| |\mathbf{b}| + \mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{b} \leq \bar{\eta}, \quad 0 < \underline{\eta} \leq |\eta_{a,b}| \leq \bar{\eta}, \quad (5-28)$$

for deterministic constants $\underline{\eta}, \bar{\eta}$. As $\varepsilon > 0$ can be taken arbitrarily small, the inclusion (5-25) follows.

Finally, we show the uniform convergence claimed in (5-26). As $\mathbf{w}_n, \mathbb{V}_n$ satisfy (5-20), (5-25), we observe that

$$\left| \int_{[0,1]^N} [\mathbf{w}_n(t_1, \cdot) - \mathbf{w}_n(t_2, \cdot)] \cdot \boldsymbol{\varphi} \, dx \right| \leq K(\bar{e}_M, \boldsymbol{\varphi}) |t_1 - t_2|$$

for any $0 \leq t_1 \leq t_2 \leq 1, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots, \quad \boldsymbol{\varphi} \in C_c^\infty((0, 1)^N; R^N), \quad (5-29)$

where K is a deterministic quantity.

Next we show that

$$\left| \int_Q \mathbf{w}_n \cdot \boldsymbol{\psi} \, dx \, dt \right| \leq \frac{c(\bar{e}_M)}{n} \|\boldsymbol{\psi}\|_{W^{1,\infty}(R^{N+1}; R^N)} \quad \text{for any } \boldsymbol{\psi} \in C_c^\infty(R^{N+1}; R^N). \quad (5-30)$$

Indeed

$$\mathbf{w}_n = \varphi L(\mathbf{a} - \mathbf{b}) \sin(n[t, x] \cdot \eta_{a,b}) + \frac{1}{n} R_n,$$

where R_n is bounded in terms of the deterministic quantity \bar{e}_M . Next,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_Q L(\mathbf{a} - \mathbf{b}) \sin(n[t, x] \cdot \eta_{a,b}) \cdot (\varphi \boldsymbol{\psi}) \, dx \, dt \right| &= \left| \int_{R^N} \int_R L(\mathbf{a} - \mathbf{b}) \sin(n[t, x] \cdot \eta_{a,b}) \cdot (\varphi \boldsymbol{\psi}) \, dt \, dx \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{n |(\eta_{a,b})_0|} \left| \int_{R^N} \int_R L(\mathbf{a} - \mathbf{b}) \cos(n[t, x] \cdot \eta_{a,b}) \cdot \partial_t(\varphi \boldsymbol{\psi}) \, dt \, dx \right| \\ &\leq \frac{c(\bar{e}_M)}{n |(\eta_{a,b})_0|} \|\boldsymbol{\psi}\|_{W^{1,\infty}(R^{N+1}; R^N)}. \end{aligned}$$

In view of (5-15),

$$(\eta_{a,b})_0 = (|\mathbf{a}| |\mathbf{b}| + \mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{b})^{1/3},$$

whence (5-30) follows from (5-28).

It remains to observe that (5-29), (5-30) give rise to the uniform convergence claimed in (5-26). Indeed, since $\|\mathbf{w}_n\|_{L^\infty(Q; R^N)} \leq c(\bar{e}_M)$, it is enough to show that

$$\operatorname{ess\,sup}_{\omega \in \Omega} \left(\sup_{t \in [0,1]} \left| \int_{[0,1]^N} \mathbf{w}_n(t, \cdot) \cdot \boldsymbol{\varphi} \, dx \right| \right) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty \quad (5-31)$$

for any fixed $\boldsymbol{\varphi} \in C_c^\infty((0, 1)^N; R^N)$. We write,

$$\int_{[0,1]^N} \mathbf{w}_n(t, \cdot) \cdot \boldsymbol{\varphi} \, dx = \int_R \psi_\varepsilon(t - \tau) \left(\int_{[0,1]^N} (\mathbf{w}_n(t, \cdot) - \mathbf{w}_n(\tau, \cdot)) \cdot \boldsymbol{\varphi} \, dx \right) d\tau + \int_Q \psi_\varepsilon(t - \tau) \mathbf{w}_n \cdot \boldsymbol{\varphi} \, dx \, d\tau$$

for any

$$\psi_\varepsilon \in C_c^\infty(R), \quad \psi_\varepsilon \geq 0, \quad \operatorname{supp}[\psi_\varepsilon] \subset [-\varepsilon, \varepsilon], \quad \int_R \psi_\varepsilon(t) \, dt = 1.$$

Consequently, (5-31) follows from (5-29), (5-30). □

5C.1. Extension by scaling. Let

$$Q = (T_1, T_2) \times (a_1, b_1) \times \cdots \times (a_N, b_N).$$

Following [Donatelli, Feireisl, and Marcati 2015, Section 4.2], we may use scaling in t and x and additivity of the integral to show the following extension of Lemma 5.6.

Lemma 5.8. *Let $\omega \mapsto [e, r, \mathbf{w}, \mathbb{H}]$ be a $[\mathfrak{F}; \mathfrak{B}[(0, \infty)^2, R^N, R_{0, \text{sym}}^{N \times N}]]$ -measurable mapping such that*

$$\left[\frac{\mathbf{w}}{\sqrt{r}}, \mathbb{H} \right] \in \mathcal{S}[e] \quad \mathbb{P}\text{-a.s.}$$

Then there exist sequences \mathbf{w}_n and \mathbb{V}_n such that $\mathbf{w}_n \in C_c^\infty(Q; R^N)$ \mathbb{P} -a.s. and $\mathbb{V}_n \in C_c^\infty(Q; R_{0, \text{sym}}^{N \times N})$ \mathbb{P} -a.s., $n \in \mathbb{N}$, enjoying the following properties:

(i) $t \mapsto [\mathbf{w}_n, \mathbb{V}_n]$ is a stochastic process, meaning

$$\begin{aligned} [\mathbf{w}_n(t, \cdot); \mathbb{V}_n(t, \cdot)] &\in C\left(\prod_{i=1}^N [a_i, b_i]; R^N \times R_{0, \text{sym}}^{N \times N}\right) \quad \mathbb{P}\text{-a.s.} \\ &\text{is } \left[\mathfrak{F}; \mathfrak{B}\left[C\left(\prod_{i=1}^N [a_i, b_i]; R^N \times R_{0, \text{sym}}^{N \times N}\right)\right] \right]\text{-measurable for any } t \in [T_1, T_2]. \end{aligned} \quad (5-32)$$

(ii) In Q we have \mathbb{P} -a.s.

$$\partial_t \mathbf{w}_n + \operatorname{div}_x \mathbb{V}_n = 0, \quad \operatorname{div}_x \mathbf{w}_n = 0. \quad (5-33)$$

(iii) As $n \rightarrow \infty$ we have \mathbb{P} -a.s.

$$\mathbf{w}_n \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{in } C_{\text{weak}}([T_1, T_2]; L^2(R^N; R^N)). \quad (5-34)$$

(iv) In Q we have \mathbb{P} -a.s.

$$\left[\frac{\mathbf{w} + \mathbf{w}_n}{\sqrt{r}}, \mathbb{H} + \mathbb{V}_n \right] \in \mathcal{S}[e]. \quad (5-35)$$

(v) The following holds \mathbb{P} -a.s.:

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q \frac{|\mathbf{w}_n|^2}{r} \, dx \, dt \geq \frac{c(N)}{e} \left(e - \frac{1}{2} \frac{|\mathbf{w}|^2}{r} \right)^2. \quad (5-36)$$

If, in addition,

$$0 < \underline{r}_M \leq r \leq \bar{r}_M, \quad 0 < \underline{e}_M \leq e \leq \bar{e}_M \quad \mathbb{P}\text{-a.s.} \quad (5-37)$$

for some deterministic constants $\underline{r}_M, \bar{r}_M, \underline{e}_M, \bar{e}_M$, and

$$\left[\frac{\mathbf{w}}{\sqrt{r}}, \mathbb{H} \right] \in \mathcal{S}[e - \delta] \quad \mathbb{P}\text{-a.s. for some deterministic } \delta > 0,$$

then each $\mathbf{w}_n, \mathbb{V}_n$ has compact range in $C(\bar{Q}; R^N), C(\bar{Q}; R_{0, \text{sym}}^{N \times N})$, respectively, and

$$\left[\frac{\mathbf{w} + \mathbf{w}_n}{\sqrt{r}}, \mathbb{H} + \mathbb{V}_n \right] \in \mathcal{S}[e - \delta_n] \quad \mathbb{P}\text{-a.s. for some deterministic } \delta_n > 0. \quad (5-38)$$

Moreover,

$$\operatorname{ess\,sup}_{\omega \in \Omega} \left(\sup_{t \in [0, T]} d[\mathbf{w}_n(t, \cdot); 0] \right) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Remark 5.9. Condition (5-37) can be equivalently formulated saying that the random variable $[r, e]$ has compact range in $(0, \infty)^2$.

5C.2. Extension to piecewise constant coefficients. Consider now a complete right-continuous filtration $(\mathfrak{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}$ of measurable sets in Ω and fix $Q = (0, T) \times (0, 1)^N$. We write $[0, 1]^N = \bigcup_{i \in I} \bar{K}_i$, where K_i are disjoint open cubes of the edge length $1/m$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$. The random variables e, r, \mathbf{w} , and \mathbb{H} will be now \mathbb{P} -a.s. functions of the time t and the spatial variable x that are piecewise constant. More specifically, they shall \mathbb{P} -a.s. belong to the class of functions satisfying

$$F(t, x) = F_{j,i} \quad \text{whenever } t \in \left[\frac{jT}{m}; \frac{(j+1)T}{m} \right), \quad x \in K_i, \quad 0 \leq j \leq m-1, \quad i \in I. \quad (5-39)$$

These functions are piecewise constant on the rectangular grid given by

$$\left[\frac{jT}{m}, \frac{(j+1)T}{m} \right) \times K_i, \quad 0 \leq j \leq m-1, \quad i \in I.$$

In addition, we suppose that $[e, r, \mathbf{w}, \mathbb{H}]$ is (\mathfrak{F}_t) -adapted, meaning that

$$[e, r, \mathbf{w}, \mathbb{H}](t, \cdot) \text{ is } \mathfrak{F}_{jT/m}\text{-measurable whenever } t \in \left[\frac{jT}{m}; \frac{(j+1)T}{m} \right).$$

Keeping in mind that the oscillatory increments $[\mathbf{w}_n, \mathbb{V}_n]$ constructed in Lemma 5.8 are compactly supported in each cube and hence globally smooth, we get the following result when applying Lemma 5.8 with \mathfrak{F} replaced by $\mathfrak{F}_{jT/m}$. Note that $\mathbf{w}_n, \mathbb{V}_n$ are even $\mathfrak{F}_{jT/m}$ adapted.

Lemma 5.10. *Let $(\Omega, \mathfrak{F}, (\mathfrak{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}, \mathbb{P})$ be a probability space with a complete right continuous filtration $(\mathfrak{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}$. Let $[e, r, \mathbf{w}, \mathbb{H}]$ be an (\mathfrak{F}_t) -adapted stochastic process which is \mathbb{P} -a.s. piecewise constant and belongs to the class (5-39). Suppose further that $r > 0, e > 0$ \mathbb{P} -a.s. and*

$$\left[\frac{\mathbf{w}}{\sqrt{r}}, \mathbb{H} \right] \in \mathcal{S}[e] \quad \text{for all } (t, x) \in \bar{Q} \quad \mathbb{P}\text{-a.s.} \quad (5-40)$$

Then there exist sequences \mathbf{w}_n and \mathbb{V}_n such that $\mathbf{w}_n \in C_c^\infty(Q; \mathbb{R}^N)$ \mathbb{P} -a.s. and $\mathbb{V}_n \in C_c^\infty(Q; \mathbb{R}_{0,\text{sym}}^{N \times N})$ \mathbb{P} -a.s., $n \in \mathbb{N}$, enjoying the following properties:

(i) *The process $[\mathbf{w}_n, \mathbb{V}_n]$ is (\mathfrak{F}_t) -adapted such that*

$$[\mathbf{w}_n, \mathbb{V}_n] \in C(\bar{Q}; \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}_{0,\text{sym}}^{N \times N}) \quad \mathbb{P}\text{-a.s. with compact range.}$$

(ii) *In Q we have \mathbb{P} -a.s.*

$$\partial_t \mathbf{w}_n + \operatorname{div}_x \mathbb{V}_n = 0, \quad \operatorname{div}_x \mathbf{w}_n = 0.$$

(iii) *As $n \rightarrow \infty$ we have \mathbb{P} -a.s.*

$$\mathbf{w}_n \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{in } C_{\text{weak}}([0, T]; L^2(\mathcal{T}^N; \mathbb{R}^N)).$$

(iv) In Q we have \mathbb{P} -a.s.

$$\left[\frac{\mathbf{w} + \mathbf{w}_n}{\sqrt{r}}, \mathbb{H} + \mathbb{V}_n \right] \in \mathcal{S}[e]. \quad (5-41)$$

(v) The following holds \mathbb{P} -a.s.:

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_Q \frac{|\mathbf{w}_n|^2}{r} dx \, dt \geq \frac{c(N)}{\sup_Q e} \int_Q \left(e - \frac{1}{2} \frac{|\mathbf{w}|^2}{r} \right)^2 dx \, dt. \quad (5-42)$$

If, in addition,

$$0 < \underline{r}_M \leq r \leq \bar{r}_M, \quad 0 < \underline{e}_M \leq e \leq \bar{e}_M \quad \mathbb{P}\text{-a.s.}$$

for some deterministic constants $\underline{r}_M, \bar{r}_M, \underline{e}_M, \bar{e}_M$, and

$$\left[\frac{\mathbf{w}}{r}, \mathbb{H} \right] \in \mathcal{S}[e - \delta] \quad \mathbb{P}\text{-a.s. for some deterministic } \delta > 0,$$

then each $\mathbf{w}_n, \mathbb{V}_n$ has compact range in $C(\bar{Q}; R^N), C(\bar{Q}; R_{0,\text{sym}}^{N \times N})$, respectively, and

$$\left[\frac{\mathbf{w} + \mathbf{w}_n}{\sqrt{r}}, \mathbb{H} + \mathbb{V}_n \right] \in \mathcal{S}[e - \delta_n] \quad \mathbb{P}\text{-a.s. for some deterministic } \delta_n > 0.$$

Moreover,

$$\text{ess sup}_{\omega \in \Omega} \left(\sup_{t \in [0, T]} d[\mathbf{w}_n(t, \cdot); 0] \right) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

5C.3. Extension to continuous coefficients. Using the result on the piecewise constant coefficients, we may use the approximation procedure from [Donatelli, Feireisl, and Marcati 2015, Section 4.3] to extend the oscillatory lemma to the class of continuous processes $[e, r, \mathbf{w}, \mathbb{H}]$. The obvious idea is to replace $[e, r, \mathbf{w}, \mathbb{H}]$ by piecewise constant approximations and apply Lemma 5.10. More specifically, for $e \in C([0, T] \times \mathcal{T}^N; (0, \infty))$ \mathbb{P} -a.s., (\mathfrak{F}_t) -adapted, $e > 0$ \mathbb{P} -a.s., we define a piecewise constant approximation

$$e_m(t, x) = \sup_{y \in K_i} e\left(\frac{jT}{m}, y\right) \quad \text{for } t \in \left[\frac{jT}{m}; \frac{(j+1)T}{m}\right), \quad x \in K_i, \quad 0 \leq j \leq m-1, \quad i \in I, \quad (5-43)$$

and, similarly, for $F \in \{r, \mathbf{w}, \mathbb{H}\}$,

$$F_m(t, x) = F\left(\frac{jT}{m}, y\right) \quad \text{for some } y \in K_i, \quad \text{for } t \in \left[\frac{jT}{m}; \frac{(j+1)T}{m}\right), \quad x \in K_i, \quad 0 \leq j \leq m-1, \quad i \in I. \quad (5-44)$$

It is easy to check that these approximations satisfy the hypotheses of Lemma 5.10.

Now, since $\mathcal{S}[e]$ is an open set, it is possible, similarly to [Donatelli, Feireisl, and Marcati 2015, Section 4.3] to replace F_m by F as long as the approximation is uniform. Specifically, for any $\delta > 0$, there is $m = m(\delta)$ such that

$$|F_m(t, x) - F(t, x)| < \delta \quad \text{for all } (t, x) \in \bar{Q} \quad (5-45)$$

\mathbb{P} -a.s. For (5-45) to hold, it is necessary (and sufficient) that all random variables $F = e, r, \mathbf{w}, \mathbb{H}$ have compact range in the space of continuous functions on \bar{Q} . Repeating the arguments of [Donatelli, Feireisl, and Marcati 2015, Section 4.3] we show the final form of the oscillatory lemma.

Lemma 5.11. *Let $[\Omega, \mathfrak{F}, \mathfrak{F}_t, \mathbb{P}]$ be a probability space with a complete right continuous filtration $(\mathfrak{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}$. Let $[e, r, \mathbf{w}, \mathbb{H}]$ be an (\mathfrak{F}_t) -adapted stochastic process such that*

$$[e, r, \mathbf{w}, \mathbb{H}] \in C(\bar{Q}; (0, \infty)^2 \times R^N \times R_{0, \text{sym}}^{N \times n}) \quad \mathbb{P}\text{-a.s.}$$

with compact range and such that

$$\left[\frac{\mathbf{w}}{\sqrt{r}}, \mathbb{H} \right] \in \mathcal{S}[e - \delta] \quad \text{for all } (t, x) \in \bar{Q} \quad \mathbb{P}\text{-a.s.} \quad (5-46)$$

for some deterministic constant $\delta > 0$.

Then there exist sequences \mathbf{w}_n and \mathbb{V}_n such that $\mathbf{w}_n \in C_c^\infty(Q; R^N)$ \mathbb{P} -a.s. and $\mathbb{V}_n \in C_c^\infty(Q; R_{0, \text{sym}}^{N \times N})$ \mathbb{P} -a.s., $n \in \mathbb{N}$, enjoying the following properties:

(i) *The process $[\mathbf{w}_n, \mathbb{V}_n]$ is (\mathfrak{F}_t) -adapted such that*

$$[\mathbf{w}_n, \mathbb{V}_n] \in C(\bar{Q}; R^N \times R_{0, \text{sym}}^{N \times N}) \quad \mathbb{P}\text{-a.s. with compact range.}$$

(ii) *In Q we have \mathbb{P} -a.s.*

$$\partial_t \mathbf{w}_n + \operatorname{div}_x \mathbb{V}_n = 0, \quad \operatorname{div}_x \mathbf{w}_n = 0.$$

(iii) *We have*

$$\operatorname{ess\,sup}_{\omega \in \Omega} \left(\sup_{t \in [0, T]} d[\mathbf{w}_n(t, \cdot); 0] \right) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (5-47)$$

(iv) *In Q we have \mathbb{P} -a.s.*

$$\left[\frac{\mathbf{w} + \mathbf{w}_n}{\sqrt{r}}, \mathbb{H} + \mathbb{V}_n \right] \in \mathcal{S}[e - \delta_n]$$

for some deterministic $\delta_n > 0$.

(v) *The following holds \mathbb{P} -a.s.:*

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_Q \frac{|\mathbf{w}_n|^2}{r} \, dx \, dt \geq \frac{c(N)}{\sup_Q e} \int_Q \left(e - \frac{1}{2} \frac{|\mathbf{w}|^2}{r} \right)^2 \, dx \, dt. \quad (5-48)$$

Remark 5.12. Observe that the assumption for a random variable $[e, r, \mathbf{w}, \mathbb{H}]$ to be of compact range in $C(\bar{Q}; (0, \infty)^2 \times R^N \times R_{0, \text{sym}}^{N \times n})$ includes

$$0 < \underline{r}_M \leq r \leq \bar{r}_M, \quad e \leq e_M \quad \mathbb{P}\text{-a.s.}$$

for some deterministic constants $\underline{r}_M, \bar{r}_M, e_M$ as well as a positive lower bound for e already guaranteed by (5-46).

Remark 5.13. The fact that the continuous processes considered in Lemma 5.11 must have compact range is definitely restrictive but possibly unavoidable. This is also the main reason why our result holds up to a stopping time, albeit arbitrarily large with “high” probability. Otherwise, the size of the grid used

to construct the approximations F_m would have to be a random variable. The oscillatory increments w_n would be then constructed on a grid determined by random points $0 < t_1 < t_2 < \dots < t_m$ related to stopping times associated to certain norms of the random processes. Here, the length of the interval $[t_m, t_{m+1}]$ would have to be t_m predictable which seems impossible.

6. Infinitely many solutions

We are ready to show [Theorem 2.3](#) or, equivalently, its version for the abstract “Euler” problems (4-7), (4-14), respectively. We begin with problem (4-7), where the tensor \mathbb{M} is constant. Then, following [\[Feireisl 2016\]](#), we specify how to accommodate the dependence $\mathbb{M} = \mathbb{M}[\mathbf{v}]$.

6A. Subsolutions. We introduce the set

$$\mathcal{X}(R^N) := \{\mathbf{v} : \Omega \times Q \rightarrow R^N \mid \text{measurable, } \mathbf{v} \in C([0, T] \times \mathcal{T}^N; R^N) \text{ a.s. with compact range}\} \quad (6-1)$$

and analogously define $\mathcal{X}(R_{0,\text{sym}}^{N \times N})$. Following [\[De Lellis and Székelyhidi 2010\]](#), we introduce the set of *subsolutions*. Let the functions $\mathbf{v}_0, \mathbf{h}, r$ and \mathbb{M} satisfy (4-8), and $e = e(t)$ is a real-valued (\mathfrak{F}_t) -adapted process specified below. In particular, the process $[\mathbf{h}, r, \mathbb{M}] \in C([0, T] \times \mathcal{T}^N; R^N) \times (0, \infty) \times R_{0,\text{sym}}^{N \times N}$ is (\mathfrak{F}_t) adapted and with compact range. We define a collection of subsolutions corresponding to $\mathbf{v}_0, \mathbf{h}, r, \mathbb{M}$ and e by

$$\begin{aligned} X_0 = \left\{ \mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{X}(R^N) \mid \mathbf{v} \text{ is } (\mathfrak{F}_t)\text{-adapted with } \mathbf{v}(0, \cdot) = \mathbf{v}_0, \text{ there is } \mathbb{F} \in \mathcal{X}(R_{0,\text{sym}}^{N \times N}) (\mathfrak{F}_t)\text{-adapted s.t.} \right. \\ \partial_t \mathbf{v} + \text{div}_x \mathbb{F} = 0, \text{ div}_x \mathbf{v} = 0 \text{ in } \mathcal{D}'((0, T) \times \mathcal{T}^N; R^N) \text{ } \mathbb{P}\text{-a.s.,} \\ \left. \frac{1}{2} N \lambda_{\max} \left[\frac{(\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{h}) \otimes (\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{h})}{r} - \mathbb{F} + \mathbb{M} \right] < e - \delta \right. \\ \left. \forall 0 \leq t \leq T, x \in \mathcal{T}^N, \mathbb{P}\text{-a.s. for some deterministic } \delta > 0 \right\}. \quad (6-2) \end{aligned}$$

Remark 6.1. The deterministic constant $\delta > 0$ may vary from one subsolution to another. The exact meaning of the condition

$$\frac{1}{2} N \lambda_{\max} \left[\frac{(\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{h}) \otimes (\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{h})}{r} - \mathbb{F} + \mathbb{M} \right] < e - \delta$$

is

$$\text{ess sup}_{\Omega} \sup_{t \in [0, T], x \in \mathcal{T}^N} \left(\frac{1}{2} N \lambda_{\max} \left[\frac{(\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{h}) \otimes (\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{h})}{r} - \mathbb{F} + \mathbb{M} \right] - e \right) < 0.$$

6B. Existence of a subsolution. Next we claim that e can be fixed in such a way that the set of subsolutions is nonempty. To this end, consider

$$\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v}_0, \quad \mathbb{F} = 0.$$

This is obviously a subsolution provided e is taken in such a way that

$$\frac{1}{2} N \lambda_{\max} \left[\frac{(\mathbf{v}_0 + \mathbf{h}) \otimes (\mathbf{v}_0 + \mathbf{h})}{r} + \mathbb{M} \right] < e - \delta.$$

In view of (4-8) this is possible, where $e = e_M$ can be taken as a sufficiently large *deterministic* constant.

6C. Topology on the set of subsolutions. The processes \mathbf{v} belonging to X_0 are uniformly *deterministically* bounded in $L^\infty((0, T) \times \mathcal{T}^N)$; specifically, $\mathbf{v}(t) \in B_\infty$ for any $t \in [0, T]$ \mathbb{P} -a.s., where B_∞ is a ball in $L^\infty(\mathcal{T}^N)$ with a deterministic radius. Consequently, we may consider the metric d , introduced in (5-17), associated to the weak $L^2(\mathcal{T}^N)$ -topology on B_∞ , together with

$$D[\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}] = \mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{t \in [0, T]} d[\mathbf{v}(t); \mathbf{w}(t)] \right].$$

Let X be the completion of X_0 with respect to the metric D . Then X is a complete metric space with infinite cardinality. Note that any element of X is (\mathfrak{F}_t) -adapted as the limit of measurable functions is measurable.

6D. Convex functional. Similarly to [De Lellis and Székelyhidi 2010], we introduce the functional

$$I[\mathbf{v}] = \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^T \int_{\mathcal{T}^N} \left[\frac{1}{2} \frac{|\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{h}|^2}{r} - e \right] dx dt \right].$$

Here, \mathbf{h} , r are given functions related to the density ansatz and e is the target energy. Exactly as in [De Lellis and Székelyhidi 2010], it can be shown that:

- I is lower semicontinuous on the space X .
- $I[\mathbf{v}] \leq 0$ for any $\mathbf{v} \in X$.
- If $I[\mathbf{v}] = 0$ then

$$e = \frac{1}{2} \frac{|\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{h}|^2}{r} \quad \text{a.e. in } (0, T) \times \mathcal{T}^N \quad (6-3)$$

\mathbb{P} -a.s.

Lemma 6.2. *Under the hypotheses (4-8), each $\mathbf{v} \in X$ with $I[\mathbf{v}] = 0$ solves the abstract Euler equation (4-7).*

Proof. Let $\mathbf{v} \in X$. Then there is $(\mathbf{v}_m) \subset X_0$ with $\mathbf{v}_m \rightarrow \mathbf{v}$ with respect to the metric D . By the definition of X_0 we can find a sequence of (\mathfrak{F}_t) -adapted processes (\mathbb{F}_m) with $\mathbb{F}_m \in L^\infty(Q, R_{0, \text{sym}}^{N \times N})$ \mathbb{P} -a.s. such that

$$\partial_t \mathbf{v}_m + \text{div}_x \mathbb{F}_m = 0 \quad \text{in } \mathcal{D}'((0, T) \times \mathcal{R}^N) \quad (6-4)$$

\mathbb{P} -a.s. and

$$\frac{1}{2} N \lambda_{\max} \left[\frac{(\mathbf{v}_m + \mathbf{h}) \otimes (\mathbf{v}_m + \mathbf{h})}{r} - \mathbb{F}_m + \mathbb{M} \right] \leq e.$$

Using (5-2) and the properties of \mathbb{M} (recall (4-8)) we see that \mathbb{F}_m is uniformly bounded in $L^\infty(\Omega \times Q, R_{0, \text{sym}}^{N \times N})$. Hence, after choosing a weakly* converging subsequence, we obtain

$$\partial_t \mathbf{v} + \text{div}_x \mathbb{F} = 0, \quad \text{div}_x \mathbf{v} = 0, \quad \mathbf{v}(0, \cdot) = \mathbf{v}_0 \quad \text{in } \mathcal{D}'((0, T) \times \mathcal{R}^N), \quad (6-5)$$

for a certain (\mathfrak{F}_t) -adapted process \mathbb{F} with $\mathbb{F} \in L^\infty(Q, R_{0, \text{sym}}^{N \times N})$ \mathbb{P} -a.s. Due to convexity of the functional

$$[\mathbf{v}, \mathbb{F}] \mapsto \frac{1}{2} N \lambda_{\max} \left[\frac{(\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{h}) \otimes (\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{h})}{r} - \mathbb{F} + \mathbb{M} \right],$$

we have

$$\frac{1}{2}N\lambda_{\max}\left[\frac{(\mathbf{v}+\mathbf{h})\otimes(\mathbf{v}+\mathbf{h})}{r}-\mathbb{F}+\mathbb{M}\right]\leq e.$$

Consequently, by virtue of (5-1), relation (6-3) implies

$$\mathbb{F}=\mathbb{M}+\frac{(\mathbf{v}+\mathbf{h})\otimes(\mathbf{v}+\mathbf{h})}{r}-\frac{1}{N}\frac{|\mathbf{v}+\mathbf{h}|^2}{r}=\mathbb{M}+\frac{(\mathbf{v}+\mathbf{h})\otimes(\mathbf{v}+\mathbf{h})}{r}-\frac{2}{N}e.$$

As e is independent of x , (6-4) yields the desired conclusion (4-7). \square

Thus each zero point of I yields a weak solution of the abstract Euler problem (4-7). Our next claim is that $I[\mathbf{v}]=0$ whenever \mathbf{v} is a point of continuity of I on X . By means of the Baire category argument, the points of continuity of I , the latter being a lower-semicontinuous functional on the complete metric space X , form a residual set, and in particular are dense in X , which completes the proof of the existence of infinitely many solutions claimed in Theorem 2.3. Thus it remains to show that I vanishes at each point of continuity, which is the objective of the last section.

6E. Points of continuity of I in X . We show that at each point of continuity of I on X , we have $I[\mathbf{v}]=0$. Let \mathbf{v} be a point of continuity of I on X . Suppose that $I[\mathbf{v}]<0$. Consequently, there is a sequence

$$\mathbf{v}_m\in X_0, \quad D[\mathbf{v}_m;\mathbf{v}]\rightarrow 0, \quad I[\mathbf{v}_m]\rightarrow I[\mathbf{v}], \quad I[\mathbf{v}_m]<-\varepsilon<0 \quad \text{for all } m=0,1,\dots$$

Now, we use the oscillatory lemma (Lemma 5.11) with the ansatz $\mathbf{w}=\mathbf{v}_m+\mathbf{h}$, $\mathbb{H}=\mathbb{F}_m-\mathbb{M}$. Consequently, for each fixed m , we find a sequence $\{\mathbf{w}_{m,n}\}_{n=1}^\infty\subset X_0$ such that

$$\mathbf{v}_m+\mathbf{w}_{m,n}\in X_0, \quad D[\mathbf{v}_m+\mathbf{w}_{m,n},\mathbf{v}_m]\rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n\rightarrow\infty.$$

The first statement follows from Lemma 5.11(iv), which also yields a uniform bound for $\mathbf{w}_{m,n}$ as a consequence of (5-1). The convergence with respect to the metric D follows from Lemma 5.11(iii), the uniform bounds for $\mathbf{w}_{m,n}$ and dominated convergence. Moreover, due to Lemma 5.11(iii), we have

$$\liminf_{n\rightarrow\infty}I[\mathbf{v}_m+\mathbf{w}_{m,n}]=I[\mathbf{v}_m]+\liminf_{n\rightarrow\infty}\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^T\int_{\mathcal{T}^N}\frac{|\mathbf{w}_{m,n}|^2}{r}\,dx\,dt\right].$$

Here, by virtue of (5-48), Fatou's lemma and Jensen's inequality

$$\begin{aligned}\liminf_{n\rightarrow\infty}\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^T\int_{\mathcal{T}^N}\frac{|\mathbf{w}_{m,n}|^2}{r}\,dx\,dt\right]&\geq\frac{c(N,T)}{e}\left(\mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^T\int_{\mathcal{T}^N}\left[e-\frac{1}{2}\frac{|\mathbf{v}_m+\mathbf{h}|^2}{r}\right]dx\,dt\right]\right)^2\\&=\frac{c(N,T)}{e}I^2[\mathbf{v}_m]\geq\varepsilon^2\frac{c(N,T)}{e}.\end{aligned}$$

In such a way, we may construct a sequence $(\tilde{\mathbf{v}}_m)\subset X_0$, $\tilde{\mathbf{v}}_m=\mathbf{v}_m+\mathbf{w}_{m,n(m)}$, $D[\tilde{\mathbf{v}}_m,\mathbf{v}]\rightarrow 0$, and

$$\liminf_{m\rightarrow\infty}I[\tilde{\mathbf{v}}_m]>I[\mathbf{v}]. \tag{6-6}$$

Relation (6-6) contradicts the assumption that \mathbf{v} is a point of continuity of I .

6F. Multiplicative noise. We conclude by showing how to accommodate the case of multiplicative noise, where the matrix \mathbb{M} depends on the solutions \mathbf{v} ; specifically,

$$\mathbb{M}[\mathbf{v}] = \nabla_x \mathbf{m} + \nabla_x \mathbf{m}^t - \frac{2}{N} \operatorname{div}_x \mathbf{m} \mathbb{I},$$

where \mathbf{m} is the unique solution of the elliptic system (4-13). In particular, if $\mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{X}(R^N)$ (see (6-1)), then, in view of the standard elliptic estimates, $\mathbb{M}[\mathbf{v}]$ is $(\mathfrak{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}$ -adapted and with compact range in $C([0, T] \times \mathcal{T}^N; R_{0, \text{sym}}^{N \times N})$. Exactly as in Section 6A, we define the set of subsolutions as

$$\begin{aligned} X_0 = \left\{ \mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{X}(R^N) \mid \mathbf{v} \text{ is } (\mathfrak{F}_t)\text{-adapted with } \mathbf{v}(0, \cdot) = \mathbf{v}_0, \text{ there is } \mathbb{F} \in \mathcal{X}(R_{0, \text{sym}}^{N \times N}) \text{ } (\mathfrak{F}_t)\text{-adapted s.t.} \right. \\ \partial_t \mathbf{v} + \operatorname{div}_x \mathbb{F} = 0, \operatorname{div}_x \mathbf{v} = 0 \text{ in } \mathcal{D}'((0, T) \times \mathcal{T}^N; R^N) \text{ } \mathbb{P}\text{-a.s.,} \\ \left. \frac{1}{2} N \lambda_{\max} \left[\frac{(\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{h}) \otimes (\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{h})}{r} - \mathbb{F} + \mathbb{M}[\mathbf{v}] \right] < e - \delta \right. \\ \left. \forall 0 \leq t \leq T, x \in \mathcal{T}^N, \text{ } \mathbb{P}\text{-a.s. for some deterministic } \delta > 0 \right\}. \quad (6-7) \end{aligned}$$

Similarly to the above, we can show that

- the set X_0 is nonempty;
- its closure with respect to the metric D is a complete metric space with infinite cardinality.

Consider the functional I on X defined in the same way as in Section 6D. We have an analogue of Lemma 6.2:

Lemma 6.3. *Under the hypotheses (4-15), (4-16) each $\mathbf{v} \in X$ with $I[\mathbf{v}] = 0$ solves the abstract Euler equation (4-7).*

Proof. The proof follows the same lines as that of Lemma 6.2. We have only to observe that, up to a suitable subsequence,

$$\mathbb{M}[\mathbf{v}_m] \rightarrow \mathbb{M}[\mathbf{v}] \quad \text{in } C([0, T] \times \mathcal{T}^N; R_{0, \text{sym}}^{N \times N}) \quad \mathbb{P}\text{-a.s.}$$

whenever

$$\{\mathbf{v}_m\}_{m=1}^\infty \subset X_0, \quad D[\mathbf{v}_m, \mathbf{v}] \rightarrow 0.$$

Indeed this follows from the elliptic regularity estimates as the sequence \mathbf{v}_m is bounded by a deterministic constant in $L^\infty((0, T) \times \mathcal{T}^N; R^N)$, whence $\{\mathbb{M}[\mathbf{v}_m]\}_{m=1}^\infty$ belongs to $C_{\text{weak}}([0, T]; W^{1,p}(\mathcal{T}^N; R_{0, \text{sym}}^{N \times N}))$ for any $1 < p < \infty$ \mathbb{P} -a.s. and is bounded in the space

$$L^\infty([0, T]; W^{1,p}(\mathcal{T}^N; R_{0, \text{sym}}^{N \times N}))$$

by a deterministic constant. □

Finally, we show that, necessarily, $I[\mathbf{v}] = 0$ at any point of continuity \mathbf{v} of I . Following the arguments of Section 6E, we suppose $I[\mathbf{v}] < 0$ for some point of continuity $\mathbf{v} \in X$. We consider a sequence $\{\mathbf{v}_m\}_{m=1}^\infty$ satisfying

$$\mathbf{v}_m \in X_0, \quad D[\mathbf{v}_m, \mathbf{v}] \rightarrow 0, \quad I[\mathbf{v}_m] \rightarrow I[\mathbf{v}], \quad I[\mathbf{v}_m] < -\varepsilon < 0 \quad \text{for all } m = 0, 1, \dots$$

Next we apply the oscillatory lemma (Lemma 5.11) to

$$\mathbf{w} = \mathbf{v}_m + \mathbf{h}, \quad \mathbb{H} = \mathbb{F}_m - \mathbb{M}[\mathbf{v}_m].$$

Following the arguments of Section 6E, we find a sequence $\{\mathbf{w}_{m,n}\}_{n=1}^\infty \subset X_0$ such that

$$\mathbf{v}_m + \mathbf{w}_{m,n} \in X_0, \quad D[\mathbf{v}_m + \mathbf{w}_{m,n}, \mathbf{v}_m] \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Here, the most delicate point is to show the inclusion

$$\mathbf{v}_m + \mathbf{w}_{m,n} \in X_0,$$

as the oscillatory lemma (Lemma 5.11) asserts only

$$\frac{1}{2} N \lambda_{\max} \left[\frac{(\mathbf{v}_m + \mathbf{w}_{m,n} + \mathbf{h}) \otimes (\mathbf{v}_m + \mathbf{w}_{m,n} + \mathbf{h})}{r} - \mathbb{F}_m - \mathbb{F}_{m,n} + \mathbb{M}[\mathbf{v}_m] \right] < e - \delta_m$$

instead of the desired

$$\frac{1}{2} N \lambda_{\max} \left[\frac{(\mathbf{v}_m + \mathbf{w}_{m,n} + \mathbf{h}) \otimes (\mathbf{v}_m + \mathbf{w}_{m,n} + \mathbf{h})}{r} - \mathbb{F}_m - \mathbb{F}_{m,n} + \mathbb{M}[\mathbf{v}_m + \mathbf{w}_{m,n}] \right] < e - \delta_m. \quad (6-8)$$

Since we have

$$\mathbb{M}[\mathbf{v}_m + \mathbf{w}_{m,n}] - \mathbb{M}[\mathbf{v}_m] = \nabla_x \mathbf{F}_{m,n} + \nabla_x^t \mathbf{F}_{m,n} - \frac{2}{N} \operatorname{div}_x \mathbf{F}_{m,n} \mathbb{I},$$

where the field $\mathbf{F}_{m,n}$ is the unique solution of the elliptic system

$$\operatorname{div}_x \left[\nabla_x \mathbf{F}_{m,n} + \nabla_x^t \mathbf{F}_{m,n} - \frac{2}{N} \operatorname{div}_x \mathbf{F}_{m,n} \mathbb{I} \right] = \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{w}_{m,n} \quad \text{in } \mathcal{T}^N,$$

relation (6-8) follows as soon as we show

$$\operatorname{ess\,sup}_{\omega \in \Omega} \sup_{t \in [0, T], x \in \mathcal{T}^N} \left| \nabla_x \mathbf{F}_{m,n} + \nabla_x^t \mathbf{F}_{m,n} - \frac{2}{N} \operatorname{div}_x \mathbf{F}_{m,n} \mathbb{I} \right| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (6-9)$$

To see (6-9), we use the convergence statement (5-47), namely

$$\operatorname{ess\,sup}_{\omega \in \Omega} \left(\sup_{t \in [0, T]} d[\mathbf{w}_{m,n}(t); 0] \right) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (6-10)$$

On one hand, as

$$\|\mathbf{w}_{m,n}\|_{L^\infty((0, T) \times \mathcal{T}^N; \mathbb{R}^N)} \leq c(\bar{e}_M) \quad \mathbb{P}\text{-a.s.}, \quad (6-11)$$

we may use the standard elliptic estimates to deduce

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|\nabla_x \mathbf{F}_{m,n}\|_{W^{1,q}(\mathcal{T}^N; \mathbb{R}^{N \times N})} \leq c(q, \bar{e}_M) \quad \mathbb{P}\text{-a.s.}, \quad 1 \leq q < \infty. \quad (6-12)$$

On the other hand, by virtue of (6-10), (6-11),

$$\operatorname{ess\,sup}_{\omega \in \Omega} \left(\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|\mathbf{w}_{m,n}\|_{W^{-1,2}(\mathcal{T}^N; \mathbb{R}^N)} \right) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

whence, by the elliptic estimates,

$$\operatorname{ess\,sup}_{\omega \in \Omega} \left(\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|\nabla_x \mathbf{F}_{m,n}\|_{L^2(\mathcal{T}^N; \mathbb{R}^{N \times N})} \right) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (6-13)$$

Seeing that $W^{1,q}(\mathcal{T}^N) \hookrightarrow \hookrightarrow C(\mathcal{T}^N)$ for $q > N$ we may interpolate (6-12), (6-13) to obtain the desired convergence (6-9).

The remaining arguments are the same as in Section 6E. Due to Lemma 5.11(iii), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} I[\mathbf{v}_m + \mathbf{w}_{m,n}] &= I[\mathbf{v}_m] + \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^T \int_{\mathcal{T}^N} \frac{|\mathbf{w}_{m,n}|^2}{r} \, dx \, dt \right] \\ &\geq I[\mathbf{v}_m] + \varepsilon^2 \frac{c(N, T)}{e}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus for $\tilde{\mathbf{v}}_m = \mathbf{v}_m + \mathbf{w}_{m,n(m)}$ we get $\tilde{\mathbf{v}}_m \in X_0$, $D[\tilde{\mathbf{v}}_m, \mathbf{v}] \rightarrow 0$, and

$$\liminf_{m \rightarrow \infty} I[\tilde{\mathbf{v}}_m] > I[\mathbf{v}]. \quad (6-14)$$

Relation (6-14) contradicts the assumption that \mathbf{v} is a point of continuity of I .

References

- [Bensoussan and Temam 1973] A. Bensoussan and R. Temam, “Équations stochastiques du type Navier–Stokes”, *J. Funct. Anal.* **13** (1973), 195–222. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Berthelin and Vovelle 2013] F. Berthelin and J. Vovelle, “Stochastic isentropic Euler equations”, preprint, 2013. [arXiv](#)
- [Breit, Feireisl, and Hofmanová 2017] D. Breit, E. Feireisl, and M. Hofmanová, “Compressible fluids driven by stochastic forcing: the relative energy inequality and applications”, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **350**:2 (2017), 443–473. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Breit, Feireisl, and Hofmanová 2018] D. Breit, E. Feireisl, and M. Hofmanová, “Local strong solutions to the stochastic compressible Navier–Stokes system”, *Comm. Partial Differential Equations* **43**:2 (2018), 313–345. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Buckmaster and Vicol 2019] T. Buckmaster and V. Vicol, “Nonuniqueness of weak solutions to the Navier–Stokes equation”, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **189**:1 (2019), 101–144. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Buckmaster, Colombo, and Vicol 2018] T. Buckmaster, M. Colombo, and V. Vicol, “Wild solutions of the Navier–Stokes equations whose singular sets in time have Hausdorff dimension strictly less than 1”, preprint, 2018. [arXiv](#)
- [Buckmaster, De Lellis, Székelyhidi, and Vicol 2019] T. Buckmaster, C. De Lellis, L. Székelyhidi, Jr., and V. Vicol, “Onsager’s conjecture for admissible weak solutions”, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **72**:2 (2019), 229–274. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Chiodaroli 2014] E. Chiodaroli, “A counterexample to well-posedness of entropy solutions to the compressible Euler system”, *J. Hyperbolic Differ. Equ.* **11**:3 (2014), 493–519. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Chiodaroli and Kreml 2014] E. Chiodaroli and O. Kreml, “On the energy dissipation rate of solutions to the compressible isentropic Euler system”, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* **214**:3 (2014), 1019–1049. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Chiodaroli, De Lellis, and Kreml 2015] E. Chiodaroli, C. De Lellis, and O. Kreml, “Global ill-posedness of the isentropic system of gas dynamics”, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **68**:7 (2015), 1157–1190. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [De Lellis and Székelyhidi 2009] C. De Lellis and L. Székelyhidi, Jr., “The Euler equations as a differential inclusion”, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **170**:3 (2009), 1417–1436. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [De Lellis and Székelyhidi 2010] C. De Lellis and L. Székelyhidi, Jr., “On admissibility criteria for weak solutions of the Euler equations”, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* **195**:1 (2010), 225–260. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [De Lellis and Székelyhidi 2012] C. De Lellis and L. Székelyhidi, Jr., “The h -principle and the equations of fluid dynamics”, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.)* **49**:3 (2012), 347–375. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [DiPerna 1983a] R. J. DiPerna, “Convergence of approximate solutions to conservation laws”, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* **82**:1 (1983), 27–70. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [DiPerna 1983b] R. J. DiPerna, “Convergence of the viscosity method for isentropic gas dynamics”, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **91**:1 (1983), 1–30. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)

- [Donatelli, Feireisl, and Marcati 2015] D. Donatelli, E. Feireisl, and P. Marcati, “Well/ill posedness for the Euler–Korteweg–Poisson system and related problems”, *Comm. Partial Differential Equations* **40**:7 (2015), 1314–1335. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Feireisl 2016] E. Feireisl, “Weak solutions to problems involving inviscid fluids”, pp. 377–399 in *Mathematical fluid dynamics, present and future* (Tokyo, 2014), edited by Y. Shibata and Y. Suzuki, Springer Proc. Math. Stat. **183**, Springer, 2016. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Feireisl, Maslowski, and Novotný 2013] E. Feireisl, B. Maslowski, and A. Novotný, “Compressible fluid flows driven by stochastic forcing”, *J. Differential Equations* **254**:3 (2013), 1342–1358. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Glatt-Holtz and Vicol 2014] N. E. Glatt-Holtz and V. C. Vicol, “Local and global existence of smooth solutions for the stochastic Euler equations with multiplicative noise”, *Ann. Probab.* **42**:1 (2014), 80–145. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Isett 2018] P. Isett, “A proof of Onsager’s conjecture”, *Ann. of Math.* (2) **188**:3 (2018), 871–963. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Karatzas and Shreve 1988] I. Karatzas and S. E. Shreve, *Brownian motion and stochastic calculus*, Graduate Texts in Math. **113**, Springer, 1988. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Kim 2011] J. U. Kim, “On the stochastic quasi-linear symmetric hyperbolic system”, *J. Differential Equations* **250**:3 (2011), 1650–1684. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Tornatore and Fujita Yashima 1997] E. Tornatore and H. Fujita Yashima, “One-dimensional stochastic equations for a viscous barotropic gas”, *Ricerche Mat.* **46**:2 (1997), 255–283. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Wagner 1977] D. H. Wagner, “Survey of measurable selection theorems”, *SIAM J. Control Optimization* **15**:5 (1977), 859–903. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)

Received 24 May 2017. Revised 8 Dec 2018. Accepted 23 Feb 2019.

DOMINIC BREIT: d.breit@hw.ac.uk

Department of Mathematics, Heriot-Watt University, Edinburgh, United Kingdom

EDUARD FEIREISL: feireisl@math.cas.cz

Institute of Mathematics, Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic, Prague, Czech Republic

MARTINA HOFMANOVÁ: hofmanova@math.uni-bielefeld.de

Technical University Berlin, Institute of Mathematics, Berlin, Germany

and

Fakultät für Mathematik, Universität Bielefeld, Bielefeld, Germany

Analysis & PDE

msp.org/apde

EDITORS

EDITOR-IN-CHIEF

Patrick Gérard

patrick.gerard@math.u-psud.fr

Université Paris Sud XI

Orsay, France

BOARD OF EDITORS

Massimiliano Berti	Scuola Intern. Sup. di Studi Avanzati, Italy berti@sissa.it	Gilles Pisier	Texas A&M University, and Paris 6 pisier@math.tamu.edu
Michael Christ	University of California, Berkeley, USA mchrist@math.berkeley.edu	Tristan Rivière	ETH, Switzerland riviere@math.ethz.ch
Charles Fefferman	Princeton University, USA cf@math.princeton.edu	Igor Rodnianski	Princeton University, USA irod@math.princeton.edu
Ursula Hamenstaedt	Universität Bonn, Germany ursula@math.uni-bonn.de	Yum-Tong Siu	Harvard University, USA siu@math.harvard.edu
Vadim Kaloshin	University of Maryland, USA vadim.kaloshin@gmail.com	Terence Tao	University of California, Los Angeles, USA tao@math.ucla.edu
Herbert Koch	Universität Bonn, Germany koch@math.uni-bonn.de	Michael E. Taylor	Univ. of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, USA met@math.unc.edu
Izabella Laba	University of British Columbia, Canada ilaba@math.ubc.ca	Gunther Uhlmann	University of Washington, USA gunther@math.washington.edu
Richard B. Melrose	Massachusetts Inst. of Tech., USA rhm@math.mit.edu	András Vasy	Stanford University, USA andras@math.stanford.edu
Frank Merle	Université de Cergy-Pontoise, France Frank.Merle@u-cergy.fr	Dan Virgil Voiculescu	University of California, Berkeley, USA dvv@math.berkeley.edu
William Minicozzi II	Johns Hopkins University, USA minicozz@math.jhu.edu	Steven Zelditch	Northwestern University, USA zelditch@math.northwestern.edu
Clément Mouhot	Cambridge University, UK c.mouhot@dpms.cam.ac.uk	Maciej Zworski	University of California, Berkeley, USA zworski@math.berkeley.edu
Werner Müller	Universität Bonn, Germany mueller@math.uni-bonn.de		

PRODUCTION

production@msp.org

Silvio Levy, Scientific Editor

See inside back cover or msp.org/apde for submission instructions.

The subscription price for 2020 is US \$340/year for the electronic version, and \$550/year (+\$60, if shipping outside the US) for print and electronic. Subscriptions, requests for back issues from the last three years and changes of subscriber address should be sent to MSP.

Analysis & PDE (ISSN 1948-206X electronic, 2157-5045 printed) at Mathematical Sciences Publishers, 798 Evans Hall #3840, c/o University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720-3840, is published continuously online. Periodical rate postage paid at Berkeley, CA 94704, and additional mailing offices.

APDE peer review and production are managed by EditFlow® from MSP.

PUBLISHED BY

 **mathematical sciences publishers**
nonprofit scientific publishing

<http://msp.org/>

© 2020 Mathematical Sciences Publishers

ANALYSIS & PDE

Volume 13 No. 2 2020

Regularity estimates for elliptic nonlocal operators	317
BARTŁOMIEJ DYDA and MORITZ KASSMANN	
On solvability and ill-posedness of the compressible Euler system subject to stochastic forces	371
DOMINIC BREIT, EDUARD FEIREISL and MARTINA HOFMANOVÁ	
Variable coefficient Wolff-type inequalities and sharp local smoothing estimates for wave equations on manifolds	403
DAVID BELTRAN, JONATHAN HICKMAN and CHRISTOPHER D. SOGGE	
On the Hölder continuous subsolution problem for the complex Monge–Ampère equation, II	435
NGOC CUONG NGUYEN	
The Calderón problem for the fractional Schrödinger equation	455
TUHIN GHOSH, MIKKO SALO and GUNTHER UHLMANN	
Sharp Strichartz inequalities for fractional and higher-order Schrödinger equations	477
GIANMARCO BROCCHI, DIOGO OLIVEIRA E SILVA and RENÉ QUILODRÁN	
A bootstrapping approach to jump inequalities and their applications	527
MARIUSZ MIREK, ELIAS M. STEIN and PAVEL ZORIN-KRANICH	
On the trace operator for functions of bounded \mathbb{A} -variation	559
DOMINIC BREIT, LARS DIENING and FRANZ GMEINER	
Optimal constants for a nonlocal approximation of Sobolev norms and total variation	595
CLARA ANTONUCCI, MASSIMO GOBBINO, MATTEO MIGLIORINI and NICOLA PICENNI	