

Algebra & Number Theory

Volume 20
2026
No. 1

Remarks on Landau–Siegel zeros

Debmalya Basak, Jesse Thorner and Alexandru Zaharescu



Remarks on Landau–Siegel zeros

Debmalya Basak, Jesse Thorner and Alexandru Zaharescu

Dedicated to Dorian Goldfeld on the fiftieth anniversary of his elegant proof of Siegel’s theorem

For certain families of L -functions, we prove that if each L -function in the family has only real zeros in a fixed yet arbitrarily small neighborhood of $s = 1$, then one may considerably improve upon the known results on Landau–Siegel zeros. Sarnak and the third author proved a similar result under much more restrictive hypotheses.

1. Introduction

Building on the seminal work of Hadamard and de la Vallée Poussin for the Riemann zeta function $\zeta(s)$, it is known that if $\chi \pmod{q_\chi}$ is a primitive Dirichlet character, then there exists an absolute and effectively computable constant $c_1 > 0$ such that the Dirichlet L -function $L(s, \chi)$ has at most one zero β (necessarily real and simple) in the region

$$\operatorname{Re} s \geq 1 - c_1 / \log(q_\chi(|\operatorname{Im} s| + 3)).$$

If β exists, then χ is real and nontrivial. It follows from Siegel’s lower bound on $L(1, \chi)$ [9] that for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a constant $c_2(\varepsilon) > 0$ such that if β exists, then

$$\beta \leq 1 - c_2(\varepsilon)q_\chi^{-\varepsilon}.$$

See Goldfeld [3] for a concise proof of Siegel’s lower bound on $L(1, \chi)$. Unfortunately, no known proof provides an effective determination of $c_2(\varepsilon)$ in terms of ε . Define

$$\mathcal{S} = \{\chi \pmod{q_\chi} : \chi \text{ primitive and real}\}.$$

It follows from work of Tatzuza [10] that Siegel’s result can be refined as follows: For all $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists an *effectively computable* constant $c_3(\varepsilon) > 0$ such that

$$\#\{\chi \in \mathcal{S} : q_\chi \geq c_3(\varepsilon) \text{ and } L(s, \chi) \text{ has a real zero in } [1 - q_\chi^{-\varepsilon}, 1)\} \leq 1. \quad (1-1)$$

Let Hypothesis H denote the hypothesis that if $\chi \in \mathcal{S}$, then all zeros of $L(s, \chi)$ lie on $\operatorname{Re} s = \frac{1}{2}$ or $\operatorname{Im} s = 0$. In other words, the generalized Riemann hypothesis is assumed to hold only for the nonreal zeros, so Landau–Siegel zeros are permitted to exist. Under Hypothesis H, the work of Sarnak and

MSC2020: primary 11M20; secondary 11M26.

Keywords: L -functions, Landau–Siegel zeros, power sum method.

Zaharescu [8, Proof of Theorem 1] implies the following improvement over (1-1): For all $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists an effectively computable constant $c_4(\varepsilon) > 0$ such that

$$\#\{\chi \in \mathcal{S} : q_\chi \geq c_4(\varepsilon) \text{ and } L(s, \chi) \text{ has a real zero in } [1 - (\log q_\chi)^{-\varepsilon}, 1)\} \leq 1. \quad (1-2)$$

This “exponentiates” the quality of the zero-free region at the cost of a strong hypothesis for the nonreal zeros of Dirichlet L -functions.

Here, we will use Turán’s power sum method to prove that (1-2) holds under a much weaker hypothesis. In order to state our hypothesis, we fix $0 < \delta < \frac{1}{10}$.

Hypothesis H_δ . If $\chi \in \mathcal{S}$, then all the zeros of $L(s, \chi)$ in the disk $|z - 1| < \delta$ are real.

Theorem 1.1. Fix $0 < \delta < \frac{1}{10}$. If H_δ is true, then for all $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists an effectively computable constant $q_0 = q_0(\delta, \varepsilon) > 0$ such that

$$\#\{\chi \in \mathcal{S} : q_\chi \geq q_0 \text{ and } L(s, \chi) \text{ has a real zero in } [1 - (\log q_\chi)^{-\varepsilon}, 1)\} \leq 1.$$

Remark 1.2. Our proof provides an explicit permissible expression for q_0 . See (2-1).

Remark 1.3. It follows from Heath-Brown’s zero density estimate in [4, Theorem 3] that if the $\chi \in \mathcal{S}$ are ordered by conductor q_χ , then a density 1 subset of $\chi \in \mathcal{S}$ satisfy H_δ . In contrast, Hypothesis H in [8] has not been verified for any nontrivial $\chi \in \mathcal{S}$ yet.

Our next result, which is ineffective, is an immediate corollary of Theorem 1.1.

Corollary 1.4. Fix $0 < \delta < \frac{1}{10}$, and assume that H_δ is true. For all $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists an ineffective constant $c(\delta, \varepsilon) > 0$ such that if $\chi \in \mathcal{S}$ and $\sigma \geq 1 - c(\delta, \varepsilon)(\log q_\chi)^{-\varepsilon}$, then $L(\sigma, \chi) \neq 0$.

The difference between our proof of Theorem 1.1 and the proof of [8, Theorem 1] is subtle. We contrast our work with [8] in Remark 3.5 below.

Variants of the hypothesis H_δ for other families of L -functions lead to results for those families similar to Theorem 1.1. After proving Theorem 1.1, we will sketch some examples.

2. Preliminaries

Fix $0 < \delta < \frac{1}{10}$. It suffices to let $0 < \varepsilon < 1$. We define

$$q_0 = \exp\left(\exp \frac{10\,000}{\delta^3 \varepsilon^2}\right). \quad (2-1)$$

Let q_1 and q_2 be positive integers such that

$$q_0 \leq q_2 \leq q_1. \quad (2-2)$$

For $j \in \{1, 2\}$, let $\chi_j \pmod{q_j}$ be distinct primitive real Dirichlet characters. Let ψ be the primitive Dirichlet character that induces $\chi_1 \chi_2$. It follows that ψ is real, with conductor at most q_1^2 . We assume

H_δ , which implies that $L(s, \chi_1)$, $L(s, \chi_2)$, and $L(s, \psi)$ have no nonreal zeros in the disk $|z - 1| < \delta$. The Riemann zeta function $\zeta(s)$ provably has no zero in this disk, so it follows that

$$D(s) = \zeta(s)L(s, \chi_1)L(s, \chi_2)L(s, \psi) \tag{2-3}$$

has no nonreal zeros in the disk $|z - 1| < \delta$.

Let β_j be the greatest real zero of $L(s, \chi_j)$. We suppose to the contrary that

$$\beta_1 \geq 1 - (\log q_1)^{-\varepsilon} \quad \text{and} \quad \beta_2 \geq 1 - (\log q_2)^{-\varepsilon}. \tag{2-4}$$

It follows from (2-1), (2-2), and (2-4) that

$$\max\{1 - \beta_1, 1 - \beta_2\} \leq (\log q_0)^{-\varepsilon} \leq \frac{\delta}{10}. \tag{2-5}$$

We define

$$\eta = \frac{\delta}{e} + \beta_2 - 1. \tag{2-6}$$

It follows from (2-1), (2-2), and (2-4) that

$$\frac{\delta}{2e} \leq \eta \leq \frac{\delta}{e} \tag{2-7}$$

3. Proof of Theorem 1.1

We begin with a relation for β_1 , β_2 , and all nonreal zeros of $D(s)$.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $k \geq 1$ and $\ell \geq 2$ be integers. If ω denotes a zero of $D(s)$ and η is as in (2-6), then*

$$\frac{1}{\eta^{k\ell}} - \frac{1}{(1 + \eta - \beta_1)^{k\ell}} \geq \frac{1}{(1 + \eta - \beta_2)^{k\ell}} + \operatorname{Re} \sum_{\operatorname{Im} \omega \neq 0} \frac{1}{(1 + \eta - \omega)^{k\ell}}.$$

Proof. If $\operatorname{Re} s > 1$, then we have the Dirichlet series expansion

$$-\frac{D'}{D}(s) = \sum_{p \text{ prime}} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{(1 + \chi_1(p^m) + \chi_2(p^m) + \psi(p^m)) \log p}{p^{ms}} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_D(n)}{n^s}.$$

Since $D(s)$ is the Dedekind zeta function of a biquadratic extension of \mathbb{Q} , we have $a_D(n) \geq 0$ for all $n \geq 1$. On the other hand, $D(s)$ has a Hadamard product factorization. In particular, there exist $a_D, b_D \in \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$(s - 1)D(s) = s^{\operatorname{ord}_s=0 D(s)} e^{a_D + b_D s} \prod_{\substack{\omega \neq 0 \\ D(\omega)=0}} \left(1 - \frac{s}{\omega}\right) e^{s/\omega}.$$

We emphasize that ω ranges over the trivial and nontrivial zeros of each factor of $D(s)$.

When $\operatorname{Re} s > 1$, we equate the Dirichlet series expansion of $-(D'/D)(s)$ with the logarithmic derivative of the Hadamard product of $D(s)$, thus obtaining

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_D(n)}{n^s} = \frac{1}{s-1} - b_D - \frac{\operatorname{ord}_{s=0} D(s)}{s} - \sum_{\omega \neq 0} \left(\frac{1}{s-\omega} + \frac{1}{\omega} \right).$$

Let $k \geq 1$ and $\ell \geq 2$ be integers. We take the real part of the $(k\ell - 1)$ -th derivative of both sides, arriving at

$$\frac{1}{(k\ell - 1)!} \operatorname{Re} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_D(n)(\log n)^{k\ell-1}}{n^s} = \operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{1}{(s-1)^{k\ell}} - \sum_{\omega} \frac{1}{(s-\omega)^{k\ell}} \right).$$

Now, the possible trivial zero $\omega = 0$ is included in the sum over ω .

We let $s = 1 + \eta$. Since $a_D(n) \geq 0$ uniformly, it follows that

$$\operatorname{Re} \sum_{\omega} \frac{1}{(1 + \eta - \omega)^{k\ell}} < \frac{1}{\eta^{k\ell}}.$$

Note that if ω is a real zero of $D(s)$, then $\omega < 1$ and $(1 + \eta - \omega)^{k\ell} \geq 0$. Since β_1 and β_2 are real zeros of $D(s)$, we conclude via nonnegativity that

$$\frac{1}{(1 + \eta - \beta_1)^{k\ell}} + \frac{1}{(1 + \eta - \beta_2)^{k\ell}} + \operatorname{Re} \sum_{\substack{\omega \\ \operatorname{Im} \omega \neq 0}} \frac{1}{(1 + \eta - \omega)^{k\ell}} \leq \operatorname{Re} \sum_{\omega} \frac{1}{(1 + \eta - \omega)^{k\ell}} < \frac{1}{\eta^{k\ell}}.$$

The desired result follows. □

We define a sequence of complex numbers $\{z_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ as follows. Let

$$z_1 = (1 + \eta - \beta_2)^{-\ell}. \tag{3-1}$$

Choose an ordering $\{\omega_j\}_{j=2}^{\infty}$ of the zeros ω with $\operatorname{Im} \omega \neq 0$ such that if

$$z_j = (1 + \eta - \omega_j)^{-\ell},$$

then $|z_2| \geq |z_3| \geq \dots \geq |z_j| \geq |z_{j+1}| \geq \dots$. It follows from (2-5), (2-7), and our assumption of H_{δ} that if ω is a nonreal zero of $D(s)$, then

$$|1 + \eta - \omega| \geq \sqrt{\eta^2 + \delta^2} \geq \eta + \frac{\delta}{2} \geq 1 + \eta - \beta_2.$$

In particular, $|z_1| \geq |z_2| \geq |z_3| \geq \dots$.

Lemma 3.2. Fix $0 < \delta < \frac{1}{10}$. Let η be as in (2-6), and let $\ell = \lceil \log \log q_1 \rceil$. If H_{δ} is true, then

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{|z_j|}{|z_1|} \leq 5.$$

Proof. Write $\omega_j = \beta_j + i\gamma_j$. Recall that our assumption of H_δ implies that $D(s)$ has no nonreal zeros in the disk $|z - 1| < \delta$. Consequently, H_δ implies that if $j \geq 2$ and $|\gamma_j| \leq 1$, then $|z_j| \leq \delta^{-\ell}$. Per (2-6) and (3-1), we have $|z_1| = (\delta/e)^{-\ell}$. Therefore, if

$$N_D(T) = \#\{\omega : D(\omega) = 0, |\operatorname{Im} \omega| \leq T, 0 \leq \operatorname{Re} \omega \leq 1\},$$

then the sum to be estimated is at most

$$1 + \frac{\delta^{-\ell}}{|z_1|} \sum_{|\gamma_j| \leq 1} 1 + \frac{1}{|z_1|} \int_1^\infty \frac{dN_D(t)}{t^\ell} \leq 1 + \frac{\delta^{-\ell}}{(\delta/e)^{-\ell}} N_D(1) + \frac{\ell}{(\delta/e)^{-\ell}} \int_1^\infty \frac{N_D(t)}{t^{\ell+1}} dt. \quad (3-2)$$

It follows from [1, Corollary 1.2] that if $T \geq \frac{5}{7}$, then

$$\left| N_D(T) - \frac{T}{\pi} \log\left(q_1 q_2 q_\psi \left(\frac{T}{2\pi e}\right)^4\right) \right| \leq \log(q_1 q_2 q_\psi T^4) + 28.$$

Recall that $q_2 \leq q_1$ and $q_\psi \leq q_1 q_2$. Thus, if $T \geq 1$, then

$$N_D(T) \leq \frac{T}{\pi} \log\left(q_1^3 \left(\frac{T}{2\pi e}\right)^4\right) + \log(q_1^3 T^4) + 28.$$

Since $\ell \geq 3$, it follows that (3-2) is

$$\leq 1 + e^{-\ell} \left(28 - \frac{4 \log(2\pi e)}{\pi} + 3 \left(1 + \frac{1}{\pi} \right) \log q_1 \right) + 3(\delta/e)^\ell \left(\left(1 + \frac{1}{(1-\ell^{-1})\pi} \right) \log q_1 - 1 \right).$$

The desired result now follows from our choice of ℓ , our range of δ , and the bounds (2-1) and (2-2). \square

Lemma 3.3. Fix $0 < \delta < \frac{1}{10}$. If H_δ is true, then there exists an integer $1 \leq k \leq 120$ such that

$$\frac{1}{(1 + \eta - \beta_2)^{k\ell}} + \operatorname{Re} \sum_{\operatorname{Im} \omega \neq 0} \frac{1}{(1 + \eta - \omega)^{k\ell}} \geq \frac{1}{8(1 + \eta - \beta_2)^{k\ell}}.$$

Proof. Let $\{y_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$ be a sequence of complex numbers such that $|y_1| \geq |y_2| \geq |y_3| \geq \dots$. Set

$$K = \sum_{j \geq 1} \frac{|y_j|}{|y_1|}.$$

Turán proved that there exists $1 \leq k \leq 24K$ such that

$$\operatorname{Re} \sum_{j \geq 1} y_j^k \geq \frac{1}{8} |y_1|^k$$

(see [7, Chapter 9, Lemma 2]). We apply Turán’s result to $\{z_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$, bounding K from above using Lemma 3.2. \square

It follows from Lemmata 3.1 and 3.3 that

$$\frac{1}{\eta^{k\ell}} - \frac{1}{(1 + \eta - \beta_1)^{k\ell}} \geq \frac{1}{8(1 + \eta - \beta_2)^{k\ell}}.$$

Multiplying through by $\eta^{k\ell}$, and observing that $\lceil \log \log q_1 \rceil \leq 2 \log \log q_1$, we find that

$$1 - \left(1 - \frac{1 - \beta_1}{1 + \eta - \beta_1}\right)^{2k \log \log q_1} \geq \frac{1}{8} \left(1 - \frac{1 - \beta_2}{1 + \eta - \beta_2}\right)^{2k \log \log q_1}. \tag{3-3}$$

If $\beta_1, \beta_2 \in (0, 1)$ and $\eta > 0$, then

$$\frac{1 - \beta_1}{1 + \eta - \beta_1}, \frac{1 - \beta_2}{1 + \eta - \beta_2} \in (0, 1).$$

Lemma 3.4 (Bernoulli’s inequality). *If $0 < a < 1$ and $b > 1$, then $ab > 1 - (1 - a)^b$.*

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Applying **Lemma 3.4** to the left-hand side of (3-3) with

$$a = \frac{1 - \beta_1}{1 + \eta - \beta_1}, \quad b = 2k \log \log q_1,$$

we obtain the bound

$$\frac{2k(1 - \beta_1) \log \log q_1}{1 + \eta - \beta_1} \geq \frac{1}{8} \left(1 - \frac{1 - \beta_2}{1 + \eta - \beta_2}\right)^{2k \log \log q_1} = \frac{1}{8} (\log q_1)^{2k \log \left(1 - \frac{1 - \beta_2}{1 + \eta - \beta_2}\right)}.$$

(We have $b \geq 2$ by (2-1) and (2-2).) Dividing through by $(2k \log \log q_1)/(1 + \eta - \beta_1)$, we arrive at

$$1 - \beta_1 \geq \frac{1 + \eta - \beta_1}{16k \log \log q_1} (\log q_1)^{2k \log \left(1 - \frac{1 - \beta_2}{1 + \eta - \beta_2}\right)} \geq \frac{\eta}{1920 \log \log q_1} (\log q_1)^{240 \log \left(1 - \frac{1 - \beta_2}{1 + \eta - \beta_2}\right)}. \tag{3-4}$$

It follows from (2-1), (2-2), and (2-7) that

$$\varepsilon > 2 \frac{\log(1920\eta^{-1} \log \log q_1)}{\log \log q_1},$$

so

$$\frac{\eta}{1920 \log \log q_1} (\log q_1)^{240 \log \left(1 - \frac{1 - \beta_2}{1 + \eta - \beta_2}\right)} \geq (\log q_1)^{240 \log \left(1 - \frac{1 - \beta_2}{1 + \eta - \beta_2}\right) - \varepsilon/2}. \tag{3-5}$$

Therefore, by (2-4), (3-4), and (3-5), we find that

$$(\log q_1)^{-\varepsilon} \geq (\log q_1)^{240 \log \left(1 - \frac{1 - \beta_2}{1 + \eta - \beta_2}\right) - \varepsilon/2}. \tag{3-6}$$

Recalling the definition of η in (2-6), we solve for β_2 in (3-6), thus obtaining

$$\beta_2 \leq 1 - \frac{\delta}{e} (1 - e^{-\varepsilon/480}). \tag{3-7}$$

However, by (2-1) and (2-2), the bound (3-7) contradicts (2-4), as desired. □

Remark 3.5. In [8, Proof of Theorem 1], Sarnak and Zaharescu begin with the Guinand–Weil explicit formula for $D(s)$: If $B > 0$ and

$$\phi(x) = \left(\frac{\sin(2\pi x)}{2\pi x}\right)^2, \quad \hat{\phi}(y) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi(x) e^{-2\pi ixy} dx = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2}|y|\right) & \text{if } |y| \leq 2, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

and $\rho = \beta + i\gamma$ runs through the nontrivial zeros of $D(s)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\rho} \phi\left(\frac{B}{2\pi i}\left(\rho - \frac{1}{2}\right)\right) + \frac{2}{B} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(1 + \chi_1(n) + \chi_2(n) + \psi(n))\Lambda(n)}{\sqrt{n}} \hat{\phi}\left(\frac{\log n}{B}\right) \\ = \frac{\log(q_{\psi}q_{\chi_1}q_{\chi_2}) + O(1)}{2B} + 2\phi\left(\frac{B}{4\pi i}\right) + 2\phi\left(-\frac{B}{4\pi i}\right). \end{aligned} \quad (3-8)$$

Note that $\phi(0) = 1$, $\phi(y) \geq 0$ for $y \in \mathbb{R} \cup i\mathbb{R}$, and $\hat{\phi}(y) \geq 0$ for $y \in \mathbb{R}$. Therefore, they can discard the sum over n by the nonnegativity of the Dirichlet coefficients. Assuming that $\beta = \frac{1}{2}$ or $\gamma = 0$ always, they discard the contribution from each ρ except for $\rho = \beta_1$ and $\rho = \beta_2$. The conclusion (1-2) now follows; otherwise, they would obtain a contradiction by choosing $B = (1 + 3\varepsilon/4) \log \log q_1$. See also the discussion in [6, Section 5], especially the remark at the end of the section.

In our proof of Theorem 1.1, we cannot afford to discard all of the terms in the sum over zeros. At the same time, it is unclear how to obtain a strong lower bound on the sum over nonreal zeros in (3-8). We circumvent this problem by taking the $(k\ell - 1)$ -th derivative of $-(D'/D)(s)$, expressed both as a Dirichlet series and in terms of its Hadamard factorization, and bounding the sum over zeros from below using Turán’s power sum method. However, the power sum method will fail us if $k\ell - 1$ is larger than $O(\log \log q_1)$; we handle this using H_{δ} .

4. Extensions of Theorem 1.1

We briefly describe a way to extend Theorem 1.1 to Dirichlet characters whose order exceeds 2. Let $m \geq 2$ be an integer, let $j \in \{1, 2\}$, let $q_j \geq 3$ be an integer, and let $\chi_j \pmod{q_j}$ be a primitive Dirichlet character of order dividing m . Note that $L(s, \chi_1)$ and $L(s, \bar{\chi}_1)$ have the same real zeros, so we assume that $\chi_2 \notin \{\chi_1, \bar{\chi}_1\}$. Let $G = \langle \chi_1, \chi_2 \rangle$ be the group of Dirichlet characters generated by χ_1 and χ_2 , and let G^* be the set of primitive Dirichlet characters that induce the characters in G . The Dirichlet series $D(s) = \prod_{\chi \in G^*} L(s, \chi)$ is the Dedekind zeta function of the compositum of two cyclic extensions of degree m over \mathbb{Q} . In particular, the Dirichlet coefficients of $D(s)$ are nonnegative. Also, the conductor of $D(s)$ is bounded by $(q_1q_2)^{m^2}$. The identity element of a group is unique, so $D(s)$ has a simple pole at $s = 1$ arising from the factor of $\zeta(s)$. Note that if $\chi_2 \in \langle \chi_1 \rangle - \{\chi_1, \bar{\chi}_1\}$, then $D(s)$ is the product of L -functions of primitive characters that induce the characters in $\{\chi_1^j : 0 \leq j \leq m - 1\}$. In order to ensure that all zeros of $D(s)$ in the disk $|z - 1| < \delta$ are real, it suffices to assume for some fixed $0 < \delta < \frac{1}{10}$ that for all primitive Dirichlet characters ν of order dividing m , all zeros of $L(s, \nu)$ in the disk $|z - 1| < \delta$ are real. Small modifications to our proof of Theorem 1.1 yield the following result.

Theorem 4.1. *Fix an integer $m \geq 2$, and let \mathcal{S}_m be the set of primitive Dirichlet characters of order dividing m . Let \sim be the equivalence relation on \mathcal{S}_m defined by $\chi_1 \sim \chi_2$ if $\chi_2 \in \{\chi_1, \bar{\chi}_1\}$, and let $[\chi]$ be the equivalence class of $\chi \in \mathcal{S}_m$ in \mathcal{S}_m / \sim . Fix $0 < \delta < \frac{1}{10}$. Assume that if $\nu \in \mathcal{S}_m$, then all zeros of $L(s, \nu)$ in the disk $|z - 1| < \delta$ are real. For all $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists an effectively computable constant*

$q_0 = q_0(\delta, \varepsilon, m) > 0$ such that

$$\#\{[\chi] \in \mathcal{S}_m / \sim : q_\chi \geq q_0 \text{ and } L(s, \chi) \text{ has a real zero in } [1 - (\log q_\chi)^{-\varepsilon}, 1]\} \leq 1.$$

Remark 4.2. [Theorem 4.1](#) recovers [Theorem 1.1](#) when $m = 2$.

Let $\phi(x + iy)$ be a Hecke–Maaß cusp form on the modular surface $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \backslash \mathbb{H}$ with Laplace eigenvalue $\lambda_\phi > 0$, and let $\mathrm{Sym}^2 \phi$ be its symmetric square lift. Gelbart and Jacquet [2] proved that there exists a cuspidal automorphic representation of GL_3 whose L -function is $L(s, \mathrm{Sym}^2 \phi)$. In [5, Appendix], Goldfeld, Hoffstein, and Lieman proved that there exists an absolute and effectively computable constant $c_5 > 0$ such that

$$L(\sigma, \mathrm{Sym}^2 \phi) \neq 0, \quad \sigma \geq 1 - c_5 / (\log \lambda_\phi).$$

By modifying our proof of [Theorem 1.1](#) in a manner similar to the work of Hoffstein and Lockhart in [5], one can prove the following result.

Theorem 4.3. Fix $0 < \delta < \frac{1}{10}$. Let \mathfrak{S} be the set of Hecke–Maaß cusp forms on $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \backslash \mathbb{H}$. Suppose that for all $\phi_1, \phi_2 \in \mathfrak{S}$ satisfying $\phi_1 \neq \phi_2$, all zeros of

$$\zeta(s)L(s, \mathrm{Sym}^2 \phi_1)L(s, \mathrm{Sym}^2 \phi_2)L(s, \mathrm{Sym}^2 \phi_1 \times \mathrm{Sym}^2 \phi_2)$$

in the disk $|z - 1| < \delta$ are real. For all $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists an effectively computable constant $\lambda_0 = \lambda_0(\delta, \varepsilon)$ such that

$$\#\{\phi \in \mathfrak{S} : \lambda_\phi \geq \lambda_0 \text{ and } L(s, \mathrm{Sym}^2 \phi) \text{ has a real zero in } [1 - (\log \lambda_\phi)^{-\varepsilon}, 1]\} \leq 1.$$

Acknowledgements

The authors thank the anonymous referee for the helpful feedback.

Thorner is partially supported by the National Science Foundation (DMS-2401311) and the Simons Foundation (MP-TSM-00002484).

References

- [1] M. A. Bennett, G. Martin, K. O’Bryant, and A. Rechnitzer, “Counting zeros of Dirichlet L -functions”, *Math. Comp.* **90**:329 (2021), 1455–1482. [MR](#)
- [2] S. Gelbart and H. Jacquet, “A relation between automorphic representations of $\mathrm{GL}(2)$ and $\mathrm{GL}(3)$ ”, *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup.* (4) **11**:4 (1978), 471–542. [MR](#)
- [3] D. M. Goldfeld, “A simple proof of Siegel’s theorem”, *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **71** (1974), 1055. [MR](#)
- [4] D. R. Heath-Brown, “A mean value estimate for real character sums”, *Acta Arith.* **72**:3 (1995), 235–275. [MR](#)
- [5] J. Hoffstein and P. Lockhart, “Coefficients of Maass forms and the Siegel zero”, *Ann. of Math.* (2) **140**:1 (1994), 161–181. [MR](#)
- [6] H. Iwaniec, “Conversations on the exceptional character”, pp. 97–132 in *Analytic number theory* (Cetraro, Italy, 2002), edited by A. Perelli and C. Viola, Lecture Notes in Math. **1891**, Springer, 2006. [MR](#)
- [7] H. L. Montgomery, *Ten lectures on the interface between analytic number theory and harmonic analysis*, CBMS Region. Conf. Ser. Math. **84**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1994. [MR](#)

- [8] P. Sarnak and A. Zaharescu, “Some remarks on Landau–Siegel zeros”, *Duke Math. J.* **111**:3 (2002), 495–507. [MR](#)
- [9] C. Siegel, “Über die Classenzahl quadratischer Zahlkörper”, *Acta Arith.* **1**:1 (1935), 83–86.
- [10] T. Tatuzawa, “On a theorem of Siegel”, *Jpn. J. Math.* **21** (1951), 163–178. [MR](#)

Communicated by Roger Heath-Brown

Received 2024-06-02 Revised 2024-11-13 Accepted 2024-12-23

dbasak2@illinois.edu

Department of Mathematics, University of Illinois, Urbana, IL 61801, United States

jesse.thorner@gmail.com

Department of Mathematics, University of Illinois, Urbana, IL 61801, United States

zaharesc@illinois.edu

Department of Mathematics, University of Illinois, Urbana, IL 61801, United States

Simion Stoilow Institute of Mathematics of the Romanian Academy, 014700 Bucharest, Romania

Algebra & Number Theory

msp.org/ant

EDITORS

MANAGING EDITOR

Antoine Chambert-Loir
Université Paris-Diderot
France

EDITORIAL BOARD CHAIR

David Eisenbud
University of California
Berkeley, USA

BOARD OF EDITORS

Jason P. Bell	University of Waterloo, Canada	Philippe Michel	École Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne
Bhargav Bhatt	University of Michigan, USA	Martin Olsson	University of California, Berkeley, USA
Frank Calegari	University of Chicago, USA	Irena Peeva	Cornell University, USA
J-L. Colliot-Thélène	CNRS, Université Paris-Saclay, France	Jonathan Pila	University of Oxford, UK
Brian D. Conrad	Stanford University, USA	Anand Pillay	University of Notre Dame, USA
Samit Dasgupta	Duke University, USA	Bjorn Poonen	Massachusetts Institute of Technology, USA
Hélène Esnault	Freie Universität Berlin, Germany	Victor Reiner	University of Minnesota, USA
Gavril Farkas	Humboldt Universität zu Berlin, Germany	Peter Sarnak	Princeton University, USA
Sergey Fomin	University of Michigan, USA	Michael Singer	North Carolina State University, USA
Edward Frenkel	University of California, Berkeley, USA	Vasudevan Srinivas	SUNY Buffalo, USA
Wee Teck Gan	National University of Singapore	Shunsuke Takagi	University of Tokyo, Japan
Andrew Granville	Université de Montréal, Canada	Pham Huu Tiep	Rutgers University, USA
Ben J. Green	University of Oxford, UK	Ravi Vakil	Stanford University, USA
Christopher Hacon	University of Utah, USA	Akshay Venkatesh	Institute for Advanced Study, USA
Roger Heath-Brown	Oxford University, UK	Melanie Matchett Wood	Harvard University, USA
János Kollár	Princeton University, USA	Shou-Wu Zhang	Princeton University, USA
Michael J. Larsen	Indiana University Bloomington, USA		

PRODUCTION

production@msp.org

Silvio Levy, Scientific Editor

See inside back cover or msp.org/ant for submission instructions.

The subscription price for 2026 is US \$590/year for the electronic version, and \$865/year (+\$75, if shipping outside the US) for print and electronic. Subscriptions, requests for back issues and changes of subscriber address should be sent to MSP.

Algebra & Number Theory (ISSN 1944-7833 electronic, 1937-0652 printed) at Mathematical Sciences Publishers, 2000 Allston Way # 59, Berkeley, CA 94701-4004, is published continuously online.

ANT peer review and production are managed by EditFLOW® from MSP.

PUBLISHED BY

 **mathematical sciences publishers**
nonprofit scientific publishing

<http://msp.org/>

© 2026 Mathematical Sciences Publishers

Algebra & Number Theory

Volume 20 No. 1 2026

The average Mordell–Weil rank of elliptic surfaces over number fields	1
REMKÉ KLOOSTERMAN	
Syntomic complex and p -adic nearby cycles	17
ABHINANDAN	
The Brauer–Manin obstruction for nonisotrivial curves over global function fields	109
BRENDAN CREUTZ and JOSÉ FELIPE VOLOCH	
On the failure of the integral Tate conjecture for products with projective hypersurfaces	119
KEES KOK	
Malcev completions, Hodge theory, and motives	147
EMIL JACOBSEN	
Reduction theory for stably graded Lie algebras	195
JACK A. THORNE	
Remarks on Landau–Siegel zeros	209
DEBMALYA BASAK, JESSE THORNER and ALEXANDRU ZAHARESCU	