

# ANALYSIS & PDE

Volume 13      No. 5      2020

KATARZYNA MAZOWIECKA, RÉMY RODIAC AND ARMIN SCHIKORRA

**EPSILON-REGULARITY FOR  $p$ -HARMONIC MAPS  
AT A FREE BOUNDARY ON A SPHERE**



## EPSILON-REGULARITY FOR $p$ -HARMONIC MAPS AT A FREE BOUNDARY ON A SPHERE

KATARZYNA MAZOWIECKA, RÉMY RODIAC AND ARMIN SCHIKORRA

We prove an  $\epsilon$ -regularity theorem for vector-valued  $p$ -harmonic maps, which are critical with respect to a partially free boundary condition, namely that they map the boundary into a round sphere.

This does not seem to follow from the reflection method that Scheven used for harmonic maps with free boundary (i.e., the case  $p = 2$ ): the reflected equation can be interpreted as a  $p$ -harmonic map equation into a manifold, but the regularity theory for such equations is only known for round targets.

Instead, we follow the spirit of Schikorra's recent work on free boundary harmonic maps and choose a good frame directly at the free boundary. This leads to growth estimates, which, in the critical regime  $p = n$ , imply Hölder regularity of solutions. In the supercritical regime,  $p < n$ , we combine the growth estimate with the geometric reflection argument: the reflected equation is supercritical, but, under the assumption of growth estimates, solutions are regular.

In the case  $p < n$ , for stationary  $p$ -harmonic maps with free boundary, as a consequence of a monotonicity formula we obtain partial regularity up to the boundary away from a set of  $(n - p)$ -dimensional Hausdorff measure.

1. Introduction	1301
2. The growth estimates	1306
3. Hölder regularity for the case $p = n$	1313
4. Hölder-continuity for solutions to a supercritical system	1314
5. $\epsilon$ -regularity: proof of Theorem 1.2	1318
6. Partial regularity: proof of Theorem 1.4	1323
Appendix: On boundedness of $p$ -harmonic maps	1325
Acknowledgments	1328
References	1328

### 1. Introduction

Over the last few years the theory of half-harmonic maps received a lot of attention, beginning with the pioneering work of Da Lio and Rivière [2011a; 2011b]; see also [Schikorra 2012; 2015c; Da Lio 2013; Millot and Sire 2015]. Half-harmonic maps appear in nature as free boundary problems — e.g., they are connected to critical points of the energy

$$\|\nabla u\|_{L^2(D, \mathbb{R}^N)}^2 \quad \text{such that } u(\partial D) \subset \mathcal{N} \text{ in the a.e. trace sense.}$$

*MSC2010:* 58E20, 35B65, 35R35, 35J58, 35J66.

*Keywords:*  $p$ -harmonic maps, free boundary regularity, epsilon-regularity.

Here,  $D \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  is an open set and  $\mathcal{N} \subset \mathbb{R}^N$  is a smooth closed manifold. The Euler–Lagrange equations of the latter problem are

$$\begin{cases} \Delta u = 0 & \text{in } D, \\ \partial_\nu u \perp T_u \mathcal{N} & \text{on } \partial D, \end{cases} \tag{1-1}$$

where  $\nu$  denotes the outer normal vector.

For  $D = \mathbb{R}_+^n$  and  $\partial D = \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \{0\}$  the equation (1-1) is equivalent to

$$\begin{cases} \Delta u = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+^n, \\ (-\Delta)_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}}^{1/2} u \perp T_u \mathcal{N} & \text{on } \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \{0\}. \end{cases} \tag{1-2}$$

Here,  $(-\Delta)_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}}^{1/2}$  denotes the half-Laplacian acting on functions defined on  $\mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \{0\}$ . The equation  $(-\Delta)_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}}^{1/2} u \perp T_u \mathcal{N}$  is the half-harmonic map equation; for an overview see [Da Lio and Rivière 2011b].

The equivalence of (1-1) and (1-2) is crucially related to the fact that we are considering critical points of an  $L^2$ -energy. Several notions of fractional  $p$ -harmonic maps have been proposed.  $H^{s,p}$ -harmonic maps, i.e., critical points of

$$\|(-\Delta)^{s/2} u\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}, \mathbb{R}^N)}^p \quad \text{such that } u(x) \in \mathcal{N} \text{ for a.e. } x \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}, \tag{1-3}$$

were considered in [Da Lio and Schikorra 2014; 2017]. In [Schikorra 2015b] energies with a gradient-type structure were studied, namely

$$\|D^s u\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}, \mathbb{R}^N)}^p \quad \text{such that } u(x) \in \mathcal{N} \text{ for a.e. } x \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}, \tag{1-4}$$

where  $D^s = DI^{1-s}$  is the Riesz-fractional gradient; see also [Shieh and Spector 2015; 2018]. Finally,  $W^{s,p}$ -harmonic maps, that is, critical points of the energy

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^p}{|x - y|^{n+sp}} dx dy \quad \text{such that } u(x) \in \mathcal{N} \text{ for a.e. } x \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}, \tag{1-5}$$

were studied in [Schikorra 2015a]; see also [Mazowiecka and Schikorra 2018]. All these versions of fractional  $p$ -harmonic maps have one thing in common: they do not seem related to a free boundary equation (1-1). For (1-3) and (1-4) this is clear, since the energies are defined on the “wrong” function space  $H^{s,p}$ . Indeed, a map in  $W^{1,p}(D)$  has a trace in  $W^{1-1/p,p}(\partial D)$ , but  $W^{1-1/p,p}(\partial D) \neq H^{1-1/p,p}(\partial D)$  for  $p \neq 2$ . For the  $W^{s,p}$ -energy (1-5) it is an interesting open problem if it is possible to find a  $p$ -harmonic extension that interprets this problem as a free boundary problem.

In this work we concentrate on free boundary problems. We focus on smooth bounded domains, so in the sequel  $D$  is such a domain. We prove regularity at the free boundary for critical points  $u : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$  of the energy

$$\|\nabla u\|_{L^p(D, \mathbb{R}^N)}^p \quad \text{such that } u(\partial D) \subset \mathcal{N} \text{ in the a.e. trace sense.} \tag{1-6}$$

It is not clear that the space  $\mathcal{A} := \{u \in W^{1,p}(D, \mathbb{R}^N) : u(\partial D) \subset \mathcal{N}\}$  possesses a natural structure of a smooth Banach manifold. That is why we shall define what we mean by critical point.

**Definition 1.1.** We say that  $u$  is a critical point of  $\int_D |\nabla u|^p$  in the space  $\mathcal{A}$  if  $u$  satisfies

$$\int_D |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \cdot \nabla \phi = 0 \tag{1-7}$$

for all  $\phi$  in  $W^{1,p}(D, \mathbb{R}^N)$  such that its trace  $\phi(x)|_{\partial D}$  is in  $T_{u(x)}\mathcal{N}$  a.e. Such a critical point is called a  $p$ -harmonic map with free boundary.

Equation (1-7) is obtained by requiring that for every  $C^1$ -path  $\gamma : (-1, 1) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  such that  $\gamma(0) = u$  we have

$$\left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} \int_D |\nabla \gamma(t)|^p = 0. \tag{1-8}$$

**Remark.** Although this is not relevant for our purpose, let us remark that equation (1-7) can be interpreted as  $u$  satisfying in a distributional sense

$$\begin{cases} \operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u) = 0 & \text{in } D, \\ |\nabla u|^{p-2} \partial_\nu u \perp T_u \mathcal{N} & \text{on } \partial D. \end{cases} \tag{1-9}$$

Note that, by definition,  $u$  is a solution of (1-9) in the sense of distributions if and only if

$$\int_D |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \cdot \nabla \phi = 0 \tag{1-10}$$

for all  $\phi \in C^\infty(\bar{D}, \mathbb{R}^N)$  with  $\phi(x) \in T_{u(x)}\mathcal{N}$  for  $\mathcal{H}^{n-1}$ -a.e.  $x \in \partial D$ . Indeed, taking  $\phi \in C_c^\infty(D, \mathbb{R}^N)$  we obtain the interior equation

$$\operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u) = 0 \quad \text{in } D.$$

As for the boundary equation, we can see that if  $u$  is smooth enough and satisfies (1-10) then after an integration by parts we find

$$\int_{\partial D} |\nabla u|^{p-2} \partial_\nu u \cdot \phi = 0. \tag{1-11}$$

Since any  $\phi \in C^\infty(\partial D, \mathbb{R}^N)$  with  $\phi(x) \in T_{u(x)}\mathcal{N}$  can be extended in a function  $\phi \in C^\infty(\bar{D}, \mathbb{R}^N)$ , (1-11) implies

$$|\nabla u|^{p-2} \partial_\nu u \perp T_u \mathcal{N} \quad \text{on } \partial D.$$

The equivalence between being a solution of (1-9) in the sense of distributions and being a critical point of the  $p$ -energy in the space  $\mathcal{A}$  is true if  $u$  is smooth enough; for example  $u \in C^1(\bar{D}, \mathbb{R}^n)$  is sufficient. Indeed, in this case we can see that we have density of  $\{\phi \in C^\infty(\bar{D}, \mathbb{R}^N) : \phi \in T_u \mathcal{N}\}$  in  $\{\phi \in W^{1,p}(D, \mathbb{R}^N) : \phi|_{\partial D} \in T_u \mathcal{N}\}$ .

The natural starting point, when studying equations of the form (1-9), is the regularity theory. The interior regularity is known and follows from the interior equation and results of [Uhlenbeck 1977; Tolksdorf 1984]; see also [Kuusi and Mingione 2018]. Hence, the main difficulty is the regularity up to the boundary. For an arbitrary manifold  $\mathcal{N}$  a regularity theory for a solution (1-9) is out of reach: even the regularity theory for the interior problem

$$\operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u) \perp T_u \mathcal{N}$$

is known only for homogeneous targets  $\mathcal{N}$ ; see [Fuchs 1993; Takeuchi 1994; Toro and Wang 1995; Strzelecki 1994; 1996; Schikorra and Strzelecki 2017]. For this reason we shall restrict our attention to the sphere  $\mathbb{S}^{N-1} \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ . In the rest of the paper we consider the problem

$$\begin{cases} \operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u) = 0 & \text{in } D, \\ |\nabla u|^{p-2} \partial_\nu u \perp T_u \mathbb{S}^{N-1} & \text{on } \partial D, \\ u(\partial D) \subset \mathbb{S}^{N-1}. \end{cases} \tag{1-12}$$

We remark that the free boundary conditions can be viewed as boundary conditions mixed between Dirichlet and homogeneous Neumann boundary conditions. Indeed, in the sphere case we have a Dirichlet boundary condition for the norm of  $u$ ,  $|u| = 1$  on  $\partial D$ , and a homogeneous Neumann condition for the “phase”,  $\partial_\nu(u/|u|) = 0$ . To see that, in the case of a general manifold we can use Fermi coordinates near some points of  $\mathcal{N}$ , as explained in [Fraser 2000, pp. 938–939] in the context of minimal surfaces with free boundaries (for more on minimal surfaces with free boundaries, see also [Fraser and Schoen 2013]).

Our main theorem is the following  $\epsilon$ -regularity-type theorem.

**Theorem 1.2** ( $\epsilon$ -regularity). *Let  $D \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be a smooth, bounded domain and  $p \geq 2$ . Then there exist  $\epsilon = \epsilon(p, n, D) > 0$  and  $\alpha = \alpha(p, n, D) > 0$  such that for any  $u \in W^{1,p}(D, \mathbb{R}^N)$  solution to (1-12) the following holds: If for some  $R > 0$  and for some  $x_0 \in \bar{D}$*

$$\sup_{|y_0-x_0|<R} \sup_{\rho<R} \rho^{p-n} \int_{B(y_0,\rho) \cap D} |\nabla u|^p < \epsilon, \tag{1-13}$$

*then  $u$  and  $\nabla u$  are Hölder continuous in  $B(x_0, R/2) \cap \bar{D}$ . Moreover, we have the estimates*

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{x,y \in B(x_0,R/2)} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|}{|x - y|^\alpha} &\lesssim R^{-\alpha} \left( \sup_{|y_0-x_0|<R} \sup_{\rho<R} \rho^{p-n} \int_{B(y_0,\rho) \cap D} |\nabla u|^p \right)^{1/p}, \\ \sup_{x,y \in B(x_0,R/2)} \frac{|\nabla u(x) - \nabla u(y)|}{|x - y|^\alpha} &\lesssim R^{-\alpha-1} \left( \sup_{|y_0-x_0|<R} \sup_{\rho<R} \rho^{p-n} \int_{B(y_0,\rho) \cap D} |\nabla u|^p \right)^{1/p}. \end{aligned}$$

When  $p = n$  this  $\epsilon$ -regularity implies directly (from the absolute continuity of the Lebesgue integral) that  $n$ -harmonic maps with free boundary and their gradients are Hölder continuous.

**Corollary 1.3.** *Let  $u$  and  $\alpha$  be as in Theorem 1.2 with  $p = n$ . Then  $u$  is in  $C^{1,\alpha}(\bar{D}, \mathbb{R}^N)$ .*

As usual, an  $\epsilon$ -regularity result such as Theorem 1.2 implies partial regularity for stationary  $p$ -harmonic maps with free boundary; see (6-1) for the definition.

**Theorem 1.4** (partial regularity). *Let  $D \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be a smooth, bounded domain,  $p \geq 2$ , and assume that  $u \in W^{1,p}(D, \mathbb{R}^N)$ , with trace  $u \in W^{1-1/p,p}(\partial D, \mathbb{S}^{N-1})$ , is a stationary point of the energy (1-6) with free boundary. Then there exists a closed set  $\Sigma \subset \bar{D}$  such that  $\mathcal{H}^{n-p}(\Sigma) = 0$  and  $u \in C^{1,\alpha}(\bar{D} \setminus \Sigma)$ , where  $\alpha > 0$  is from Theorem 1.2.*

**Remark.** Although some of our results work for unbounded domains we note that finite energy, stationary  $p$ -harmonic maps with free boundary satisfy a Liouville-type theorem; see Proposition 6.3. This is why we focus on bounded domains.

Moreover, besides giving regularity in the case  $p = n$  and partial regularity in the case  $p < n$ , an  $\epsilon$ -regularity could be useful to describe the possible loss of compactness of sequences of  $n$ -harmonic maps with free boundaries and an energy decomposition theorem. In the case  $p = n = 2$ , i.e., for harmonic maps with free boundaries, such a result was proven in [Da Lio 2015; Laurain and Petrides 2017]. Our case requires completely different methods, due to the nonlinearity of the  $p$ -Laplacian for  $p \neq 2$ .

Let us comment on our strategy for the proof of Theorem 1.2. The natural first attempt to prove a result like Theorem 1.2 is to adapt the beautiful geometric reflection method used in [Scheven 2006] to obtain an  $\epsilon$ -regularity result up to the free boundary for harmonic maps, i.e., for the case  $p = 2$  (see also [Berlyand and Mironescu 2008], where the authors also devised a reflection technique to prove regularity up to the boundary of solutions of some Ginzburg–Landau equations with free boundary conditions). This way, one would hope to be able to rewrite the Neumann condition at the boundary as an interior equation. For  $p = 2$  the reflected equation has again the structure of a harmonic map (with a new metric in the reflected domain). Thus, the regularity theory for harmonic maps with a free boundary follows from the interior regularity for harmonic maps developed in [Hélein 1991]; see also [Rivière 2007]. For  $p > 2$  there is a major drawback to that strategy: as mentioned above, the regularity theory for the interior  $p$ -harmonic map equation is only understood for round targets. It was not clear to us how to interpret the reflected equation as a map into such a round target. The reflection, which generates a somewhat “unnatural metric” seems to destroy our boundary sphere-structure. Indeed, up to now, only the regularity theory for *minimizing*  $p$ -harmonic maps with free boundary was understood; see [Duzaar and Gastel 1998; Müller 2002], where it is shown that such a map is in  $C^{1,\alpha}$ , for some  $\alpha$ , outside a singular set  $\mathcal{S}$  with  $\dim_{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{S}) = n - \lfloor p \rfloor - 1$  and  $\mathcal{S}$  is discrete if  $n - 1 \leq p < n$ . For  $p = 2$ , free boundary problems for *minimizing* harmonic maps were studied in [Duzaar and Steffen 1989; Hardt and Lin 1989].

In this work we follow in spirit the recent work [Schikorra 2018], which does not use a reflection technique, but rather computes an equation along the free boundary and applies a moving frame technique to this free boundary part of the equation itself. This strategy leads to *growth* estimates, Proposition 2.1, which for the critical case  $n = p$  implies directly Hölder regularity of solutions. Once the growth estimates are established we can apply the reflection. Since the reflection is explicit, it is easy to see that the growth estimates still hold for the reflected solution, which we shall call  $v$ . Now  $v$  solves a critical or supercritical equation of the form

$$|\operatorname{div}(|\nabla v|^{p-2} \nabla v)| \lesssim |\nabla v|^p.$$

In principle, solutions to this equation may be singular, e.g.,  $x/|x|$  or  $\log \log 1/|x|$ . But with the growth estimates from Proposition 2.1, which transfers to  $v$ , one can employ a blow-up argument due to [Hardt et al. 1986; Hardt and Lin 1987] and then bootstrap for higher regularity.

The outline of the paper is as follows: In Section 2 we state and prove the crucial growth estimate for solutions to (1-12). In Section 3 we show how this implies Hölder continuity of solutions for the case  $p = n$ . For  $p < n$  we show in Section 4 how a generic supercritical system implies Hölder regularity of solutions once the growth estimates from Proposition 2.1 are guaranteed. Combining this with Scheven’s reflection argument, we give in Section 5 the proof of Theorem 1.2. Finally, in Section 6, we prove the partial regularity of solutions, i.e., Theorem 1.4.

**Notation.** We denote by  $B(x, r)$  the ball of radius  $r$  centered at  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . We write  $\mathbb{R}_+^n = \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times (0, \infty)$ ,  $\mathbb{R}_-^n = \mathbb{R}^n \times (-\infty, 0)$ , and  $B^+(x, r) = B(x, r) \cap \mathbb{R}_+^n$ . By  $(u)_\Omega$  we denote the mean value of a map  $u$  on a set  $\Omega$ ; i.e.,  $(u)_\Omega = (1/|\Omega|) \int_\Omega u$ .

### 2. The growth estimates

Recall that we assume that  $D$  is a bounded set with a smooth boundary. In view of Lemma A.1 we know that  $|u| \leq 1$  holds for any solution to (1-12). The arguments can be also extended to unbounded domains like  $\mathbb{R}_+^n$  under the assumption that  $u \in L^\infty_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$ ; see Lemma A.2. Note that in principle, the constants may depend on the  $L^\infty$ -norm of  $u$ .

The main result in this section, and the crucial argument in this work, is the following growth estimate that one could interpret as a kind of Caccioppoli-type estimate. We were not able to obtain such an estimate by a geometric reflection argument, since that reflection changes the metric, and only in the case of round targets, such as the sphere, is regularity theory (and in particular the related growth estimates) known.

**Proposition 2.1** (growth estimates). *Let  $p \geq 2$ . There exists a radius  $R_0$  depending only on  $\partial D$  such that for any  $u \in W^{1,p}(D, \mathbb{R}^N)$  satisfying (1-12) the following holds:*

*Whenever  $B(x_0, R) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $R \in (0, R_0)$ , is such that for some  $\lambda \in (0, \infty)$  it holds*

$$\sup_{B(y_0,r) \subset B(x_0,R)} r^{p-n} \int_{B(y_0,r) \cap D} |\nabla u|^p < \lambda^p, \tag{2-1}$$

*we have, for any  $B(y_0, 4r) \subset B(x_0, R)$  and any  $\mu > 0$ ,*

$$\int_{B(y_0,r) \cap D} |\nabla u|^p \leq C(\lambda + \mu^{p-1}) \int_{B(y_0,4r) \cap D} |\nabla u|^p + C\mu^{-1} \int_{(B(y_0,4r) \setminus B(y_0,r)) \cap D} |\nabla u|^p. \tag{2-2}$$

*Alternatively, we have the following estimates:*

*If  $B(y_0, 2r) \setminus D = \emptyset$ , then*

$$\int_{B(y_0,r)} |\nabla u|^p \leq C\lambda \int_{B(y_0,4r) \cap D} |\nabla u|^p + C\lambda^{1-p} r^{-p} \int_{B(y_0,4r) \cap D} |u - (u)_{B(y_0,4r) \cap D}|^p. \tag{2-3}$$

*If  $B(y_0, 2r) \setminus D \neq \emptyset$ , then*

$$\int_{B(y_0,r) \cap D} |\nabla u|^p \leq C\lambda \int_{B(y_0,4r) \cap D} |\nabla u|^p + C\lambda^{1-p} r^{-p} \int_{B(y_0,4r) \cap D} |u - (u)_{B(y_0,4r) \cap D}|^p + C\lambda^{1-p} r^{-p} \int_{B(y_0,4r) \cap D} ||u|^2 - 1|^p \tag{2-4}$$

*for a constant  $C = C(n, p, D)$ .*

Our strategy, in principle, is to adapt the method for harmonic maps into spheres developed in [Hélein 1990]; see [Strzelecki 1994] for the  $n$ -harmonic case. To motivate our approach, we briefly outline their strategy for a  $p$ -harmonic map  $w \in W^{1,p}(D, \mathbb{S}^{N-1})$ , i.e., a solution to

$$\text{div}(|\nabla w|^{p-2} \nabla w) \perp T_w \mathbb{S}^{N-1}. \tag{2-5}$$

The first step is to rewrite this equation. Since  $w \in \mathbb{S}^{N-1}$  we have  $w \in (T_w \mathbb{S}^{N-1})^\perp$ . Consequently, (2-5) can be rewritten in distributional sense as

$$\int_D |\nabla w|^{p-2} \nabla w^i \cdot \nabla \phi = \int_D |\nabla w|^{p-2} \nabla w^k \cdot \nabla (w^k w^i \phi), \tag{2-6}$$

which holds for all  $\phi \in C_c^\infty(D)$  and  $i = 1, \dots, N$ . Here and henceforth, we use the summation convention.

Next, from  $|w| \equiv 1$ , we get  $w^k \nabla w^k \equiv \frac{1}{2} \nabla |w|^2 = 0$ . Consequently, (2-6) can be written as

$$\int_D |\nabla w|^{p-2} \nabla w^i \cdot \nabla \phi = \int_D |\nabla w|^{p-2} \nabla w^k \cdot (\nabla w^k w^i - \nabla w^i w^k) \phi. \tag{2-7}$$

Now one observes that from (2-6) a conservation law follows, a fact that for  $p = n = 2$  was discovered by Shatah [1988],

$$\operatorname{div}(|\nabla w|^{p-2} (\nabla w^k w^i - \nabla w^i w^k)) = 0 \quad \text{in } D. \tag{2-8}$$

Thus,  $|\nabla w|^{p-2} \nabla w^k \cdot (\nabla w^k w^i - \nabla w^i w^k)$  is a div-curl term and with the help of the celebrated result of Coifman, Lions, Meyer, and Semmes [Coifman et al. 1993], one obtains a growth estimate.

The above argument heavily relied on the fact that  $w^k \nabla w^k \equiv 0$ . It is important to observe that this trick will not work in the situation from Theorem 1.2: if we only know that  $u|_{\partial D} \subset \mathbb{S}^{N-1}$ , then there is no reason that  $u \cdot \nabla u = 0$  in  $D$ . Nevertheless, we will stubbornly follow the strategy outlined above, just along the boundary  $\partial D$ , keeping the extra terms that involve  $u^k \nabla u^k$ . First, we find:

**Lemma 2.2.** *For  $u \in W^{1,p}(D, \mathbb{R}^N)$  satisfying (1-12) we have*

$$\int_D |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u^i \cdot \nabla \phi = \int_D |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u^k \cdot \nabla (u^k u^i \phi)$$

for any  $\phi \in W^{1,p}(D)$ .

Let us stress that the test function  $\phi$  above does not need to vanish at the boundary.

*Proof.* Let  $\Phi = (0, \dots, \phi, \dots, 0)$  (only the  $i$ -th coordinate is nonzero and equal to  $\phi$ ). Observe that

$$\Phi - u \langle u, \Phi \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^N} \in T_u \mathbb{S}^{N-1} \quad \text{a.e. on } \partial D.$$

The claim follows now from the definition of  $p$ -harmonic maps with free boundary (1-7). □

Also we have the following conservation law.

**Lemma 2.3.** *Let  $u \in W^{1,p}(D, \mathbb{R}^N)$  satisfy (1-12). Then, for*

$$\Omega_{ij} := (u^i \nabla u^j - u^j \nabla u^i),$$

we have

$$\operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \Omega_{ij}) = 0 \quad \text{in } D$$

up to the boundary. That is, for any  $\phi \in C^\infty(\bar{D})$  and any  $i, j = 1, \dots, N$ ,

$$\int_D |\nabla u|^{p-2} \Omega_{ij} \cdot \nabla \phi = 0. \tag{2-9}$$

Additionally, (2-9) is also satisfied for every  $\phi$  in  $W^{1,p} \cap L^\infty(D)$ .

*Proof.* By the product rule,

$$\int_D \nabla \phi \cdot |\nabla u|^{p-2} (u^i \nabla u^j - u^j \nabla u^i) = \int_D (\nabla(\phi u^i) \cdot |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u^j - \nabla(\phi u^j) \cdot |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u^i).$$

Therefore, by Lemma 2.2, we find

$$\int_D |\nabla u|^{p-2} \Omega_{ij} \cdot \nabla \phi = \int_D |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u^k \cdot \nabla(u^k u^i u^j \phi) - \int_D |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u^k \cdot \nabla(u^k u^j u^i \phi) = 0. \quad \square$$

We combine Lemmas 2.3 and 2.2. In contrast to the argument for the  $p$ -harmonic map  $w$ , we find additional terms. Namely, instead of having  $w^k \nabla w^k \equiv 0$  we merely have  $u^k \nabla u^k = \frac{1}{2} \nabla(|u|^2 - 1)$ . However, it is an improvement, because  $|u|^2 - 1 \in W_0^{1,p}(D)$ .

**Lemma 2.4.** *Let  $u \in W^{1,p}(D, \mathbb{R}^N)$  satisfy (1-12). Then for any  $\phi \in W^{1,p}(D)$  we have*

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_D |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u^i \cdot \nabla \phi \\ &= \int_D |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u^k \cdot \Omega_{ik} \phi + \int_D |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u^i \cdot \nabla(|u|^2 - 1) \phi + \frac{1}{2} \int_D |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla \phi \cdot \nabla(|u|^2 - 1) u^i. \end{aligned}$$

It is important to observe that in particular we do not obtain an equation of the form  $|\operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u)| \lesssim |\nabla u|^p$ , as in the case for  $p$ -harmonic maps (i.e., the interior situation). This is why for  $p < n$  we are forced to combine our growth estimate with the geometric reflection argument; see Proposition 5.3.

*Proof of Lemma 2.4.* By Lemma 2.2 we have for any  $\phi \in C^\infty(\bar{D})$

$$\int_D |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u^i \cdot \nabla \phi = \int_D |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u^k \cdot \nabla u^k u^i \phi + \int_D |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u^k \cdot u^k \nabla(u^i \phi).$$

Using the definition of  $\Omega_{ik}$  from Lemma 2.3 we write

$$\int_D |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u^i \cdot \nabla \phi = \int_D |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u^k \cdot \Omega_{ik} \phi + 2 \int_D |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u^i \cdot \nabla u^k u^k \phi + \int_D |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u^k u^i u^k \cdot \nabla \phi.$$

Since  $u^k \nabla u^k = \frac{1}{2} \nabla(|u|^2 - 1)$ , we have shown that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_D |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u^i \cdot \nabla \phi \\ &= \int_D |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u^k \cdot \Omega_{ik} \phi + \int_D |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u^i \cdot \nabla(|u|^2 - 1) \phi + \frac{1}{2} \int_D |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla \phi \cdot \nabla(|u|^2 - 1) u^i. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

For the second and third terms on the right-hand side of the equation in Lemma 2.4 we observe that  $|u|^2 - 1$  has zero boundary values on  $\partial D$ . In addition, and this is another crucial ingredient here, we can choose  $u$  or (its coordinates) as a test function in Lemmas 2.2, 2.3, and 2.4 since  $u$  is in  $W^{1,p} \cap L^\infty(D, \mathbb{R}^N)$  from Lemma A.1.

Moreover, in view of the interior equation for  $u$ , (1-9),

$$\int_D |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u^i \cdot \nabla(|u|^2 - 1) = 0.$$

*Proof of Proposition 2.1.* For notational simplicity we prove the growth estimates when the boundary is flat. More precisely we treat the case where  $B^+(0, R) \subset D \subset \mathbb{R}_+^n$  for some  $R > 0$ , and  $\partial D \cap B(0, R) = \partial \mathbb{R}_+^n \cap B(0, R)$ . The following argument can be easily adapted to general  $D$ —here is where one has to choose  $R_0 = R_0(D)$  for flattening the boundary. We leave the details to the reader. We also recall that, since we work in a smooth bounded domain, from Lemma A.1 we have that  $\|u\|_{L^\infty(D)} \leq 1$ .

Let  $\eta \in C_c^\infty(B(0, 2))$  be the typical bump function that is constantly 1 in  $B(0, 1)$ . Let  $y_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $r > 0$ , be such that  $B(y_0, 4r) \subset B(0, R)$ . Define

$$\eta_{B(y_0,r)}(x) := \eta\left(\frac{x - y_0}{r}\right).$$

Set

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{u} &:= \eta_{B(y_0,r)}(u - (u)_{B^+(y_0,2r)}), \\ \hat{u} &:= (1 - \eta_{B(y_0,r)})\eta_{B(y_0,r)}(u - (u)_{B^+(y_0,2r)}). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\eta_{B(y_0,r)} \equiv 1$  on  $B(y_0, r)$  we have

$$\int_{B^+(y_0,r)} |\nabla u|^p \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla \tilde{u} \cdot \nabla \tilde{u}.$$

We compute

$$\nabla \tilde{u} \cdot \nabla \tilde{u} = \nabla u \cdot \nabla \tilde{u} - \nabla u \cdot \nabla \hat{u} - \nabla \eta_{B(y_0,r)} \cdot \nabla u \tilde{u} + \nabla \eta_{B(y_0,r)} (u - (u)_{B^+(y_0,2r)}) \cdot \nabla \tilde{u}. \tag{2-10}$$

Since  $|\nabla \eta_{B(y_0,r)}| \lesssim r^{-1}$ ,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} |\nabla u|^{p-2} (\nabla \eta_{B(y_0,r)} \tilde{u}) \cdot \nabla u \lesssim r^{-1} \int_{B^+(y_0,2r) \setminus B^+(y_0,r)} |\nabla u|^{p-1} |\tilde{u}|. \tag{2-11}$$

This can be further estimated in two ways. For the estimate (2-2), by Young and Poincaré inequalities we have for any  $\mu > 0$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} |\nabla u|^{p-2} (\nabla \eta_{B(y_0,r)} \tilde{u}) \cdot \nabla u \lesssim \frac{1}{\mu} \int_{B^+(y_0,2r) \setminus B^+(y_0,r)} |\nabla u|^p + \mu^{p-1} \int_{B^+(y_0,2r)} |\nabla u|^p.$$

For the estimates (2-3) and (2-4), by Young’s inequality we have for any  $\lambda > 0$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla \eta_{B(y_0,r)} \cdot \nabla u \tilde{u} \lesssim \lambda \int_{B^+(y_0,2r)} |\nabla u|^p + \lambda^{1-p} r^{-p} \int_{B^+(y_0,2r)} |u - (u)_{B^+(y_0,2r)}|^p.$$

For the last term of (2-10)

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla \eta_{B(y_0,r)} (u - (u)_{B^+(y_0,2r)}) \cdot \nabla \tilde{u} \\ &\lesssim r^{-2} \int_{B^+(y_0,2r) \setminus B^+(y_0,r)} |\nabla u|^{p-2} |u - (u)_{B^+(y_0,2r)}|^2 + r^{-1} \int_{B^+(y_0,2r) \setminus B^+(y_0,r)} |\nabla u|^{p-1} |u - (u)_{B^+(y_0,2r)}|. \end{aligned}$$

By a similar estimate, we easily get for any  $\mu > 0$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla \eta_{B(y_0,2r)} (u - (u)_{B^+(y_0,2r)}) \cdot \nabla \tilde{u} \lesssim \frac{1}{\mu} \int_{B^+(y_0,2r) \setminus B^+(y_0,r)} |\nabla u|^p + \mu^{p-1} \int_{B^+(y_0,2r)} |\nabla u|^p$$

and for any  $\lambda > 0$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla \eta_{B(y_0, 2r)} (u - (u)_{B^+(y_0, 2r)}) \cdot \nabla \tilde{u} \lesssim \lambda \int_{B^+(y_0, 2r)} |\nabla u|^p + \lambda^{1-p} r^{-p} \int_{B^+(y_0, 2r)} |u - (u)_{B^+(y_0, 2r)}|^p.$$

Consequently, we found

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B^+(y_0, r)} |\nabla u|^p &\lesssim \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \cdot \nabla \tilde{u} \right| + \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \cdot \nabla \hat{u} \right| \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\mu} \int_{B^+(y_0, 2r) \setminus B^+(y_0, r)} |\nabla u|^p + \mu^{p-1} \int_{B^+(y_0, 2r)} |\nabla u|^p \end{aligned} \quad (2-12)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B^+(y_0, r)} |\nabla u|^p &\lesssim \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \cdot \nabla \tilde{u} \right| + \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \cdot \nabla \hat{u} \right| \\ &\quad + \lambda \int_{B^+(y_0, 2r)} |\nabla u|^p + \lambda^{1-p} r^{-p} \int_{B^+(y_0, 2r)} |u - (u)_{B^+(y_0, 2r)}|^p. \end{aligned} \quad (2-13)$$

If we are in the interior case, i.e.,  $B(y_0, 2r) \subset B^+(0, R)$ , then  $\text{supp } \tilde{u} \cup \text{supp } \hat{u} \subset B^+(0, R)$  and thus  $\text{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u) = 0$  in  $B^+(0, R)$  implies

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \cdot \nabla \tilde{u} \right| + \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \cdot \nabla \hat{u} \right| = 0.$$

Thus, for  $B(y_0, 2r) \subset B^+(0, R)$  the claim is proven.

From now on we assume that the ball  $B(y_0, r)$  is close to the boundary; i.e.,

$$B(y_0, 2r) \cap \{\mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \{0\}\} \neq \emptyset.$$

By Lemma 2.4,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u^i \cdot \nabla \tilde{u}^i = I + II + \frac{1}{2} III,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} I &:= \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u^k \cdot \Omega_{ik} \tilde{u}^i, \\ II &:= \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u^i \cdot \nabla (|u|^2 - 1) \tilde{u}^i, \\ III &:= \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla \tilde{u}^i \cdot \nabla (|u|^2 - 1) u^i. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $u$  is  $p$ -harmonic and by Lemma 2.3, all three terms above contain products of divergence-free and rotation-free quantities. However, the div-curl estimate by Coifman, Lions, Meyer, Semmes [Coifman et al. 1993] is only applicable when at least one term vanishes at the boundary; otherwise there are counterexamples. See [Da Lio and Palmurella 2017; Hirsch 2019].

The term  $I$ : Let  $\tilde{B} \subset B^+(0, R)$  be a smooth, bounded, open, and convex set such that  $B^+(y_0, 2r) \subset \tilde{B} \subset B(y_0, 3r)$  and  $\partial\tilde{B} \cap \partial\mathbb{R}_+^n = B(y_0, 2r) \cap \partial\mathbb{R}_+^n$ . By Hodge decomposition<sup>1</sup> (see [Iwaniec and Martin 2001, (10.4)]) we find  $\xi_{ik} \in W^{1,p'}(\tilde{B})$ , with  $p' = p/(p-1)$ , and  $\zeta_{ik} \in W_0^{1,p'}(\tilde{B}, \wedge^2\mathbb{R}^n)$  such that

$$|\nabla u|^{p-2}\Omega_{ik} = \nabla\xi_{ik} + \text{Curl}\zeta_{ik} \quad \text{in } \tilde{B}. \tag{2-14}$$

Moreover, we have

$$\|\zeta_{ik}\|_{W^{1,p'}(\tilde{B})} \lesssim \| |\nabla u|^{p-2}\Omega_{ik} \|_{L^{p'}(B(y_0,3r))}. \tag{2-15}$$

The boundary data of  $\zeta$  and Lemma 2.3 imply

$$\int_{\tilde{B}} \nabla\xi_{ik} \cdot \nabla\phi = \int_{\tilde{B}} |\nabla u|^{p-2}\Omega_{ik} \cdot \nabla\phi - \int_{\tilde{B}} \text{Curl}\zeta_{ik} \cdot \nabla\phi = 0 \quad \text{for any } \phi \in C^\infty(\tilde{B}).$$

That is,  $\xi_{ik}$  is harmonic with trivial Neumann data, and thus  $\xi_{ik}$  is constant. In particular, (2-14) simplifies to

$$|\nabla u|^{p-2}\Omega_{ik} = \text{Curl}\zeta_{ik} \quad \text{in } \tilde{B}. \tag{2-16}$$

Consequently,

$$I = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} \text{Curl}\zeta_{ik} \cdot \nabla u^k \tilde{u}^i = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \text{Curl}\zeta_{ik} \cdot \nabla u^k \tilde{u}^i.$$

The last equality is true, since  $\zeta_{ik}$  vanishes on  $\partial\mathbb{R}_+^n \cap B(0, R)$  and we can extend it by zero to  $\mathbb{R}^n \cap B(0, R)$ . Now we use the div-curl structure and apply the result by Coifman, Lions, Meyer, Semmes [Coifman et al. 1993]. Recall that BMO is the space of functions  $f$  with finite seminorm  $[f]_{\text{BMO}} < \infty$ . Here,

$$[f]_{\text{BMO}} := \sup_B |B|^{-1} \int_B |f - (f)_B|,$$

where the supremum is taken over all balls  $B$ . Observe that by the Poincaré inequality,

$$[f]_{\text{BMO}} \lesssim \sup_{x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n, \rho > 0} \left( \rho^{p-n} \int_{B(x_0, \rho)} |\nabla f|^p \right)^{1/p}. \tag{2-17}$$

Coifman, Lions, Meyer, Semmes [Coifman et al. 1993] showed that the inequality

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} F \cdot G\phi \lesssim \|F\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|G\|_{L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^n)} [\phi]_{\text{BMO}}$$

holds whenever  $F$  and  $G$  are vector fields such that  $\text{div} F = 0$  and  $\text{curl} G = 0$ . See also [Lenzmann and Schikorra 2020] for a different proof. In our situation this inequality implies<sup>2</sup>

$$\begin{aligned} |I| &\lesssim \| |\nabla u|^{p-2}\Omega_{ik} \|_{L^{p'}(B^+(y_0,4r))} \| \nabla u \|_{L^p(B^+(y_0,4r))} [\tilde{u}]_{\text{BMO}} \\ &\lesssim \| \nabla u \|_{L^p(B^+(y_0,4r))}^p [\tilde{u}]_{\text{BMO}}. \end{aligned} \tag{2-18}$$

<sup>1</sup>More precisely, one argues, e.g., as in [Schikorra 2010, (3.6), (3.7)]: One solves the system  $\Delta\xi_{ik} = \text{curl}(|\nabla u|^{p-2}\Omega_{ik})$  in  $\tilde{B}$ ,  $\zeta_{ik} = 0$  on  $\partial\tilde{B}$ , such that (2-15) is satisfied. Then one sets  $H := |\nabla u|^{p-2}\Omega_{ik} - \text{Curl}\zeta_{ik}$ . By the Poincaré lemma we can write  $H = \nabla\xi$ .

<sup>2</sup>Here,  $\tilde{u}$  is extended into the whole space  $\mathbb{R}^n$  in such a way that  $[\tilde{u}]_{\text{BMO}} \lesssim \lambda$ . This can be done by an appropriate reflection of  $u$  outside of  $B^+(y_0, 3r)$ .

The last estimate follows readily from the definition of  $\Omega$  in Lemma 2.3. Thus, for the  $\lambda$  from (2-1) we obtain

$$|I| \lesssim \lambda \int_{B^+(y_0, 4r)} |\nabla u|^p.$$

The term II: Since  $\operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u) = 0$  in  $B^+(0, R)$ , there exists  $\zeta_i \in W^{1,p}(B^+(y_0, 2r), \wedge^2 \mathbb{R}^n)$  such that

$$|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u^i = \operatorname{Curl} \zeta_i \quad \text{in } B^+(y_0, 2r).$$

We can extend  $\zeta$  to all of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  so that

$$\|\zeta\|_{W^{1,p'}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \|\nabla u\|_{L^p(B^+(y_0, 2r))}^{p-1}.$$

Also, since  $u$  is assumed to be bounded we have  $|u|^2 \in W^{1,p}(B^+(0, R))$ , and in the sense of traces  $|u|^2 \equiv 1$  on  $B(0, R) \cap \{\mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \{0\}\}$ . This is equivalent to saying that the extension of  $|u|^2 - 1$  by zero to  $B(0, R) \cap \mathbb{R}_-^n$  belongs to  $W^{1,p}(B(0, R))$ ; that is, we have,  $(|u|^2 - 1)\chi_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} \in W^{1,p}(B(0, R))$  and the distributional gradient satisfies

$$\nabla((|u|^2 - 1)\chi_{\mathbb{R}_+^n}) = \chi_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} \nabla |u|^2 \quad \text{a.e. in } B(0, R).$$

In particular, since  $(|u|^2 - 1)\chi_{\mathbb{R}_+^n}$  is zero on  $B(y_0, 2r) \cap \mathbb{R}_-^n$  we can use the Poincaré inequality to get

$$\|(|u|^2 - 1)\chi_{\mathbb{R}_+^n}\|_{L^p(B^+(y_0, 2r))} \lesssim r \|u\|_{L^\infty(B^+(y_0, 4r))} \|\nabla u\|_{L^p(B^+(y_0, 4r))}. \quad (2-19)$$

By using that  $|\nabla \eta_{B(y_0, 2r)}| \lesssim r^{-1}$ , (2-17), the triangle inequality in  $L^p$  and (2-19), for the  $\lambda$  from (2-1),

$$[(|u|^2 - 1)\chi_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} \eta_{B(y_0, 2r)}]_{\text{BMO}} \lesssim \lambda.$$

We also observe that  $\nabla \tilde{u} \equiv \eta_{B(y_0, 2r)} \nabla \tilde{u}$ . Thus, integrating by parts we obtain

$$II = - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \operatorname{Curl} \zeta \cdot \nabla \tilde{u}^i (|u|^2 - 1)\chi_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} \eta_{B(y_0, 2r)}.$$

Hence, with the div-curl theorem from [Coifman et al. 1993], see also the localized version [Strzelecki 1994, Corollary 3], we find

$$|II| \lesssim \lambda \|\nabla u\|_{L^p(B^+(y_0, 4r))}^p.$$

The term III: Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} & \nabla \tilde{u}^i \cdot \nabla (|u|^2 - 1) u^i \\ &= \nabla u^i \cdot \nabla (|u|^2 - 1) \eta_{B(y_0, r)} u^i + \nabla \eta_{B(y_0, r)} (u^i - (u^i)_{B^+(y_0, 2r)}) \cdot \nabla (|u|^2 - 1) u^i \\ &= \nabla u^i \cdot \nabla (|u|^2 - 1) \tilde{u}^i + \nabla u^i \cdot \nabla (|u|^2 - 1) \eta_{B(y_0, r)} (u^i)_{B^+(y_0, 2r)} + \nabla \eta_{B(y_0, r)} (u^i - (u^i)_{B^+(y_0, 2r)}) \cdot \nabla (|u|^2 - 1) u^i. \end{aligned}$$

By integration by parts, using that  $\operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u) = 0$  in  $B^+(0, R)$ ,  $|u|^2 - 1$  is zero on  $\partial \mathbb{R}_+^n \cap B(0, R)$  and then arguing as in the argument for  $II$ ,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u^i \cdot \nabla (|u|^2 - 1) \tilde{u}^i = - \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u^i \cdot \nabla \tilde{u}^i (|u|^2 - 1) \lesssim \lambda \|\nabla u\|_{L^p(B^+(y_0, 4r))}^p.$$

Moreover, again since  $\operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u) = 0$  in  $B^+(0, R)$  and  $|u|^2 - 1$  is zero on  $\partial \mathbb{R}_+^n \cap B(0, R)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u^i \cdot \nabla (|u|^2 - 1) \eta_{B(y_0, r)}(u^i)_{B^+(y_0, 2r)} \right| \\ &= \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u^i \cdot (|u|^2 - 1) \nabla \eta_{B(y_0, r)}(u^i)_{B^+(y_0, 2r)} \right| \\ &\lesssim r^{-1} \|u\|_{L^\infty(B^+(0, R))} \|\nabla u\|_{L^p(B^+(y_0, 2r) \setminus B^+(y_0, r))}^{p-1} \| |u|^2 - 1 \|_{L^p(B^+(y_0, 2r))}. \end{aligned}$$

This leads to two estimates. Firstly, if we want to find (2-4), by Young's inequality,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u^i \cdot \nabla (|u|^2 - 1) \eta_{B(y_0, r)}(u^i)_{B^+(y_0, 2r)} \lesssim \lambda \|\nabla u\|_{L^p(B^+(y_0, 2r))}^p + \lambda^{1-p} r^{-p} \| |u|^2 - 1 \|_{L^p(B^+(y_0, 2r))}^p.$$

Secondly, for (2-2) by (2-19) and by Young's inequality we have for any  $\mu > 0$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u^i \cdot \nabla (|u|^2 - 1) \eta_{B(y_0, r)}(u^i)_{B^+(y_0, 2r)} \lesssim \mu^{-1} \|\nabla u\|_{L^p(B^+(y_0, 2r) \setminus B^+(y_0, r))}^p + \mu^{p-1} \|\nabla u\|_{L^p(B^+(y_0, 2r))}^p.$$

The last remaining term can be treated in a similar way and we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla \eta_{B(y_0, r)}(u^i - (u^i)_{B^+(y_0, 2r)}) \cdot \nabla (|u|^2 - 1) u^i \lesssim \mu^{-1} \|\nabla u\|_{L^p(B^+(y_0, 2r) \setminus B^+(y_0, r))}^p + \mu^{p-1} \|\nabla u\|_{L^p(B^+(y_0, 2r))}^p$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla \eta_{B(y_0, r)}(u^i - (u^i)_{B^+(y_0, 2r)}) \cdot \nabla (|u|^2 - 1) u^i \lesssim \lambda \|\nabla u\|_{L^p(B^+(y_0, 2r))}^p + \lambda^{1-p} r^{-p} \|u - (u)_{B^+(y_0, 2r)}\|_{L^p(B^+(y_0, 2r))}^p.$$

Combining the estimates of *I*, *II*, and *III* and plugging them into estimates (2-12) and (2-13), we conclude. □

### 3. Hölder regularity for the case $p = n$

For the case  $p = n$ , Hölder continuity of the solution  $u$  from Theorem 1.2 follows from Proposition 2.1 by a standard iteration argument. For higher regularity, and for  $p < n$ , we need to combine the growth estimates from Proposition 2.1 with the reflection method.

**Proposition 3.1** ( $\epsilon$ -regularity for  $p = n$ : Hölder continuity). *Let  $D \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be a smooth, bounded domain. Then there are positive constants  $\epsilon = \epsilon(n, D)$ ,  $\alpha = \alpha(n, D)$  such that the following holds for  $p = n$ :*

*Any solution  $u \in W^{1, n}(D, \mathbb{R}^N)$  to (1-12) that satisfies, for  $R > 0$  and for  $x_0 \in \bar{D}$ ,*

$$\int_{B(x_0, R) \cap D} |\nabla u|^n < \epsilon$$

*is Hölder continuous in  $B(x_0, R/2) \cap \bar{D}$ . Moreover, we have the estimate*

$$\sup_{x, y \in B(x_0, R/2) \cap \bar{D}} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|}{|x - y|^\alpha} \lesssim R^{-\alpha} \|\nabla u\|_{L^n(B(x_0, R) \cap D)}.$$

*Proof.* Let  $\lambda := \epsilon^{1/n}$  and apply Proposition 2.1 to any  $B(y_0, 4r) \subset B(x_0, R/2)$ , for  $\mu > 0$  to be chosen below. We add

$$C\mu^{-1} \int_{B(y_0,r) \cap D} |\nabla u|^n$$

to both sides of (2-2). Then we find

$$(1 + C\mu^{-1}) \int_{B(y_0,r) \cap D} |\nabla u|^n \leq C (\epsilon^{1/n} + \mu^{n-1} + \mu^{-1}) \int_{B(y_0,4r) \cap D} |\nabla u|^n.$$

We choose  $\epsilon, \mu > 0$  small enough so that  $\tau < 1$ , where

$$\tau := \left( \frac{C(\epsilon^{1/n} + \mu^{n-1} + \mu^{-1})}{1 + C\mu^{-1}} \right)^{1/n}.$$

We have for any  $B(y_0, 4r) \subset B(x_0, R/2)$

$$\|\nabla u\|_{L^n(B(y_0,r) \cap D)} \leq \tau \|\nabla u\|_{L^n(B(y_0,4r) \cap D)}.$$

Iterating this on successively smaller balls, see, e.g., [Giaquinta 1983, Chapter III, Lemma 2.1], we find that for a uniform  $\alpha = \alpha(\tau) > 0$  and for any  $B(y_0, 4r) \subset B(x_0, R/2)$ ,

$$\|\nabla u\|_{L^n(B(y_0,r) \cap D)} \lesssim \left( \frac{r}{R} \right)^\alpha \|\nabla u\|_{L^n(B(x_0,R) \cap D)}.$$

In particular, we have by the Poincaré inequality

$$\sup_{B(y_0,4r) \subset B(x_0,R/2)} r^{-\alpha-1} \|u - (u)_{B(y_0,r) \cap D}\|_{L^n(B(y_0,r) \cap D)} \lesssim R^{-\alpha} \|\nabla u\|_{L^n(B(x_0,R) \cap D)}.$$

By the characterization of Campanato spaces and Hölder spaces, e.g., see [Giaquinta 1983, Chapter III, p. 75], this implies

$$\sup_{x,y \in B(x_0,R/2) \cap \bar{D}} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|}{|x - y|^\alpha} \lesssim R^{-\alpha} \|\nabla u\|_{L^n(B(x_0,R) \cap D)}. \quad \square$$

#### 4. Hölder-continuity for solutions to a supercritical system

In Proposition 2.1 we showed that solutions from Theorem 1.2 satisfy certain growth estimates. For  $p = n$  these growth estimates imply Hölder continuity by an iteration argument, as we have seen in Proposition 3.1.

For  $p < n$  more work is needed. The following proposition shows that, under a smallness assumption, solutions to systems satisfying

$$|\operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u)| \lesssim |\nabla u|^p \tag{4-1}$$

are Hölder continuous once the growth conditions from Proposition 2.1 are satisfied, that is, when (4-5) and (4-6) below are assumed a priori. Observe that without assuming a priori the growth conditions (4-5) and (4-6) below on the solution  $u$ , there is no hope for proving *any* regularity for solutions to systems that have the structure of (4-1). Indeed, it is easy to check that  $\log \log(2/|x|)$  and  $\sin \log \log(2/|x|)$  satisfy (4-1) for  $p = n$ .

In the next section, in order to prove Theorem 1.2, we use the reflection method from [Scheven 2006] to obtain an equation of the form (4-2). Since we already obtained the necessary growth estimates in Proposition 2.1, the following proposition then leads to regularity.

**Proposition 4.1.** *Let  $D \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be a smooth, bounded domain and let  $\mathcal{M}$  be a smooth, compact  $(n-1)$ -dimensional manifold. Assume that  $u \in W^{1,p}(D, \mathbb{R}^N)$  is a solution to*

$$\operatorname{div}(|G(x)\nabla u(x)|^{p-2} G(x)\nabla u(x)) = f_u(x), \tag{4-2}$$

where  $f_u \in L^1(D, \mathbb{R}^N)$  satisfies the estimate

$$|f_u(x)| \leq C|\nabla u(x)|^p \tag{4-3}$$

and  $G \in C^\infty(\bar{D}, \operatorname{GL}(n))$ .

Moreover, assume a priori that for every  $B(x_0, R) \subset D$ ,  $\lambda > 0$ , such that

$$\sup_{B(y_0,r) \subset B(x_0,R)} r^{p-n} \int_{B(y_0,r)} |\nabla u|^p < \lambda^p, \tag{4-4}$$

the solution  $u$  already satisfies the following growth condition on any  $B(y_0, 4r) \subset B(x_0, R)$ :

If  $B(y_0, 2r) \cap \mathcal{M} = \emptyset$ , then

$$\int_{B(y_0,r)} |\nabla u|^p \leq C\lambda \int_{B(y_0,4r)} |\nabla u|^p + C\lambda^{1-p}r^{-p} \int_{B(y_0,4r)} |u - (u)_{B(y_0,4r)}|^p \tag{4-5}$$

and, if  $B(y_0, 2r) \cap \mathcal{M} \neq \emptyset$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B(y_0,r)} |\nabla u|^p &\leq C\lambda \int_{B(y_0,4r)} |\nabla u|^p + C\lambda^{1-p}r^{-p} \int_{B(y_0,4r)} |u - (u)_{B(y_0,4r)}|^p \\ &\quad + C\lambda^{1-p}r^{-p} \int_{B(y_0,4r)} |u - (u)_{B(y_0,4r) \cap \mathcal{M}}|^p \\ &\quad + C\lambda^{1-p}r^{1-p} \int_{B(y_0,4r) \cap \mathcal{M}} |u - (u)_{B(y_0,4r) \cap \mathcal{M}}|^p. \end{aligned} \tag{4-6}$$

Then there exist constants  $\alpha = \alpha(G, p, n, C, D)$ ,  $\epsilon > 0$  such that if (4-4) holds on some  $B(x_0, R) \subset D$  for  $\lambda < \epsilon$ , then  $u \in C^\alpha(B(x_0, R/2), \mathbb{R}^N)$ . Moreover, we have the estimate

$$\sup_{x,y \in B(x_0,R/2)} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|}{|x - y|^\alpha} \leq C_0 R^{-\alpha} \left( \sup_{B(y_0,r) \subset B(x_0,R)} r^{p-n} \int_{B(y_0,r)} |\nabla u|^p \right)^{1/p}.$$

The constant  $C_0$  depends on  $\mathcal{M}$ ,  $D$ ,  $C$ , and  $G$ .

To prove Proposition 4.1 we follow the strategy developed in [Hardt et al. 1986; Hardt and Lin 1987, Theorem 2.4]. The crucial result is that the equation for  $u$  together with the growth assumptions (4-5) and (4-6) on  $u$  imply the following decay estimate.

**Proposition 4.2.** *There are uniform constants  $\epsilon, \theta \in (0, 1)$  and  $\bar{R} = \bar{R}(\mathcal{M}) \in (0, 1)$  so that the following holds:*

Let  $u$  and  $D$  be as in Proposition 4.1 and assume that for a ball  $B(x_0, R) \subset D$  and  $R \in (0, \bar{R})$  it holds

$$E(x_0, R)(u) := \sup_{B(y_0, r) \subset B(x_0, R)} r^{p-n} \int_{B(y_0, r)} |\nabla u|^p < \epsilon^p. \tag{4-7}$$

Then

$$E(x_0, \theta R)(u) \leq \frac{1}{2} E(x_0, R)(u). \tag{4-8}$$

*Proof.* It suffices to prove

$$(\theta R)^{p-n} \int_{B(y_0, \theta R)} |\nabla u|^p \leq \frac{1}{2} E(x_0, R)(u) \quad \text{for any } B(y_0, 4\theta R) \subset B(x_0, R/2). \tag{4-9}$$

Indeed, (4-8) follows from (4-9) by taking smaller  $\theta$  and observing that  $B(x_1, R_1) \subset B(x_2, R_2)$  implies  $E(x_1, R_1)(u) \leq E(x_2, R_2)(u)$ .

Assume the claim (4-9) is false. Then, for any  $\theta \in (0, 1)$  we have a sequence of balls with  $B(y_i, 4\theta R_i) \subset B(x_i, R_i/2) \subset D$ , a sequence  $(\epsilon_i)_{i=1}^\infty$  satisfying  $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \epsilon_i = 0$ , and a sequence  $(u_i)_{i=1}^\infty \subset W^{1,p}(D, \mathbb{R}^N)$  of solutions to (4-2) satisfying the growth assumptions of Proposition 4.1 such that

$$\sup_{B(y, r) \subset B(x_i, R_i)} r^{p-n} \int_{B(y, r)} |\nabla u_i|^p = \epsilon_i^p, \tag{4-10}$$

but

$$(\theta R_i)^{p-n} \int_{B(y_i, \theta R_i)} |\nabla u_i|^p > \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_i^p. \tag{4-11}$$

For simplicity, we assume that  $R_i \equiv R_0$  and  $x_i \equiv x_0$  for some  $R_0 > 0$  and  $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .

This is no loss of generality, since we can rescale the maps  $u$  by the factor  $R_0/R_i$ . Observe that this rescales the manifold  $\mathcal{M}$ , but in a way that (4-6) still holds. Set

$$w_i := \frac{1}{\epsilon_i} (u_i - (u_i)_{B(x_0, R_0)}).$$

Clearly,

$$(w_i)_{B(x_0, R_0)} = 0 \quad \text{for all } i \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Thus, we can apply the Poincaré inequality and have by (4-10)

$$\sup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \|\nabla w_i\|_{L^p(B(x_0, R_0))}^p \lesssim R_0^{n-p} \quad \text{and} \quad \sup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \|w_i\|_{L^p(B(x_0, R_0))}^p \lesssim R_0^{n-p+1}.$$

Thus, up to a subsequence denoted again by  $w_i$ , we find  $w \in W^{1,p}(B(x_0, R_0), \mathbb{R}^N)$  such that as  $i \rightarrow \infty$

$$\begin{aligned} w_i &\rightharpoonup w \quad \text{weakly in } W^{1,p}(B(x_0, R_0)), \\ w_i &\rightarrow w \quad \text{strongly in } L^p(B(x_0, R_0)), \\ w_i &\rightarrow w \quad \text{strongly in } L^p(B(x_0, R_0) \cap \mathcal{M}, d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}), \\ w_i &\rightarrow w \quad \mathcal{H}^n\text{-a.e. on } B(x_0, R_0) \text{ and } \mathcal{H}^{n-1}\text{-a.e. on } B(x_0, R_0) \cap \mathcal{M}. \end{aligned}$$

In particular,

$$(w)_{B(x_0, R_0)} = 0, \tag{4-12}$$

and also

$$\|\nabla w\|_{L^p(B(x_0, R_0))}^p \lesssim R_0^{n-p} \quad \text{and} \quad \|w\|_{L^p(B(x_0, R_0))}^p \lesssim R_0^{n-p+1}.$$

Moreover, for any  $\phi \in C_c^\infty(B(x_0, R_0))$ ,

$$\int_{B(x_0, R_0)} |G\nabla w_i|^{p-2} G\nabla w_i \cdot \nabla \phi = (\epsilon_i)^{1-p} \int_{B(x_0, R_0)} |G\nabla u_i|^{p-2} G\nabla u_i \cdot \nabla \phi.$$

Now, by (4-2) and (4-3),

$$\left| \int_{B(x_0, R_0)} |G\nabla w_i|^{p-2} G\nabla w_i \cdot \nabla \phi \right| \lesssim (\epsilon_i)^{1-p} \|\phi\|_{L^\infty(B(x_0, R_0))} \|\nabla u_i\|_{L^p(B(x_0, R_0))}^p.$$

That is, by (4-10)

$$\left| \int_{B(x_0, R_0)} |G\nabla w_i|^{p-2} G\nabla w_i \cdot \nabla \phi \right| \lesssim \|\phi\|_{L^\infty(B(x_0, R_0))} R_0^{n-p} \epsilon_i \leq \epsilon_i \|\phi\|_{L^\infty(B(x_0, R_0))}.$$

Now as in [Dolzmann et al. 1997, Section 4]

$$\operatorname{div}(|G\nabla w|^{p-2} G\nabla w) = 0 \quad \text{in } B(x_0, R_0). \tag{4-13}$$

From (4-12) and the Lipschitz estimates for solutions to (4-13), see [Uhlenbeck 1977; Mingione 2011; Duzaar and Mingione 2011; Kuusi and Mingione 2012, (1.7)], we have for any  $B(z, r) \subset B(x_0, R_0/2)$

$$r^{-n} \int_{B(z, r)} |w - (w)_{B(z, r)}|^p \lesssim r^p,$$

and if additionally  $B(z, r) \cap \mathcal{M} \neq \emptyset$  and  $r < \bar{R}$  for  $\bar{R} = \bar{R}(\mathcal{M})$  small enough, then

$$r^{1-n} \int_{\mathcal{M} \cap B(z, r)} |w - (w)_{\mathcal{M} \cap B(z, r)}|^p + r^{-n} \int_{B(z, r)} |w - (w)_{\mathcal{M} \cap B(z, r)}|^p \lesssim r^p.$$

On the other hand, by strong  $L^p$ -convergence of  $w_i$  to  $w$ , we find  $i(\theta) \in \mathbb{N}$  so that for  $i \geq i(\theta)$  and for any  $r \in (\theta R_0, R_0)$  such that  $B(z, r) \subset B(x_0, R_0)$

$$r^{1-n} \int_{B(z, r) \cap \mathcal{M}} |w_i - w|^p + r^{-n} \int_{B(z, r)} |w_i - w|^p \leq \theta^p.$$

Combining these estimates we get for any  $i \geq i(\theta)$  and for any  $r \in (\theta R_0, R_0)$  such that  $B(z, r) \subset B(x_0, R_0/2)$

$$r^{-n} \int_{B(z, r)} |u_i - (u_i)_{B(z, r)}|^p = \epsilon_i^p r^{-n} \int_{B(z, r)} |w_i - (w_i)_{B(z, r)}|^p \lesssim \epsilon_i^p (r^p + \theta^p).$$

If additionally  $B(z, r) \cap \mathcal{M} \neq \emptyset$ , then

$$r^{-n} \int_{B(z, r)} |u_i - (u_i)_{B(z, r) \cap \mathcal{M}}|^p = \epsilon_i^p r^{-n} \int_{B(z, r)} |w_i - (w_i)_{B(z, r) \cap \mathcal{M}}|^p \lesssim \epsilon_i^p (r^p + \theta^p)$$

and

$$r^{1-n} \int_{B(z, r) \cap \mathcal{M}} |u_i - (u_i)_{B(z, r) \cap \mathcal{M}}|^p \lesssim \epsilon_i^p (r^p + \theta^p).$$

We now apply the growth estimates (4-5) and (4-6) of the solutions  $u_i$  with  $\lambda = \epsilon_0 \geq \epsilon_i$  to find

$$(\theta R_0)^{p-n} \int_{B(y_i, \theta R_0)} |\nabla u_i|^p \leq C \epsilon_i^p (\epsilon_0 + \epsilon_0^{1-p} \theta^p).$$

Choosing  $\epsilon_0$  and  $\theta$  sufficiently small so that  $\epsilon_0 + \epsilon_0^{1-p} \theta^p < \frac{1}{2}$ , we arrive at a contradiction with (4-11).  $\square$

*Proof of Proposition 4.1.* We argue as in the proof of Proposition 3.1: Assume that (4-4) is satisfied on  $B(x_0, R)$  for some  $\lambda < \epsilon$ . Iterating the estimate from Proposition 4.2 on successively smaller balls, see [Giaquinta 1983, Chapter III, Lemma 2.1], we find a small  $\alpha > 0$  such that for all  $r < R$  and  $B(y_0, r) \subset B(x_0, R/2)$

$$r^{p-n} \int_{B(y_0, r)} |\nabla u|^p \lesssim \left(\frac{r}{R}\right)^{\alpha p} E(x_0, R).$$

In particular, for all  $r < R$  and  $B(y_0, r) \subset B(x_0, R/2)$ ,

$$r^{-\alpha p-n} \int_{B(y_0, r)} |u - (u)_{B(y_0, r)}|^p \lesssim r^{p-\alpha p-n} \int_{B(y_0, r)} |\nabla u|^p \lesssim R^{-\alpha p} E(x_0, R).$$

We conclude by the identification of Campanato and Hölder spaces; see [Giaquinta 1983, Chapter III, p. 75].  $\square$

### 5. $\epsilon$ -regularity: proof of Theorem 1.2

The proof of Theorem 1.2 is a combination of the growth estimate for solutions, Proposition 2.1, the reflection method as in [Scheven 2006], and Proposition 4.1. More precisely, we use the reflection method to find a solution to (4-2) from Proposition 4.1. The growth estimates (4-5) and (4-6) required in Proposition 4.1 come from Proposition 2.1: they hold for the unreflected solution and by an easy argument hold also for the reflection. To set up the reflection method we first gather some standard results.

**Lemma 5.1.** *Let  $D$  be a smooth, bounded domain in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . There exists some  $R_0 = R_0(D)$  such that the following holds for any  $R \in (0, R_0)$ . Let  $u \in W^{1,p}(D, \mathbb{R}^N)$  be a solution to (1-12) and  $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$ . If*

$$\sup_{B(y_0, r) \subset B(x_0, R)} r^{p-n} \int_{B(y_0, r) \cap D} |\nabla u|^p < \epsilon^p \tag{5-1}$$

and  $B(x_0, R/2) \cap \partial D \neq \emptyset$ , then

$$\sup_{x \in B(x_0, R/2) \cap D} \text{dist}(u(x), \mathbb{S}^{N-1}) \leq C\epsilon.$$

Here  $C$  is a constant depending on  $\partial D$ .

*Proof.* Fix  $x \in B(x_0, R/2) \cap D$ . Let  $r := \frac{1}{10} \text{dist}(x, \partial D)$ . Then by (5-1) and the interior Lipschitz regularity for the  $p$ -Laplace equation, see [Kuusi and Mingione 2012, (1.7)],

$$|u(x) - (u)_{B(x, r)}|^p \lesssim r^{p-n} \int_{B(x, 5r)} |\nabla u|^p \leq \epsilon^p.$$

Denote by  $z_1 \in \partial D \cap B(x_0, R/2)$  the projection of  $x$  onto  $\partial D \cap B(x_0, R/2)$ . Here we assume that  $R < R_0$  for  $R_0 = R_0(D)$  small enough such that  $z_1$  is well-defined.

Let  $y_0, y_1, \dots, y_{10}$  be pairwise equidistant points on the line  $[x, z_1]$ , where  $y_0 = x$  and  $y_{10} = z_1$ . That is,  $|y_i - y_{i+1}| = r$ .

By the triangle inequality, the Poincaré inequality and again by (5-1),

$$\begin{aligned} |(u)_{B(x,r)} - (u)_{B(z_1,r) \cap D}|^p &\lesssim \sum_{i=0}^{10} |(u)_{B(y_i,r) \cap D} - (u)_{B(y_{i+1},r) \cap D}|^p \\ &\lesssim \sum_{i=0}^{10} r^{p-n} \int_{B(y_i,4r) \cap D} |\nabla u|^p \lesssim \epsilon^p. \end{aligned}$$

From the first to second line, before applying the Poincaré inequality, we also used that  $|y_i - y_{i+1}| = r$ , and thus (see footnote 3)

$$|(u)_{B(y_i,r) \cap D} - (u)_{B(y_{i+1},r) \cap D}|^p \lesssim \int_{B(y_i,4r) \cap D} |u - (u)_{B(y_i,4r) \cap D}|^p.$$

Now for any  $z_2 \in \partial D$

$$\text{dist}((u)_{B(z_1,r) \cap D}, \mathbb{S}^{N-1}) \lesssim r^{-n} \int_{B(z_1,r) \cap D} |u(z_3) - u(z_2)| dz_3.$$

Integrating  $z_2$  over  $\partial D \cap B(z_1, r)$  we find

$$\begin{aligned} \text{dist}((u)_{B(z_1,r) \cap D}, \mathbb{S}^{N-1}) &\lesssim r^{-n} \int_{B(z_1,r) \cap D} |u(z_3) - (u)_{B(z_1,r) \cap \partial D}| dz_3 + r^{1-n} \int_{B(z_1,r) \cap \partial D} |u(z_2) - (u)_{B(z_1,r) \cap \partial D}| dz_2. \end{aligned}$$

By the Poincaré inequality, the trace theorem, and (5-1),

$$\text{dist}((u)_{B(z_1,r) \cap D}, \mathbb{S}^{N-1}) \lesssim \epsilon.$$

Now the claim follows by the triangle inequality for the distance,

$$\text{dist}(u(x), \mathbb{S}^{N-1}) \leq |u(x) - (u)_{B(x,r)}| + |(u)_{B(x,r)} - (u)_{B(z_1,r) \cap D}| + \text{dist}((u)_{B(z_1,r) \cap D}, \mathbb{S}^{N-1}). \quad \square$$

As an immediate corollary we obtain:

**Corollary 5.2.** *Let  $u$  and  $D$  be as in Theorem 1.2. There exists  $\epsilon_0 > 0$  such that if  $B(x_0, R/2) \cap \partial D \neq \emptyset$  and (5-1) holds for some  $\epsilon < \epsilon_0$ , then  $|u| > \frac{1}{2}$  in  $B(x_0, R/2) \cap D$ .*

As a consequence, when we reflect the maps from Theorem 1.2, we obtain a critical equation with the growth estimates such that Proposition 4.1 is applicable.

**Proposition 5.3.** *Let  $u$  and  $D$  be as in Theorem 1.2. There exists  $\epsilon_0 = \epsilon_0(D) > 0$  such that for any  $B(x_0, 4R) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  on which  $u$  satisfies (5-1) for some  $\epsilon < \epsilon_0$  there exists  $v \in W^{1,p}(B(x_0, R), \mathbb{R}^N)$  such that*

$$\begin{aligned} v &= u && \text{in } B(x_0, R) \cap D, \\ |\text{div}(|\nabla v|^{p-2} \nabla v)| &\lesssim |\nabla v|^p && \text{in } B(x_0, R). \end{aligned} \tag{5-2}$$

Moreover,  $v$  satisfies the growth conditions from Proposition 4.1.

*Proof.* The main point is to prove that  $v$  satisfies the growth conditions. The estimate (5-2) follows from the geometric reflection, more precisely [Scheven 2004, Lemma 2.5]. But for the reader's convenience we state the argument in full in the case where the boundary is flat. This means that we work in a ball  $B(x_0, 4R)$  such that  $B^+(x_0, 4R) \subset D \subset \mathbb{R}_+^n$  and  $\partial D \cap B(x_0, 4R) = \partial \mathbb{R}_+^n \cap B(x_0, 4R)$ .

If  $B(x_0, R) \subset \mathbb{R}_+^n$  then we can just take  $v \equiv u$ . So assume that  $B(x_0, R) \cap \partial \mathbb{R}_+^n \neq \emptyset$ . Then for  $\epsilon_0$  small enough we have  $|u| > \frac{1}{2}$  in  $B^+(x_0, R)$  by Corollary 5.2.

Denote by  $\tilde{u}$  the even reflection; i.e.,

$$\tilde{u}(x', x_n) := u(x', |x_n|).$$

Moreover, set

$$\sigma(q) := \frac{q}{|q|^2}, \quad q \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}.$$

Now we define the geometric reflection  $v$  as

$$v(x) := \begin{cases} u(x), & x \in B^+(x_0, R), \\ \sigma(\tilde{u}(x)), & x \in B(x_0, R) \setminus \mathbb{R}_+^n. \end{cases}$$

Since  $|u| > \frac{1}{2}$  and  $u$  is uniformly bounded by Lemma A.1,  $v$  is well-defined in  $B(x_0, R)$ .

We also set

$$\Sigma_{ij}(q) := \partial_i \sigma^j(q) = \frac{\delta_{ij} - 2q^i q^j / |q|^2}{|q|^2}.$$

That is, for  $x \in B(x_0, R) \setminus \mathbb{R}_+^n$ ,

$$\nabla v(x) = \Sigma(\tilde{u}(x)) \nabla \tilde{u}(x). \quad (5-3)$$

Observe that  $\Sigma$  is symmetric, and

$$\Sigma(q) = \frac{1}{|q|^2} \left( I - 2 \frac{q}{|q|} \otimes \frac{q}{|q|} \right)$$

and that  $q/|q|$  is an eigenfunction to the eigenvalue  $-1/|q|^2$ , and any orthonormal basis of  $(q/|q|)^\perp$  is the basis of the eigenspace of the eigenvalue  $1/|q|^2$ . In particular,

$$|\Sigma(q)w| = \frac{1}{|q|^2} |w| \quad \text{for all } w \in \mathbb{R}^N.$$

Thus,

$$|\nabla v(x)| = \begin{cases} |\nabla \tilde{u}(x)|, & x \in B^+(x_0, R), \\ |\nabla \tilde{u}(x)| / |\tilde{u}(x)|^2, & x \in B(x_0, R) \setminus \mathbb{R}_+^n. \end{cases} \quad (5-4)$$

Also observe that for  $|q| = 1$ ,

$$\Sigma(q)v = \Pi(q)v - \Pi^\perp(q)v \quad \text{for all } v \in \mathbb{R}^N,$$

where  $\Pi(q) := I - q \otimes q$  is the orthogonal projection onto  $T_q \mathbb{S}^{N-1} = q^\perp$  and  $\Pi^\perp(q) := q \otimes q$  is the orthogonal projection onto  $(T_q \mathbb{S}^{N-1})^\perp = \text{span}\{q\}$ .

Therefore, for  $\phi \in C_c^\infty(B(x_0, R), \mathbb{R}^N)$ , since  $\partial_\nu u \perp T_u \mathbb{S}^{N-1}$ ,

$$\int_{B^+(x_0, R)} |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \cdot \nabla \phi + \int_{B(x_0, R) \setminus \mathbb{R}_+^n} |\nabla \tilde{u}|^{p-2} \nabla \tilde{u} \cdot \nabla (\Sigma(\tilde{u})\phi) = 0.$$

In particular,

$$\int_{B(x_0, R)} |\nabla \tilde{u}|^{p-2} \nabla v \cdot \nabla \phi = - \int_{B(x_0, R) \setminus \mathbb{R}_+^n} |\nabla \tilde{u}|^{p-2} \nabla \tilde{u} \cdot \nabla (\Sigma(\tilde{u})) \phi.$$

Combining this with (5-4),

$$\int_{B(x_0, R)} |\nabla v|^{p-2} \nabla v \cdot \nabla (m\phi) = - \int_{B(x_0, R) \setminus \mathbb{R}_+^n} |\nabla \tilde{u}|^{p-2} \nabla \tilde{u} \cdot \nabla (\Sigma(\tilde{u})) \phi + \int_{B(x_0, R)} |\nabla v|^{p-2} \nabla v \cdot \nabla m \phi,$$

where

$$m(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{in } B^+(x_0, R), \\ |\tilde{u}(x)|^{2(p-2)}, & \text{in } B(x_0, R) \setminus \mathbb{R}_+^n. \end{cases}$$

Observe that  $m(x)$  and  $m(x)^{-1} \in L^\infty \cap W^{1,p}(B(x_0, R))$ . Now (5-2) follows from (5-4).

It remains to establish the growth estimates from Proposition 4.1 which follow from Proposition 2.1. Indeed, set  $\mathcal{M} := B(x_0, R) \cap \partial \mathbb{R}_+^n$ .

To obtain (4-5) let  $B(y_0, 4r) \subset B(x_0, R)$  and  $B(y_0, 2r) \cap \mathcal{M} = \emptyset$ . Let us consider first  $B(y_0, 2r) \subset \mathbb{R}_-^n$ . Then we observe that by (5-4) combined with the fact that  $|u| > \frac{1}{2}$  on  $B^+(x_0, R)$  we have  $\int_{B(y_0, r)} |\nabla v|^p \lesssim \int_{B(\tilde{y}_0, r)} |\nabla u|^p$ , where  $\tilde{y}_0$  is the point  $y_0 = (y_0^1, \dots, y_0^n)$  reflected along the hyperplane  $\partial \mathbb{R}_+^n$ , i.e.,  $\tilde{y}_0 = (y_0^1, \dots, -y_0^n)$ . Now applying (2-3) to  $u$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B(y_0, r)} |\nabla v|^p &\lesssim C\lambda \int_{B^+(\tilde{y}_0, 4r)} |\nabla u|^p + C\lambda^{1-p} r^{-p} \int_{B^+(\tilde{y}_0, 4r)} |u - (u)_{B^+(\tilde{y}_0, 4r)}|^p \\ &\leq C\lambda \int_{B(y_0, 4r)} |\nabla v|^p + C\lambda^{1-p} r^{-p} \int_{B^-(y_0, 4r)} |\tilde{u} - (\tilde{u})_{B^-(y_0, 4r)}|^p. \end{aligned} \tag{5-5}$$

To estimate the remaining part we note that since  $v = \tilde{u}/|\tilde{u}|^2$  we have  $\tilde{u} = v/|v|^2$  in  $\mathbb{R}_-^n$  and for any  $A \subset B(x_0, R) \setminus \mathbb{R}_+^n$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \int_A \left| \frac{v}{|v|^2} - \left( \frac{v}{|v|^2} \right)_A \right|^p &\lesssim \int_A \int_A \left| \frac{v(x)}{|v(x)|^2} - \frac{v(y)}{|v(x)|^2} \right|^p + \int_A \int_A \left| \frac{v(y)}{|v(x)|^2} - \frac{v(y)}{|v(y)|^2} \right|^p \\ &\lesssim \|v^{-1}\|_{L^\infty}^{2p} \int_A \int_A |v(x) - v(y)|^p + \|v^{-1}\|_{L^\infty}^{3p} \int_A \int_A ||v(x)|^2 - |v(y)|^2|^p. \end{aligned} \tag{5-6}$$

Now, since for any  $a, b$ ,

$$|a|^2 - |b|^2 = (|a| + |b|)(|a| - |b|) \leq (|a| + |b|)|a - b|,$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_A \int_A ||v(x)|^2 - |v(y)|^2|^p &\lesssim \|v\|_{L^\infty(A)}^p \int_A \int_A |v(x) - v(y)|^p \\ &\lesssim \|v\|_{L^\infty(A)}^p \int_A |v - (v)_A|^p, \end{aligned} \tag{5-7}$$

where the last inequality was obtained by adding and subtracting  $(v)_A$  and by the triangle inequality. We deduce from (5-6) and (5-7) that

$$\int_A \left| \frac{v}{|v|^2} - \left( \frac{v}{|v|^2} \right)_A \right|^p \lesssim \|v^{-1}\|_{L^\infty(A)}^{2p} (1 + \|v\|_{L^\infty(A)}^p \|v^{-1}\|_{L^\infty(A)}^p) \int_A |v - (v)_A|^p.$$

Due to the fact that  $|u| > \frac{1}{2}$  and  $u$  is uniformly bounded we get

$$\int_A |\tilde{u} - (\tilde{u})_A|^p \lesssim \int_A |v - (v)_A|^p \quad \text{for any } A \subset B(x_0, R) \setminus \mathbb{R}_+^n. \tag{5-8}$$

To conclude, we note<sup>3</sup> that since  $B(y_0, 2r) \subset \mathbb{R}_-^n$  we have  $|B(y_0, 4r)|/|B^-(y_0, 4r)| \approx 1$ ; thus

$$\int_{B^-(y_0, 4r)} |v - (v)_{B^-(y_0, 4r)}|^p \lesssim \int_{B(y_0, 4r)} |v - (v)_{B(y_0, 4r)}|^p. \tag{5-9}$$

Combining estimates (5-5), (5-8), and (5-9) we obtain (4-5). The second case  $B(y_0, 2r) \subset \mathbb{R}_+^n$  is easier and we leave it to the reader.

Finally, for (4-6) we apply (2-4) and observe that  $|u|^2 \equiv 1$  on  $\mathcal{I} := B(y_0, 4r) \cap \partial\mathbb{R}_+^n$ . Thus,

$$\int_{B^+(y_0, 4r)} \left| |u|^2 - 1 \right|^p \lesssim (\|u\|_{L^\infty} + 1) \int_{B^+(y_0, 4r)} \left| |u| - (|u|)_\mathcal{I} \right|^p.$$

Now

$$\left| |u(z)| - (|u|)_\mathcal{I} \right| \leq \int_{\mathcal{I}} \left| |u(z)| - |u(z_2)| \right| dz_2 \leq \int_{\mathcal{I}} |u(z) - u(z_2)| dz_2$$

and thus

$$\int_{B^+(y_0, 4r)} \left| |u| - (|u|)_\mathcal{I} \right|^p \lesssim \int_{B^+(y_0, 4r)} |u - (u)_\mathcal{I}|^p + \int_{\mathcal{I}} |u - (u)_\mathcal{I}|^p.$$

Proposition 5.3 is now established. □

*Proof of Theorem 1.2.* For  $p = n$ , Hölder continuity for  $u$  follows from Proposition 3.1. For  $p < n$ , it follows from the combination of Propositions 5.3 and 4.1. Now  $C^{1,\alpha}$ -regularity follows from the reflection, Proposition 5.3, and the fact that a Hölder continuous solution to the reflected system is  $C^{1,\alpha}$  for some  $\alpha > 0$ ; see [Hardt and Lin 1987, Theorem 3.1] (which is stated for minimizers but actually only uses the continuity of the solution and the equation). See also [Rivière and Strzelecki 2005, Theorem 1.2].

Note that for  $p = n$  there is also a more elegant argument to pass from  $C^\alpha$  regularity to  $C^{1,\alpha}$ . Testing (1-12) in  $x$  and  $x + h$  with  $\phi(x) := \eta(x)(v(x+h) - v(x))$  for a suitable cutoff function  $\eta$  one obtains from the Hölder continuity of  $u$  that for some  $\sigma > 0$  we have  $\nabla v \in W^{1+\sigma,n}$ . In particular, by Sobolev embedding  $\nabla v \in L_{\text{loc}}^{(n,1)}$ , and by [Duzaar and Mingione 2010] we get a Lipschitz bound for  $v$ . Now,  $C^{1,\alpha}$ -regularity is a consequence of the potential estimates for  $p$ -Laplace equations; see [Kuusi and Mingione 2012; 2018]. We leave the details to the reader. □

---

<sup>3</sup> Indeed, for any  $\tilde{A} \subset A$  we have by enlarging the domain of integration and applying Jensen's inequality  $\int_{\tilde{A}} |w - (w)_{\tilde{A}}|^p \lesssim (|A|/|\tilde{A}|) \int_A |w - (w)_A|^p$ .

**6. Partial regularity: proof of Theorem 1.4**

For simplicity we assume in this section that  $B^+(0, R) \subset D \subset \mathbb{R}_+^n$  and  $\partial D \cap B(0, R) = \partial \mathbb{R}_+^n \cap B(0, R)$ . We begin with recalling that a map  $u \in W^{1,p}(B^+(0, R), \mathbb{R}^N)$  is said to be *stationary  $p$ -harmonic* with respect to the free boundary condition  $u(\partial D \cap B(0, R)) \subset \mathbb{S}^{N-1}$  if in addition to (1-9) it is a critical point of the energy with respect to variations in the domain. The latter is equivalent to  $u$  satisfying

$$\int_{B^+(0,R)} |\nabla u|^{p-2} (|\nabla u|^2 \delta_{ij} - p \partial_i u \partial_j u) \partial_i \xi^j = 0 \tag{6-1}$$

for  $\xi = (\xi^1, \dots, \xi^n) \in C_c^\infty(\overline{\mathbb{R}_+^n} \cap B(0, R), \mathbb{R}^n)$  with  $\xi(\partial \mathbb{R}_+^n) \subset \partial \mathbb{R}_+^n$ .

By choosing the test function as  $\xi(x) := \psi(x)(x_0 - x)$  in (6-1), where  $\psi \in C_c^\infty(\overline{\mathbb{R}_+^n} \cap B(0, R), [0, 1])$  is a suitable bump function, one obtains the following.

**Lemma 6.1** (monotonicity formula). *Let  $u \in W^{1,p}(B^+(0, R), \mathbb{R}^N)$  be a stationary  $p$ -harmonic map with respect to the free boundary condition  $u(B^+(0, R) \cap \{x_n = 0\}) \subset \mathbb{S}^{N-1}$  and let  $x_0 \in B^+(0, R) \cap \{x_n = 0\}$ . Then, the normalized  $p$ -energy is monotone. In particular,*

$$r^{p-n} \int_{B^+(x_0,r)} |\nabla u|^p - \rho^{p-n} \int_{B^+(x_0,\rho)} |\nabla u|^p = p \int_{B^+(x_0,r) \setminus B^+(x_0,\rho)} |x - x_0|^{p-n} |\nabla u|^{p-2} \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} \right|^2 \tag{6-2}$$

for all  $0 < \rho < r < R - |x_0|$ , where  $\nu$  is the outward-pointing unit normal for  $\partial B(x_0, r)$ ,  $\nu(x) := (x - x_0)/|x - x_0|$ . For  $x_0 \in B^+(0, R) \setminus \partial \mathbb{R}_+^n$  the same holds if  $r$  is such that  $B^+(x_0, r) = B(x_0, r) \subset \mathbb{R}_+^n$ .

This well-known fact was proved for Yang–Mills fields and stationary harmonic maps in [Price 1983]; see [Evans 1991; Bethuel 1993; Simon 1996, Section 2.4]. Fuchs [1989] observed that (6-2) holds for stationary  $p$ -harmonic maps. As pointed out in [Scheven 2006, p. 137] the proof holds true in the case of a free boundary condition.

We will need the following lemma; see, e.g., [Ziemer 1989, Corollary 3.2.3].

**Lemma 6.2** (Frostman’s lemma). *If  $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,  $p \geq 1$ , and  $0 \leq \alpha < n$ , then for*

$$E := \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \limsup_{r \rightarrow 0} r^{-\alpha} \int_{B(x,r)} |f(y)|^p > 0 \right\},$$

we have  $\mathcal{H}^\alpha(E) = 0$ .

We shall show, using monotonicity formula (6-2) and Frostman’s lemma (Lemma 6.2), that the set outside which the condition (1-13) is satisfied is of zero  $(n-p)$ -Hausdorff measure. We then obtain Theorem 1.4 from Theorem 1.2.

*Proof of Theorem 1.4.* Let

$$S := \left\{ x \in \overline{\mathbb{R}_+^n} : \limsup_{r \rightarrow 0} r^{p-n} \int_{B^+(x,r)} |\nabla u|^p > 0 \right\};$$

by Lemma 6.2, we have  $\mathcal{H}^{n-p}(S) = 0$ .

We define for  $\epsilon$  as in Theorem 1.2

$$\Sigma_\epsilon := \left\{ x \in \bar{\mathbb{R}}_+^n : \text{for all } R > 0 \sup_{|y_0-x|<R} \sup_{\rho<R} \rho^{p-n} \int_{B^+(y_0,\rho)} |\nabla u|^p \geq \epsilon \right\};$$

clearly  $\Sigma_\epsilon$  is a closed set. We will prove that  $\mathcal{H}^{n-p}(\Sigma_\epsilon) = 0$ . Then Theorem 1.4 is a consequence of Theorem 1.2.

Let  $A_\epsilon$  be the set on which the condition (1-13) is satisfied for  $\epsilon$ ; i.e.,

$$A_\epsilon := \bar{\mathbb{R}}_+^n \setminus \Sigma_\epsilon = \left\{ x \in \bar{\mathbb{R}}_+^n : \text{there exists } R > 0 \text{ such that } \sup_{|y_0-x|<R} \sup_{\rho<R} \rho^{p-n} \int_{B^+(y_0,\rho)} |\nabla u|^p < \epsilon \right\}.$$

In order to prove the theorem it suffices to show that  $(\bar{\mathbb{R}}_+^n \setminus S) \subseteq A_\epsilon$ .

Let  $x_0 \in (\bar{\mathbb{R}}_+^n \setminus S)$ , i.e., be such that  $\limsup_{r \rightarrow 0} r^{p-n} \int_{B^+(x_0,r)} |\nabla u|^p = 0$ . There exists an  $R > 0$  such that

$$R^{p-n} \int_{B^+(x_0,R)} |\nabla u|^p < 4^{p-n} \epsilon.$$

We shall show that

$$\sup_{|y_0-x_0|<R/4} \sup_{\rho<R/4} \rho^{p-n} \int_{B^+(y_0,\rho)} |\nabla u|^p < \epsilon.$$

Choose any  $y_0$  such that  $|y_0 - x_0| < R/4$  and any radius  $\rho < R/4$ . First observe that we may take  $y_0 \in \bar{\mathbb{R}}_+^n$ . Indeed, suppose that  $y_1 \in B(x_0, R/4) \cap \mathbb{R}_-^n$ ; then for any  $\rho < R/4$  we can choose  $y_0 \in B(x_0, R/4) \cap \bar{\mathbb{R}}_+^n$  such that  $B(y_1, \rho) \cap \bar{\mathbb{R}}_+^n \subset B(y_0, \rho) \cap \bar{\mathbb{R}}_+^n$ . Thus

$$\sup_{|y_1-x_0|<R/4} \sup_{\rho<R/4} \rho^{p-n} \int_{B^+(y_1,\rho)} |\nabla u|^p = \sup_{y_0 \in B(x_0, R/4) \cap \bar{\mathbb{R}}_+^n} \sup_{\rho<R/4} \rho^{p-n} \int_{B^+(y_0,\rho)} |\nabla u|^p.$$

Now assume that  $y_0 \in \partial \mathbb{R}_+^n$ . We have  $B^+(y_0, \rho) \subset B^+(y_0, R/4) \subset B^+(x_0, R)$ . Thus

$$\rho^{p-n} \int_{B^+(y_0,\rho)} |\nabla u|^p \leq \left(\frac{R}{4}\right)^{p-n} \int_{B^+(y_0,R/4)} |\nabla u|^p \leq 4^{n-p} R^{p-n} \int_{B^+(x_0,R)} |\nabla u|^p < \epsilon,$$

where the first inequality is a consequence of the monotonicity formula (6-2).

Now, let us assume that  $y_0 \notin \partial \mathbb{R}_+^n$ . Let  $\bar{\rho} = \text{dist}(y_0, \partial \mathbb{R}_+^n)$  and  $\bar{y}_0$  be the projection of  $y_0$  onto  $\partial \mathbb{R}_+^n$ . We can assume that  $\rho < \bar{\rho}$ . Indeed, if not we would have

$$\begin{aligned} \rho^{p-n} \int_{B^+(y_0,\rho)} |\nabla u|^p &\leq \rho^{p-n} \int_{B^+(\bar{y}_0,2\rho)} |\nabla u|^p = 2^{n-p} (2\rho)^{p-n} \int_{B^+(\bar{y}_0,2\rho)} |\nabla u|^p \\ &\leq 2^{n-p} \left(\frac{R}{2}\right)^{p-n} \int_{B^+(\bar{y}_0,R/2)} |\nabla u|^p \leq 4^{n-p} R^{p-n} \int_{B^+(x_0,R)} |\nabla u|^p < \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Next, we note that  $\bar{\rho} < R/4$  and observe the inclusions

$$B(y_0, \rho) \subset B(y_0, \bar{\rho}) \subset B^+(\bar{y}_0, 2\bar{\rho}) \subset B^+(\bar{y}_0, R/2) \subset B^+(x_0, R)$$

and the following inequalities which are consequences of the monotonicity formula (6-2):

$$\begin{aligned} \rho^{p-n} \int_{B(y_0, \rho)} |\nabla u|^p &\leq (\bar{\rho})^{p-n} \int_{B(y_0, \bar{\rho})} |\nabla u|^p, \\ (2\bar{\rho})^{p-n} \int_{B^+(\bar{y}_0, 2\bar{\rho})} |\nabla u|^p &\leq \left(\frac{R}{2}\right)^{p-n} \int_{B^+(\bar{y}_0, R/2)} |\nabla u|^p. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \rho^{p-n} \int_{B(y_0, \rho)} |\nabla u|^p &\leq (\bar{\rho})^{p-n} \int_{B(y_0, \bar{\rho})} |\nabla u|^p \leq 2^{n-p} (2\bar{\rho})^{p-n} \int_{B^+(\bar{y}_0, 2\bar{\rho})} |\nabla u|^p \\ &\leq 2^{n-p} \left(\frac{R}{2}\right)^{p-n} \int_{B^+(\bar{y}_0, R/2)} |\nabla u|^p \leq 4^{n-p} R^{p-n} \int_{B^+(x_0, R)} |\nabla u|^p < \epsilon, \end{aligned}$$

which gives  $x_0 \in A_\epsilon$ .

We conclude  $\Sigma_\epsilon \subset S$  and thus  $\mathcal{H}^{n-p}(\Sigma_\epsilon) = 0$ . □

**A Liouville-type result.** We note that the monotonicity formula in Lemma 6.1 can be used to prove partial regularity but also Liouville-type results in the spirit of [Liu 2010]. Indeed, if we work in  $\mathbb{R}_+^n$ , for  $u \in \dot{W}^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}_+^n, \mathbb{R}^N)$  we can say that  $u$  is stationary  $p$ -harmonic with respect to the free boundary condition  $u(\partial\mathbb{R}_+^n) \subset \mathbb{S}^{N-1}$  if  $u$  satisfies (1-9) and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} |\nabla u|^{p-2} (|\nabla u|^2 \delta_{ij} - p \partial_i u \partial_j u) \partial_i \xi^j = 0 \tag{6-3}$$

for  $\xi = (\xi^1, \dots, \xi^n) \in C_c^\infty(\bar{\mathbb{R}}_+^n, \mathbb{R}^n)$  with  $\xi(\partial\mathbb{R}_+^n) \subset \partial\mathbb{R}_+^n$ . We then have:

**Proposition 6.3.** *Let  $2 \leq p < n$  and  $u \in \dot{W}^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}_+^n, \mathbb{R}^N)$  be such that  $u$  is a finite-energy, stationary  $p$ -harmonic map with respect to the free boundary condition  $u(\partial\mathbb{R}_+^n) \subset \mathbb{S}^{N-1}$ . Then  $u$  is constant.*

*Proof.* By contradiction, assume  $u$  is not a constant. Then there exists  $R_0 > 0$  such that  $\int_{B^+(0, R_0)} |\nabla u|^p \geq c > 0$ . Now by the monotonicity formula (Lemma 6.1) we have that for any  $R > R_0$

$$\int_{B^+(0, R)} |\nabla u|^p \geq \left(\frac{R}{R_0}\right)^{n-p} \int_{B^+(0, R_0)} |\nabla u|^p \geq \left(\frac{R}{R_0}\right)^{n-p} c. \tag{6-4}$$

We can then let  $R$  go to  $+\infty$  and we obtain that the  $p$ -energy of  $u$  in  $\mathbb{R}_+^n$  is infinite. This is a contradiction since we assumed that  $u \in \dot{W}^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}_+^n, \mathbb{R}^N)$ . □

### Appendix: On boundedness of $p$ -harmonic maps

The following lemma is well-known. However, we could not find it explicitly in the literature, so we state it here for the convenience of the reader.

**Lemma A.1.** *Let  $D \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be a smooth, bounded domain. Assume that  $u \in W^{1,p}(D, \mathbb{R}^N)$  is a solution to*

$$\operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u) = 0 \quad \text{in } D.$$

*If  $u|_{\partial D} \in L^\infty(\partial D)$ , then  $\|u\|_{L^\infty(D)} \leq \|u\|_{L^\infty(\partial D)}$ .*

*Proof.* For scalar functions this is a consequence of the weak maximum principle for the  $p$ -Laplacian; see [Lindqvist 2006, Theorem 2.15]. However, here we work with a system. For  $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$  we find smooth solutions  $u_\epsilon \in W^{1,p} \cap C^\infty(D, \mathbb{R}^N)$  of the uniformly elliptic system

$$\begin{cases} \operatorname{div}((\epsilon + |\nabla u_\epsilon|^2)^{(p-2)/2} \nabla u_\epsilon) = 0 & \text{in } D, \\ u_\epsilon = u & \text{on } \partial D. \end{cases} \tag{A-1}$$

The solution is smooth in the interior, and a direct computation shows that

$$\operatorname{div}((\epsilon + |\nabla u_\epsilon|^2)^{(p-2)/2} \nabla |u_\epsilon|^2) \geq 0. \tag{A-2}$$

Thus the weak maximum principle for scalar solutions of uniformly elliptic operators in divergence form implies

$$\sup_{\epsilon \in (0,1)} \|u_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty(D)} \leq \|u\|_{L^\infty(\partial D)}. \tag{A-3}$$

Moreover, we can test (A-1) with  $u_\epsilon - u$ , which is trivial on  $\partial D$ , and thus

$$\int_D |\nabla u_\epsilon|^p \leq \int_D (\epsilon + |\nabla u_\epsilon|^2)^{(p-2)/2} |\nabla u_\epsilon|^2 = \int_D (\epsilon + |\nabla u_\epsilon|^2)^{(p-2)/2} \nabla u_\epsilon \cdot \nabla u;$$

consequently, by Young’s inequality,

$$\int_D |\nabla u_\epsilon|^p \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_D |\nabla u_\epsilon|^p + C \int_D |\nabla u|^p + C(|D|, p).$$

Thus,  $u_\epsilon$  is uniformly bounded in  $W^{1,p}$ ,

$$\sup_{\epsilon \in (0,1)} \int_D |\nabla u_\epsilon|^p < \infty. \tag{A-4}$$

On the other hand,

$$\int_D ((\epsilon + |\nabla u_\epsilon|^2)^{(p-2)/2} \nabla u_\epsilon - |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u) \cdot (\nabla u_\epsilon - \nabla u) = 0.$$

Applying then the well-known inequality

$$|a - b|^p \lesssim (|a|^{p-2}a - |b|^{p-2}b)(a - b),$$

we find that as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$

$$\int_D |\nabla u - \nabla u_\epsilon|^p \lesssim o(1) \int_D (|\nabla u|^{p-1} + |\nabla u_\epsilon|^{p-1}).$$

Therefore, in view of (A-4) and the boundedness of  $D$ ,

$$u_\epsilon \xrightarrow{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} u \quad \text{in } W^{1,p}(D).$$

In particular, up to a subsequence, we have pointwise almost everywhere convergence, and from (A-3) we have

$$\|u\|_{L^\infty(D)} \leq \|u\|_{L^\infty(\partial D)}. \quad \square$$

**Lemma A.2.** *Let  $D \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be a possibly unbounded domain with smooth boundary  $\partial D$ . Assume that  $p > n - 1$  and  $u \in \dot{W}^{1,p}(D, \mathbb{R}^N)$  is a solution to*

$$\operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u) = 0 \quad \text{in } D.$$

*If  $u|_{\partial D} \in L^\infty(\partial D)$ , then for every compact set  $K \subset \bar{D}$  we have*

$$\|u\|_{L^\infty(K)} < \infty.$$

*Proof.* For compact  $K$  we find by Fubini's theorem a smooth, bounded domain  $\tilde{D} \supset K$  such that

$$u|_{\partial \tilde{D} \cap D} \in W^{1,p}.$$

Since  $p > n - 1$  we conclude that, by Morrey–Sobolev embedding,  $u$  is continuous on  $\partial \tilde{D} \cap D$ , and in particular  $u \in L^\infty(\partial \tilde{D})$ . Now we can apply Lemma A.1 to  $\tilde{D}$  to obtain the result.  $\square$

We now prove a maximum principle analog of Lemma A.1 but for maps defined in the half-space  $\mathbb{R}_+^n$ . We work with maps with finite energy; i.e., we work with

$$\dot{W}^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}_+^n, \mathbb{R}^N) := \{v \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}_+^n, \mathbb{R}^N) : \nabla v \in L^p(\mathbb{R}_+^n, \mathbb{R}^N)\}.$$

We remark that a map in  $\dot{W}^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}_+^n, \mathbb{R}^N)$  is also in  $L_{\text{loc}}^p(\mathbb{R}_+^n, \mathbb{R}^N)$  and hence has a trace on  $\partial \mathbb{R}_+^n := \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \{0\}$  which is well-defined.

**Proposition A.3.** *Let  $u \in \dot{W}^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}_+^n, \mathbb{R}^N)$  be a solution to*

$$\operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u) = 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+^n,$$

*that is,*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \cdot \nabla \phi = 0 \quad \text{for all } \phi \in C_c^\infty(\bar{\mathbb{R}}_+^n).$$

*Assume that  $u|_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \{0\}} \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \{0\})$ . Then  $u \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$  and*

$$\|u\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} \leq \|u\|_{L^\infty(\partial \mathbb{R}_+^n)}.$$

*Proof.* We define  $g := u|_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \{0\}}$  and  $M := \|g\|_{L^\infty(\partial \mathbb{R}_+^n)}$ . From Proposition A.4 below we know that  $u$  is the unique minimizer of the energy  $\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} |\nabla v|^p$  in

$$X := \{v \in \dot{W}^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}_+^n, \mathbb{R}^N) : v|_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \{0\}} = g \text{ in the trace sense}\}.$$

Now we define

$$\tilde{u} := \begin{cases} u & \text{if } |u| \leq M, \\ Mu/|u| & \text{if } |u| > M. \end{cases}$$

By a direct computation we can see

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} |\nabla \tilde{u}|^p \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} |\nabla u|^p.$$

Additionally, we have  $\tilde{u}|_{\partial \mathbb{R}_+^n} = g$ . Thus by uniqueness we deduce that  $\tilde{u} = u$  and  $|u| \leq M$  in  $\mathbb{R}_+^n$ .  $\square$

It remains to prove:

**Proposition A.4.** *Let  $u \in \dot{W}^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}_+^n, \mathbb{R}^N)$  be as in Proposition A.3 a solution to*

$$\operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u) = 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+^n.$$

*Let us denote by  $g = u|_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times 0}$  the trace of  $u$ . Then  $u$  is the unique minimizer of the energy  $\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} |\nabla v|^p$  in*

$$X := \{v \in \dot{W}^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}_+^n, \mathbb{R}^N) : v|_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times 0} = g \text{ in the trace sense}\}.$$

*Proof.* By the direct method of calculus of variations we can prove that there exists a minimizer  $u_0$  of  $\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} |\nabla u|^p$  in  $X$ . Besides, by strict convexity of the  $p$ -energy we have that this minimizer is unique and it is the unique critical point of the  $p$ -energy in  $X$ . That is, there is at most one map with a trace equal to  $g$  which satisfies

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} |\nabla u_0|^{p-2} \nabla u_0 \cdot \nabla \phi = 0 \quad \text{for all } \phi \in \dot{W}^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}_+^n, \mathbb{R}^N), \quad \phi|_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \{0\}} = 0. \quad (\text{A-5})$$

Observe that  $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+^n, \mathbb{R}^N)$  is dense in the space

$$Y := \{\phi \in \dot{W}^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}_+^n, \mathbb{R}^N) : \phi|_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \{0\}} = 0\},$$

which can be proven as in, e.g., [Willem 2013, Proposition 6.2.5]. We conclude that there is at most one map with a trace equal to  $g$  which satisfies

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} |\nabla u_0|^{p-2} \nabla u_0 \cdot \nabla \phi = 0 \quad \text{for all } \phi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+^n). \quad (\text{A-6})$$

This implies the claim. □

### Acknowledgments

Schikorra and Mazowiecka are supported by the German Research Foundation (DFG) through grant no. SCHI-1257-3-1. Schikorra received research funding from the Daimler and Benz foundation grant no. 32-11/16, and the Simons foundation through grant no. 579261 is gratefully acknowledged. Schikorra was a Heisenberg fellow. Rodiac was supported by the Millennium Nucleus Center for Analysis of PDE NC130017 of the Chilean Ministry of Economy and by the F.R.S.-FNRS under the ‘‘Mandat d’Impulsion scientifique F.4523.17, Topological singularities of Sobolev maps’’. We thank M. Willem for indicating to us the proof of Proposition A.3. The authors would like to thank the anonymous referees for their helpful suggestions.

### References

- [Berlyand and Mironescu 2008] L. Berlyand and P. Mironescu, ‘‘Ginzburg–Landau minimizers in perforated domains with prescribed degrees’’, preprint, 2008, available at <https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-00747687>.
- [Bethuel 1993] F. Bethuel, ‘‘On the singular set of stationary harmonic maps’’, *Manuscripta Math.* **78**:4 (1993), 417–443. MR Zbl

- [Coifman et al. 1993] R. Coifman, P.-L. Lions, Y. Meyer, and S. Semmes, “Compensated compactness and Hardy spaces”, *J. Math. Pures Appl.* (9) **72**:3 (1993), 247–286. MR Zbl
- [Da Lio 2013] F. Da Lio, “Fractional harmonic maps into manifolds in odd dimension  $n > 1$ ”, *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations* **48**:3-4 (2013), 421–445. MR Zbl
- [Da Lio 2015] F. Da Lio, “Compactness and bubble analysis for  $\frac{1}{2}$ -harmonic maps”, *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire* **32**:1 (2015), 201–224. MR Zbl
- [Da Lio and Palmurella 2017] F. Da Lio and F. Palmurella, “Remarks on Neumann boundary problems involving Jacobians”, *Comm. Partial Differential Equations* **42**:10 (2017), 1497–1509. MR Zbl
- [Da Lio and Rivière 2011a] F. Da Lio and T. Rivière, “Sub-criticality of non-local Schrödinger systems with antisymmetric potentials and applications to half-harmonic maps”, *Adv. Math.* **227**:3 (2011), 1300–1348. MR Zbl
- [Da Lio and Rivière 2011b] F. Da Lio and T. Rivière, “Three-term commutator estimates and the regularity of  $\frac{1}{2}$ -harmonic maps into spheres”, *Anal. PDE* **4**:1 (2011), 149–190. MR Zbl
- [Da Lio and Schikorra 2014] F. Da Lio and A. Schikorra, “ $n/p$ -harmonic maps: regularity for the sphere case”, *Adv. Calc. Var.* **7**:1 (2014), 1–26. MR Zbl
- [Da Lio and Schikorra 2017] F. Da Lio and A. Schikorra, “On regularity theory for  $n/p$ -harmonic maps into manifolds”, *Nonlinear Anal.* **165** (2017), 182–197. MR Zbl
- [Dolzmann et al. 1997] G. Dolzmann, N. Hungerbühler, and S. Müller, “The  $p$ -harmonic system with measure-valued right hand side”, *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire* **14**:3 (1997), 353–364. MR Zbl
- [Duzaar and Gastel 1998] F. Duzaar and A. Gastel, “Minimizing  $p$ -harmonic maps at a free boundary”, *Boll. Unione Mat. Ital. Sez. B Artic. Ric. Mat.* (8) **1**:2 (1998), 391–405. MR Zbl
- [Duzaar and Mingione 2010] F. Duzaar and G. Mingione, “Local Lipschitz regularity for degenerate elliptic systems”, *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire* **27**:6 (2010), 1361–1396. MR Zbl
- [Duzaar and Mingione 2011] F. Duzaar and G. Mingione, “Gradient estimates via non-linear potentials”, *Amer. J. Math.* **133**:4 (2011), 1093–1149. MR Zbl
- [Duzaar and Steffen 1989] F. Duzaar and K. Steffen, “A partial regularity theorem for harmonic maps at a free boundary”, *Asymptotic Anal.* **2**:4 (1989), 299–343. MR Zbl
- [Evans 1991] L. C. Evans, “Partial regularity for stationary harmonic maps into spheres”, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* **116**:2 (1991), 101–113. MR Zbl
- [Fraser 2000] A. M. Fraser, “On the free boundary variational problem for minimal disks”, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **53**:8 (2000), 931–971. MR Zbl
- [Fraser and Schoen 2013] A. Fraser and R. Schoen, “Minimal surfaces and eigenvalue problems”, pp. 105–121 in *Geometric analysis, mathematical relativity, and nonlinear partial differential equations*, edited by M. Ghomi et al., Contemp. Math. **599**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2013. MR Zbl
- [Fuchs 1989] M. Fuchs, “Some regularity theorems for mappings which are stationary points of the  $p$ -energy functional”, *Analysis* **9**:1-2 (1989), 127–143. MR Zbl
- [Fuchs 1993] M. Fuchs, “The blow-up of  $p$ -harmonic maps”, *Manuscripta Math.* **81**:1-2 (1993), 89–94. MR Zbl
- [Giaquinta 1983] M. Giaquinta, *Multiple integrals in the calculus of variations and nonlinear elliptic systems*, Ann. of Math. Studies **105**, Princeton Univ. Press, 1983. MR Zbl
- [Hardt and Lin 1987] R. Hardt and F.-H. Lin, “Mappings minimizing the  $L^p$  norm of the gradient”, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **40**:5 (1987), 555–588. MR Zbl
- [Hardt and Lin 1989] R. Hardt and F.-H. Lin, “Partially constrained boundary conditions with energy minimizing mappings”, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **42**:3 (1989), 309–334. MR Zbl
- [Hardt et al. 1986] R. Hardt, D. Kinderlehrer, and F.-H. Lin, “Existence and partial regularity of static liquid crystal configurations”, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **105**:4 (1986), 547–570. MR Zbl
- [Hélein 1990] F. Hélein, “Régularité des applications faiblement harmoniques entre une surface et une sphère”, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math.* **311**:9 (1990), 519–524. MR Zbl

- [Hélein 1991] F. Hélein, “Régularité des applications faiblement harmoniques entre une surface et une variété riemannienne”, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math.* **312**:8 (1991), 591–596. MR Zbl
- [Hirsch 2019] J. Hirsch, “Nonexistence of Wente’s  $L^\infty$  estimate for the Neumann problem”, *Anal. PDE* **12**:4 (2019), 1049–1063. MR Zbl
- [Iwaniec and Martin 2001] T. Iwaniec and G. Martin, *Geometric function theory and non-linear analysis*, Oxford Univ. Press, 2001. MR Zbl
- [Kuusi and Mingione 2012] T. Kuusi and G. Mingione, “Universal potential estimates”, *J. Funct. Anal.* **262**:10 (2012), 4205–4269. MR Zbl
- [Kuusi and Mingione 2018] T. Kuusi and G. Mingione, “Vectorial nonlinear potential theory”, *J. Eur. Math. Soc.* **20**:4 (2018), 929–1004. MR Zbl
- [Laurain and Petrides 2017] P. Laurain and R. Petrides, “Regularity and quantification for harmonic maps with free boundary”, *Adv. Calc. Var.* **10**:1 (2017), 69–82. MR Zbl
- [Lenzmann and Schikorra 2020] E. Lenzmann and A. Schikorra, “Sharp commutator estimates via harmonic extensions”, *Nonlinear Anal.* **193** (2020), art. id. 111375.
- [Lindqvist 2006] P. Lindqvist, *Notes on the  $p$ -Laplace equation*, Report. Univ. Jyväskylä Dept. Math. Stat. **102**, Univ. Jyväskylä, 2006. MR Zbl
- [Liu 2010] J. Liu, “Liouville-type theorems of  $p$ -harmonic maps with free boundary values”, *Hiroshima Math. J.* **40**:3 (2010), 333–342. MR Zbl
- [Mazowiecka and Schikorra 2018] K. Mazowiecka and A. Schikorra, “Fractional div-curl quantities and applications to nonlocal geometric equations”, *J. Funct. Anal.* **275**:1 (2018), 1–44. MR Zbl
- [Millot and Sire 2015] V. Millot and Y. Sire, “On a fractional Ginzburg–Landau equation and  $\frac{1}{2}$ -harmonic maps into spheres”, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* **215**:1 (2015), 125–210. MR Zbl
- [Mingione 2011] G. Mingione, “Gradient potential estimates”, *J. Eur. Math. Soc.* **13**:2 (2011), 459–486. MR Zbl
- [Müller 2002] T. Müller, “ $C^{1,\beta}$ -partial regularity of  $p$ -harmonic maps at the free boundary”, *Boll. Unione Mat. Ital. Sez. B Artic. Ric. Mat. (8)* **5**:1 (2002), 79–107. MR Zbl
- [Price 1983] P. Price, “A monotonicity formula for Yang–Mills fields”, *Manuscripta Math.* **43**:2-3 (1983), 131–166. MR Zbl
- [Rivière 2007] T. Rivière, “Conservation laws for conformally invariant variational problems”, *Invent. Math.* **168**:1 (2007), 1–22. MR Zbl
- [Rivière and Strzelecki 2005] T. Rivière and P. Strzelecki, “A sharp nonlinear Gagliardo–Nirenberg-type estimate and applications to the regularity of elliptic systems”, *Comm. Partial Differential Equations* **30**:4-6 (2005), 589–604. MR Zbl
- [Scheven 2004] C. Scheven, *Zur Regularitätstheorie stationärer harmonischer Abbildungen mit freier Randbedingung*, Ph.D. thesis, Heinrich-Heine-Universität Düsseldorf, 2004, available at <https://tinyurl.com/schevendiss>.
- [Scheven 2006] C. Scheven, “Partial regularity for stationary harmonic maps at a free boundary”, *Math. Z.* **253**:1 (2006), 135–157. MR Zbl
- [Schikorra 2010] A. Schikorra, “A remark on gauge transformations and the moving frame method”, *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire* **27**:2 (2010), 503–515. MR Zbl
- [Schikorra 2012] A. Schikorra, “Regularity of  $n/2$ -harmonic maps into spheres”, *J. Differential Equations* **252**:2 (2012), 1862–1911. MR Zbl
- [Schikorra 2015a] A. Schikorra, “Integro-differential harmonic maps into spheres”, *Comm. Partial Differential Equations* **40**:3 (2015), 506–539. MR Zbl
- [Schikorra 2015b] A. Schikorra, “ $L^p$ -gradient harmonic maps into spheres and  $SO(N)$ ”, *Differential Integral Equations* **28**:3-4 (2015), 383–408. MR Zbl
- [Schikorra 2015c] A. Schikorra, “ $\varepsilon$ -regularity for systems involving non-local, antisymmetric operators”, *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations* **54**:4 (2015), 3531–3570. MR Zbl
- [Schikorra 2018] A. Schikorra, “Boundary equations and regularity theory for geometric variational systems with Neumann data”, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* **229**:2 (2018), 709–788. MR Zbl

- [Schikorra and Strzelecki 2017] A. Schikorra and P. Strzelecki, “Invitation to  $H$ -systems in higher dimensions: known results, new facts, and related open problems”, *EMS Surv. Math. Sci.* **4**:1 (2017), 21–42. MR Zbl
- [Shatah 1988] J. Shatah, “Weak solutions and development of singularities of the  $SU(2)$   $\sigma$ -model”, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **41**:4 (1988), 459–469. MR Zbl
- [Shieh and Spector 2015] T.-T. Shieh and D. E. Spector, “On a new class of fractional partial differential equations”, *Adv. Calc. Var.* **8**:4 (2015), 321–336. MR Zbl
- [Shieh and Spector 2018] T.-T. Shieh and D. E. Spector, “On a new class of fractional partial differential equations, II”, *Adv. Calc. Var.* **11**:3 (2018), 289–307. MR Zbl
- [Simon 1996] L. Simon, *Theorems on regularity and singularity of energy minimizing maps*, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1996. MR Zbl
- [Strzelecki 1994] P. Strzelecki, “Regularity of  $p$ -harmonic maps from the  $p$ -dimensional ball into a sphere”, *Manuscripta Math.* **82**:3-4 (1994), 407–415. MR Zbl
- [Strzelecki 1996] P. Strzelecki, “Stationary  $p$ -harmonic maps into spheres”, pp. 383–393 in *Singularities and differential equations* (Warsaw, 1993), edited by S. Janeczko et al., Banach Center Publ. **33**, Polish Acad. Sci. Inst. Math., Warsaw, 1996. MR Zbl
- [Takeuchi 1994] H. Takeuchi, “Some conformal properties of  $p$ -harmonic maps and a regularity for sphere-valued  $p$ -harmonic maps”, *J. Math. Soc. Japan* **46**:2 (1994), 217–234. MR Zbl
- [Tolksdorf 1984] P. Tolksdorf, “Regularity for a more general class of quasilinear elliptic equations”, *J. Differential Equations* **51**:1 (1984), 126–150. MR Zbl
- [Toro and Wang 1995] T. Toro and C. Wang, “Compactness properties of weakly  $p$ -harmonic maps into homogeneous spaces”, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **44**:1 (1995), 87–113. MR Zbl
- [Uhlenbeck 1977] K. Uhlenbeck, “Regularity for a class of non-linear elliptic systems”, *Acta Math.* **138**:3-4 (1977), 219–240. MR Zbl
- [Willem 2013] M. Willem, *Functional analysis: fundamentals and applications*, Birkhäuser, New York, 2013. MR Zbl
- [Ziemer 1989] W. P. Ziemer, *Weakly differentiable functions: Sobolev spaces and functions of bounded variation*, Graduate Texts in Math. **120**, Springer, 1989. MR Zbl

Received 6 Sep 2017. Revised 31 Jan 2019. Accepted 29 Jun 2019.

KATARZYNA MAZOWIECKA: [katarzyna.mazowiecka@uclouvain.be](mailto:katarzyna.mazowiecka@uclouvain.be)

*Institut de Recherche en Mathématique et Physique, Université Catholique de Louvain, Louvain-la-Neuve, Belgium*

RÉMY RODIAC: [remy.rodiaac@uclouvain.be](mailto:remy.rodiaac@uclouvain.be)

*Institut de Recherche en Mathématique et Physique, Université Catholique de Louvain, Louvain-la-Neuve, Belgium*

ARMIN SCHIKORRA: [armin@pitt.edu](mailto:armin@pitt.edu)

*Department of Mathematics, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, PA, United States*



# Analysis & PDE

msp.org/apde

## EDITORS

EDITOR-IN-CHIEF

Patrick Gérard

patrick.gerard@math.u-psud.fr

Université Paris Sud XI

Orsay, France

## BOARD OF EDITORS

Massimiliano Berti	Scuola Intern. Sup. di Studi Avanzati, Italy berti@sissa.it	Gilles Pisier	Texas A&M University, and Paris 6 pisier@math.tamu.edu
Michael Christ	University of California, Berkeley, USA mchrist@math.berkeley.edu	Tristan Rivière	ETH, Switzerland riviere@math.ethz.ch
Charles Fefferman	Princeton University, USA cf@math.princeton.edu	Igor Rodnianski	Princeton University, USA irod@math.princeton.edu
Ursula Hamenstaedt	Universität Bonn, Germany ursula@math.uni-bonn.de	Yum-Tong Siu	Harvard University, USA siu@math.harvard.edu
Vadim Kaloshin	University of Maryland, USA vadim.kaloshin@gmail.com	Terence Tao	University of California, Los Angeles, USA tao@math.ucla.edu
Herbert Koch	Universität Bonn, Germany koch@math.uni-bonn.de	Michael E. Taylor	Univ. of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, USA met@math.unc.edu
Izabella Laba	University of British Columbia, Canada ilaba@math.ubc.ca	Gunther Uhlmann	University of Washington, USA gunther@math.washington.edu
Richard B. Melrose	Massachusetts Inst. of Tech., USA rbm@math.mit.edu	András Vasy	Stanford University, USA andras@math.stanford.edu
Frank Merle	Université de Cergy-Pontoise, France Frank.Merle@u-cergy.fr	Dan Virgil Voiculescu	University of California, Berkeley, USA dvv@math.berkeley.edu
William Minicozzi II	Johns Hopkins University, USA minicozz@math.jhu.edu	Steven Zelditch	Northwestern University, USA zelditch@math.northwestern.edu
Clément Mouhot	Cambridge University, UK c.mouhot@dpms.cam.ac.uk	Maciej Zworski	University of California, Berkeley, USA zworski@math.berkeley.edu
Werner Müller	Universität Bonn, Germany mueller@math.uni-bonn.de		

## PRODUCTION

production@msp.org

Silvio Levy, Scientific Editor

---

See inside back cover or [msp.org/apde](http://msp.org/apde) for submission instructions.

---

The subscription price for 2020 is US \$340/year for the electronic version, and \$550/year (+\$60, if shipping outside the US) for print and electronic. Subscriptions, requests for back issues from the last three years and changes of subscriber address should be sent to MSP.

---

Analysis & PDE (ISSN 1948-206X electronic, 2157-5045 printed) at Mathematical Sciences Publishers, 798 Evans Hall #3840, c/o University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720-3840, is published continuously online. Periodical rate postage paid at Berkeley, CA 94704, and additional mailing offices.

---

APDE peer review and production are managed by EditFlow® from MSP.

PUBLISHED BY

 **mathematical sciences publishers**  
nonprofit scientific publishing

<http://msp.org/>

© 2020 Mathematical Sciences Publishers

# ANALYSIS & PDE

Volume 13 No. 5 2020

---

Regularity results for generalized double phase functionals SUN-SIG BYUN and JEHAN OH	1269
Epsilon-regularity for $p$ -harmonic maps at a free boundary on a sphere KATARZYNA MAZOWIECKA, RÉMY RODIAC and ARMIN SCHIKORRA	1301
Uniform Sobolev estimates for Schrödinger operators with scaling-critical potentials and applications HARUYA MIZUTANI	1333
When does a perturbed Moser–Trudinger inequality admit an extremal? PIERRE-DAMIEN THIZY	1371
Well-posedness of the hydrostatic Navier–Stokes equations DAVID GÉRARD-VARET, NADER MASMOUDI and VLAD VICOL	1417
Sharp variation-norm estimates for oscillatory integrals related to Carleson’s theorem SHAOMING GUO, JORIS ROOS and PO-LAM YUNG	1457
Federer’s characterization of sets of finite perimeter in metric spaces PANU LAHTI	1501
Spectral theory of pseudodifferential operators of degree 0 and an application to forced linear waves YVES COLIN DE VERDIÈRE	1521
Global existence for the derivative nonlinear Schrödinger equation with arbitrary spectral singularities ROBERT JENKINS, JIAQI LIU, PETER PERRY and CATHERINE SULEM	1539
Unconditional existence of conformally hyperbolic Yamabe flows MARIO B. SCHULZ	1579
Sharpening the triangle inequality: envelopes between $L^2$ and $L^p$ spaces PAATA IVANISVILI and CONNOR MOONEY	1591



2157-5045(2020)13:5;1-9