

involve

a journal of mathematics

A series of series topologies on \mathbb{N}

Jason DeVito and Zachary Parker



A series of series topologies on \mathbb{N}

Jason DeVito and Zachary Parker

(Communicated by Józef H. Przytycki)

Each series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$ of real strictly positive terms gives rise to a topology on $\mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ by declaring a proper subset $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ to be closed if $\sum_{n \in A} a_n < \infty$. We explore the relationship between analytic properties of the series and topological properties on \mathbb{N} . In particular, we show that, up to homeomorphism, $|\mathbb{R}|$ -many topologies are generated. We also find an uncountable family of examples $\{\mathbb{N}_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in [0,1]}$ with the property that for any $\alpha < \beta$, there is a continuous bijection $\mathbb{N}_\beta \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_\alpha$, but the only continuous functions $\mathbb{N}_\alpha \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_\beta$ are constant.

1. Introduction

Consider a series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$ whose terms are strictly positive real numbers. For any $A \subseteq \mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$, we will use the notation $\sum_A a_n$ as a shorthand for $\sum_{n \in A} a_n$.

If $A_1, A_2 \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ are chosen so that $\sum_{A_1} a_n < \infty$ and $\sum_{A_2} a_n < \infty$, then

$$\sum_{A_1 \cup A_2} a_n \leq \sum_{A_1} a_n + \sum_{A_2} a_n < \infty.$$

Further, if $\sum_A a_n < \infty$ and $B \subseteq A$, then $\sum_B a_n \leq \sum_A a_n < \infty$. Thus, the collection $\{A : \sum_A a_n < \infty\} \cup \{\mathbb{N}\}$ forms a topology of closed sets on \mathbb{N} . We use the notation \mathbb{N}_{a_n} to refer to \mathbb{N} equipped with this topology.

If one does not include \mathbb{N} in the topology, then one obtains an ideal of sets $\mathcal{I} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N})$, called a summable ideal. Summable ideals have been studied considerably by set theorists (see, for example [Flašková 2008; Hrušák 2011; Katětov 1968; Kwela and Tryba 2017; Mrožek 2016]), but do not seem to have been considered from the topological perspective before.

One focus of our paper is to relate analytic properties of the series with some of the usual basic topological properties. For example, we can characterize when \mathbb{N}_{a_n} is connected.

Theorem 1.1. *The series $\sum_{\mathbb{N}} a_n$ diverges if and only if \mathbb{N}_{a_n} is connected.*

MSC2010: 54A10, 54G99.

Keywords: series, countable topologies.

Likewise, we have a characterization of compactness.

Theorem 1.2. *The series $\sum_{\mathbb{N}} a_n$ has $\inf\{a_n\} > 0$ if and only if \mathbb{N}_{a_n} is compact.*

Another focus of this paper is on continuity of maps to and from an \mathbb{N}_{a_n} . We first establish that continuous maps between an \mathbb{N}_{a_n} and a “nice” topological space are constant, unless \mathbb{N}_{a_n} is discrete. More specifically, we prove the following theorem.

Theorem 1.3. *If X is compact, connected, and Hausdorff, or X is path-connected then any continuous map $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_{a_n}$ is constant. If Y is metrizable and $\sum_{\mathbb{N}} a_n$ diverges, then any continuous function $f : \mathbb{N}_{a_n} \rightarrow Y$ is constant.*

We also investigate continuous maps $\mathbb{N}_{a_n} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_{b_n}$. Our main theorem finds sufficient conditions for \mathbb{N}_{a_n} and \mathbb{N}_{b_n} to have distinct homeomorphism types.

Theorem 1.4. *Suppose $\sum_{\mathbb{N}} a_n$ and $\sum_{\mathbb{N}} b_n$ are both series consisting of positive terms and assume $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n/b_n = 0$ and that $\sum_{\mathbb{N}} b_n$ diverges. Then \mathbb{N}_{a_n} and \mathbb{N}_{b_n} are not homeomorphic.*

By considering $a_n = 1/n^p$ and $b_n = 1/n^q$ for $0 \leq p < q \leq 1$, we show, as a simple corollary, that this theorem gives $|\mathbb{R}|$ -many homeomorphism types among the \mathbb{N}_{a_n} .

In fact, [Theorem 1.4](#) can be strengthened in the case where $a_n = 1/n^p$ and $b_n = 1/n^q$ for $p \in [0, 1]$, $p < q$.

Theorem 1.5. *Suppose $0 \leq p \leq 1$ and $p < q$. Then the only continuous functions $\mathbb{N}_{1/n^p} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_{1/n^q}$ are constant.*

Often when initially learning topology, one questions whether a continuous bijection is necessarily a homeomorphism. Of course, there are simple counterexamples to this conjecture, e.g., $e^{i\theta} : [0, 2\pi) \rightarrow S^1$, but [Theorem 1.5](#) provides an interesting uncountable family of counterexamples: the identity function $\mathbb{N}_{1/n^q} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_{1/n^p}$ is a continuous bijection, but the only continuous functions $\mathbb{N}_{1/n^p} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_{1/n^q}$ are constants.

We also find an interesting uncountable family of spaces with diversity one. Recall that a topological space is said to have *diversity one* or to have *homeomorphic open sets* if any two nonempty open sets are homeomorphic. Such topological spaces have been previously studied [[Rajagopalan and Franklin 1990](#)], under the added restriction that the underlying topology is Hausdorff.

Theorem 1.6. *Suppose $\inf\{a_n\} = 0$ and $\sum_{\mathbb{N}} a_n$ diverges. Then every nonempty open subset of \mathbb{N}_{a_n} is homeomorphic to \mathbb{N}_{a_n} .*

[Theorems 1.4, 1.5, and 1.6](#) can be deduced from more general results in [[Kwela and Tryba 2017](#); [Mrożek 2016](#)]. We choose to include proofs of these theorems for two reasons. First, our proofs use simpler tools. In fact, they employ nothing beyond the curriculum of a standard American calculus II course. Second, [[Kwela](#)

and Tryba 2017; Mrozek 2016] are intended for set theorists. While the intersection of topologists and set theorists is large, we hope that by expressing the results in topological language, they become more widely accessible.

The outline of this paper is as follows. In Section 2, we establish the connection between analytic properties of the series and basic topological properties (compactness, connectedness, etc.), proving Theorems 1.1 and 1.2. In Section 3, we establish properties about continuous maps between “nice spaces” and \mathbb{N}_{a_n} , proving Theorem 1.3. Finally, in Section 4, we study continuous functions $\mathbb{N}_{a_n} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_{b_n}$, proving Theorems 1.4, 1.5, and 1.6.

2. Basic topological properties

As mentioned in the introduction, given any series of positive terms $\sum_{\mathbb{N}} a_n$, one can construct a topology on \mathbb{N} by declaring a subset $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ to be closed if and only if $\sum_A a_n < \infty$ or $A = \mathbb{N}$. The restriction that all a_n be positive serves two purposes. First, it means that the convergence of a series of the form $\sum_A a_n$ for $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ is independent of the order we take the sum. Second, as the following example shows, allowing a mixture of infinitely many positive and negative terms can lead to cases where the above prescription does not actually define a topology.

Proposition 2.1. *The set $\{A \subseteq \mathbb{N} : \sum_A (-1)^{n+1}/n < \infty\} \cup \{\mathbb{N}\}$ is not a topology of closed sets on \mathbb{N} .*

Proof. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let A_n denote the set $\mathbb{N} \setminus \{2, 4, 6, \dots, 2n\}$. Then $\sum_{A_n} a_n < \infty$, because A_n differs from \mathbb{N} only on a finite set and $\sum_{\mathbb{N}} a_n = \ln(2) < \infty$.

On the other hand $\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n$ is precisely the odd numbers O , and

$$\sum_O a_n = \sum_{\mathbb{N}} \frac{1}{2n+1} = \infty. \quad \square$$

Of course, the above proof can be adapted to any conditionally convergent series. Henceforth, all the terms in any sum will be positive real numbers.

We begin with some basic topological properties of \mathbb{N}_{a_n} .

Proposition 2.2. *For any series of positive terms $\sum_{\mathbb{N}} a_n$, the topological space \mathbb{N}_{a_n} has the following properties:*

- (1) *Points are closed.*
- (2) *If $B \subseteq A \subsetneq \mathbb{N}$ with A closed, then B is closed.*
- (3) *For any $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$, either $\bar{A} = A$ or $\bar{A} = \mathbb{N}$.*

Proof. First, (1) follows because every finite sum automatically converges.

For (2), let $B \subseteq A \subsetneq \mathbb{N}$ with A closed. Then $\sum_B a_n \leq \sum_A a_n < \infty$, so B is closed as well.

For (3), if $\bar{A} \neq \mathbb{N}$, then $\sum_{\bar{A}} a_n \leq \sum_A a_n < \infty$, so A is closed, that is, $A = \bar{A}$. \square

In fact, the second and third properties in [Proposition 2.2](#) are equivalent in any topological space, as shown in the next proposition.

Proposition 2.3. *Suppose X is a topological space. Then X has the property that every subset is closed or dense if and only if X has the property that every subset of a proper closed set is closed.*

Proof. Assume initially that the closure of every set is itself or X . Let $A \subsetneq X$ be a closed set and let $B \subseteq A$ be arbitrary. Then, $\bar{B} \subseteq A$, so $\bar{B} \neq X$. By assumption, $\bar{B} = B$ so B is closed.

Conversely, assume every subset of a proper closed set is closed and let $A \subseteq X$ be arbitrary. If $\bar{A} \neq X$, then \bar{A} is a proper closed set. Since $A \subseteq \bar{A}$, the set A must be closed. \square

By taking complements, it follows immediately that supersets of nonempty open sets are open, and, equivalently, that the interior of any subset is either itself or empty.

We now show that many familiar topological properties are equivalent to natural analytic properties. We begin with a characterization of the discrete topology, which in particular, proves [Theorem 1.1](#).

Theorem 2.4. *The following are equivalent:*

- (1) $\sum_{\mathbb{N}} a_n$ converges.
- (2) \mathbb{N}_{a_n} is discrete.
- (3) \mathbb{N}_{a_n} is disconnected.
- (4) \mathbb{N}_{a_n} is Hausdorff

Proof. To show (1) \implies (2), suppose $\sum_{\mathbb{N}} a_n$ converges and let $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ be arbitrary. Then $\sum_A a_n \leq \sum_{\mathbb{N}} a_n < \infty$, so every set is closed. This shows \mathbb{N}_{a_n} is discrete.

The implication (2) \implies (4) is obvious, as is (2) \implies (3) for any set with more than one point.

To see that (3) \implies (1) and (4) \implies (1), we note that both conditions (3) and (4) imply there are two nonempty proper closed A_1, A_2 for which $A_1 \cup A_2 = \mathbb{N}$. For (3), one can obtain A_1 and A_2 as complements of any two disconnecting open sets. For (4), one can obtain A_1 and A_2 as complements of any two disjoint proper open sets. Because both A_i are proper, $\sum_{A_i} a_n < \infty$. Thus,

$$\sum_{\mathbb{N}} a_n \leq \sum_{A_1} a_n + \sum_{A_2} a_n < \infty,$$

so the series converges. \square

We now give a similar characterization of when the topology on \mathbb{N} is the cofinite topology, which will encompass [Theorem 1.2](#).

Theorem 2.5. *The following are equivalent:*

- (1) $\inf\{a_n\} > 0$.
- (2) \mathbb{N}_{a_n} is the cofinite topology.
- (3) \mathbb{N}_{a_n} is compact.

Before proving this, we need a lemma which will be used again in [Section 4](#).

Lemma 2.6. *Suppose $\inf\{a_n\} = 0$. Then there is an infinite closed set.*

Proof. Because $\inf\{a_n\} = 0$, there is an $n_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ with $a_{n_1} \leq 1/1^2$. Continuing inductively, there is an $n_k \in \mathbb{N}$ for which both $n_k > n_{k-1}$ and $a_{n_k} \leq 1/k^2$. Setting $A = \{n_1, \dots, n_k, \dots\}$, we see that $\sum_A a_n \leq \sum_{\mathbb{N}} 1/k^2 < \infty$. Thus, $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}_{a_n}$ is closed. \square

We now prove [Theorem 2.5](#).

Proof. For (1) \implies (2), let $L = \inf\{a_n\}$ and assume $L > 0$. If $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ is infinite, then $\sum_A a_n \geq \sum_A L = \infty$, so A is not closed, unless $A = \mathbb{N}$. Since we have previously shown that finite sets are closed, this is precisely the cofinite topology.

For (2) \implies (3), we note that any nonempty open set can only miss finitely many points. It follows easily that the cofinite topology on any set is compact.

Finally, we show (3) \implies (1) via the contrapositive. So, assume $\inf\{a_n\}$ is not greater than 0. Since the terms a_n are all positive, this implies $\inf\{a_n\} = 0$. By [Lemma 2.6](#), there is an infinite closed subset $A = \{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k, \dots\}$.

Now, for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$, we let $U_i = A^c \cup \{n_1, \dots, n_i\}$. We claim $\{U_i\}$ forms an open cover of \mathbb{N}_{a_n} with no finite subcover. To see that each U_i is open, simply note that $U_i^c = A \setminus \{n_1, \dots, n_i\}$ is a subset of the closed set A , and so is closed. Further, the U_i cover \mathbb{N} because for each $n_i \in A$, we have $n_i \in U_i$ and for $n \notin A$, we have $n \in U_1$.

On the other hand, if $\{U_{i_1}, \dots, U_{i_k}\}$ is a finite collection of the U_i and $l > \max\{i_1, \dots, i_k\}$, then $n_l \notin U_{i_1} \cup \dots \cup U_{i_k}$. So, there is no finite subcover. \square

3. Continuous functions to and from “nice” spaces

In this section, we study continuous functions between “nice” spaces and an \mathbb{N}_{a_n} , proving [Theorem 1.3](#). In fact, [Propositions 3.1](#) and [3.2](#) together are equivalent to [Theorem 1.3](#).

We begin this section with a characterization of continuous functions $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_{a_n}$ where X is a continuum or X is path-connected. We recall that a topological space is called a *continuum* if it is compact, connected, and Hausdorff.

Proposition 3.1. *Suppose $\sum_{\mathbb{N}} a_n$ is a series of positive terms. If X is a continuum or path-connected, then any continuous function $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_{a_n}$ is constant.*

In fact, the result holds more generally (with the same proof) if \mathbb{N}_{a_n} is replaced with any countable topological space for which points are closed.

Proof. Assume initially that X is a continuum. As discussed previously, in any series topology, finite sets are closed. Now, since f is continuous, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $f^{-1}(n)$ is a closed subset of X . Thus, we may write X as a countable disjoint union of closed sets: $X = \bigsqcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} f^{-1}(n)$. According to [Sierpinski 1918], this is only possible if at most one of the closed sets is nonempty. That is, f is constant.

Now, assume X is path connected and $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_{a_n}$ is continuous. Let $p, q \in X$ be arbitrary and let $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow X$ be a curve with $\gamma(0) = p$ and $\gamma(1) = q$. Then, since $f \circ \gamma$ is continuous and $[0, 1]$ is a continuum, $f \circ \gamma$ must be constant. Thus, $f(p) = f(q)$ as claimed. It follows that f is constant. \square

In particular, each \mathbb{N}_{a_n} is totally path disconnected. This contrasts with [Theorem 1.1](#), which asserts that \mathbb{N}_{a_n} is connected if $\sum_{\mathbb{N}} a_n$ diverges.

We now change focus to the case where the domain is an \mathbb{N}_{a_n} . If $\sum_{\mathbb{N}} a_n$ converges, then the induced topology is discrete ([Theorem 2.4](#)), so any function $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow Y$ is continuous for any topological space Y . In particular, there are many nonconstant continuous functions $f : \mathbb{N}_{a_n} \rightarrow Y$. Nonetheless, we now show this behavior is limited to convergent series.

Proposition 3.2. *Suppose \mathbb{N}_{a_n} is associated to a divergent series $\sum_{\mathbb{N}} a_n$. If X is metrizable, then any continuous function $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow X$ is constant.*

Proof. By [Theorem 1.1](#), the topology on \mathbb{N} is connected, so $f(\mathbb{N})$ is a connected subset of X . We recall that a connected set in a metrizable space is either a single point, or has cardinality at least that of \mathbb{R} . Indeed, suppose C is connected with $1 < |C| < |\mathbb{R}|$, and let $c_1, c_2 \in C$ be distinct. Let $d : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be any compatible metric. Then, because the interval $[0, d(c_1, c_2))$ has the cardinality of \mathbb{R} , there must be an $r \in (0, d(c_1, c_2))$ for which $d(c_1, c) \neq r$ for any $c \in C$. Then $U = \{c \in C : d(c, c_1) < r\}$ and $V = \{c \in C : d(c, c_1) > r\}$ disconnect C .

So, as $f(\mathbb{N})$ is connected and countable, it must consist of a single point. \square

4. Continuous functions $\mathbb{N}_{a_n} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_{b_n}$

We begin with the following observation about continuous maps $\mathbb{N}_{a_n} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_{b_n}$.

Proposition 4.1. *Suppose $f : \mathbb{N}_{a_n} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_{b_n}$ is continuous and $\sum_{\mathbb{N}} a_n$ diverges. If f is nonconstant, then the image of f is dense. In particular, $f(\mathbb{N})$ must be an infinite subset of \mathbb{N} .*

Proof. This is obvious if f is surjective, so we assume $f(\mathbb{N}) \subsetneq \mathbb{N}_{b_n}$. By [Theorem 2.4](#), since $\sum_{\mathbb{N}} a_n$ diverges, \mathbb{N}_{a_n} is connected. Now, if $f(\mathbb{N}) \subseteq \mathbb{N}_{b_n}$ is closed, then in the subspace topology, the connected set $f(\mathbb{N})$ is discrete, and so must consist of a single point. In particular, if f is nonconstant, $f(\mathbb{N})$ cannot be closed. But, we showed in [Proposition 2.2](#) that in any series topology, a subset is either closed or dense. \square

We now work towards providing partial results characterizing the homeomorphism type of \mathbb{N}_{a_n} . Our first proposition provides some simple sufficient conditions which guarantee \mathbb{N}_{a_n} is homeomorphic to \mathbb{N}_{b_n} .

Proposition 4.2. *\mathbb{N}_{a_n} and \mathbb{N}_{b_n} are homeomorphic if any of the following conditions is satisfied:*

- (1) *There is a bijection $\sigma : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ for which $a_n = b_{\sigma(n)}$ for all n .*
- (2) *The limit $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n/b_n$ exists and is positive.*
- (3) *The space \mathbb{N}_{b_n} is not cofinite, and $a_{2n-1} = b_n$, while $\sum_{\mathbb{N}} a_{2n}$ converges.*

The last condition essentially says that a convergent series and a series $\sum_{\mathbb{N}} b_n$ with $\inf\{b_n\} = 0$ can be spliced together to give a space homeomorphic to \mathbb{N}_{b_n} . Using the first condition, it can be inserted anywhere and in any order.

Proof. For the first statement, $\sigma : \mathbb{N}_{a_n} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_{b_n}$ is a homeomorphism. In more detail, suppose $X \subseteq \mathbb{N}_{b_n}$ is proper.

Since

$$\sum_{\sigma^{-1}(X)} a_n = \sum_{\sigma^{-1}(X)} b_{\sigma(n)} = \sum_X b_n,$$

we see that $X \subseteq \mathbb{N}_{b_n}$ is closed if and only if $\sigma^{-1}(X) \subseteq \mathbb{N}_{a_n}$ is closed.

For the second statement, suppose $L = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n/b_n$ with $0 < L < \infty$. Then there is a natural number N with the property that for any $n > N$,

$$\left| \frac{a_n}{b_n} - L \right| < \frac{L}{2}.$$

In particular,

$$\frac{L}{2} b_n < a_n < \frac{3L}{2} b_n$$

for all $n > N$.

We claim the identity function $\mathbb{N}_{a_n} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_{b_n}$ is a homeomorphism. Suppose $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}_{a_n}$ is proper and closed. Decompose A as $A = A_0 \cup A_1$, with $A_0 = A \cap \{0, 1, \dots, N\}$ and $A_1 = A \setminus A_0$. Then

$$\sum_{n \in A} b_n = \sum_{A_0} b_n + \sum_{A_1} b_n < \sum_{A_0} b_n + \frac{2}{L} \sum_{A_1} a_n.$$

The first sum contains only finitely many terms, and so converges, and the second is bounded by

$$\frac{2}{L} \sum_A a_n < \infty,$$

so A is also closed in \mathbb{N}_{b_n} . This shows the identity map is a closed map. Interchanging a_n and b_n and using the fact that $a_n < (3L/2)b_n$ shows the identity is continuous, so it is a homeomorphism.

For the last statement, since \mathbb{N}_{b_n} is not cofinite, $\inf\{b_n\} = 0$ (Theorem 2.5), so, by Lemma 2.6 there is an infinite closed subset of \mathbb{N}_{b_n} . By shrinking this subset, we may assume the complement is infinite. Then, by (1) of this proposition, we may assume this subset is E , the even numbers. Let $\psi : E \rightarrow D$, where $D = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : n \not\equiv 1 \pmod{4}\}$, denote any bijection. Now, our homeomorphism $\sigma : \mathbb{N}_{b_n} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_{a_n}$ is the map

$$\sigma(n) = \begin{cases} 2n - 1 & n \text{ odd,} \\ \psi(n) & n \text{ even.} \end{cases}$$

To see that σ is surjective, note that by the definition of ψ , we need only show that $2n - 1$, n odd, represents every number which is congruent to 1 (mod 4). But $2n - 1 = 4k + 1$ is solved by the odd number $n = 2k + 1$. The fact that σ is injective follows because both $n \mapsto 2n - 1$ and ψ are injective, together with the fact that $2n - 1$ is always congruent to 1 (mod 4) if n is odd.

We now show that σ and σ^{-1} are continuous, beginning with σ . So, suppose $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}_{a_n}$ is proper and closed. Decompose A as $A = A_0 \cup A_1$, where $A_0 = A \cap D$ and $A_1 = A \setminus A_0$. Then, $\sigma^{-1}(A_0) = \psi^{-1}(A_0) \subseteq E$. By assumption, $\sum_E b_n < \infty$, so $\sum_{\sigma^{-1}(A_0)} b_n \leq \sum_E b_n < \infty$.

Also, we compute that

$$\sum_{\sigma^{-1}(A_1)} b_n = \sum_{\sigma^{-1}(A_1)} a_{2n-1} = \sum_{A_1} a_n < \infty.$$

Thus,

$$\sum_{\sigma^{-1}(A)} b_n = \sum_{\sigma^{-1}(A_0)} b_n + \sum_{\sigma^{-1}(A_1)} b_n < \infty.$$

This shows that $\sigma^{-1}(A) \subseteq \mathbb{N}_{b_n}$ is closed.

Finally, assume $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}_{b_n}$ is closed and proper. We must show $\sigma(A)$ is closed. Decompose A as $A = A_0 \cup A_1$, where $A_0 = A \cap E$ and $A_1 = A \setminus A_0$. Then

$$\sum_{\sigma(A_0)} a_n = \sum_{\psi(A_0)} a_n \leq \sum_D a_n = \sum_E b_n + \sum_E a_n < \infty.$$

Also,

$$\sum_{\sigma(A_1)} a_n = \sum_{A_1} a_{\sigma(n)} = \sum_{A_1} a_{2n-1} = \sum_{A_1} b_n \leq \sum_A b_n < \infty.$$

Thus,

$$\sum_{\sigma(A)} a_n = \sum_{\sigma(A_0)} a_n + \sum_{\sigma(A_1)} a_n < \infty. \quad \square$$

Theorem 1.6 is a corollary of Proposition 4.2(3).

Proof of Theorem 1.6. Suppose $U \subseteq \mathbb{N}_{a_n}$ is a nonempty open set. Then U^c is a proper closed set. If U is finite, then $\sum_{\mathbb{N}} a_n = \sum_U a_n + \sum_{U^c} a_n < \infty$, a contradiction, so U must be infinite. List the elements of U as $U = \{u_1, u_2, \dots\}$, with $u_1 < u_2 < \dots$. Consider the series $\sum_{\mathbb{N}} b_n$, where $b_n = a_{u_n}$. Then $\sum_{\mathbb{N}} a_n$ is obtained from $\sum_{\mathbb{N}} b_n$ by adjoining the convergent series $\sum_{U^c} a_n$. Proposition 4.2(c) now implies that U and \mathbb{N}_{a_n} are homeomorphic. \square

A proof of Theorem 1.4. We now establish Theorem 1.4, using a proof due to Alexey Lebedev.¹ For the remainder of this section, we will assume $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n/b_n = 0$ and that $\sum_{\mathbb{N}} b_n$ diverges. Notice in this case that $\{a_n\}$ has a largest element, and a second largest element, etc. Thus, by Proposition 4.2(1), we assume without loss of generality that the sequence $\{a_n\}$ is nonincreasing.

We prove Theorem 1.4 via a sequence of lemmas. We let $S_n = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i$ and $T_n = \sum_{j=1}^n b_j$ be the partial sums.

Lemma 4.3. *We have $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S_n/T_n = 0$.*

Proof. Because $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n/b_n = 0$, for any $\epsilon > 0$, there is an N with the property that $n > N$ implies $a_n/b_n < \epsilon$. Then, for $n > N$, we have

$$\frac{S_n}{T_n} = \frac{S_N + \sum_{N+1 \leq i \leq n} a_i}{T_n} < \frac{S_N + \epsilon \sum_{N+1 \leq i \leq n} b_i}{T_n} = \frac{S_N + \epsilon(T_n - T_N)}{T_n}.$$

Thus, $S_n/T_n < S_N - \epsilon T_N/T_n + \epsilon$. Now, S_N and T_N are constants, and $T_n \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, so clearly, we can make S_n/T_n as small as we like by taking n large enough. \square

Now, suppose $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ is any bijection. For any $\epsilon > 0$, we define

$$M_\epsilon = \{i \in \mathbb{N} : a_{f(i)} < \epsilon b_i\}.$$

Lemma 4.4. *For any $\epsilon > 0$, the sum $\sum_{M_\epsilon} b_i$ diverges.*

Proof. Since f is a bijection and a_n is nonincreasing, we have

$$\sum_{i \leq n} a_{f(i)} \leq \sum_{i \leq n} a_i = S_n.$$

Thus, we compute

$$\frac{S_n}{T_n} \geq \frac{\sum_{i \leq n} a_{f(i)}}{T_n} \geq \frac{\sum_{i \leq n, i \notin M_\epsilon} a_{f(i)}}{T_n} \geq \frac{\epsilon \sum_{i \leq n, i \notin M_\epsilon} b_i}{T_n} = \frac{\epsilon(T_n - \sum_{i \leq n, i \in M_\epsilon} b_i)}{T_n}.$$

Now, if $\sum_{M_\epsilon} b_i$ converges, then the fact that $T_n \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ implies that $S_n/T_n \geq \epsilon/2$ for n large enough. This contradicts Lemma 4.3. \square

We can now finish the proof of Theorem 1.4.

¹<https://math.stackexchange.com/q/2429818>.

Proof. We now construct a set A for which $\sum_{f(A)} a_n$ converges, but $\sum_A b_n$ diverges. Assuming we can do this, this shows that f cannot be a homeomorphism.

We construct A as a disjoint union $A = \bigcup_m A_m$, where each A_m is finite. The sets A_m are defined inductively, beginning with $A_0 = \emptyset$.

Now, assuming A_0, A_1, \dots, A_{m-1} have been defined and are finite, we now define A_m . To do so, consider the set

$$X_m := M_{2^{-m}} \setminus \left(\{i \in \mathbb{N} : a_{f(i)} \geq 2^{-m}\} \cup \bigcup_{i < m} A_i \right).$$

Notice $\{i \in \mathbb{N} : a_{f(i)} \geq 2^{-m}\}$ is a finite set since $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = 0$. Likewise, inductively, $\bigcup_{i < m} A_i$ is a finite set. Since X_m and $M_{2^{-m}}$ differ in only a finite set, [Lemma 4.4](#) implies that $\sum_{X_m} b_n$ diverges. In particular, there is a finite subset $Y_m \subseteq X_m$ for which $\sum_{Y_m} b_n > 1$. Among all the subsets of $Z_m \subseteq Y_m$ for which $\sum_{Z_m} b_n > 1$, we let A_m denote one with minimal cardinality. Then $\sum_{A_m} b_n > 1$ but, for any $x \in A_m$, $\sum_{A_m \setminus \{x\}} b_n \leq 1$.

Now, set $A = \bigcup A_m$. We claim that $\sum_A b_n$ diverges. Indeed, we have

$$\sum_A b_n = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{A_m} b_n \geq \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} 1.$$

We next claim that $\sum_{f(A)} a_n$ converges. To see this, first, let x_m be any element in A_m . Since $x_m \in A_m \subseteq X_m$, we have $a_{f(x_m)} < 2^{-m}$. Further, since $A_m \subseteq M_{2^{-m}}$ and $\sum_{A_m \setminus \{x_m\}} b_n \leq 1$,

$$\sum_{A_m \setminus \{x_m\}} a_{f(n)} \leq 2^{-m} \sum_{A_m \setminus \{x_m\}} b_n \leq 2^{-m}.$$

Thus, we see that

$$\sum_{f(A_m)} a_n = a_{f(x_m)} + \sum_{f(A_m \setminus \{x_m\})} a_n < 2^{-m} + \sum_{A_m \setminus \{x_m\}} a_{f(n)} \leq 2^{-m} + 2^{-m} = 2^{-m+1}.$$

So,

$$\sum_{f(A)} a_n = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{f(A_m)} a_n < \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} 2^{-m+1} < \infty. \quad \square$$

As a simple corollary, we see that, for $0 < p < q \leq 1$, the spaces \mathbb{N}_{1/n^p} and \mathbb{N}_{1/n^q} are not homeomorphic. Thus, forming a series topology generates at least $|\mathbb{R}|$ -many topologies which are distinct up to homeomorphism. On the other hand, there are only $|\mathbb{R}|^{|\mathbb{N}|} = |2^{|\mathbb{N}|}|^{|\mathbb{N}|} = |2^{|\mathbb{N}| \cdot |\mathbb{N}|} = |\mathbb{R}|$ series. So there are precisely $|\mathbb{R}|$ -many topologies on \mathbb{N} derived from series.

4.1. A proof of Theorem 1.5. We conclude with a proof of Theorem 1.5. We will henceforth assume $p \in [0, 1]$ and $p < q$. We must prove that any continuous map $f : \mathbb{N}_{1/n^p} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_{1/n^q}$ is constant.

We handle the case $p = 0$ separately from the case $p > 0$. For, if $p = 0$, then \mathbb{N}_{1/n^0} is the \mathbb{N} with the cofinite topology, whereas \mathbb{N}_{1/n^q} is not cofinite. Since any nonconstant continuous function $f : \mathbb{N}_{1/n^0} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_{1/n^q}$ has infinite image (Proposition 4.1), Lemma 2.6 gives an infinite closed $A \subseteq f(\mathbb{N}_{1/n^0})$. Then $f^{-1}(A)$ is infinite, and so not closed in \mathbb{N}_{1/n^0} .

We prove the case $p > 0$ following an approach of Georgiy Shevchenko.² The following lemma is due to Georgiy Shevchenko.

Lemma 4.5. *Suppose a_n and b_n are positive, $\sum_{\mathbb{N}} b_n = \infty$, and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} b_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n/b_n = 0$. Then there is a subset $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ with the property that $\sum_A b_n$ diverges, while $\sum_A a_n$ converges.*

Proof. For each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we let N_k be a natural number with the property that $a_n/b_n \leq 1/k$ for any $n \geq N_k$. Because $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} b_n = 0$, but $\sum_{\mathbb{N}} b_n = \infty$, we can find $n'_1, n_1 \geq N_1$ with $n'_1 > n_1$ for which

$$\sum_{i=n_1}^{n'_1} b_i \in (1, 2).$$

Inductively, we can find $n'_k, n_k \geq N_k$ with $n'_k > n_k > n'_{k-1}$ for which

$$\sum_{i=n_k}^{n'_k} b_i \in \left(\frac{1}{k}, \frac{2}{k}\right).$$

Finally, we set $A = \bigcup_k \{n_k, n_k + 1, n_k + 2, \dots, n'_k\}$. Because

$$\sum_{\{n_k, n_k+1, \dots, n'_k\}} b_n > \frac{1}{k},$$

$\sum_A b_n > \sum_{\mathbb{N}} 1/n$, so diverges. On the other hand,

$$\sum_{\{n_k, n_k+1, \dots, n'_k\}} a_n \leq \frac{1}{k} \sum_{\{n_k, n_k+1, \dots, n'_k\}} b_n \in \left(\frac{1}{k^2}, \frac{2}{k^2}\right),$$

so $\sum_A a_n \leq 2 \sum_{\mathbb{N}} 1/n^2 < \infty$. □

Now, suppose $0 < p \leq 1$ and $p < q$ and $f : \mathbb{N}_{1/n^p} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_{1/n^q}$ is any nonconstant continuous function. For each $i \in \mathbb{N}$, we let $u_i = \sum_{f^{-1}(i)} 1/n^p$, with the convention that this sum is zero if $f^{-1}(i)$ is empty. Note $\sum_{f^{-1}(i)} 1/n^p$ is finite, as $f^{-1}(i)$ is a closed subset of \mathbb{N}_{1/n^p} .

²<https://math.stackexchange.com/q/2434530>.

Proposition 4.6. *If f is continuous, then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_n = 0$.*

Proof. Assume not. Then there is some $\epsilon > 0$ with the property that $u_n \geq \epsilon$ for n in some infinite set $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$. From [Lemma 2.6](#), we can find an infinite subset $B \subseteq A$ for which $\sum_B 1/n^q < \infty$. Then B is closed in \mathbb{N}_{1/n^q} , but

$$\sum_{f^{-1}(B)} \frac{1}{n^p} = \sum_B u_n \geq \sum_{\mathbb{N}} \epsilon,$$

which diverges. This contradicts the fact that f is continuous. \square

Now, we claim that $\sum_{\mathbb{N}} u_n^{1/p}$ diverges. Indeed, since $1/p \geq 1$, the function $x \mapsto x^{1/p}$ is convex, and thus, superadditive. In particular, for each term

$$u_i^{1/p} = \left(\sum_{f^{-1}(i)} \frac{1}{n^p} \right)^{1/p} \geq \sum_{f^{-1}(i)} \frac{1}{n}.$$

Then

$$\sum_{\mathbb{N}} u_i^{1/p} \geq \sum_{\mathbb{N}} \sum_{n \in f^{-1}(i)} \frac{1}{n} = \sum_{\mathbb{N}} \frac{1}{n},$$

so $\sum_{\mathbb{N}} u_n^{1/p}$ diverges.

Now, let $r \in (1, q/p)$. Then clearly

$$\sum_{\{n: u_n^{1/p} \leq 1/n^r\}} u_n^{1/p} \leq \sum_{\mathbb{N}} \frac{1}{n^r} < \infty,$$

so it follows that

$$\sum_{\{n: u_n^{1/p} > 1/n^r\}} u_n^{1/p}$$

diverges. We let

$$C = \left\{ n \in \mathbb{N} : u_n^{1/p} > \frac{1}{n^r} \right\}$$

and write $C = \{c_1, c_2, \dots\}$, where $c_1 < c_2$, etc. Thus $\sum_{\mathbb{N}} u_{c_n}^{1/p}$ diverges. Since $1/p \geq 1$ and $u_n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, it follows that for large n , we have $u_{c_n} \geq u_{c_n}^{1/p}$. In particular, we have the following proposition.

Proposition 4.7. *The series $\sum_{\mathbb{N}} u_{c_n}$ diverges.*

Finally, we have the following proposition.

Proposition 4.8. *We have*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1/(c_n)^q}{u_{c_n}} = 0.$$

Proof. Since $c_n \in C$, each $u_{c_n} > 0$, so the terms in the limit are defined. Now, note that if $u_n^{1/p} > 1/n^r$, then $u_n > 1/n^{rp}$. For large n , we see

$$0 \leq \frac{1/(c_n)^q}{u_{c_n}} \leq \frac{1/(c_n)^q}{1/(c_n)^{rp}} = c_n^{rp-q}.$$

Since $r < q/p$, we have $rp - q < 0$. Further, $c_n \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Thus $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} c_n^{rp-q} = 0$. The result now follows from the squeeze theorem. \square

If f is continuous, then Propositions 4.6, 4.7, and 4.8 exactly show that the sequences $a_n = 1/(c_n)^q$ and $b_n = u_{c_n}$ satisfy the conditions of Lemma 4.5. In particular, there is a set $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ for which $\sum_A u_{c_n}$ diverges, but $\sum_A 1/(c_n)^q$ converges. This, in turn, means there is a subset $B \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ for which $\sum_B u_n$ diverges, but $\sum_B 1/n^q$ converges. However, we have

$$\sum_{f^{-1}(B)} \frac{1}{n^p} = \sum_{i \in B} \sum_{n \in f^{-1}(i)} \frac{1}{n^p} = \sum_B u_n = \infty.$$

Thus, B is closed in \mathbb{N}_{1/n^q} , but $f^{-1}(B)$ is not closed in \mathbb{N}_{1/n^p} . This contradicts the fact that f is continuous, and concludes the proof of Theorem 1.5.

Acknowledgements

We would like to acknowledge support from the Bill and Roberta Blankenship Undergraduate Research Endowment. We would also like to thank Alexey Lebedev and Georgiy Shevchenko for several proofs and ideas appearing in Section 4. Finally, we would like to thank an anonymous referee who informed us that the main theorems in Section 4 are special cases of theorems found in [Kwela and Tryba 2017; Mrozek 2016].

References

- [Flašková 2008] J. Flašková, “Description of some ultrafilters via i -ultrafilters”, pp. 1–7 in *Combinatorial and descriptive set theory*, edited by J. Brendle, Sūrikaiseikikenkyūsho Kōkyūroku **1619**, RIMS, Kyoto, 2008. [Zbl](#)
- [Hrušák 2011] M. Hrušák, “Combinatorics of filters and ideals”, pp. 29–69 in *Set theory and its applications*, edited by L. Babinkostova et al., *Contemp. Math.* **533**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2011. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Katětov 1968] M. Katětov, “Products of filters”, *Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae* **9** (1968), 173–189. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Kwela and Tryba 2017] A. Kwela and J. Tryba, “Homogeneous ideals on countable sets”, *Acta Math. Hungar.* **151**:1 (2017), 139–161. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Mrozek 2016] N. Mrozek, “Some applications of the Katětov order on Borel ideals”, *Bull. Pol. Acad. Sci. Math.* **64**:1 (2016), 21–28. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Rajagopalan and Franklin 1990] M. Rajagopalan and S. P. Franklin, “Spaces of diversity one”, *J. Ramanujan Math. Soc.* **5**:1 (1990), 7–31. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)

[Sierpinski 1918] W. Sierpinski, “Un théorème sur les continus”, *Tôhoku Math. J.* **13** (1918), 300–305.
[Zbl](#)

Received: 2019-02-27

Revised: 2019-07-07

Accepted: 2019-12-03

jdevito@utm.edu

University of Tennessee at Martin, Martin, TN, United States

zacppark@ut.utm.edu

University of Tennessee at Martin, Martin, TN, United States

INVOLVE YOUR STUDENTS IN RESEARCH

Involve showcases and encourages high-quality mathematical research involving students from all academic levels. The editorial board consists of mathematical scientists committed to nurturing student participation in research. Bridging the gap between the extremes of purely undergraduate research journals and mainstream research journals, *Involve* provides a venue to mathematicians wishing to encourage the creative involvement of students.

MANAGING EDITOR

Kenneth S. Berenhaut Wake Forest University, USA

BOARD OF EDITORS

Colin Adams	Williams College, USA	Robert B. Lund	Clemson University, USA
Arthur T. Benjamin	Harvey Mudd College, USA	Gaven J. Martin	Massey University, New Zealand
Martin Bohner	Missouri U of Science and Technology, USA	Mary Meyer	Colorado State University, USA
Amarjit S. Budhiraja	U of N Carolina, Chapel Hill, USA	Frank Morgan	Williams College, USA
Pietro Cerone	La Trobe University, Australia	Mohammad Sal Moslehian	Ferdowsi University of Mashhad, Iran
Scott Chapman	Sam Houston State University, USA	Zuhair Nashed	University of Central Florida, USA
Joshua N. Cooper	University of South Carolina, USA	Ken Ono	Univ. of Virginia, Charlottesville
Jem N. Corcoran	University of Colorado, USA	Yuval Peres	Microsoft Research, USA
Toka Diagana	University of Alabama in Huntsville, USA	Y.-F. S. Pétermann	Université de Genève, Switzerland
Michael Dorff	Brigham Young University, USA	Jonathon Peterson	Purdue University, USA
Sever S. Dragomir	Victoria University, Australia	Robert J. Plemmons	Wake Forest University, USA
Joel Foisy	SUNY Potsdam, USA	Carl B. Pomerance	Dartmouth College, USA
Erin W. Fulp	Wake Forest University, USA	Vadim Ponomarenko	San Diego State University, USA
Joseph Gallian	University of Minnesota Duluth, USA	Bjorn Poonen	UC Berkeley, USA
Stephan R. Garcia	Pomona College, USA	József H. Przytycki	George Washington University, USA
Anant Godbole	East Tennessee State University, USA	Richard Rebarber	University of Nebraska, USA
Ron Gould	Emory University, USA	Robert W. Robinson	University of Georgia, USA
Sat Gupta	U of North Carolina, Greensboro, USA	Javier Rojo	Oregon State University, USA
Jim Haglund	University of Pennsylvania, USA	Filip Saidak	U of North Carolina, Greensboro, USA
Johnny Henderson	Baylor University, USA	Hari Mohan Srivastava	University of Victoria, Canada
Glenn H. Hurlbert	Virginia Commonwealth University, USA	Andrew J. Sterge	Honorary Editor
Charles R. Johnson	College of William and Mary, USA	Ann Trenk	Wellesley College, USA
K. B. Kulasekera	Clemson University, USA	Ravi Vakil	Stanford University, USA
Gerry Ladas	University of Rhode Island, USA	Antonia Vecchio	Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche, Italy
David Larson	Texas A&M University, USA	John C. Wierman	Johns Hopkins University, USA
Suzanne Lenhart	University of Tennessee, USA	Michael E. Zieve	University of Michigan, USA
Chi-Kwong Li	College of William and Mary, USA		

PRODUCTION

Silvio Levy, Scientific Editor

Cover: Alex Scorpan

See inside back cover or msp.org/involve for submission instructions. The subscription price for 2020 is US \$205/year for the electronic version, and \$275/year (+\$35, if shipping outside the US) for print and electronic. Subscriptions, requests for back issues and changes of subscriber address should be sent to MSP.

Involve (ISSN 1944-4184 electronic, 1944-4176 printed) at Mathematical Sciences Publishers, 798 Evans Hall #3840, c/o University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720-3840, is published continuously online. Periodical rate postage paid at Berkeley, CA 94704, and additional mailing offices.

Involve peer review and production are managed by EditFlow[®] from Mathematical Sciences Publishers.

PUBLISHED BY

 **mathematical sciences publishers**

nonprofit scientific publishing

<http://msp.org/>

© 2020 Mathematical Sciences Publishers

involve

2020

vol. 13

no. 2

Arithmetic functions of higher-order primes	181
KYLE CZARNECKI AND ANDREW GIDDINGS	
Spherical half-designs of high order	193
DANIEL HUGHES AND SHAYNE WALDRON	
A series of series topologies on \mathbb{N}	205
JASON DEVITO AND ZACHARY PARKER	
Discrete Morse functions, vector fields, and homological sequences on trees	219
IAN RAND AND NICHOLAS A. SCOVILLE	
An explicit third-order one-step method for autonomous scalar initial value problems of first order based on quadratic Taylor approximation	231
THOMAS KRAINER AND CHENZHANG ZHOU	
New generalized secret-sharing schemes with points on a hyperplane using a Wronskian matrix	257
WESTON LOUCKS AND BAHATTIN YILDIZ	
Generalized Cantor functions: random function iteration	281
JORDAN ARMSTRONG AND LISBETH SCHAUBROECK	
Numerical semigroup tree of multiplicities 4 and 5	301
ABBY GRECO, JESSE LANSFORD AND MICHAEL STEWARD	
Enumerating diagonalizable matrices over \mathbb{Z}_{p^k}	323
CATHERINE FALVEY, HEEWON HAH, WILLIAM SHEPPARD, BRIAN SITTINGER AND RICO VICENTE	
On arithmetical structures on complete graphs	345
ZACHARY HARRIS AND JOEL LOUWSMA	
Connectedness of digraphs from quadratic polynomials	357
SIJI CHEN AND SHENG CHEN	