

involve

a journal of mathematics

Sharp sectional curvature bounds
and a new proof of the spectral theorem

Maxine Calle and Corey Dunn



Sharp sectional curvature bounds and a new proof of the spectral theorem

Maxine Calle and Corey Dunn

(Communicated by Frank Morgan)

We algebraically compute all possible sectional curvature values for canonical algebraic curvature tensors and use this result to give a method for constructing general sectional curvature bounds. We use a well-known method to geometrically realize these results to produce a hypersurface with prescribed sectional curvatures at a point. By extending our methods, we give a relatively short proof of the spectral theorem for self-adjoint operators on a finite-dimensional real vector space.

1. Introduction

Let V be a real vector space of finite dimension n , and let $V^* = \text{Hom}(V, \mathbb{R})$ be the corresponding dual space of $\mathbb{R}$ -linear maps from V to $\mathbb{R}$. An *algebraic curvature tensor* $R \in \otimes^4 V^*$ satisfies the properties below for all $x, y, z, w \in V$:

$$\begin{aligned} R(x, y, z, w) &= -R(y, x, z, w) = R(z, w, x, y), \\ R(x, y, z, w) + R(x, w, y, z) + R(x, z, w, y) &= 0. \end{aligned} \tag{1.a}$$

Let $\mathcal{A}(V)$ denote the set of all algebraic curvature tensors. Let $S^2(V^*)$ denote the space of all symmetric bilinear forms over V , and let $\varphi \in S^2(V^*)$. Define a *canonical algebraic curvature tensor* $R_\varphi \in \mathcal{A}(V)$ as

$$R_\varphi(x, y, z, w) = \varphi(x, w)\varphi(y, z) - \varphi(x, z)\varphi(y, w).$$

If the vector space V is endowed with a nondegenerate inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$, then the triple $(V, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle, R)$ is referred to as a *model space*. In this research, all inner products are assumed to be positive definite. Throughout this work, we consider the vector space V and a positive definite inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ to be given, and so we do not always specifically reference them. For the sake of convenience, we will refer to properties of a model space (such as sectional curvature defined below) as a property of an algebraic curvature tensor when there is no possibility of confusion.

MSC2010: primary 15A69; secondary 15A63, 53C21.

Keywords: sectional curvature, canonical algebraic curvature tensor, spectral theorem.

Let $\text{Gr}_2(V)$ be the set of all 2-planes through the origin in V ; this topological space is known as the *Grassmannian* of 2-planes in V . This space is compact and connected [Milnor and Stasheff 1974]. Given a model space $(V, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle, R)$ and a 2-plane $\pi \in V$, define the *sectional curvature* $\kappa(\pi)$ of π to be

$$\frac{R(x, y, y, x)}{\langle x, x \rangle \langle y, y \rangle - \langle x, y \rangle^2},$$

where $\pi = \text{span}\{x, y\}$. This quantity is independent of the basis chosen for π .

Interest in algebraic curvature tensors stems from basic results in differential geometry. If (M, g) is a smooth manifold, one may compute the Riemann curvature tensor R_P at the point $P \in M$ using the Levi-Civita connection. It is well known that R_P satisfies the identities listed in (1.a), and thus R_P is an algebraic curvature tensor on the tangent space $T_P M$. Using the metric g_P restricted to $T_P M$, $(T_P M, g_P, R_P)$ is a model space. The converse is also true [Gilkey 2007]: given a model space $(V, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle, R)$, there exists a smooth manifold (M, g) , a point $P \in M$, and a vector space isometry $\Psi : V \rightarrow T_P M$ so that $\Psi^* R_P = R$. The process of finding such a manifold is generally referred to as a geometric realization.

A general line of questioning is to explore exactly what one can say about a manifold that is a geometric realization of any given model space(s). In this way, the algebraic properties of the model space can influence the geometry of a geometric realization of it. Although there are many examples of this [Brozos Vázquez et al. 2012; García-Río et al. 2002; Gilkey and Nikčević 2005; Kowalski and Prüfer 1994; Tricerri and Vanhecke 1981; 1986; Tsankov 2005], we give two examples relevant to our study. The first example concerns the property of constant sectional curvature: up to local isometry, there is only one way to construct a manifold such that the model space at any of its points has constant sectional curvature.

The second example begins with the fact [Gilkey 2007] that $\{R_\varphi \mid \varphi \in S^2(V^*)\}$ is spanning set for the space of algebraic curvature tensors. For $R \in \mathcal{A}(V)$, define

$$\nu(R) = \min\{k \mid R = \sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i R_{\varphi_i}\}, \quad \nu(n) = \max\{\nu(R) \mid R \in \mathcal{A}(V)\}.$$

This notation seems to have originated in [Gilkey 2007] and has since been studied by several authors. Díaz-Ramos and García-Río [2004] showed that $\nu(3) = 2$ and used the Nash embedding theorem [1956] to prove $\nu(n) \leq n(n+1)/2$. In this way, $\nu(R)$ functions as a lower bound of the codimension of any local isometric embedding of a given manifold, where R is the algebraic curvature tensor at a given point. For this reason, subsequent work [Diaz and Dunn 2010] has aimed to discover linear dependencies in the set of canonical algebraic curvature tensors.

We have two major goals for this research. First, we establish sharp bounds on the sectional curvature values of any canonical algebraic curvature tensor. We interpret these bounds through a geometric realization result, and give a method for

constructing bounds on the sectional curvature values of any algebraic curvature tensor. By an extension of our methods (inspired by R. Klingler [1991]), we meet our second goal: to give a short and self-contained proof of the spectral theorem.

More specifically, after some preliminary comments, in Section 2 we establish:

Theorem 1.1. *Let $\varphi \in S^2(V^*)$, and let $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ be the eigenvalues of φ , repeated according to multiplicity. Let m and M , respectively, be the minimum and maximum of the set $\{\lambda_i \lambda_j \mid i \neq j\}$. The set of sectional curvatures of R_φ is precisely the interval $[m, M]$.*

We use this result to prove two corollaries. The first corollary (Corollary 2.3) uses a well-known result to geometrically realize any interval as the set of sectional curvatures of a manifold at a point. The manifolds we produce are hypersurfaces in Euclidean space. The second corollary (Corollary 2.4) provides bounds (which are not sharp, see Remarks 2.5 and 2.6) on the set of sectional curvatures of an arbitrary algebraic curvature tensor R in terms of $\nu(R)$ and the results from Theorem 1.1.

In Section 3 we consider a canonical algebraic curvature tensor to provide a short and self-contained proof of the spectral theorem:

Theorem 1.2 (the spectral theorem). *Let V be an inner product space. If $\varphi \in S^2(V^*)$, then there exists an orthonormal basis $\{f_1, \dots, f_n\}$ for V for which $\varphi(f_i, f_j) = \lambda_i \delta_{ij}$.*

2. Sectional curvature bounds

It is well known that given $\varphi \in S^2(V^*)$, there exists a self-adjoint linear map $A : V \rightarrow V$ characterized by the equation $\varphi(x, y) = \langle Ax, y \rangle$. This follows as an application of the Riesz representation theorem (see page 188 of [Axler 2015]), and we briefly pause to describe this correspondence.

If a linear map $A : V \rightarrow V$ is given, then one can define the bilinear form $\varphi(x, y) = \langle Ax, y \rangle$ for vectors $x, y \in V$. If, in addition, A is self-adjoint with respect to the inner product (i.e., $\langle Ax, y \rangle = \langle x, Ay \rangle$), then φ is symmetric. Conversely, if $\varphi \in S^2(V^*)$ is given, one could construct the (unique) self-adjoint linear map A satisfying $\varphi(x, y) = \langle Ax, y \rangle$ in the following way. First, choose an orthonormal basis $\mathcal{B} = \{f_1, \dots, f_n\}$ for V . Then compute the numbers $a_{ji} = \langle Af_i, f_j \rangle$. The matrix for A relative to this orthonormal basis is $[A]_{\mathcal{B}} = [a_{ij}]$.

Because of the bijective correspondence between symmetric bilinear forms and their corresponding self-adjoint linear maps, relevant aspects of φ are defined in terms of those same aspects in A , for example, $\ker(\varphi)$ and $\text{Rank}(\varphi)$ are defined as $\ker(A)$ and $\text{Rank}(A)$, respectively.

Similarly, eigenvalues and eigenvectors of a linear map $A : V \rightarrow V$ can be related to the corresponding bilinear form φ . It will be helpful to do so in reference to an orthonormal basis: as described above, if $\{f_1, \dots, f_n\}$ is an orthonormal basis for V ,

the (j, i) matrix entry of A on this basis is equal to $\varphi(f_i, f_j)$. For this reason, f_i is an eigenvector with corresponding eigenvalue λ_i if and only if $\varphi(f_i, f_j) = \lambda_i \delta_{ij}$.

It is through this perspective that we establish our results. We will repeatedly use the following lemma; our proof uses a technique adapted from [Klinger 1991]. Translated into our terminology, the objective in that paper is to find a basis (called a Chern basis) for V that minimizes the number of nonzero entries of a given (arbitrary) algebraic curvature tensor. This is accomplished by first considering a 2-plane π with extremal sectional curvature, and then rotating this plane around an axis (within the plane) by an angle of θ to create the rotated plane π_θ , with $\pi_0 = \pi$. The sectional curvature function has an extremal value at $\theta = 0$, and so this is a critical point of the function $\theta \mapsto \kappa(\pi_\theta)$. Using the fact that the derivative of this function at $\theta = 0$ vanishes, one can uncover information about the components of the algebraic curvature tensor. We have adapted this technique (which seems to be an extension of the work in [Bishop and Goldberg 1964]) to apply to the algebraic curvature tensor R_φ to diagonalize the symmetric bilinear form φ .

Lemma 2.1. *Let $\varphi \in S^2(V^*)$, and suppose $R_\varphi \neq 0$. If π is a 2-plane whose sectional curvature is extremal, then there exists an orthonormal basis of eigenvectors for π .*

Proof. Since $R_\varphi \neq 0$, and R_φ is determined by its sectional curvatures [Lee 1997], there exists a 2-plane π of extremal nonzero sectional curvature. Let $\{e_1, e_2\}$ be any orthonormal basis for π . Let $\varphi|_\pi$ be the restriction of φ to π . We now diagonalize¹ the symmetric form $\varphi|_\pi$ to create a new orthonormal basis $\{f_1, f_2\}$ for π . For some θ , set

$$\begin{aligned} f_1 &= \cos \theta e_1 - \sin \theta e_2, \\ f_2 &= \sin \theta e_1 + \cos \theta e_2, \end{aligned}$$

where we will determine θ presently. Let $\varphi_{ij} = \varphi(e_i, e_j)$. We compute

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(f_1, f_2) &= \cos \theta \sin \theta (\varphi_{11} - \varphi_{22}) + (\cos^2 \theta - \sin^2 \theta) \varphi_{12} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sin(2\theta) (\varphi_{11} - \varphi_{22}) + \cos(2\theta) \varphi_{12}. \end{aligned}$$

Choose θ so that $\varphi(f_1, f_2) = 0$. Explicitly, if $\varphi_{12} = 0$ already, then $\theta = 0$. Otherwise,

$$\theta = \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{arccot} \left(\frac{\varphi_{22} - \varphi_{11}}{2\varphi_{12}} \right).$$

Now extend this basis for π (in an arbitrary way) to form an orthonormal basis $\{f_1, f_2, f_3, \dots, f_n\}$ for V . Note that on this basis,

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(f_1, f_2) &= 0, \\ R_\varphi(f_1, f_2, f_2, f_1) &= \varphi(f_1, f_1)\varphi(f_2, f_2) \quad \text{is extremal and nonzero.} \end{aligned} \tag{2.a}$$

¹One could of course use the spectral theorem here, but since we use this result in our proof of the spectral theorem in the next section, we instead establish this directly.

We now show that $\varphi(f_1, f_j) = \varphi(f_2, f_j) = 0$ for $j \geq 3$, which will complete the proof. Choose any index $j \geq 3$, and consider the plane

$$\pi_\theta = \text{span}\{\cos \theta f_1 + \sin \theta f_j, f_2\}.$$

Using a double angle formula and various curvature identities listed in the Introduction,

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa(\pi_\theta) &= \cos^2 \theta R_\varphi(f_1, f_2, f_2, f_1) + \sin^2 \theta R_\varphi(f_j, f_2, f_2, f_j) \\ &\quad + \sin(2\theta) R_\varphi(f_1, f_2, f_2, f_j). \end{aligned}$$

Since π_0 is extremal, 0 is a critical point of the function $\theta \mapsto \kappa(\pi_\theta)$, so

$$0 = \frac{d}{d\theta}[\kappa(\pi_\theta)]|_{\theta=0} = 2R_\varphi(f_1, f_2, f_2, f_j).$$

As a result,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= R_\varphi(f_1, f_2, f_2, f_j) = \varphi(f_1, f_j)\varphi(f_2, f_2) - \varphi(f_1, f_2)\varphi(f_2, f_j) \\ &= \varphi(f_1, f_j)\varphi(f_2, f_2). \end{aligned}$$

By (2.a), we know $\varphi(f_2, f_2) \neq 0$; hence $\varphi(f_1, f_j) = 0$. We can prove that $\varphi(f_2, f_j) = 0$ in a similar way by considering $\text{span}\{f_1, \cos \theta f_2 + \sin \theta f_j\}$. $\square$

Remark 2.2. Lemma 2.1 relates to Euler's theorem, which states (among other things) that at any point on a 2-dimensional manifold, the so-called principal directions are orthogonal and are eigenvectors of a certain bilinear form (provided these eigenvalues are different). This establishes Lemma 2.1 in dimension 2: the curvature tensor of a 2-dimensional manifold is of the form R_φ , where φ is this bilinear form.

We use Lemma 2.1 to establish Theorem 1.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. We start by proving that the maximal sectional curvature is M , the largest pairwise product of eigenvalues of φ . Since $\text{Gr}_2(V)$ is compact, there exists a 2-plane Π for which

$$\kappa(\Pi) = \sup\{\kappa(L) \mid L \in \text{Gr}_2(V)\}.$$

We proceed in cases: either $\kappa(\Pi) \neq 0$ or $\kappa(\Pi) = 0$. Our goal is to show $\kappa(\Pi)$ to be a pairwise product of eigenvalues. Thus, as the maximal sectional curvature value, $\kappa(\Pi) = M$.

If $\kappa(\Pi) \neq 0$, then we may use Lemma 2.1 to produce an orthonormal basis of eigenvectors $\{f_1, f_2\}$ for Π . In this case,

$$\kappa(\Pi) = R_\varphi(f_1, f_2, f_2, f_1) = \varphi(f_1, f_1)\varphi(f_2, f_2)$$

is a product of eigenvalues and hence is equal to M .

Now suppose $\kappa(\Pi) = 0$. Find an orthonormal basis $\{f_1, \dots, f_n\}$ diagonalizing φ , and note

$$\kappa(\text{span}\{f_i, f_j\}) = R_\varphi(f_i, f_j, f_j, f_i) = \varphi(f_i, f_i)\varphi(f_j, f_j)$$

is a product of eigenvalues of φ . It is not possible that there are two nonzero eigenvalues of the same sign since their corresponding eigenvectors would span a 2-plane of positive sectional curvature which is contrary to our assumption that $\kappa(\Pi) = 0$ is the maximal sectional curvature. Since $\dim(V) \geq 3$, we know 0 is an eigenvalue, and therefore $0 = \kappa(\Pi) = M$ is the largest pairwise product of eigenvalues as desired.

We now prove that the minimal sectional curvature is m , the smallest pairwise product of eigenvalues of φ . Proceeding similarly, there exists a 2-plane π for which

$$\kappa(\pi) = \inf\{\kappa(L) \mid L \in \text{Gr}_2(V)\}.$$

We again proceed in cases: either $\kappa(\pi) \neq 0$ or $\kappa(\pi) = 0$. If $\kappa(\pi) \neq 0$ then use Lemma 2.1 once more to produce an orthonormal basis of eigenvectors $\{f_1, f_2\}$ for π . Then

$$\kappa(\pi) = R_\varphi(f_1, f_2, f_2, f_1) = \varphi(f_1, f_1)\varphi(f_2, f_2)$$

is a product of eigenvalues and is hence equal to m .

Now suppose $\kappa(\pi) = 0$ and again diagonalize $\varphi|_\pi$ with the basis $\{f_1, f_2\}$ and extend it to an orthonormal basis $\{f_1, \dots, f_n\}$ for V . We then have

$$0 = \kappa(\pi) = R_\varphi(f_1, f_2, f_2, f_1) = \varphi(f_1, f_1)\varphi(f_2, f_2).$$

Thus, one of the two factors above is zero. As exchanging the two vectors would not change the span or disrupt the diagonalization, we may assume $\varphi(f_1, f_1) = 0$. For $j \geq 3$, since 0 is assumed to be the minimal sectional curvature, we find

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \leq \kappa(\text{span}\{f_1, f_j\}) &= R_\varphi(f_1, f_j, f_j, f_1) \\ &= \varphi(f_1, f_1)\varphi(f_j, f_j) - \varphi(f_1, f_j)^2 \\ &= -\varphi(f_1, f_j)^2. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that $\varphi(f_1, f_j) = 0$ for all $j \neq 1$, and so f_1 is an eigenvector corresponding to the eigenvalue $\varphi(f_1, f_1) = 0$. Thus, 0 is an eigenvalue of φ .

To finish this portion of the proof, we prove that all other eigenvalues of φ are either zero or have the same sign, which would show 0 to be the smallest pairwise product of the eigenvalues. To do this, find an orthonormal basis $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ for V that diagonalizes φ . Since 0 is minimal, we must have

$$0 \leq \kappa(\text{span}\{e_i, e_j\}) = R_\varphi(e_i, e_j, e_j, e_i) = \varphi(e_i, e_i)\varphi(e_j, e_j).$$

For this reason the nonzero eigenvalues of φ cannot differ in sign, and this portion of the proof is complete.

We have proven that $\kappa(\Pi) = M$ and $\kappa(\pi) = m$ are, respectively, the maximal and minimal sectional curvatures. Since $\text{Gr}_2(V)$ is connected and the mapping $L \mapsto \kappa(L)$ is continuous, the image of this mapping is connected as well, which completes the proof. $\square$

We use a well-known geometric realization result to establish the following corollary.

Corollary 2.3. *Let $[a, b]$ be any interval. There exists a hypersurface M in Euclidean space, a smooth metric g on M , and a point $P \in M$ so that the set of sectional curvatures of M at P is precisely $[a, b]$.*

Proof. Choose any collection of real numbers $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n \in \mathbb{R}$ (not necessarily distinct) whose minimal and maximal pairwise products are, respectively, a and b . Define the symmetric bilinear form φ so that $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ are its eigenvalues. One may now carry out the geometric realization procedure as outlined on page 74 of [Gilkey 2001] to produce the desired result. $\square$

Before proving our next corollary, we note that $R_{c\varphi} = c^2 R_\varphi$, and so any linear combination of canonical algebraic curvature tensors satisfies

$$\sum \alpha_i R_{\varphi_i} = \sum \epsilon_i R_{\tilde{\varphi}_i},$$

where $\epsilon_i = \pm 1 = \text{sign}(\alpha_i)$ and $\tilde{\varphi}_i = \sqrt{|\alpha_i|} \varphi_i$.

The following corollary finds bounds on the sectional curvature values of any algebraic curvature tensor and is a direct consequence of Theorem 1.1.

Corollary 2.4. *Let $R \in \mathcal{A}(V)$, and set $R = \sum_{i=1}^{v(R)} \epsilon_i R_{\varphi_i}$, where $\epsilon_i = \pm 1$ and $\varphi_i \in S^2(V^*)$. Let M_i and m_i be, respectively, the maximal and minimal pairwise products of the eigenvalues of φ_i , and let $M = \sum \epsilon_i M_i$ and $m = \sum \epsilon_i m_i$. The set of possible sectional curvature values of the model space $(V, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle, R)$ is a closed subinterval of $[m, M]$.*

Remark 2.5. We give an example which shows that the bounds presented in Corollary 2.4 are not sharp. On an orthonormal basis $\{f_1, f_2, f_3\}$, define $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in S^2(V^*)$ by having the nonzero entries

$$\varphi_1(f_1, f_1) = \varphi_1(f_2, f_2) = \varphi_2(f_1, f_1) = \varphi_2(f_3, f_3) = 1.$$

According to Theorem 1.1, the maximal sectional curvature of both R_{φ_1} and R_{φ_2} is 1, so Corollary 2.4 estimates the maximal sectional curvature of $R_{\varphi_1} + R_{\varphi_2}$ to be 2. A straightforward calculation shows, however, that all sectional curvatures of $R_{\varphi_1} + R_{\varphi_2}$ are strictly less than 2. $\square$

Remark 2.6. The authors in [Bettiol and Mendes 2017] independently obtained general sectional curvature bounds. While their methods involve representation theory and differential geometric considerations, our approach provides a more basic proof (especially in the case of a canonical algebraic curvature tensor) and can be extended to give a basic proof of the spectral theorem, which we give in the next section.

3. The spectral theorem

In this section we give a relatively short proof of the well-known spectral theorem after establishing a helpful lemma.

Lemma 3.1. *Suppose φ is a symmetric bilinear form on an inner product space V with $\dim(V) \geq 2$. There exists an orthonormal basis $\{f_1, e_2, \dots, e_n\}$ for V so that f_1 is an eigenvector of φ .*

Proof. Let φ be given, and consider the algebraic curvature tensor R_φ . We break the proof into cases: either $R_\varphi \neq 0$ or $R_\varphi = 0$.

Suppose first that $R_\varphi \neq 0$. Using Lemma 2.1, we choose a 2-plane π of extremal sectional curvature and find an orthonormal basis $\{f_1, e_2\}$ of eigenvectors for π , which we extend to the orthonormal basis $\{f_1, e_2, \dots, e_n\}$ for V , establishing the result.

Now suppose $R_\varphi = 0$. We claim that 0 is an eigenvalue² of φ . In this case, any corresponding unit eigenvector may be chosen first as part of an orthonormal basis for V , which would again establish the result.

Suppose to the contrary that 0 is not an eigenvalue of φ . Therefore, for any orthonormal basis $\{f_1, \dots, f_n\}$, the matrix with $\varphi(f_j, f_i)$ as its (i, j) -entry must have a nonzero determinant. By expanding this determinant by cofactors, there must be at least one nonzero 2×2 minor. Thus, for some indices i_1, i_2, j_1, j_2 ,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\neq \varphi(f_{i_1}, f_{j_1})\varphi(f_{i_2}, f_{j_2}) - \varphi(f_{i_1}, f_{j_2})\varphi(f_{i_2}, f_{j_1}) \\ &= R_\varphi(f_{i_1}, f_{i_2}, f_{j_2}, f_{j_1}), \end{aligned}$$

which contradicts the assumption that $R_\varphi = 0$. □

With these short preliminary facts established, we can now give an alternative proof of the spectral theorem.

Proof of the spectral theorem. The result is trivial if $\dim(V) = 1$, so assume $\dim(V) \geq 2$. By Lemma 3.1, there exists an orthonormal basis $\{f_1, e_2, \dots, e_n\}$ for

²In fact, it is known [Gilkey 2007] that if $R_\varphi = 0$ then the rank of φ is less than or equal to 1, so that on a vector space of dimension 2 or more, there must be a nontrivial kernel of φ . However, we wish to give a self-contained and alternative proof here as part of our effort to produce a new proof of the spectral theorem.

V so that f_1 is an eigenvector of φ . Now consider the vector space $W = f_1^\perp = \text{span}\{e_2, \dots, e_n\}$. Since our inner product is positive definite, the restriction of the inner product to W remains positive definite. In addition, the restricted curvature tensor satisfies $(R_\varphi)|_W = R_{\varphi|_W}$.

We first consider when $\dim(W) \geq 2$. We again use Lemma 3.1 to find an orthonormal basis $\{f_2, \tilde{e}_3, \dots, \tilde{e}_n\}$ for W so that f_2 is an eigenvector of $\varphi|_W$. That is,

$$\varphi|_W(f_2, \tilde{e}_k) = \varphi(f_2, \tilde{e}_k) = 0.$$

Since f_1 is an eigenvector of φ , we have $\varphi(f_1, f_2) = 0$, so f_2 is also an eigenvector of φ . We have a new orthonormal basis $\{f_1, f_2, \tilde{e}_3, \dots, \tilde{e}_n\}$ for V , where both f_1 and f_2 are eigenvectors of φ .

Now consider $W_2 = \text{span}\{f_1, f_2\}^\perp$. If $\dim(W_2) > 1$, then repeat the process outlined above, and continue until there is an orthonormal basis $\{f_1, \dots, f_{n-1}, \bar{e}_n\}$ for V (this is the case if $\dim(W) = 1$ above), and for any $i \neq j$ inclusively between 1 and $n - 1$ we have

$$\varphi(f_i, f_j) = \varphi(f_i, \bar{e}_n) = 0.$$

This demonstrates that $f_n = \bar{e}_n$ is also an eigenvector, completing the proof. $\square$

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank the referee for insightful suggestions that improved the quality of this work. The authors also appreciate helpful discussions with I. Stavrov concerning this work. This research was jointly funded by California State University, San Bernardino, and the NSF grant DMS-1758020.

References

- [Axler 2015] S. Axler, *Linear algebra done right*, 3rd ed., Springer, 2015. MR Zbl
- [Bettiol and Mendes 2017] R. G. Bettiol and R. A. E. Mendes, “Sectional curvature and Weitzenböck formulae”, preprint, 2017. arXiv
- [Bishop and Goldberg 1964] R. L. Bishop and S. I. Goldberg, “Some implications of the generalized Gauss–Bonnet theorem”, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **112** (1964), 508–535. MR Zbl
- [Brozos Vázquez et al. 2012] M. Brozos Vázquez, P. B. Gilkey, and S. Nikčević, *Geometric realizations of curvature*, ICP Adv. Texts Math. **6**, Imperial College Press, London, 2012. MR Zbl
- [Diaz and Dunn 2010] A. Diaz and C. Dunn, “The linear independence of sets of two and three canonical algebraic curvature tensors”, *Electron. J. Linear Algebra* **20** (2010), 436–448. MR Zbl
- [Díaz-Ramos and García-Río 2004] J. C. Díaz-Ramos and E. García-Río, “A note on the structure of algebraic curvature tensors”, *Linear Algebra Appl.* **382** (2004), 271–277. MR Zbl
- [García-Río et al. 2002] E. García-Río, D. N. Kupeli, and R. Vázquez-Lorenzo, *Osserman manifolds in semi-Riemannian geometry*, Lecture Notes in Math. **1777**, Springer, 2002. MR Zbl

- [Gilkey 2001] P. B. Gilkey, *Geometric properties of natural operators defined by the Riemann curvature tensor*, World Sci., River Edge, NJ, 2001. MR Zbl
- [Gilkey 2007] P. B. Gilkey, *The geometry of curvature homogeneous pseudo-Riemannian manifolds*, ICP Adv. Texts Math. **2**, Imperial College Press, London, 2007. MR Zbl
- [Gilkey and Nikčević 2005] P. B. Gilkey and S. Ž. Nikčević, “Generalized plane wave manifolds”, *Kragujevac J. Math.* **28** (2005), 113–138. MR Zbl
- [Klinger 1991] R. Klinger, “A basis that reduces to zero as many curvature components as possible”, *Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg* **61** (1991), 243–248. MR Zbl
- [Kowalski and Prüfer 1994] O. Kowalski and F. Prüfer, “Curvature tensors in dimension four which do not belong to any curvature homogeneous space”, *Arch. Math. (Brno)* **30**:1 (1994), 45–57. MR Zbl
- [Lee 1997] J. M. Lee, *Riemannian manifolds: an introduction to curvature*, Grad. Texts in Math. **176**, Springer, 1997. MR Zbl
- [Milnor and Stasheff 1974] J. W. Milnor and J. D. Stasheff, *Characteristic classes*, Ann. of Math. Stud. **76**, Princeton Univ. Press, 1974. MR Zbl
- [Nash 1956] J. Nash, “The imbedding problem for Riemannian manifolds”, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **63** (1956), 20–63. MR Zbl
- [Tricerri and Vanhecke 1981] F. Tricerri and L. Vanhecke, “Curvature tensors on almost Hermitian manifolds”, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **267**:2 (1981), 365–397. MR Zbl
- [Tricerri and Vanhecke 1986] F. Tricerri and L. Vanhecke, “Variétés riemanniennes dont le tenseur de courbure est celui d’un espace symétrique riemannien irréductible”, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math.* **302**:6 (1986), 233–235. MR Zbl
- [Tsankov 2005] Y. T. Tsankov, “A characterization of n -dimensional hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ with commuting curvature operators”, pp. 205–209 in *PDEs, submanifolds and affine differential geometry* (Będlewo, Poland, 2003), edited by B. Opozda et al., Banach Center Publ. **69**, Polish Acad. Sci. Inst. Math., Warsaw, 2005. MR Zbl

Received: 2019-10-16 Revised: 2020-03-17 Accepted: 2020-04-28

calle@reed.edu

Reed College, Portland, OR, United States

cmdunn@csusb.edu

Mathematics Department, California State University at
San Bernardino, San Bernardino, CA, United States

involve

msp.org/involve

INVOLVE YOUR STUDENTS IN RESEARCH

Involve showcases and encourages high-quality mathematical research involving students from all academic levels. The editorial board consists of mathematical scientists committed to nurturing student participation in research. Bridging the gap between the extremes of purely undergraduate research journals and mainstream research journals, *Involve* provides a venue to mathematicians wishing to encourage the creative involvement of students.

MANAGING EDITOR

Kenneth S. Berenhaut Wake Forest University, USA

BOARD OF EDITORS

Colin Adams	Williams College, USA	Robert B. Lund	Clemson University, USA
Arthur T. Benjamin	Harvey Mudd College, USA	Gaven J. Martin	Massey University, New Zealand
Martin Bohner	Missouri U of Science and Technology, USA	Mary Meyer	Colorado State University, USA
Amarjit S. Budhiraja	U of N Carolina, Chapel Hill, USA	Frank Morgan	Williams College, USA
Pietro Cerone	La Trobe University, Australia	Mohammad Sal Moslehian	Ferdowsi University of Mashhad, Iran
Scott Chapman	Sam Houston State University, USA	Zuhair Nashed	University of Central Florida, USA
Joshua N. Cooper	University of South Carolina, USA	Ken Ono	Univ. of Virginia, Charlottesville
Jem N. Corcoran	University of Colorado, USA	Yuval Peres	Microsoft Research, USA
Toka Diagana	University of Alabama in Huntsville, USA	Y.-F. S. Pétermann	Université de Genève, Switzerland
Michael Dorff	Brigham Young University, USA	Jonathon Peterson	Purdue University, USA
Sever S. Dragomir	Victoria University, Australia	Robert J. Plemmons	Wake Forest University, USA
Joel Foisy	SUNY Potsdam, USA	Carl B. Pomerance	Dartmouth College, USA
Errin W. Fulp	Wake Forest University, USA	Vadim Ponomarenko	San Diego State University, USA
Joseph Gallian	University of Minnesota Duluth, USA	Bjorn Poonen	UC Berkeley, USA
Stephan R. Garcia	Pomona College, USA	József H. Przytycki	George Washington University, USA
Anant Godbole	East Tennessee State University, USA	Richard Rebarber	University of Nebraska, USA
Ron Gould	Emory University, USA	Robert W. Robinson	University of Georgia, USA
Sat Gupta	U of North Carolina, Greensboro, USA	Javier Rojo	Oregon State University, USA
Jim Haglund	University of Pennsylvania, USA	Filip Saidak	U of North Carolina, Greensboro, USA
Johnny Henderson	Baylor University, USA	Hari Mohan Srivastava	University of Victoria, Canada
Glenn H. Hurlbert	Virginia Commonwealth University, USA	Andrew J. Sterge	Honorary Editor
Charles R. Johnson	College of William and Mary, USA	Ann Trenk	Wellesley College, USA
K. B. Kulasekera	Clemson University, USA	Ravi Vakil	Stanford University, USA
Gerry Ladas	University of Rhode Island, USA	Antonia Vecchio	Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche, Italy
David Larson	Texas A&M University, USA	John C. Wierman	Johns Hopkins University, USA
Suzanne Lenhart	University of Tennessee, USA	Michael E. Zieve	University of Michigan, USA
Chi-Kwong Li	College of William and Mary, USA		

PRODUCTION

Silvio Levy, Scientific Editor

Cover: Alex Scorpan

See inside back cover or msp.org/involve for submission instructions. The subscription price for 2020 is US \$205/year for the electronic version, and \$275/year (+\$35, if shipping outside the US) for print and electronic. Subscriptions, requests for back issues and changes of subscriber address should be sent to MSP.

Involve (ISSN 1444-4184 electronic, 1444-4176 printed) at Mathematical Sciences Publishers, 798 Evans Hall #3840, c/o University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720-3840, is published continuously online. Periodical rate postage paid at Berkeley, CA 94704, and additional mailing offices.

Involve peer review and production are managed by EditFlow® from Mathematical Sciences Publishers.

PUBLISHED BY

 **mathematical sciences publishers**
nonprofit scientific publishing

<http://msp.org/>

© 2020 Mathematical Sciences Publishers

involve

2020

vol. 13

no. 3

Hyperbolic triangular prisms with one ideal vertex	361
GRANT S. LAKELAND AND CORINNE G. ROTH	
On the sandpile group of Eulerian series-parallel graphs	381
KYLE WEISHAAR AND JAMES SEIBERT	
Linkages of calcium-induced calcium release in a cardiomyocyte simulated by a system of seven coupled partial differential equations	399
GERSON C. KROIZ, CARLOS BARAJAS, MATTHIAS K. GOBBERT AND BRADFORD E. PEERCY	
Covering numbers and schlicht functions	425
PHILIPPE DROUIN AND THOMAS RANSFORD	
Uniqueness of a three-dimensional stochastic differential equation	433
CARL MUELLER AND GIANG TRUONG	
Sharp sectional curvature bounds and a new proof of the spectral theorem	445
MAXINE CALLE AND COREY DUNN	
Qualitative investigation of cytolytic and noncytolytic immune response in an HBV model	455
JOHN G. ALFORD AND STEPHEN A. MCCOY	
A Cheeger inequality for graphs based on a reflection principle	475
EDWARD GELERNT, DIANA HALIKIAS, CHARLES KENNEY AND NICHOLAS F. MARSHALL	
Sets in $\mathbb{R}^d$ determining k taxicab distances	487
VAJRESH BALAJI, OLIVIA EDWARDS, ANNE MARIE LOFTIN, SOLOMON MCHARO, LO PHILLIPS, ALEX RICE AND BINEYAM TSEGAYE	
Total difference chromatic numbers of graphs	511
RANJAN ROHATGI AND YUFEI ZHANG	
Decline of pollinators and attractiveness of the plants	529
LEILA ALICKOVIC, CHANG-HEE BAE, WILLIAM MAI, JAN RYCHTÁŘ AND DEWEY TAYLOR	

