involve
a journal of mathematics

Conjecture $\mathcal{O}$ holds for some horospherical varieties of Picard rank 1

Lela Bones, Garrett Fowler, Lisa Schneider and Ryan M. Shifler

msp

2020 vol. 13, no. 4

INVOLVE 13:4 (2020)
https://doi.org/10.2140/involve.2020.13.551

Conjecture $\mathcal{O}$ holds for some horospherical varieties of Picard rank 1

Lela Bones, Garrett Fowler, Lisa Schneider and Ryan M. Shifler

(Communicated by Kenneth S. Berenhaut)

Property $\mathcal{O}$ for an arbitrary complex, Fano manifold X is a statement about the eigenvalues of the linear operator obtained from the quantum multiplication of the anticanonical class of X. Conjecture $\mathcal{O}$ is a conjecture that property $\mathcal{O}$ holds for any Fano variety. Pasquier classified the smooth nonhomogeneous horospherical varieties of Picard rank 1 into five classes. Conjecture $\mathcal{O}$ has already been shown to hold for the odd symplectic Grassmannians, which is one of these classes. We will show that conjecture $\mathcal{O}$ holds for two more classes and an example in a third class of Pasquier's list. Perron–Frobenius theory reduces our proofs to be graph-theoretic in nature.

1. Introduction

The purpose of this paper is to prove that conjecture $\mathcal{O}$ holds for some horospherical varieties of Picard rank 1. We recall the precise statement of conjecture $\mathcal{O}$ for varieties of Picard rank 1, following [Galkin et al. 2016, Section 3]. Let F be a Fano variety, let $K := K_F$ be the canonical line bundle of F, let F_D be a fundamental divisor of F, and let

$$c_1(F) := c_1(-K) \in H^2(F)$$

be the anticanonical class. The Fano index of F is r, where r is the greatest integer such that $K_F \cong -rF_D$. The small quantum cohomology ring $(QH^*(F), \star)$ is a graded algebra over $\mathbb{Z}[q]$, where q is the quantum parameter. We define the small quantum cohomology in Section 2.1. Consider the specialization

$$H^\bullet(F) := QH^*(F)|_{q=1}$$

at $q = 1$. The quantum multiplication by the first Chern class $c_1(F)$ induces an endomorphism $\hat{c}_1$ of the finite-dimensional vector space $H^\bullet(F)$:

$$y \in H^\bullet(F) \mapsto \hat{c}_1(y) := (c_1(F) \star y)|_{q=1}.$$

MSC2010: primary 14N35; secondary 14N15, 15B48.
Keywords: quantum cohomology, horospherical, conjecture $\mathcal{O}$.

Set $\delta_0 := \max\{|\delta| : \delta$ is an eigenvalue of $\hat{c}_1\}$. Then property $\mathcal{O}$ states the following:

(1) The real number δ_0 is an eigenvalue of $\hat{c}_1$ of multiplicity 1.

(2) If δ is any eigenvalue of $\hat{c}_1$ with $|\delta| = \delta_0$, then $\delta = \delta_0 \gamma$ for some r-th root of unity $\gamma \in \mathbb{C}$, where r is the Fano index of F.

Property $\mathcal{O}$ was conjectured to hold for any Fano, complex manifold F in [Galkin et al. 2016]. If a Fano, complex, manifold has property $\mathcal{O}$ then we say that the space satisfies conjecture $\mathcal{O}$. Conjecture $\mathcal{O}$ underlies gamma conjectures I and II of Galkin, Golyshev, and Iritani. The gamma conjectures refine earlier conjectures by Dubrovin on Frobenius manifolds and mirror symmetry. Conjecture $\mathcal{O}$ has already been proved for the homogeneous G/P case in [Cheong and Li 2017], the odd symplectic Grassmannians in [Li et al. 2019], del Pezzo surfaces in [Hu et al. 2019], and projective complete intersections in [Ke 2018]. The Perron–Frobenius theory of nonnegative matrices reduces the proofs that conjecture $\mathcal{O}$ holds for the homogeneous and the odd symplectic Grassmannian cases to be a graph-theoretic check. This is because conjecture $\mathcal{O}$ is largely reminiscent of Perron–Frobenius theory. In this manuscript we will use the same graph-theoretic approach to prove that conjecture $\mathcal{O}$ holds for some smooth horospherical varieties of Picard rank 1.

Next we recall the definition of a horospherical variety following [Gonzales et al. 2018]. Let G be a complex reductive group. A G-variety is a reduced scheme of finite type over the field of complex numbers $\mathbb{C}$, equipped with an algebraic action of G. Let B be a Borel subgroup of G. A G-variety X is called spherical if X has a dense B-orbit. Let X be a G-spherical variety and let H be the stabilizer of a point in the dense G-orbit in X. The variety X is called *horospherical* if H contains a conjugate of the maximal unipotent subgroup of G contained in the Borel subgroup B.

Smooth horospherical varieties of Picard rank 1 were classified in [Pasquier 2009]. These varieties are either homogeneous or can be constructed in a uniform way via a triple $(\mathrm{Type}(G), \omega_Y, \omega_Z)$ of representation-theoretic data, where $\mathrm{Type}(G)$ is the semisimple Lie type of the reductive group G and ω_Y, ω_Z are fundamental weights. See [Pasquier 2009, Section 1.3] for details. Pasquier classified the possible triples into five classes:

(1) $(B_n, \omega_{n-1}, \omega_n)$ with $n \geq 3$.

(2) $(B_3, \omega_1, \omega_3)$.

(3) $(C_n, \omega_m, \omega_{m-1})$ with $n \geq 2$ and $m \in [2, n]$ (the odd symplectic Grassmannians).

(4) $(F_4, \omega_2, \omega_3)$.

(5) $(G_2, \omega_1, \omega_2)$.

Proposition 3.6 [Pasquier 2006] showed the triples in the above list are Fano varieties. We are now able to state the main theorem:

Theorem 1. *If F belongs to the classes* (1) *for $n = 3$,* (2), (3), *and* (5) *of Pasquier's list, then conjecture $\mathcal{O}$ holds for F.*

2. Preliminaries

2.1. ***Quantum cohomology.*** The small quantum cohomology is defined as follows. Let $(\alpha_i)_i$ be a basis of $H^*(F)$, the classical cohomology ring, and let $(\alpha_i^\vee)_i$ be the dual basis for the Poincaré pairing. The multiplication is given by

$$\alpha_i \star \alpha_j = \sum_{d\geq 0,k} c_{i,j}^{k,d} q^d \alpha_k,$$

where $c_{i,j}^{k,d}$ are the 3-point, genus-0, Gromov–Witten invariants corresponding to the classes α_i, α_j, and $\alpha_k^\vee$. We will make use of the quantum Chevalley formula, which is the multiplication of a hyperplane class h with another class α_j. Theorem 0.0.3 of [Gonzales et al. 2018] implies that if F belongs to the classes (1) for $n = 3$, (2), or (5) of Pasquier's list, then there is an explicit quantum Chevalley formula. The explicit quantum Chevalley formula is the key ingredient used to prove property $\mathcal{O}$ holds.

2.2. ***Sufficient criterion for property $\mathcal{O}$ to hold.*** We recall the notion of the (oriented) quantum Bruhat graph of a Fano variety F. The vertices of this graph are the basis elements

$$\alpha_i \in H^\bullet(F) := QH^*(F)|_{q=1}.$$

There is an oriented edge $\alpha_i \to \alpha_j$ if the class α_j appears with positive coefficient (where we consider $q > 0$) in the quantum Chevalley multiplication $h \star \alpha_i$ for some hyperplane class h. Using the Perron–Frobenius theory of nonnegative matrices, conjecture $\mathcal{O}$ reduces to a graph-theoretic check of the quantum Bruhat graph. The techniques involving Perron–Frobenius theory used by Li, Mihalcea, and Shifler [Li et al. 2019] and Cheong and Li [2017] imply the following lemma:

Lemma 2. *Suppose the following conditions hold for a Fano variety F:*

(1) *The matrix representation of $\hat{c}_1$ is nonnegative.*

(2) *The quantum Bruhat graph of F is strongly connected.*

(3) *There exists a cycle of length r, the Fano index, in the quantum Bruhat graph of F.*

Then property $\mathcal{O}$ holds for F. We say the matrix representation of $\hat{c}_1$ is nonnegative if all of the entries are nonnegative.

We refer the reader to [Minc 1988, Section 4.3] for further details on Perron–Frobenius theory.

3. Checking property $\mathcal{O}$ holds

Let X be a horospherical variety. We will simplify our notation where the basis of $H^\bullet(X)$ is $\{1, h, \alpha_i\}_{i\in I}$ for some finite index set I. Observe by [Gonzales et al. 2018] that the anticanonical classes are

$$c_1(X) = \begin{cases} 5h & \text{when } X \text{ is case (1) for } n=3, \\ 7h & \text{when } X \text{ is case (2)}, \\ 4h & \text{when } X \text{ is case (5)}, \end{cases}$$

and the Fano indices are

$$r = \begin{cases} 5 & \text{when } X \text{ is case (1) for } n=3, \\ 7 & \text{when } X \text{ is case (2)}, \\ 4 & \text{when } X \text{ is case (5)}. \end{cases}$$

The endomorphism $\hat{c}_1$ acting on the basis elements of $H^\bullet(X)$ is determined by the Chevalley formula in the following way:

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{c}_1(\alpha_i) &= 5(h \star \alpha_i)|_{q=1} && \text{when } X \text{ is case (1) for } n=3, \\ \hat{c}_1(\alpha_i) &= 7(h \star \alpha_i)|_{q=1} && \text{when } X \text{ is case (2), and} \\ \hat{c}_1(\alpha_i) &= 4(h \star \alpha_i)|_{q=1} && \text{when } X \text{ is case (5)}. \end{aligned}$$

Each of the following three subsections will show that conjecture $\mathcal{O}$ holds for case (1) for $n=3$, case (2), and case (5) of Pasquier's list, respectively. In each subsection we will reformulate the quantum Chevalley formulas stated in [Gonzales et al. 2018], present the quantum Bruhat graph, and argue that each condition of Lemma 2 is satisfied. For each case, we have kept the same format of the equations presented by [Gonzales et al. 2018] with our prescribed basis for ease of identification for the reader. For example, line 3 in Proposition 4.3 of that paper is

$$h * \sigma'_{u_2} = \sigma'_{u_3} + \sigma'_{u'_3} \quad \text{and} \quad h * \sigma'_{u'_2} = 2\sigma'_{u'_3} + \tau_{v_0}.$$

In Proposition 3 below we identify this line with

$$\hat{c}_1(\alpha_1) = 5\alpha_3 + 5\alpha_4 \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{c}_1(\alpha_2) = 10\alpha_3 + 5\alpha_5.$$

3.1. ***Case* (1) *for* $n = 3$.** We will reformulate the quantum Chevalley formula stated in [Gonzales et al. 2018] using the basis $\{1, h, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_{18}\}$.

Proposition 3. *The following equalities hold by* [Gonzales et al. 2018, Proposition 4.3]:

(1) $\hat{c}_1(1) = 5h$.

(2) $\hat{c}_1(h) = 10\alpha_1 + 5\alpha_2$.

(3) $\hat{c}_1(\alpha_1) = 5\alpha_3 + 5\alpha_4$ *and* $\hat{c}_1(\alpha_2) = 10\alpha_3 + 5\alpha_5$.



Figure 1. The quantum Bruhat graph of the Fano variety X in case (1) for $n = 3$.

(4) $\hat{c}_1(\alpha_3) = 10\alpha_6 + 5\alpha_7 + 5\alpha_8$, $\hat{c}_1(\alpha_4) = 5\alpha_6 + 10\alpha_7$, *and* $\hat{c}_1(\alpha_5) = 5\alpha_8$.

(5) $\hat{c}_1(\alpha_6) = 10\alpha_9 + 5\alpha_{10} + 5\alpha_{11}$, $\hat{c}_1(\alpha_7) = 5\alpha_{10}$, *and* $\hat{c}_1(\alpha_8) = 5\alpha_{11} + 5 \cdot 1$.

(6) $\hat{c}_1(\alpha_9) = 5\alpha_{12} + 5\alpha_{13}$, $\hat{c}_1(\alpha_{10}) = 10\alpha_{13} + 5\alpha_{14}$, $\hat{c}_1(\alpha_{11}) = 5\alpha_{12} + 5\alpha_{14} + 5h$.

(7) $\hat{c}_1(\alpha_{12}) = 5\alpha_{15} + 5\alpha_1$, $\hat{c}_1(\alpha_{13}) = 5\alpha_{15} + 5\alpha_{16}$, *and* $\hat{c}_1(\alpha_{14}) = 5\alpha_{15} + 5\alpha_2$.

(8) $\hat{c}_1(\alpha_{15}) = 5\alpha_{17} + 5\alpha_3$ *and* $\hat{c}_1(\alpha_{16}) = 5\alpha_{17} + 5\alpha_5$.

(9) $\hat{c}_1(\alpha_{17}) = 5\alpha_{18} + 5\alpha_6 + 5\alpha_8$.

(10) $\hat{c}_1(\alpha_{18}) = 5\alpha_9 + 5\alpha_{11} + 10 \cdot 1$.

The quantum Bruhat graph is shown in Figure 1. The bold edges indicate a cycle of length $r = 5$, the Fano index.

Lemma 4. *Property $\mathcal{O}$ holds when X is case* (1) *with $n = 3$ of Pasquier's list.*

Proof. The coefficients that appear in the equations in Proposition 3 are the entries of the matrix representation of $\hat{c}_1$. Therefore, the matrix representation of $\hat{c}_1$ is nonnegative. The quantum Bruhat graph is strongly connected by Figure 1, and the cycle $\alpha_{18}\alpha_{11}\alpha_{14}\alpha_{15}\alpha_{17}\alpha_{18}$ has length $r = 5$. □

3.2. ***Case* (2).** Again, we reformulate the quantum Chevalley formula from [Gonzales et al. 2018] using the basis $\{1, h, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_{12}\}$.



Figure 2. The quantum Bruhat graph for case (2).

Proposition 5. *The following equalities hold by* [Gonzales et al. 2018, Proposition 4.4]:

(1) $\hat{c}_1(1) = 7h$.

(2) $\hat{c}_1(h) = 7\alpha_1$.

(3) $\hat{c}_1(\alpha_1) = 14\alpha_2 + 7\alpha_3$.

(4) $\hat{c}_1(\alpha_2) = 7\alpha_4 + 7\alpha_5$ *and* $\hat{c}_1(\alpha_3) = 7\alpha_5$.

(5) $\hat{c}_1(\alpha_4) = 7\alpha_6 + 7\alpha_7$ *and* $\hat{c}_1(\alpha_5) = 7\alpha_7$.

(6) $\hat{c}_1(\alpha_6) = 7\alpha_8$ *and* $\hat{c}_1(\alpha_7) = 7\alpha_8 + 7\alpha_9$.

(7) $\hat{c}_1(\alpha_8) = 7\alpha_{10}$ *and* $\hat{c}_1(\alpha_9) = 7\alpha_{10} + 7 \cdot 1$.

(8) $\hat{c}_1(\alpha_{10}) = 7\alpha_{11} + 7h$.

(9) $\hat{c}_1(\alpha_{11}) = 7\alpha_{12} + 7\alpha_1$.

(10) $\hat{c}_1(\alpha_{12}) = 7\alpha_2$.

The quantum Bruhat graph is shown in Figure 2.

Lemma 6. *Property $\mathcal{O}$ holds when X is case* (2) *of Pasquier's list.*

Proof. The coefficients that appear in the equations in Proposition 5 are the entries of the matrix representation of $\hat{c}_1$. Therefore, the matrix representation of $\hat{c}_1$ is



Figure 3. The quantum Bruhat graph for case (5).

nonnegative. The quantum Bruhat graph is strongly connected by Figure 2, and the cycle $\alpha_{12}\alpha_2\alpha_4\alpha_6\alpha_8\alpha_{10}\alpha_{11}\alpha_{12}$ has length $r = 7$. □

3.3. *Case* (5). Again, we reformulate the quantum Chevalley formula from [Gonzales et al. 2018] using the basis $\{1, h, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_{10}\}$.

Proposition 7. *The following equalities hold by* [Gonzales et al. 2018, Proposition 4.6]:

(1) $\hat{c}_1(1) = 4h$.

(2) $\hat{c}_1(h) = 12\alpha_1 + 4\alpha_2$.

(3) $\hat{c}_1(\alpha_1) = 8\alpha_3 + 4\alpha_4$ *and* $\hat{c}_1(\alpha_2) = 4\alpha_4$.

(4) $\hat{c}_1(\alpha_3) = 12\alpha_5 + 4\alpha_6$ *and* $\hat{c}_1(\alpha_4) = 4\alpha_6 + 4 \cdot 1$.

(5) $\hat{c}_1(\alpha_5) = 4\alpha_7 + 4\alpha_8$ *and* $\hat{c}_1(\alpha_6) = 8\alpha_7 + 4h$.

(6) $\hat{c}_1(\alpha_7) = 4\alpha_9 + 4\alpha_1$ *and* $\hat{c}_1(\alpha_8) = 4\alpha_9 + 4\alpha_2$.

(7) $\hat{c}_1(\alpha_9) = 4\alpha_{10} + 4\alpha_3 + 4\alpha_4$.

(8) $\hat{c}_1(\alpha_{10}) = 4\alpha_5 + 4\alpha_6 + 8 \cdot 1$.

The associated quantum Bruhat graph is shown in Figure 3.

Lemma 8. *Property $\mathcal{O}$ holds when X is case* (5) *of Pasquier's list.*

Proof. The coefficients that appear in the equations in Proposition 7 are the entries of the matrix representation of $\hat{c}_1$. Therefore, the matrix representation of $\hat{c}_1$ is nonnegative. The quantum Bruhat graph is strongly connected by Figure 3, and the cycle $\alpha_{10}\alpha_6\alpha_7\alpha_9\alpha_{10}$ has length $r = 4$. □

Theorem 1 follows from Lemmas 4, 6, 8, and the previously mentioned work done by Li, Mihalcea, Shifler [Li et al. 2019] for the odd symplectic Grassmannian case.

Acknowledgement

We would like to thank the anonymous referees for their useful comments and suggestions to improve the presentation of this paper.

References

[Cheong and Li 2017] D. Cheong and C. Li, "On the conjecture $\mathcal{O}$ of GGI for G/P", *Adv. Math.* **306** (2017), 704–721. MR Zbl

[Galkin et al. 2016] S. Galkin, V. Golyshev, and H. Iritani, "Gamma classes and quantum cohomology of Fano manifolds: gamma conjectures", *Duke Math. J.* **165**:11 (2016), 2005–2077. MR Zbl

[Gonzales et al. 2018] R. Gonzales, C. Pech, N. Perrin, and A. Samokhin, "Geometry of horospherical varieties of Picard rank one", preprint, 2018. arXiv

[Hu et al. 2019] J. Hu, H.-Z. Ke, C. Li, and T. Yang, "Gamma conjecture I for del Pezzo surfaces", preprint, 2019. arXiv

[Ke 2018] H.-Z. Ke, "On Conjecture $\mathcal{O}$ for projective complete intersections", preprint, 2018. arXiv

[Li et al. 2019] C. Li, L. C. Mihalcea, and R. M. Shifler, "Conjecture $\mathcal{O}$ holds for the odd symplectic Grassmannian", *Bull. Lond. Math. Soc.* **51**:4 (2019), 705–714. MR Zbl

[Minc 1988] H. Minc, *Nonnegative matrices*, John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1988. MR Zbl

[Pasquier 2006] B. Pasquier, *Variétés horosphèriques de Fano*, Ph.D. thesis, Université Joseph Fourier, 2006, available at https://tel.archives-ouvertes.fr/tel-00111912/document.

[Pasquier 2009] B. Pasquier, "On some smooth projective two-orbit varieties with Picard number 1", *Math. Ann.* **344**:4 (2009), 963–987. MR Zbl

Received: 2018-07-10 Revised: 2019-07-12 Accepted: 2020-07-25

lbones1@gulls.salisbury.edu *Department of Mathematics, Salisbury University, Salisbury, MD, United States*

gfowler2@gulls.salisbury.edu *Department of Mathematics, Salisbury University, Salisbury, MD, United States*

lmschneider@salisbury.edu *Department of Mathematics, Salisbury University, Salisbury, MD, United States*

rmshifler@salisbury.edu *Department of Mathematics, Salisbury University, Salisbury, MD, United States*

involve

msp.org/involve

INVOLVE YOUR STUDENTS IN RESEARCH

Involve showcases and encourages high-quality mathematical research involving students from all academic levels. The editorial board consists of mathematical scientists committed to nurturing student participation in research. Bridging the gap between the extremes of purely undergraduate research journals and mainstream research journals, *Involve* provides a venue to mathematicians wishing to encourage the creative involvement of students.

See inside back cover or msp.org/involve for submission instructions. The subscription price for 2020 is US $205/year for the electronic version, and $275/year (+$35, if shipping outside the US) for print and electronic. Subscriptions, requests for back issues and changes of subscriber address should be sent to MSP.

Involve (ISSN 1944-4184 electronic, 1944-4176 printed) at Mathematical Sciences Publishers, 798 Evans Hall #3840, c/o University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720-3840, is published continuously online. Periodical rate postage paid at Berkeley, CA 94704, and additional mailing offices.

Involve peer review and production are managed by EditFlow® from Mathematical Sciences Publishers.

PUBLISHED BY

mathematical sciences publishers

nonprofit scientific publishing

http://msp.org/

involve

2020 vol. 13 no. 4